

## **Historic Preservation Services**

**Community Development & Neighborhood Services** 281 North College Avenue P.O. Box 580 Fort Collins, CO 80522.0580

970.416.4250 preservation@fcgov.com fcgov.com/historicpreservation

#### REPORT OF ALTERATIONS TO DESIGNATED RESOURCE Site Number/Address: 225 Whedbee Street Laurel School National Register Historic District ISSUED: June 17, 2021

Daniel & Season Baker 225 Whedbee Street Fort Collins, CO 80524

Dear Property Owners:

This report is to document proposed alterations to the G.W. Brooks House/Chismar Residence at 225 Whedbee Street, pursuant to Fort Collins Municipal Code Chapter 14, <u>Article IV</u>. A copy of this report may be forwarded to the Colorado Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation.

The alterations include:

• Construction of a 630-ft<sup>2</sup> addition onto the rear of the main historic house.

Our staff review of the proposed work finds the alterations do not meet the <u>SOI Standards for</u> <u>Treatment of Historic Properties</u>, although many project components do well. A summary is provided below:

Applicabl e Code Standard	Summary of Code Requirement and Analysis (Rehabilitation)	Standar d Met (Y/N)
SOI #1	A property will be used as it was historically or be given a new use that requires minimal change to its distinctive materials, features, spaces, and spatial relationships;	Y
	The property will remain in residential use.	
SOI #2	The historic character of a property will be retained and preserved. The removal of distinctive materials or alteration of features, spaces, and spatial relationships that characterize a property will be avoided.	Y
	This property has evolved over time. By 1906, the first year Sanborn fire insurance maps are available for this area, there was a frame gable-ell residence on the lot. By 1909, the insurance map series shows a new brick dwelling of 1.5 stories, the present building, with the gable-ell building moved behind it as an accessory building at 225 ½ Whedbee Street. A Garage had also	



•	1902-1904 – G.W. & Alta Brooks – G.W. was a laborer	
	and later worker at the Colorado Agricultural College	
	(now CSU).	
•	1908-1909 (two dwellings now on the site)	
	<ul> <li>Bernard &amp; Georgia Cowles</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Lewis A. &amp; Flora Clark – Lewis was a laborer</li> </ul>	
•	1909-1910	
	• C.A. Chenowith – primary owner, presumably	
	resident in new brick house	
	• Arthur Merrifield, laborer for Great Western	
	Sugar	
	• Charles Merrifield, waiter	
•	1910-1911	
	• Brick residence:	
	<ul> <li>Eleanor Fowler (widow of Reuben)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Roland &amp; Roxie Hall, Roland was a USPS</li> </ul>	
	postal carrier	
	• Frame residence:	
	<ul> <li>Arthur E. Vroman, laborer</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Charles H. Broman, laborer</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Robert L. Broman, messenger</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Mrs. Lottie Vroman</li> </ul>	
•	1913-1914	
	• L.M. & Evelyn D. Carsrud, L.M. was a clerk, main	
	house	
	• Lemuel & Mabel Wisdom, Lemuel was a salesman,	
	frame residence	
•	1917 Device because	
	<ul> <li>Brick house</li> <li>Lemuel &amp; Mabel Wisdom: Lemuel is now</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Lemuel &amp; Mabel Wisdom; Lemuel is now working for the Great Western Sugar</li> </ul>	
	5 5	
	company. • Frame house	
	<ul> <li>Hanne house</li> <li>Henry &amp; Eva Less</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Harry C. &amp; Izetta Dunkel/Donkel, Harry</li> </ul>	
	was a laborer for Great Western Sugar	
•	1919	
	• John R. & Birdella White, John is a carpenter	
	• Lemuel & Mabel Wisdom, Lemuel is still at Great	
	Western Sugar as a warehouse worker	
•	1922	
	• William & Anna Graefe, William was a grocer at	
	the store at 148 W. Mountain Avenue.	
•	1925	
	• Howard & Nellie Rathburn, Howard is a laborer	
	• Blanche Rathburn	
	<ul> <li>John R. &amp; Birdella White, John is a carpenter</li> </ul>	

- 1927-1940 John R. & Birdella White, John is now a salesman at the Ideal Furniture Company
  - From 1931-1934, Kenneth White, a carwasher at Miller Super Service, is also listed here
  - 1936 Clyde & Ola Marpe are living here with the Whites, Clyde was a carpenter
  - 1938 Smith West is a tenant

Its character-defining features include(d) its one-story brick construction with a front-gabled, gable-on-hip roof with decorative shingles in the gable end. First floor windows were wood, one-over-one sash windows with arched brick lintels and stone sills. Upper floor windows were wood sash one-over-one windows as well. The front porch was also a defining feature, being a simple, front-gabled roof with turned spindle posts and tongue-and-groove wood decking. Most of these characterdefining features remain, except the porch which was removed and reconstructed in 2017 – the new porch is similar to the historic but has concrete flooring and squared porch beams.



