Building Air Tightness Requirements





Code Requirements for Commercial and Multifamily Building Air-Tightness Testing

> Presented by: Francisco Reina, CPHC, PHIUS+. Building Science Specialist













www.pieglobal.com

CONSULTING & ENGINEERING

©March 2015

Agenda

- Background on Airtightness
 - Code Requirement
 - Compliance Matrices
 - Air Flow Dynamics
- Materials, Assemblies, Systems

 Airtight Drywall Approach?
- Common Issues
 - Design
 - Construction
- Air Barrier Testing
 - Test Data & Reporting
- Diagnostics





City of Fort Collins Ordinance

ORDINANCE NO. 019, 2014, OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF FORT COLLINS AMENDING CHAPTER 5, ARTICLE II, DIVISION 2, OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF FORT COLLINS FOR THE PURPOSE OF REPEALING THE 2009 INTERNATIONAL ENERGY CONSERVATION CODE (IECC) AND ADOPTING THE 2012 INTERNATIONAL ENERGY CONSERVATION CODE, WITH AMENDMENTS

WHEREAS, since 1924, the City has reviewed, amended and adopted the latest

ORDINANCE NO. 039, 2014 OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF FORT COLLINS ESTABLISHING REGULATIONS FOR THE CULTIVATION OF MARIJUANA

WHEREAS, on November 6, 2012, Colorado voters approved an amendment to Article XVIII, Section 16 of the Colorado Constitution (Amendment 64), that legalizes, under Colorado law, the personal use, possession and limited cultivation of recreational marijuana for adults

(76) No marijuana cultivation activity shall result in the emission of any gas, vapors, odors, smoke, dust, heat or glare that is noticeable at or beyond the property line of the a single-family dwelling at which the cultivation occurs, or beyond any commonly-shared wall of a dwelling unit in a two-family, multi-family, or single-family attached dwelling at which the cultivation occurs.

City of Fort Collins Building Code Protocol for New Multifamily Building Air Tightness Testing (Effective August 1, 2014)

Application

This protocol can be used to meet the air barrier testing requirement for **any stacked multifamily building** built under the current City of Fort Collins amended residential or commercial I-Codes, replacing the whole building air barrier test. It **does not apply to attached-single-family dwellings** such as duplexes and townhomes.

Compliance Requirements


- Apartment exterior air barrier must be continuous and unbroken, separating the conditioned space of the building from the exterior and any unconditioned spaces or mechanical rooms within the building.
- Units must be compartmentalized to minimize uncontrolled pathways for smoke and other indoor air pollutants to transfer between units. Walls, ceilings and floors that separate each apartment from neighboring apartments, corridors, common space, trash chutes, utility chases, floors above and below, stairwells and elevator shafts must be air sealed by sealing all penetrations in walls, ceilings, floors and chases. Weather-strip all doors that lead to common hallways.
- It is highly recommended that the contractor provide access for the tester to visually inspect air barrier components as each building reaches pre-drywall stage, so as to help ensure units pass the tests.
- The maximum air leakage rate at 50 Pascal test pressure shall not exceed 0.30 CFM50/square foot of unit enclosure surface area (the total surface area of all walls, floors and ceiling).


Unit Sampling

- When the air barrier is completed, tester must select a minimum of 20% of the units in each building to test, including at least one of each unit type and approximately an equal number of units on each floor level.
- Each of these units must be tested and pass without a failure. If a failure occurs, items causing the failure
 must be diagnosed, corrected, and the unit must be re-tested until it passes. A minimum of at least two
 additional units of this type in the same building must also be tested and pass.

Why Air Barriers?

- Energy conservation
 - Reduce heating and cooling loads
 - EPACT 2005 / EISA 2007
 - 30% reduction over ASHRAE 90.1-2004 by 2012
 - Net zero by 2030 (Executive Order 13514)
- Mechanical System Sizing/Operation
 - Designing / Modeling Infiltration Loads
- Moisture and mold control
 - Water vapor transport via air movement
 - Very costly to remediate
 - Politically volatile
- Pollutant transport (IAQ)
 - Example: TEMF facilities Fumes
- Microclimate Conditioning
- Sound/Acoustics







Air Barrier Requirements

Allowable Leakage Rate = 0.30 cfm/ft² @ 50-Pascal

- Multifamily Protocol
- Based on Unit Surface Area
- Unit Envelope Area = 2,880ft²




Allowable Leakage = (0.30 cfm/ft²)(2,880 ft²) = 864 CFM



Air Barrier Requirements

Allowable Leakage Rate = 3ACH @ 50Pa

- Small Commercial Alternative
- Based on Volume
- ACH50 = CFM@50Pa x 60(min/hr) / Volume





6 sides of the box!


