

Historic Preservation Services

Community Development & Neighborhood Services 281 North College Avenue P.O. Box 580 Fort Collins, CO 80522.0580

970.416.4250 preservation@fcgov.com fcgov.com/historicpreservation

REPORT OF ALTERATIONS TO DESIGNATED RESOURCE Site Number/Address: 336 E. Magnolia Street Laurel School National Register Historic District ISSUED: April 21, 2021

Ian Danielson 336 E. Magnolia Street Fort Collins, CO 80524

Dear Mr. Danielson:

This report is to document the summary of effects from proposed alterations to the Chris Horst House at 336 East Magnolia Street, pursuant to Fort Collins Municipal Code Chapter 14, <u>Article IV</u>, made by the Landmark Preservation Commission at their April 21, 2021 meeting. A copy of this report may be forwarded to the Colorado Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation.

More specifically, the Commission commented on the following work items:

- 1. Two-story addition onto the building's north/rear elevation, including necessary demolition. Addition includes the modification of the historic front-gable into a gable-on-hip configuration, the addition of decorative shingling in the gable ends, and a rear second-story deck with a spiral stair.
- 2. Demolition of the existing front-gabled porch and replacement with a full-width, partially covered porch with a hipped roof.

Applicable Code Standard	Summary of Code Requirement and Analysis (Rehabilitation)	Standard Met (Y/N)
SOI #1	A property will be used as it was historically or be given a new use that requires minimal change to its distinctive materials, features, spaces, and spatial relationships; The property will remain in residential use.	Y
SOI #2	The historic character of a property will be retained and preserved. The removal of distinctive materials or alteration of features, spaces, and spatial relationships that characterize a property will be avoided.	N
	The building currently on the site at 336 E. Magnolia is the second residence to be constructed on the site. A similar, small, one-story dwelling was on the site as late as 1917, known in records as the Brown Thompson House. This is in reference to	

Brown and Jessie Thompson, the couple who appears in the 1902 city directory as the first occupants of the house (Brown was listed as a laborer). However, by 1925, the lot was vacant with only a shed at the rear of the lot.

The existing structure was built in 1938 for owner Chris Horst and his wife Mary by builder H.A. Deiner. Horst was a shoe repairman. By 1948, Ella/Amy L. Lamb, a widow, lived on the property by herself and remained there into the 1950s

The property is a Minimal Traditional type home typical of the small number of homes constructed in Fort Collins during the Great Depression. It has a simple front-gabled configuration with a small, front-gabled porch cover over the entry. Ornamental details are few, and siding is a simple lapboard with basic one-over-one wood windows.

- 1. Two-story addition onto the building's north/rear elevation, including necessary demolition. Addition includes the modification of the historic front-gable into a gable-on-hip configuration, the addition of decorative shingling in the gable ends, and a rear second-story deck with a spiral stair.
 - Like most Minimal Traditional dwellings, the • property is defined by its simple, one-story configuration and lack of ornamentation. The twostory addition is not compatible with the overall character of the property due to its incompatible size and massing, the introduction of the gable-on-hip configuration on the historic front gable, and the addition of decorative features like shingling in the gable ends that were rare on Minimal Traditional homes and not used on this property. The Standards would call for a single-story addition compatible with the historic massing and scale of the house, the use of basement-level excavation to capture more space if needed, and avoiding conjectural decorative features not characteristic of this type of home such as the decorative shingling or exterior spiral stair. This item does not meet this Standard.
- 2. Demolition of the existing front-gabled porch and replacement with a full-width, partially covered porch with a hipped roof.
 - Where they were built on Minimal Traditional homes, porches like that existing on this property were typically designed in the manner present, simple and front-gabled. While the proposed expansion of the porch deck beyond the porch cover is likely acceptable under the Standards, the demolition of the

