D. Reporting templates

Based on the need to now report data not only for FC citywide but also separately for each of the four zones (NW, NE, SE, SW; broken down using College and Drake) the city has been divided into for the purpose of WNV surveillance and mosquito control, CSU had to generate a new reporting template. This new reporting template is tailored to provide data relevant to the Level III and IV entomological triggers (see below) for control measures in the "City of Fort Collins Program Response Guidelines to Mosquito Borne Arboviral Activity (July 2008 edition)".

Level III

- Vector index > 0.5 and increasing
- Culex mosquito populations increasing and at or above historical average for that time period
- Mosquito infection rates of > 3.0 per thousand (0.3%) and increasing

Level IV

- Vector index > 0.75.
- Culex mosquito population above historical average for that time period
- Sustained mosquito infection rates of > 5.0 per thousand (0.5%)

The new reporting format comprises a set of 6 tables to address the current week (1a, 2a, 3a) and to provide seasonal and historical context (1b, 2b, 3b) (see full table formats on following pages)

- Table 1a. Vector Index for current week
- Table 1b. Vector Index for All *Culex* by week from June-August
- Table 2a. Vector abundance for current week
- Table 2b. Vector abundance for All *Culex* by week from June-August
- Table 3a. WNV infection rate per 1,000 females for current week
- Table 3b. WNV infection rate per 1,000 females for All *Culex* by week from June-August

Table 1a. Vector Index for current week

| | Mean abundance of females per trap night ¹ | | Estimate for females infec | proportion of ted with WNV ² | Vector Index | | | |
|-----------------|---|----------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--|
| | Cx. | Cx. | Cx. | Cx. | Cx. | Cx. | All | |
| Week: 26 | pipiens | tarsalis | pipiens | tarsalis | pipiens³ | tarsalis ⁴ | Culex ⁵ | |
| FC – Zone NW | 2.56 | 31.89 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| FC – Zone NE | 2.00 | 77.60 | 0.0000 | 0.0013 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.10 | |
| FC – Zone SE | 3.47 | 67.07 | 0.0031 | 0.0000 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | |
| FC – Zone SW | 1.00 | 10.33 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| FC – Citywide | 2.42 | 50.28 | 0.0019 | 0.0005 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.03 | |
| - | | | | | | | | |
| LV | 1.0 | 59.0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |

¹From Table 2a (CDC light trap catches only).

Table 1b. Vector Index for All Culex by week from June-August

| | FC – Zone NW | | FC – Z | one NE | FC – Z | Zone SE | FC – Z | one SW | FC – | Citywide | |
|------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----|
| Week | Current year | Historical average ¹ | Current year | Historical average ¹ | LV |
| 23 | N/A | 0.01 | N/A | 0.02 | N/A | 0.02 | N/A | 0.01 | N/A | 0.02 | N/A |
| 24 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 25 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0 |
| 27 | | 0.00 | | 0.03 | | 0.01 | | 0.00 | | 0.01 | |
| 28 | | 0.00 | | 0.03 | | 0.11 | | 0.01 | | 0.05 | |
| 29 | | 0.12 | | 0.17 | | 0.40 | | 0.04 | | 0.20 | |
| 30 | | 0.13 | | 0.18 | | 0.31 | | 0.10 | | 0.19 | |
| 31 | | 0.20 | | 0.13 | | 0.21 | | 0.06 | | 0.18 | |
| 32 | | 0.16 | | 0.33 | | 0.41 | | 0.09 | | 0.27 | |
| 33 | | 0.20 | | 0.38 | | 0.28 | | 0.06 | | 0.24 | |
| 34 | | 0.15 | | 0.16 | | 0.34 | | 0.06 | | 0.19 | |
| 35 | | 0.03 | | 0.11 | | 0.18 | | 0.16 | | 0.13 | |

¹2006-2014 (2003-2005 were excluded due to changes in trap locations from 2006 onwards).