Photo: c.1994 Historic Survey photo; FCMOD.

The addition is being made to the rear of the property with minimal demolition of historic material and little/no alteration to the front and side walls or roof of the property. The key features of the historic building are being retained as part of the project, including most of the rear brick wall plane.

Y

**SOI #3** *Each property will be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, will not be undertaken.* 

	The massing and cladding of the addition will clearly delineate it from the historic portion of the building.	
SOI #4	Changes to a property that have acquired historic significance in their own right will be retained and preserved.	Y
	While demolition of some features on the rear are proposed for demolition, none of them reflect significant changes in the use of social habits of occupants during the historic period of the historic district (c.1870s-1940) and are not character-defining features. Here is a short history of alterations to the property:	
	<ul> <li>1919 – construction of a frame garage and chicken house on a stone foundation with a wood shingle roof</li> <li>1938 – reroof south side with wood shingles</li> <li>1945 – roof repair</li> <li>1953 – reroof with asphalt shingles</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>1977 - reroof</li> <li>1979 - reroof</li> <li>2010 - reroof</li> <li>2017 - removal of existing wood front porch and replacement with concrete porch, including new piers, removal of front center column, addition of reinforcing beams and rafters.</li> <li>2020 - reroof</li> </ul>	
SOI #5	Distinctive materials, features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a property will be preserved.	Y
	Most of the visible character-defining features and materials will remain visible and intact. On the rear, most of the brick rear wall will remain intact. The shingle-cladding in the gable end may be removed (plans unclear, but seems likely based on addition attachment). Preserving the shingling in-situ inside the wall cavity would be ideal so it could be re-revealed in the future if the addition is every removed (see Standard 10). Otherwise, this Standard appears met.	
SOI #6	Deteriorated historic features will be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature will match the old in design, color, texture, and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features will be substantiated by documentary and physical evidence.	N/A
	No significant removal of historic material or features are proposed. As noted, preservation of the rear shingling in the gable end is recommended.	

SOI #7	Chemical or physical treatments, if appropriate, will be undertaken using the gentlest means possible. Treatments that cause damage to historic materials will not be used.	N/A
SOI #8	Archeological resources will be protected and preserved in place. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures will be undertaken.	N/A
	Plans do not indicate any significant excavation, such as a finished basement.	
SOI #9	New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shallnot destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The newwork shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatiblewith the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect thehistoric integrity of the property and its environment.	N
	Additions onto historic buildings typically need to be compatible with, distinguishable from, and subordinate to the historic building. The proposed addition meets the distinguishability	
	requirement, but does not completely meet the compatibility or	
	subordinate requirements.	
	<ul> <li><u>Compatibility</u> – The proposed addition is placed on the man of the bittoria building, is relatively small in</li> </ul>	
	rear of the historic building, is relatively small in footprint, and matches the roof pitch of the historic house	
	and is using sympathetic materials (stucco wall cladding)	
	to remain consistent with the overall character of the	
	property and the historic district. However, the addition	
	projects above the historic roofline and out to the south	
	side, which is not consistent with this factor for this	
	Standard. This will noticeably disrupt the historic	
	character of the property in a way that is not consistent	
	with the historic development patterns of the Laurel School Historic District.	
	<ul> <li><u>Distinguishability</u> – The addition scores well under this</li> </ul>	
	category, using a separated wall plane and stucco cladding	
	to contrast the addition from the brick and shingle	
	historic building.	
	• <u>Subordinate</u> – The addition is mixed as to whether it	
	meets this factor of the Standard. It is located on the rear	
	of the property, and is small in footprint. However,	
	additions on historic buildings typically set <i>in</i> their	
	exterior wall planes from the historic building's exterior walls. This project will set the addition's south wall plane	
	<i>out.</i> Furthermore, rooflines of additions are typically at or	
	below the historic roofline when meeting this Standard,	
	and this addition will be above.	

