## The wonderful world of Partnership


is a USGBC Education Provider committed to enhancing the professional development of the building industry and LEED Professionals through high-quality continuing education programs.

As a USGBC Education Provider, we have agreed to abide by USGBC-established operational and educational criteria, and are subject to course reviews and audits for quality assurance.

## Today's Agenda

- Review Fundamentals of High Velocity, Static Regain Duct Design
- Key Advantages over Low-Med Velocity Rectangular Duct Design
- Do's and Don'ts
- Examine Cost of 6 Real World Projects
- Review SPIDA Duct Layout Examples
- Summary


## Associations Involved

- ASHRAE
- SMACNA
- SPIDA


## Today's Goal: How to obtain \$0.25\$1.00/sq.ft. Installed Cost Savings



- Rectangular Duct 0.5\#/sq.ft.
- Rectangular Duct \$3.00\$3.50/sq.ft.
- High Velocity Static Regain Round Duct 0.25\#/sq.ft. (50\% less)
- Round Duct \$2.00-\$2.50/sq.ft.
- Saving of at least $\$ 0.25$ to \$1.00/sq.ft. (\$100 to \$400/ton)


## Duct Layout



## Fundamentals of Static Regain



# Limitation of Type of Ductwork 

## Rectangular - No

## Round - Yes

Why?

## Recommended and Maximum Duct Velocities for Rectangular Duct

Table 6 ASHRAE Handbook, 1972, page 481

Recommended velocities, fpm

| Designation | Residences | Schools, theaters, <br> public buildings | Industrial <br> buildings |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Main ducts $^{\text {b }}$ | $700-900$ | $1000-1300$ | $1200-1800$ |
| Branch ducts $^{\text {b }}$ | 600 | $600-900$ | $800-1000$ |
| ${\text { Branch } \text { risers }^{\text {b }}}^{\text {bren }}$ | 500 | $600-700$ | 800 |

$V p=(f p m / 4005)^{2}$

## Recommended and Maximum Duct Velocities for Round Duct

Table 7 ASHRAE Handbook, 1972, page 481
Recommended maximum duct velocities for high-velocity systems

| CFM carried by the duct | Maximum FPM |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 60,000 | to | 40,000 | 6,000 |
| 40,000 | to | 25,000 | 5,000 |
| 25,000 | to | 15,000 | 4,500 |
| 15,000 | to | 10,000 | 4,000 |
| 10,000 | to | 6,000 | 3,500 |
| 6,000 | to | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| 3,000 | to | 1,000 | 2,500 |

## Key Advantages

- Lower cost
- Lower duct heat pick up
- Less duct leakage
- Less space


## Relative Installed Cost vs. Aspect

 Ratio

## Duct Heat Gain vs. Aspect Ratio



## Duct Heat Pickup



## Duct Leakage

Leakage:

- Rectangular Duct
- traditionally hard to get below 10\%
- today it's hard to get below 3\%
- Round Duct - can get below 1\%

Fan BHP Savings Approximated By:

- $\left(\mathrm{CFM}_{2} / \mathrm{CFM}_{1}\right)^{3}=\mathrm{BHP}_{2} / \mathrm{BHP}_{1}$
- $(110 / 101)^{3}=29 \%$ increase in fan brake horsepower
- $(103 / 101)^{3}=6 \%$ increase in fan brake horsepower



## Gasketing




Coupling

# Why is Leakage So Important? <br> *Source: Study done by Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory for the Department of Energy 

Top faults causing energ 100+ faults identified)

|  | National <br> Energy <br> Waste <br> (Quads, | Electricity <br> equivalent | Cost <br> (BkWh/year) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | primary/year) <br> (\$billion/year) |  |  |
| Duct leakage | 0.3 | 28.6 | 2.9 |
| HVAC left on when space unoccupied | 0.2 | 19.0 | 1.9 |
| Lights left on when space unoccupied | 0.18 | 17.1 | 1.7 |
| Airflow not balanced | 0.07 | 6.7 | 0.7 |
| Improper refrigerant charge | 0.07 | 6.7 | 0.7 |
| Dampers not working properly | 0.055 | 5.2 | 0.5 |
| Insufficient evaporator airflow | 0.035 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| Improper controls setup / commissioning | 0.023 | 2.2 | 0.2 |
| Control component failure or degradation | 0.023 | 2.2 | 0.2 |
| Software programming errors | 0.012 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| Improper controls hardware installation | 0.01 | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| Air-cooled condenser fouling | 0.008 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| Valve leakage | 0.007 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
|  |  | 1.0 | 94.6 |