²Derived from the data presented in Table 3a for estimated infection rate per 1,000 females (CDC light trap and gravid trap catches combined).

³Vector Index for *Cx. pipiens* = (Mean abundance of *Cx. pipiens* females per trap night) x (Estimate for proportion of all *Cx. pipiens* females infected with WNV).

⁴Vector Index for *Cx. tarsalis* = (Mean abundance of *Cx. tarsalis* females per trap night) x (Estimate for proportion of all *Cx. tarsalis* females infected with WNV).

⁵Vector Index for All *Culex* = (Vector Index for *Cx. pipiens*) + (Vector Index for *Cx. tarsalis*).

Table 2a. Vector abundance for current week (CDC light trap catches only)

| | Total number females collected | | | Number | Mean abundance of females per CDC light trap night | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------|--------|-------------|--|-----------------------|--------------------|--|
| | Cx. | Cx. | All | CDC light | Cx. | Cx. | All | |
| Week: 26 | pipiens | tarsalis | Culex | trap nights | pipiens ¹ | tarsalis ² | Culex ³ | |
| FC – Zone NW | 23.00 | 287.00 | 310.00 | 9.00 | 2.56 | 31.89 | 34.44 | |
| FC – Zone NE | 20.00 | 776.00 | 796.00 | 10.00 | 2.00 | 77.60 | 79.60 | |
| FC – Zone SE | 52.00 | 1006.0 | 1058.0 | 15.00 | 3.47 | 67.07 | 70.53 | |
| FC – Zone SW | 9.00 | 93.00 | 102.00 | 9.00 | 1.00 | 10.33 | 11.33 | |
| FC – Citywide | 104.00 | 2162.0 | 2266.0 | 43.00 | 2.42 | 50.28 | 52.70 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| LV | 38.00 | 2182.0 | 2220.0 | 37.00 | 1.03 | 58.97 | 60.00 | |

¹Mean abundance of *Cx. pipiens* females per CDC light trap night = (Total number *Cx. pipiens* females collected) / (Number CDC light trap nights).

Table 2b. Vector abundance for All Culex by week from June-August

| | FC – Zone NW | | FC – Z | one NE | FC – Z | one SE | FC – Z | one SW | FC – | Citywide | |
|------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| Week | Current vear | Historical average ¹ | LV |
| 23 | N/A | 2.19 | N/A | 5.32 | N/A | 7.79 | N/A | 0.35 | N/A | 4.77 | N/A |
| 24 | 3.11 | 2.20 | 13.10 | 7.84 | 5.53 | 11.49 | 5.44 | 0.64 | 6.77 | 6.37 | 7.19 |
| 25 | 22.22 | 6.39 | 61.30 | 16.88 | 70.33 | 21.12 | 18.00 | 1.92 | 47.21 | 13.09 | 107.14 |
| 26 | 34.44 | 11.54 | 79.60 | 35.48 | 70.53 | 33.09 | 11.33 | 5.81 | 52.70 | 23.19 | 60.00 |
| 27 | | 30.65 | | 68.92 | | 43.03 | | 12.58 | | 42.47 | |
| 28 | | 48.06 | | 84.97 | | 67.44 | | 13.15 | | 55.87 | |
| 29 | | 55.24 | | 83.97 | | 69.09 | | 20.03 | | 59.75 | |
| 30 | | 48.71 | | 120.93 | | 79.38 | | 17.11 | | 70.39 | |
| 31 | | 48.48 | | 96.73 | | 58.83 | | 12.95 | | 57.79 | |
| 32 | | 41.25 | | 68.12 | | 57.75 | | 14.77 | | 48.11 | |
| 33 | | 27.04 | | 52.01 | | 36.50 | | 9.36 | | 32.44 | |
| 34 | | 20.04 | | 46.60 | | 27.58 | | 6.54 | | 26.43 | |
| 35 | | 8.45 | | 20.21 | | 13.06 | | 4.12 | | 11.98 | |

¹2006-2014 (2003-2005 were excluded due to changes in trap locations from 2006 onwards).