Allowable Leakage = 8,100 x 3ACH50 / 60(min/hr) = 405 CFM

ACH@50 vs. CFM/FT²@50

| ACH | 3 | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ALR (cfm/ft ²) | 0.3 | | | | |
| | | x (ft) | GSF (ft ²) | ACH ₅₀ (cfm) | CFM/Ft2 ₅₀ (cfm) |
| | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | T X | 1 | 1 | 0.05 | 1.8 |
| | | 2 | 4 | 0.4 | 7.2 |
| | | 3 | 9 | 1.35 | 16.2 |
| × | | 4 | 16 | 3 | 29 |
| | | 5 | 25 | 6 | 45 |
| V= . | x ³ | 6 | 36 | 11 | 65 |
| $A_s =$ | x^{3} $6x^{2}$ $\frac{60Q_{50}}{V}$ | 7 | 49 | 17 | 88 |
| 5 | 600 | 8 | 64 | 26 | 115 |
| ACH = | $\frac{00Q_{50}}{V}$ | 9 | 81 | 36 | 146 |
| | V | 10 | 100 | 50 | 180 |
| ALR = | Q_{50} | 11 | 121 | 67 | 218 |
| ALK - | A_{S} | 12 | 144 | 86 | 259 |









Air Leakage Needs:

1. Driving Force




2. Pathway



Sources of ΔP


There are 3 main sources of ΔP :



SULTING & ENGINEERING


Compartmentalized Air Leakage

- Reducing Driving Force and Pathway
- Infiltration & Ventilation Francisco & Palmiter 1994
- Replaces Whole Building Testing
- 20% of units one of each type & equally sampled on each floor
- Failure Retest until passing, plus additional two.
- Surface area location & percent of air leakage





• Big Picture



- Materials: 0.004 cfm/ft² @ 75 Pa
- Assemblies: 0
- 0.04 cfm/ft² @ 75 Pa
- Whole Bldg: Varies by Building Type



TING & ENG

- Self-adhering membranes
- Some Building Wraps
- Fluid-applied waterproofing / air barrier



©March 2015

- Precast & Cast-in-place
 Concrete
- Extruded Polystyrene (XPS) or Foil Faced Polyisocyanurate Rigid Insulation



• Polyethylene Film




- Glass & Metal (storefronts / curtain wall / fenestrations)
- <u>Fully adhered</u> roof membranes (TPO, EPDM, Modified, Built-up, etc.)
- Fully Adhered Butyl or Modified Asphalt Underlayments





- Pre-Engineered Metal Buildings?
- Spray foam (<u>closed cell</u>, <u>medium density</u>, 3.5" <u>thickness minimum</u>, with max 2" lifts)?






What about CMU?

- Uncoated concrete block has an air permeance of 0.4 to 1.2 cfm/ft² @ 75-Pa (<u>100-300 times</u> <u>the requirement of 0.004</u>)
- Fluid-applied air barrier coating, self-adhering membranes, spray foam or other air barrier materials should be used over CMU to qualify as an air barrier.
- What about fully grouted or painted (test results)?



What about vented roofs?


• Solution \rightarrow Design the plane of air tightness to be at the uppermost ceiling.






Airtight Drywall Approach to Compartmentalization?

Exterior / Interior Gypsum Sheathing (min. 5/8")



Detail Images: Building Science Corp









Airtight Drywall Approach to Compartmentalization?

Exterior / Interior Gypsum Sheathing (min. 5/8")



Airtight Drywall Approach to **Compartmentalization?** • Exterior / Interior Gypsum Sheathing (min.

Airtightness label



Detail Images: Building Science Corp

NSULTING & ENGINEERING

Airtight Drywall Approach to Compartmentalization?


- Connections Inflexible
- Not Continuous
- Is a Sacrificial Layer
- Doesn't Minimize the Number of Penetrations
- Is Not Vapor Intelligent (Exterior Condition.)



Typical Design Issues & Omissions

- Air barrier limits and area not on drawings
- Properly locating the air barrier limits
- Insufficient information in specifications or drawings
- Critical detailing areas
- Missing & impractical details (Constructability/Construction Sequencing)
- DOR omitted from any responsibility on MF Testing Protocol.

Detailing Common Walls



Unit Pathways – Metal Framed & Concrete Decks

- Top Wall Plates at Attic Interfaces
- Discontinuities at Common Walls
- Deck Flutes?
- Through-Floor Penetrations











©March 2015

Unit Pathways – Metal Framed & Concrete Decks





- Wall Penetrations
- Plumbing / Electrical / Mechanical?
- Through-Floor Penetrations





Unit Pathways – Wood Framed & Wood Decks

- Vented Attics
- Shed Roofs
- Partition Wall Interface to Attic











DIC CONSULTING & ENGINEERING

Unit Pathways – Wood Framed & Wood Decks

- Cantilevers
- Soffits
- Bottom of Wall









Buildings Tested in "Closed" Condition ASTM E 1827





Units Tested in "Closed" Condition Mortgage Industry National HERS Standards, Item 802.2







www.pieglobal.com

©March 2015

Air Barrier Testing





- Testing per Mortgage Industry National HERS Standards, Section 802
- Multipoint recording (8 points between 60Pa – 15Pa) (802.4 & 802.6)
- One direction (positive or negative pressure)
- Software Compliant with ASTM E 779-10, Section 9
- Equipment Calibration (802.9)