Adapted from Roth et al. (2005) assuming 10,500 BTU/kWh, and \$0.10/kWh

## Less Space



## Biggest Misconception

## I can't use round duct because

 it won't fit!
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## Relative Installed Cost vs. Aspect

 Ratio

## U.S. Market



## Trane Ductulator ${ }^{\circledR}$



## Whereas, High Velocity, Static Regain, Round Duct Requires a Computerized Program



## Do's

## Bellmouth Fitting



Hibens

## RTU connections



## Rooftop Sound Attenuation


"Sound transmission below 250 Hz via duct breakout is often a major acoustical limitation for many rooftop installations. Excessive low-frequency noise associated with fan noise and air turbulence in the region of the discharge section of the fan and the first duct elbow results in duct rumble, which is difficult to attenuate. This problem is often worsened by the presence of a high-aspect-ratio duct at the discharge section of the fan. Rectangular ducts with duct lagging are often ineffective in reducing duct breakout noise. Using either a single- or dualwall round duct with a radiused elbow coming off the discharge section of the fan can reduce duct breakout. If space does not allow for the use of a single duct, the duct can be split into several parallel round ducts".

## Attenuated Duct



## Opposite Connections



## Flow Through Fittings



## Fitting Efficiency



Reducer Fitting



Well-Rounded, 90-Degree Tee


## Saddle-Tap Fittings


smooth entrance


## Don'ts



## VAV Box Too Close to the Fan



## Capped Cross Fitting



## Divided Flow Fittings

## Fitting

Y-2 plus 45 elbows


Loss Coefficient (2)
0.22

Capped cross with conical tabs

Capped cross
Capped cross with 1 " cushion head

Capped cross with 2 " cushion head

Capped cross with 3" cushion head

5.0


## Balance Downstream Ductwork




## Experience Tells Us..

- Air to every box - quietly
- Plus $\$ 0.25$ to $\$ 1.00 /$ sq.ft. (\$100 to $\$ 400 /$ ton ) savings


## In Summary...

Lower weight 25+\%


Lower air leakage 2-10+\%


Shorter installation hours 30+\%


Lower fan energy use 6-30+\%


## 6 Real World Examples

## 2012 Ductwork Comparison for Rectangular versus Static regain

| HVAC contractor project location | Project (ft ${ }^{2}$ ) | Project (tons) | Supply (CFM) | installed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Rect. <br> (lbs) | Spiral (lbs) | Rect. cost (\$) | Spiral cost (\$) | Savings (\$) | Saving s (\%) | Savings (\$/ton) |
| Pewaukee, WI | 42,400 | 70 | 25,500 | 9,103 | 5,165 | \$47,094 | \$36,768 | \$10,326 | 22\% | \$148 |
| Fort Worth, TX | 12,000 | 40 | 15,000 | 3,504 | 2,090 | \$14,936 | \$13,169 | \$1,767 | 12\% | \$44 |
| Atlanta, GA | 22,500 | 58 | 19,500 | 5,749 | 2,551 | \$24,363 | \$15,452 | \$8,911 | 37\% | \$153 |
| St. Louis, MO | 98,000 | 240 | 80,000 | 32,533 | 19,804 | \$169,391 | \$115,479 | \$53,912 | 32\% | \$225 |
| Orlando, FL | 25,870 | 60 | 22,000 | 13,600 | 6,548 | \$117,078 | \$64,259 | \$52,819 | 45\% | \$880 |
| Chicago, IL | 23,000 | 60 | 20,100 | 6,320 | 2,455 | \$37,920 | \$17,185 | \$20,735 | 55\% | \$346 |
| Average (6) | 37,295 | 88 | 30,350 | 11,802 | 6,435 | \$68,463 | \$43,718 | \$24,745 | 36\% | \$281 |

Conclusion: Cut the lbs in half and save a lot on labor while delivering a quieter air delivery system.