²Mean abundance of *Cx. tarsalis* females per CDC light trap night = (Total number *Cx. tarsalis* females collected) / (Number CDC light trap nights).

³Mean abundance of All *Culex* females per CDC light trap night = (Total number All *Culex* females collected) / (Number CDC light trap nights).

Table 3a. WNV infection rate per 1,000 females for current week (CDC light trap and gravid trap catches combined)

| | Total number individuals | | | Total number pools | | | Total number | | | Estimate for WNV infection | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------|--------|--------------------|----------|--------|--------------------|----------|-------|----------------------------|----------|--------|
| | | examined | | examined | | | WNV-infected pools | | | rate per 1,000 females ' | | |
| | Cx. | Cx. | All | Cx. | Cx. | All | Cx. | Cx. | All | Cx. | Cx. | All |
| Week: 26 | pipiens | tarsalis | Culex | pipiens | tarsalis | Culex | pipiens | tarsalis | Culex | pipiens | tarsalis | Culex |
| FC – Zone NW | 47.00 | 287.0 | 334.0 | 6.00 | 12.00 | 18.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| FC – Zone NE | 128.0 | 800.0 | 928.0 | 12.00 | 23.00 | 35.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.26 | 1.0826 |
| FC – Zone SE | 317.0 | 1006. | 1323. | 18.00 | 28.00 | 46.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 3.12 | 0.00 | 0.7527 |
| FC – Zone SW | 26.00 | 93.00 | 119.0 | 8.00 | 9.00 | 17.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| FC – Citywide | 518.0 | 2186. | 2704. | 44.00 | 72.00 | 116.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 1.93 | 0.46 | 0.7454 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LV | 14.00 | 587.00 | 601.00 | 4.00 | 14.00 | 18.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |

¹Maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) for WNV infection rate per 1,000 females calculated using the CDC PooledInfRate 4.0 plug-in for Excel.

Table 3b. WNV infection rate per 1,000 females for All Culex by week from June-August

| | FC – 2 | FC – Zone NW | | FC – Zone NE | | FC – Zone SE | | FC – Zone SW | | FC – Citywide | |
|-------|---------|----------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|----------------------|------|
| 14/1- | Current | Historical | 1.17 |
| Week | year | average ¹ | LV |
| 23 | N/A | 0.00 | N/A | 0.00 | N/A | 1.99 | N/A | 0.00 | N/A | 0.58 | N/A |
| 24 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1.52 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.58 | 0 |
| 25 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.69 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.09 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 | 0.00 | 1.0826 | 0.00 | 0.7527 | 0.44 | 0 | 0.54 | 0.7454 | 0.24 | 0 |
| 27 | | 0.00 | | 0.09 | | 0.25 | | 0.00 | | 0.17 | |
| 28 | | 0.00 | | 0.41 | | 0.90 | | 0.49 | | 0.53 | |
| 29 | | 1.58 | | 1.40 | | 3.22 | | 1.17 | | 2.07 | |
| 30 | | 2.97 | | 2.10 | | 4.01 | | 3.60 | | 3.05 | |
| 31 | | 4.29 | | 1.42 | | 4.27 | | 3.40 | | 3.27 | |
| 32 | | 4.37 | | 6.30 | | 7.68 | | 9.92 | | 6.11 | |
| 33 | | 8.34 | | 7.54 | | 10.85 | | 4.80 | | 8.96 | |
| 34 | | 6.89 | | 3.03 | | 12.02 | | 12.99 | | 7.66 | |
| 35 | | 8.13 | | 4.78 | | 15.60 | | 61.30 | | 10.80 | |

¹2006-2014 (2003-2005 were excluded due to changes in trap locations from 2006 onwards).