	existing porch framing and replacement with a hipped roof cover does not meet this Standard.			
SOI #3	 Each property will be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, will not be undertaken. Two-story addition onto the building's north/rear elevation, including necessary demolition. Addition includes the modification of the historic front-gable into a gable-on-hip configuration, the addition of decorative shingling in the gable ends, and a rear second-story deck with a spiral stair. The addition of features such as the decorative gabling create a false sense of history, suggesting this property was built during the late Victorian era as opposed to its 1938 Depression-era construction. The addition of an exterior spiral staircase on a residential property is not only highly irregular for historic properties in the United States, but is inappropriate considering that Minimal Traditional homes lacked ornamentation. This item does not meet this Standard. Demolition of the existing front-gabled porch and replacement with a full-width, partially covered porch with a hipped roof. Although demolition of historic features does not meet other Standards, the design of the new porch does not appear to create a false sense of history, although it 			
	porches built onto Minimal Traditional homes in the 1930s and 1940s, and modification of this feature appears unnecessary considering the scope of the project.			
SOI #4	 Changes to a property that have acquired historic significance in their own right will be retained and preserved. Permit records indicate the following history of alterations: 1938 – construction of the existing home as a four bedroom 	N/A		
	 house, including the following details: <i>"Four room residence of frame, 24x32, cement foundation, full basement, front porch, paint exterior, wood shingle roof, fir floors: floor joist 2x8 oc 16, rafters 2x, studding 2x4 oc 16, roof sheathing 1x8, paper and lath, ceiling joists 2x4, double plates and headers."</i> 1992 – rear addition to building 2011 – roof replacement (asphalt shingle) 			

	The property appears to have undergone very few changes since construction in 1938, until the construction of an addition onto the rear of the property in 1992. The addition is not historic, but is sensitively designed in relation to the property's historic character. This Standard does not appear to apply.	
SOI #5	Distinctive materials, features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a property will be preserved.	N
	 Two-story addition onto the building's north/rear elevation, including necessary demolition. Addition includes the modification of the historic front-gable into a gable-on-hip configuration, the addition of decorative shingling in the gable ends, and a rear second-story deck with a spiral stair. While this Standard generally does not apply to this work item, the simple-front gabled nature of the property is arguably a distinctive feature and is being disrupted by the addition of two-stories onto the rear 	
	 of the one-story home. 2. Demolition of the existing front-gabled porch and replacement with a full-width, partially covered porch with a hipped roof. The demolition of the small historic, gable front porch and its replacement with a hipped-roof feature is not appropriate for this type of historic residence. Historic porches, simple or ornate, typically are character-defining features and should be retained rather than replaced. This item does not meet this Standard. 	
SOI #6	Deteriorated historic features will be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature will match the old in design, color, texture, and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features will be substantiated by documentary and physical evidence.	N
	 Two-story addition onto the building's north/rear elevation, including necessary demolition. Addition includes the modification of the historic front-gable into a gable-on-hip configuration, the addition of decorative shingling in the gable ends, and a rear second-story deck with a spiral stair. This Standard does not appear to apply to this work item. 	
	 2. Demolition of the existing front-gabled porch and replacement with a full-width, partially covered porch with a hipped roof. The front porch does not appear to be in a state of disrepair to warrant replacement, and even if its 	

	condition had deteriorated, the replacement does not match it in design or texture. The existing porch would need to be retained with its current roof configuration. This item does not meet this Standard.	
SOI #7	Chemical or physical treatments, if appropriate, will be undertaken using the gentlest means possible. Treatments that cause damage to historic materials will not be used.	N/A
SOI #8	Archeological resources will be protected and preserved in place. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures will be undertaken.	N/A
	Based on the already-disturbed nature of the property, the likelihood of significant archaeological discoveries being made during the project is low.	
SOI #9	 New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment. 1. Two-story addition onto the building's north/rear elevation, including necessary demolition. Addition includes the modification of the historic front-gable into a gable-on-hip configuration, the addition of decorative shingling in the gable ends, and a rear second-story deck with a spiral stair. The construction of the rear addition will result in a significant amount of demolition of historic material, in particular the rear two-thirds of the roof framing and an undetermined amount of historic siding and trim features. The addition is not differentiated from the old and is not compatible with the one-story massing, size, and scale of the historic property. This Standard would call for a single-story addition and/or a basement level project in order to be compatible, distinguishable, reversible, and subordinate to the historic property. This would also allow for a differentiation in the cladding materials between the historic and non-historic portions of the house. The 1992 addition provides a good example of a compatible, distinguishable, and subordinate addition to the property. This item does not meet this Standard. Demolition of the existing front-gabled porch and replacement with a full-width, partially covered porch with a hipped roof. This alteration disrupts the primary elevation of the 	Ν
	with a full-width, partially covered porch with a hipped roof.	