## Who Did We Work With?

- Brandt, Dallas ... Brad Rogers*
- Ahern, Milwaukee ... Phil Corbin
- Harper Limbach, Orlando ... Kyle Davis
- McKenney, Atlanta ... Rick Dustin
- Murphy, St. Louis ... Mark Bengard
*Sponsor


## John V Reints, PE



817 Dorken Lane<br>DeKalb, Illinois, 60115<br>(630)327-5425<br>jvrpellc@msn.com<br>www.staticregain.net

30+ Years of Designing High Velocity, Static Regain, Round Duct Systems

## Pewaukee, WI Ductwork




## 6 Real World Examples

## 2012 Ductwork Comparison for Rectangular versus Static regain

| HVAC contractor project location | Project (ft²) | Project (tons) | Supply (CFM) | installed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Rect. <br> (lbs) | Spiral (lbs) | Rect. cost <br> (\$) | Spiral cost <br> (\$) | Savings (\$) | Saving s (\%) | Savings (\$/ton) |
| Pewaukee, WI | 42,400 | 70 | 25,500 | 9,103 | 5,165 | \$47,094 | \$36,768 | \$10,326 | 22\% | \$148 |
| Fort Worth, TX | 12,000 | 40 | 15,000 | 3,504 | 2,090 | \$14,936 | \$13,169 | \$1,767 | 12\% | \$44 |
| Atlanta, GA | 22,500 | 58 | 19,500 | 5,749 | 2,551 | \$24,363 | \$15,452 | \$8,911 | 37\% | \$153 |
| St. Louis, MO | 98,000 | 240 | 80,000 | 32,533 | 19,804 | \$169,391 | \$115,479 | \$53,912 | 32\% | \$225 |
| Orlando, FL | 25,870 | 60 | 22,000 | 13,600 | 6,548 | \$117,078 | \$64,259 | \$52,819 | 45\% | \$880 |
| Chicago, IL | 23,000 | 60 | 20,100 | 6,320 | 2,455 | \$37,920 | \$17,185 | \$20,735 | 55\% | \$346 |
| Average (6) | 37,295 | 88 | 30,350 | 11,802 | 6,435 | \$68,463 | \$43,718 | \$24,745 | 36\% | \$281 |

Conclusion: Cut the lbs in half and save a lot on labor while delivering a quieter air delivery system.


## Example \#1

Typical Rectangular Duct System

- 1,038 sq.ft. of duct
- $1,312 \mathrm{lbs}$. of metal
- 61 transverse joints
- 15 taps
- 275 lineal feet of joints to seal
- 371 lineal feet of longitudinal seams to seal
- 35 hangers
- 6 gallons of sealant



## Example \#2

Sample layout converted to round spiral duct

- 829 sq.ft. of duct
- 879 lbs . of metal
- 43 transverse joints
- 0 taps
- 160 lineal feet of joints to seal
- 0 lineal feet of longitudinal seams to seal
- 24 hangers
- 2 gallons of sealant

75\% of the system could utilize standard stock components


## Example \#3

Sample layout converted to flat oval and round spiral duct

- 894 sq.ft. of duct
- 1,091 lbs. of metal
- 43 transverse joints
- 0 taps
- 168 lineal feet of joints to seal
- 0 feet of longitudinal seams to seal
- 24 hangers
- 2 gallons of sealant



## Example \#4

Sample layout converted to multiple runs of round spiral duct

- 1,003 sq.ft. of duct
- 975 lbs . of metal
- 50 transverse joints
- 0 taps
- 172 lineal feet of joints to seal
- O lineal feet of longitudinal seams to seal
- 36 hangers
- 2 gallons of sealant



## Less Material !!!

|  | Example \#1 | Example \#2 | Example \#3 | Example \#4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rectangular Duct | Round Spiral Duct | Flat Oval \& Round Duct | Multiple Round Ducts |
| Sq.Ft. of Duct | 1038 | 829 | 894 | 1003 |
| Lbs. of Metal | 1312 | 879 | 1091 | 975 |
| \# of T ransverse Joints | 61 | 43 | 43 | 50 |
| \# of T aps | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lineal Feet of Joints to Seal | 275 | 160 | 168 | 172 |
| Lineal Feet of Longitudinal <br> Seams to Seal | 371 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \# of Hangers | 35 | 24 | 24 | 36 |
| Gallons of Sealant | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