	For these reasons, while certain elements of the proposed addition meet this Standard, overall, it does not comply with this Standard.	
SOI #10	New additions and adjacent or related new construction will be undertaken in such a manner that, if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.	Y
	As noted above demolition of the historic building is comparatively limited in order to attach the addition, limited to some demolition at the southwest corner to allow for passage between the two spaces. Overall, it appears that the project could be easily reversed without damaging the historic building or requiring significant reconstruction, and meets this Standard.	

While the project does not meet the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation*, the property is expected to remain contributing to the Laurel School Historic District. However, staff would note that the combination of the new addition and replacement of the historic front porch in 2017 have resulted in significant loss of historic materials, features, and overall character for this property. Further losses of historic integrity or alterations will likely result in the property losing its contributing status, and as a result, losing its access to local and state <u>financial</u> incentives for historic preservation.

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact me. I may be reached at <u>jbertolini@fcgov.com</u> or at 970-416-4250.

Sincerely,

Jim Bertolini Historic Preservation Planner



## Design Review Application Historic Preservation Division

*Fill this form out for all applications regarding designated historic buildings within the city limits of the City of Fort Collins. Review is required for these properties under Chapter 14, <u>Article IV</u> of the Fort Collins Municipal Code.* 

#### **Applicant Information**

Daniel W. Baker	970-556-1961	Sa	ame
Applicant's Name	Daytime Phone	Ev	ening Phone
225 Whedbee St.		CO	80524
Mailing Address (for receiving application-related correspondence)		State	Zip Code
dantheboatman@gmail.com			
Email			
<b>Property Information</b> (put N/A if owner is applicant)			
same as above (homeowner)			
Owner's Name	Daytime Phone	E	Evening Phone
Mailing Address (for receiving application-related correspondence)		State	Zip Code
Freedu			

#### Email

#### **Project Description**

Provide an overview of your project. Summarize work elements, schedule of completion, and other information as necessary to explain your project.

We propose to add a 630 sq ft addition to the back of our house. This work is proposed to be accomplished between July 2021 and January 2022.

#### The following attachments are REQUIRED:

- Complete Application for Design Review
- Detailed Scope of Work (and project plans, if available)
- Color photos of existing conditions

Reminders: Complete application would need all of checklist items as well as both pages of this document.

Detailed scope of work should include measurements of existing and proposed.

*Please note: if the proposal includes partial or full demolition of an existing building or structure, a separate demolition application will need to be approved.* 

Additional documentation may be required to adequately depict the project, such as plans, elevations, window study, or mortar analysis. If there is insufficient documentation on the property, the applicant may be required to submit an intensive-level survey form (at the applicant's expense).

## Detail of Proposed Rehabilitation Work (\*Required)

If your project includes multiple features (e.g. roof repair and foundation repair), you must describe each feature separately and provide photographs and other information on each feature.

Feature A Name:	
Describe property feature and its condition: Rear addition	Describe proposed work on feature: Our current home is a painted brick structure of 1650 sq ft with 4 bedrooms for our family of 5. Our kitchen is 9 x 12 ft. We propose to add a 630 sq ft addition to the back of the house which will also include a 350 sq ft unfinished room in in the attic space. As shown in the attached plans, the ground floor of the addition will be a new kitchen and family room. Additionally, we will add a 10 ft deep covered porch across the full back of the house. We feel that this addition adds the space we need to our home while matching the historic character of our 121-year-old home in the following ways: 1. Matching the roof pitch of the existing home with vertical and horizontal articulation given that the addition is wider than the existing home. 2. The full width back porch provides needed backyard shade while also breaking up the vertical west face of the addition. 3. The addition, while aesthetically complimenting the form of the existing house, will be easily discernible from the existing house as it will be made of different materials and also have different exterior siding (old=painted brick, addition=stucco) with matching paint color (light green). 4. The addition will only be marginally visible from the front of the house on the south side and the back face of the addition will have a similar character as our existing home.
Feature B Name:	
Describe property feature and its condition: Sunroom alteration	Describe proposed work on feature: According to the former homeowner, the mudroom on the SW corner of the existing house was a covered porch which was then enclosed into an unheated room. It was likely finished after the 1980's given the window style and textured drywall. We plan to continue to use the space as an unheateed mudroom but will relocate and replace the windows and doors to work with our new addition. The current plan is to leave the exterior roof entact and change the siding to match that of the addition.

Use Additional Worksheets as needed.