	should be retained as constructed. This item does not meet this Standard.	
SOI #10	New additions and adjacent or related new construction will be undertaken in such a manner that, if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.	N
	 Two-story addition onto the building's north/rear elevation, including necessary demolition. Addition includes the modification of the historic front-gable into a gable-on-hip configuration, the addition of decorative shingling in the gable ends, and a rear second-story deck with a spiral stair. Due to the level of demolition necessary to construct the addition, this project is not reversible and will result in permanent damage to the historic integrity of this property. For this reason, additions onto, rather than overtop of, side or rear elevations are recommended under the Standards, similar to the existing 1992 addition on this property. This item does not meet this Standard. Demolition of the existing front-gabled porch and replacement with a full-width, partially covered porch with a hipped roof. While demolition of historic features is not recommended, the porch could be reconstructed to return the feature to its historic, front-gabled form. 	

The Commission found that the proposed work, overall, does not meet the criteria and standards in Chapter 14, <u>Article IV</u> of the Fort Collins Municipal Code. Notice of the completion of this report has been forwarded to building and zoning staff to facilitate the processing of any permits that are needed for the work.

The Commission also finds that as a result of the project, the building will likely no longer qualify as an historic resource due to significant disruption of the property's character-defining features as a Minimal Traditional residence and a late, Great Depression-era addition to the residential neighborhood south and east of downtown Fort Collins. The loss of contributing status will directly result in the loss of access to State and Federal Historic Tax Credits.

Please note that all ensuing work must conform to the approved plans. Any non-conforming alterations are subject to stop-work orders, denial of Certificate of Occupancy, and restoration requirements and penalties.

If you have any questions regarding this report, or if we may be of any assistance, please do not hesitate to contact staff at (970) 416-4250 or <u>preservation@fcgov.com</u>.

Sincerely,

Meg Dunn, Chair Landmark Preservation Commission



GENERAL NOTES AND SPECIFICATIONS

PROJECT SCOPE: THESE STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS MAY BE USED TO CONSTRUCT ONLY 336 EAST MAGNOLA STREET, FORT COLLINS, COLORADO.

BUILDING CODE: THIS DESIGN IS BASED ON THE INTERNATIONAL RESIDENTIAL CODE (2018 EDITION), ALL WORK SHALL BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS CODE AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE OSTA REGULATIONS.

3.	DESIGN LOA	ws:		
	ROOF:	GROUND SNOW LOAD	2	30 PSF
		ROOF SNOW LOAD	=	30 PSF
		DEAD LOAD	2	20 PSF
	FLOOR:	LIVE LOAD	- 4	40 PSF

DEAD LOAD = 40 PSF WIND = 140 MPH; EXP. B; SEISMIC DESIGN CATEGORY B. FROST DEPTH SHALL BE 30"

4. COORDINATION: THE STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS ARE TO BE USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ARCHITECTURAL, ELEDTRICAL, MECHANICAL, PLUMBING AND SITE DRAWINGS. THE CONTINUETOR SHALL CHECK AND VERRY ALL DIMENSIONS SHOWN ON THIS PLAN PROOF TO CONCETE PLUCABLET, CONFLICTS IN DIMENSION NOW INTERFERENCE SHULL BE DIRECTOR TO THE ARCHITECT FROM TO CONSTRUCTION.

- 5. Foundations: A this foundation design is based on assumed conditions: Mokulum Berring: Capacity = 1500 PSF. DL + LL Active Equivalent found ressure = 45 Por B. The Foundation Economics Hall be observed by the geotechnical engineer of F

- B. International Elements Structure Descentions of the collectional environment of the collection o

6. STRUCTURAL MATERIALS:

CONCRETE:	
A. 28-DAY COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH	I FOR CONCRETE SHALL BE AS FOLLOWS:
SLAB-ON-GROUND	3500 PSI
FOUNDATION	3000 PSI