CONSULTING & ENGINEERING

Test Data & Reporting

Test Report Submittal Requirement (to the Building Department)

- Building information including address and unit type data: enclosure surface area of each unit type, and the #
 and type of each unit per building that were tested.
- Testing results summarized in table reporting:
 - Building and unit numbers.
 - Unit results including: corrected CFM50, percent uncertainty at 95% confidence level, CFM50/sf unit enclosure area, mechanical compartment pressure WRT unit core, each test pass/fail result.
- Tester information: name, company, certification, signature and date

Air Barrier Performance Testing and Diagnostic Eva

Test reports shall be subr

roject Name, Fort Collins, CO Occupancy.

| Bui | ilding Air Leaka | ige Test Resu | lts | | | |
|------------------------|--|--|--|--|-------|-------------------------------|
| <mark>Uni</mark> Me | | Require | Requirement | | | Requirement Met/Not Met |
| Ind | uced Pressure Ty | ne Posi | Positive or Negative | | | Met/Not Met |
| Sur | face Area -Ft ² | 1051 | N/A | | | N/A |
| | it Type | | N/A | | | N/A |
| | M@50Pa Corrected | d | N/A | | | N/A |
| CFN | M@50/sq ft | Actual ≤ | Actual ≤ 0.30 CFM50 / sq ft | | | |
| | 6 C.I. Upper | | ≤ 5% | | | |
| | 6 C.I. Lower | | ≤ 5% | | | |
| | ch. Room Isolatio | n? | Delta-P | | | N/A |
| EqLA75 | | | N/A | | | N/A |
| | | CFM50/sq ft CFM50/sq ft | 50/sq ft | - | | |
| | | | 50/sq ft | - | | |
| | | CFM50/sq ft | | 4 | | |
| | | | <mark>50/sq ft</mark> | | | |
| 1 | Record and was | s checked on-si | s obtained from the ite for reasonableness. | | YES/N | 10 |
| 2 | Record and was Building prep- according to se HERS Standard | s checked on-si aration for e ection 802.2 o ls (MINHS). | s obtained from the ite for reasonableness. nclosure testing wa f the Mortgage Indu: | s performed stry National | YES/N | IO Initial |
| 2 | Record and was Building prep according to se HERS Standard Test equipment and calibration | s checked on-si aration for e ection 802.2 o ls (MINHS). t used was in c date to MINHS | s obtained from the te for reasonableness. nclosure testing wa f the Mortgage Indu: ompliance with respec 5 802.9. | s performed stry National ct to accuracy | YES/N | |
| 2 | Record and was Building prep according to se HERS Standard Test equipment and calibration | s checked on-si aration for e ection 802.2 o ls (MINHS). t used was in c date to MINH dure used was | s obtained from the ite for reasonableness. nclosure testing wa f the Mortgage Indu: ompliance with respe- 5 802.9. in compliance with 1 | s performed stry National ct to accuracy | YES/N | Initial |
| 2 3 4 | Record and was Building prep according to sy HERS Standard Test equipment and calibration The test procec and 802.6, excep | s checked on-si aration for e ection 802.2 o ls (MINHS). t used was in c date to MINH dure used was pt as noted her | s obtained from the te for reasonableness. nclosure testing wa f the Mortgage Indu: ompliance with respec 5 802.9. in compliance with 1 e. | s performed stry National ct to accuracy MINHS 802.4 | YES/N | Initial |
| 2 3 4 5 | Record and was Building prep according to s HERS Standard Test equipment and calibration The test procee and 802.6, excep ———————————————————————————————————— | s checked on-si aration for e ection 802.2 o to (MINHS). t used was in c date to MINH dure used was pt as noted her s were perform as noted in this | s obtained from the tie for reasonableness. nclosure testing wa f the Mortgage Indu: ompliance with respec 5 802.9. in compliance with i e. | s performed stry National ct to accuracy MINH5 802.4 | YES/N | Initial |
| 2 3 4 | Record and was Building prep according to s HERS Standard Test equipment and calibration The test procee and 802.6, excep ———————————————————————————————————— | s checked on-si aration for e ection 802.2 o ls (MINHS). t used was in c date to MINHF dure used was pt as noted her s were perform as noted in this umentation de | s obtained from the te for reasonableness. nclosure testing wa f the Mortgage Indu ompliance with respe- \$802.9. in compliance with 1 e. | s performed stry National ct to accuracy MINHS 802.4 e with ASTM is attached to | YES/N | Initial Initial Initial |

Pie Consulting & Engineering


Failure to Plan, is a Plan to ...





Air Tightness Testing Diagnostics

• ASTM E1186: Standard Practices for Air Leakage Site Detection in Building Envelopes and Air Barrier Systems











Question & Answer

Francisco Reina freina@pieglobal.com









©March 2015