## **Required Additional information**

The following items must be submitted with this completed application. Digital submittals preferred for photographs, and for other items where possible.

- At least one current photo for each side of the house. Photo files or prints shall be named/labeled with applicant name and elevation. For example, smitheast.jpg, smithwest.jpg, etc. If submitted as prints, photos shall be labeled
- Photos for each feature as described in the section "Detail of Proposed Rehabilitation Work". Photo files or prints shall be named or labeled with applicant name and feature letter. For example, smitha1.jpg, smitha2.jpg, smithb.jpg, smithc.jpg, etc.

Depending on the nature of the project, one or more of the following items shall be submitted. Your contractor should provide these items to you for attachment to this loan application.

- Drawing with dimensions.
- Product specification sheet(s).
- Description of materials included in the proposed work.
- Color sample(s) or chip(s) of all proposed paint colors. Behr paint color: Celery Ice

#### □ Partial or full demolition is a part of this project.

Partial demolition could include scopes such as taking off existing rear porches to create space for a new addition or removing an existing wall or demolishing a roof. If you are taking away pieces of the existing residence, you are likely undergoing some partial demolition.

IW.B/

Signature of Applicant

6/2/2021

Date



## SHEET INDEX

ARCHITECTURAL A1.02 A1.11 A1.21 A2.21 A6.11

SITE PLANS EXISTING + DEMO PLANS NEW FLOOR PLANS + CEILING PLANS ELEVATIONS SCHEDULES

## PROJECT TEAM



# BAKER RESIDENCE 225 WHEDBEE, FORT COLLINS COLORADO 80524





## A1.02

1" = 10'-0"



 1
 NEW - SITE PLAN

 A1.02
 1" = 10'-0"

























## SYSTEM NOTES

INTERIOR WALLS

W2 2 X 4 INTERIOR WALLS

W3 EXTERIOR WALLS

INTERIOR NOTES

1. LEVEL OF FINISH ON DRYWALL TO MATCH EXISTING.

2. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO FACE OF EXISTING WALLS OR STUDS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION. 3. PROVIDE BLOCKING AS REQUIRED WITHIN ALL WALLS WITH CABINETRY.





Issued For Planning: Issued For Construction:

A1.21

Revision Number

Revision Date

















 7
 KITCHEN - ISLAND

 A2.21
 1/4" = 1'-0"





















review pantry layout and refrigerator layout with owner.



 8
 KITCHEN - EAST

 A2.21
 1/4" = 1'-0"





									DOOR		RAME S	CHEDULE			
				DOOR					FR/	AME			FIRE		
	WID <sup>-</sup>	TH										HARDWARE	RATING		
NUMBER	LEAF 1	LEAF 2	HEIGHT	THICKNESS	TYPE	MATERIAL	FINISH	MATERIAL	FINISH	TYPE	THROAT	SET	(MIN.)	GLASS TYPE	
01	2' - 8"		6' - 8"	0' - 1 3/4"	F						5"				
02	2' - 8"		6' - 8"	0' - 1 3/4"	F						9"				
03	3' - 0"	3' - 0"	7' - 0"	0' - 1 3/4"	FG						9"				
04	2' - 6"		6' - 0"	0' - 1 3/4"	F						5"				
05	2' - 4"		6' - 8"	0' - 1 1/2"											

MARK	

NO. WD-1

## WINDOW SCHEDULE

	R	.0.									GLAZI	NG	
<	WIDTH	HEIGHT	TYPE	MANUFACTURER	MODEL	MATERIAL	FINISH	HEAD	JAMB	SILL	THICKNESS	TYPE	
								-					
	3' - 4"	5' - 5"											
	1' - 7"	4' - 5"	Fixed										

		FINISHES	
MATERIAL	MANUFACTURER	PRODUCT NAME / #	COLOR NAME / #
WOOD FLOORING	WHITE OAK	TO MATCH EXISTING	TO MATCH EXISTING

COMMENTS
----------



REMARKS	



A6.11

ESIGN

824 Colorado Street Fort Collins, Colorado 80524

## 225 WHEDBEE, FORT COLLINS, COLORADO



**NORTH ELEVATION** 1/8" = 1'-0"



**SOUTH ELEVATION** 1/8" = 1'-0"



UPPER FLOOR EL. = 110' - 9 1/4"

## 225 WHEDBEE, FORT COLLINS, COLORADO





**MUD ROOM SECTION** 1/8" = 1'-0"