## Less Material !!!

|  | Example \#1 | Example \#2 | Example \#3 | Example \#4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rectangular Duct | Round Spiral Duct | Flat Oval \& Round Duct | Multiple Round Ducts |
| Sq.Ft. of Duct | 1038 | 829 | 894 | 1003 |
| Lbs. of Metal | 1312 | 879 | 1091 | 975 |
| \# of T ransverse Joints | 61 | 43 | 43 | 50 |
| \# of T aps | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lineal Feet of Joints to Seal | 275 | 160 | 168 | 172 |
| Lineal Feet of Longitudinal Seams to Seal | 371 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \# of Hangers | 35 | 24 | 24 | 36 |
| Gallons of Sealant | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Duct Cost \$\$\$ | \$2,896 | \$1,833 | \$2,717 | \$1,963 |
| Compared to Round | 158\% | 100\% | 148\% | 107\% |

## Less Labor !!!

|  | Example \#1 | Example \#2 | Example \#3 | Example \#4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rectangular Duct | Round Spiral Duct | Flat Oval \& Round Duct | Multiple Round Ducts |
| Sq.Ft. of Duct | 1038 | 829 | 894 | 1003 |
| Lbs. of Metal | 1312 | 879 | 1091 | 975 |
| \# of T ransverse Joints | 61 | 43 | 43 | 50 |
| \# of Taps | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lineal Feet of Joints to Seal | 275 | 160 | 168 | 172 |
| Lineal Feet of Longitudinal Seams to Seal | 371 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \# of Hangers | 35 | 24 | 24 | 36 |
| Gallons of Sealant | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Duct Cost \$\$\$ | \$ 2,896.00 | \$ 1,833.00 | \$ 2,717.00 | \$ 1,963.00 |
| Compared to Round | 158\% | 100\% | 148\% | 107\% |
| Installation Man Hours | 54 | 45 | 56 | 50 |
| Installation Labor Cost (@ \$60/MH) | \$ 3,240.00 | \$ 2,700.00 | \$ 3,360.00 | \$ 3,000.00 |
| Compared to Round | 120\% | 100\% | 124\% | 111\% |


|  | Example \#1 | Example \#2 | Example \#3 | Example \#4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rectangular Duct | Round Spiral Duct | Flat Oval \& Round Duct | Multiple Round Ducts |
| Sq.Ft. of Duct | 1038 | 829 | 894 | 1003 |
| Lbs. of Metal | 1312 | 879 | 1091 | 975 |
| \# of T ransverse Joints | 61 | 43 | 43 | 50 |
| \# of Taps | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lineal Feet of Joints to Seal | 275 | 160 | 168 | 172 |
| Lineal Feet of Longitudinal Seams to Seal | 371 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \# of Hangers | 35 | 24 | 24 | 36 |
| Gallons of Sealant | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Duct Cost \$\$\$ | \$ 2,896.00 | \$ 1,833.00 | \$ 2,717.00 | 1,963.00 |
| Compared to Round | 158\% | 100\% | 148\% | 107\% |
| Installation Man Hours | 54 | 45 | 56 | 50 |
| Installation Labor Cost (@ \$60/MH) | 3,240.00 | 2,700.00 | 3,360.00 | \$ 3,000.00 |
| Compared to Round | 120\% | 100\% | 124\% | 111\% |
| RAW INSTALLED COST | \$ 6,136.00 | \$ 4,533.00 | \$ 6,077.00 | \$ 4,963.00 |
| Compared to Round | 135\% | 100\% | 134\% | 109\% |

Applicable Static Pressure Construction Class

# All Transverse joints and longitudinal seams only 



## ASHRAE Ductwork "Seal Class": 90.1-2004 has seal class A,B,C 90.1-2007 has seal class $A, B, C$ 90.1-2010 has seal class A only

"ASHRAE 90.1-2010 is the current standard and is adopted by reference in the International Energy Conservation Code 2012. Either 90.1 or IECC are typically used as the basis for state, and local codes, and this process follows a natural update cycle that takes 3 to 6 years.

LEED 2009 currently references the 90.1-2007 standard.
LEED 2012 is in the process of being finalized and is expected to reference 90.1-2010.

## Goal: to Leave with a Path-forward Action Plan and...

- Create that Path-Forward Action Plan.
- Acquire a computerized static regain duct design program.
- Reach out to John Reints if you need help.



## Thank you!



## Questions?

Contact:
joecicciarelli@trane.com
John Reints jvrpellc@msn.com