в.	MAXIMUM CONCRETE SLUMP	SHALL BE AS	
	FOUNDATION WALLS AND FO	DTINGS	4" MAX
	SLABS		4" MAX
	PIERS		6" MAX

FOUNDATION	WALLS	AND	FOOTINGS	
SLABS				

- SUBSE SUBSE C. ARE DREMARDET SHALL BE AS FOLLOWS: ALL CONCRETE EXPOSED TO THE EXTERIOR 5-7% CONCRETE EXPOSED TO THE EXTERIOR 5-7% E PLCED IN ACCOREMNCE WITH AD RECOMMENDATIONS INCLIDENC MINIMUM LAPS, SPACINGS MID CORREN DIRES.
- WOOD: DAMESSAME, LUMBER- HEM-FR: NO. 2 OF BETTER: TB-650 FPS: N-150 FPS; E-1300 KSL PL: LUMBER WOM-FRM FWE NO. 2 OF BETTER: FB-750 FPS: N-175 FPS; E-1400 KSL H-005TS SWLL BE AS MWARKINGED BY THISS JOST OF AN APPROPED SUBSTITUTE LOSTS SWLL BE CATALLED BY THE JOST SUPPER NULLION BERDORA, BLOCKING AND WEB FILLER DETALS AND SWLL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURES BYFORMARDINGS.
- FILLED BETAILS AND SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE WAR/FC/UREPS RECOMPLICATION INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE WAR/FC/UREPS INSTALLED PER WAR/FC/UREP ASSEMENT FEDUREDINTS. ALL MOOD IN CONTROL WAR/FC/UREP ASSEMENT FEDUREDINTS. TEXTED (F1) IN ACCORDANCE WITH AC SECTION ASJ7. ALL PRESENTINGE TEXTED UREPS (F1) IN ACCORDANCE WITH AC SECTION ASJ7. ALL PRESENTINGE TEXTED CONTECTORS USED IN CONTROL WITH IN SCIENCE OF CONTENT ACCORDANCE WITH THE WAR/FC/UREPS RECOMMENDATIONS. FASTENERS USED IN P.1. WOOD SHALL CONTORM WITH INC SECTION R317.3.1.

EXISTING CONDITIONS: THE EXISTING CONDITIONS DEPICTED ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE BASED ON THE BEST AVAILABLE INFORMATION AND SHALL BE VERIFIED BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION

EXTERIOR GRADE: SLOPE PERIMETER GRADE AWAY FROM BUILDINGS AS RECOMMENDED IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT.

EXTERIOR WALL STUDS: 2x6's @ 16" O.C. ROOF, WALL & FLOOR SHEATHING SHALL BE APA TRADEMARKED EXPOSURE 1 WHEN EXPOSED ONLY DURING CONSTRUCTION AND SHALL CONFORM TO THE APA STANDARD. ROOF SHEATHING: 15/32 APA RATED SHEATHING 32/16 SPAN RATING #/ 8d NAILS \oplus 6 °.O.C. AT PANEL EDGES, 12 °.O.C. IN THE FIELD, OR 1 $\frac{1}{3}$ °. 16 GAGE STAPLES \oplus 3 °.O.C. AT PANEL EDGES, 6 °.O.C. IN THE FIELD.

FLOOR SHEATHING: 23/32 APA RATED STURDI-FLOOR GLUE AND NAL #/ 8d COMMONS @ 6" O.C. AT PANEL EDGES, 12" O.C. IN FELD, OR 2" 16 GAGE STAPLES @ 4" O.C. AT PANEL EDGES, 8" O.C. IN THE FIELD.

ALL EXTERIOR HEADERS TO BE (2) 2x10 w/ 1-2x TRAMER (1) EACH END UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. PROVIDE 1-2x kinos STUD (6) EA SIDE OF 4-0° MAX OPENINGS, 2-2x kino STUD (6) EA SIDE OF 6'-0° MAX. OPENINGS, 30-2x kino STUDS EA SIDE OF 14-0° MAX. OPENINGS, EM 3-2x kino STUDS EA SIDE OF 14-0° MAX. OPENINGS, EASTER THAN 14-0°.

WIND BRACING: ALL DCIREGIC WALLS TO BE FULLY SHEATINED $\pi/7/16^{\circ}$ ALL DCIREGIC WALLS TO BE FULLY SHEATINED $\pi/7/16^{\circ}$ OC AND THE DECISY, TO C, IN FEEL (SO ALL SHEATING) AND THE SHEATING STAFLES B. 3'OC. AT PWEL EDES, G'OC. NI THE FELD. BLOCKING KOYI LSS THAN 2' KOMINAL, IN THICKNESS SHALL BE PROVIDED AT ALL HORIZONTAL PAREL JOINS. 9½" TJI 210's:

	BLE			SIMPSON			
P.T.	2X8	DECK	JOISTS:	SIMPSON	LUC26Z	FACE N	OUNT.







DRAWING NUMBER S1.1



ROOF FRAMING PLAN 1/4" = 1'-0"



DANIELSON RESIDENCE 336 EAST MAGNOLIA STREET FORT COLLINS, COLORADO





REVISIONS

FILE 2020 - #220189

DRAWING TITLE ROOF & SECOND FLOOR FRAMING PLAN

DRAWING NUMBER

S2.1



S3.1



King paring Eagle C , CO 80550

Stew 3712 Win (970)

Designed/Drawn by: KODIAK Architectural Design

Ľ

Main Floor Plan Second Floor Plan

NO:

21 ING

DATE: 03/06/ DRAWI K20025

FLOOR & WALL FRAMING NOTES

- 1. FLOOR JOISTS TO BE AN ENGINEERED WOOD I-JOIST SYSTEM. INSTALL FLOOR SYSTEM IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURES LAYOUT AND SPECIFICATIONS.
- 2. SUBFLOOR SHALL BE GLUED AND FASTENED WITH RINGSHANK NAILS OR SCREWS TO ALL JOISTS. ALL LATERAL SEAMS TO BE A TONGUE AND GROOVE CONNECTION.
- 3. PROVIDE SOLID BLOCKING UNDER ALL BEARING POINTS AND POSTS CONTINUOUS TO THE FOUNDATION OR BEARING STEEL BEAM BELOW.
- 4. ALL FRAMING LUMBER SHALL BE HEM FIR #2 OR BETTER. WALL STUDS SHALL BE HEM FIR 'STUD GRADE' OR BETTER.
- 5. ALL HEADERS ARE TO BE (2) 2X12s UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. HEADERS TO BE NAILED TOGETHER WITH (3) 10D NAILS EVERY 16" ON EACH SIDE.
- 6. ALL HEADERS AND BEAMS TO HAVE TRIMMERS AND KING STUDS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE BUILDING CODE. INSTALL ADDITIONAL BEARING IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROJECT ENGINEERED PLAN.
- 7. ALL MULTIPLE MICROLAM/LVL BEAMS TO BE FASTENED TOGETHER PER THE PROJECT ENGINEERED PLAN.
- 8. ALL METAL CONNECTORS ARE TO SIMPSON "STRONG-TIE' OR BETTER AND SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS.
- 9. MAIN LEVEL WINDOW HEADERS ARE 711' A.F.F. UNLESS NOTED TOHERWISE ON THE FLOOR PLAN. SECOND LEVEL WINDOWS ARE 611' A.F.F. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE ON THE FLOOR PLAN.

























Jim Bertolini

From:	Danielson <bud.danielson@comcast.net></bud.danielson@comcast.net>
Sent:	Thursday, April 1, 2021 7:28 PM
То:	Jim Bertolini
Cc:	Deb Danielson; Ian Danielson; Stewart King
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Re: B2101308 - Addition to 336 E Magnolia - historic review required

Dear Mr. Bertolini;

We appreciate your thought review of our project.

We have discussed your information request between Ian Danielson, Owner, and Stewart King, Architect of Record, and myself. Please find the responses and photos below. If your email rejects to photos due to file sizes, I will send them in several emails.

Please notify us Friday morning confirming that you have received this email.

We believe the design is in keeping with the neighborhood norms and remains true to the humble nature of the original house.

Your requests more info (blue italics) and the responses (black):

- "Clarification on treatment of the siding will you be retaining the historic dropboard siding on the remaining portions of the historic building? What type of wall cladding will be added to the new portions of the building and/or the historic portions if proposed for replacement?"
 - The design calls for the addition siding to match the existing siding.
- "Clarification on window and door treatments will you be retaining/upgrading the existing windows or replacing them? If replacing, please provide information and photographs of existing windows and details on proposed replacements."
 - The design calls for the existing windows and doors to remain.
- "Photographs of the property exterior this should include all four elevations, the landscape, and the garage at the NW corner of the lot."
 - Please note that there is no garage on the property. The survey does indicate a storage shed.
 - Photographs are attached. Note: the West & East Elevations are partially obstructed by fencing.