

# **GENERAL FUND** MONTHLY **FINANCIAL** REPORT

			June 2014
PERFORMANCE AT A GL	ANCE		
	CURRENT MONTH	YEAR TO DATE	REFERENCE
GENERAL FUND			
Revenue vs. Expenditures	POSITIVE	POSITIVE	Page 2
Total Revenue	POSITIVE	POSITIVE	Page 2
Sales Tax Revenue (prior month activity)	POSITIVE	POSITIVE	Page 3
Use Tax Revenue (prior month activity)	POSITIVE	POSITIVE	Page 3
Property Tax Revenue	POSITIVE	POSITIVE	Page 4
Other Revenues	POSITIVE	POSITIVE	Page 4
Fees and Charges	POSITIVE	POSITIVE	Page 5
Expenditures	POSITIVE	POSITIVE	Page 5

#### **PERFORMANCE INDICATORS ACTUALS vs. BUDGET**

- POSITIVE = No concerns noted

- = Staff monitoring current variance NEGATIVE
  - = Staff currently taking action on variance

\*Numbers in this report are subject to change as items are posted into the period they occurred.

1

### **OVERALL FUND PERFORMANCE:**

REVENUE VS EXPENDITURES (Excludes Use Tax Economic Incentive Revenues and Expenditures)



**POSITIVE** June 2014 generated a positive cash flow month with revenues higher than expenditures by \$4,560. During the month revenues were 71.0% higher than expenditures. The reason for expenditures being under budget during the month was due to the North College Avenue project which received grant funding allowing \$2.9 Million to be returned to the General Fund.

#### TOTAL REVENUE (Excludes Use Tax Economic Incentive Revenues)

	2014	2014		2014 Actual 2014 -2013
	Actual	Budget	Var.	Budget
JAN	\$9,441	\$9,210	\$231	16,000
FEB	8,440	7,516	924	14,000
MAR	12,689	11,289	1,400	
APR	10,282	9,810	472	
MAY	14,389	12,832	1,557	10,000
JUN	10,990	9,903	1,087	8,000
JUL				
AUG				6,000
SEP				4,000
ОСТ				2,000
NOV				
DEC				0 JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC
TOTALS	\$66,231	\$60,560	\$5,670	

**POSITIVE** June 2014 General Fund revenue collections were higher than June 2013 by 12.0%. Collections were up when compared to the monthly budget by \$1,087 (11.0%). A majority of the difference is due to higher sales and use tax collections (a total of \$812). Year to date collections are up \$5,670 (9.4%) compared to the budget.

### **GENERAL FUND**

### **REVENUE ANALYSIS:**

### SALES TAX REVENUE



**POSITIVE** Sales Tax represents just over 50% of the General Fund Budget and serves as the primary funding source for the general government. The General Fund allocation of sales tax remitted to the City in June and collected by merchants in May experienced an increase of 7.4% compared to sales tax remitted in June 2013. Monthly collections by merchants were higher than the budget by \$292 (6.0%) and year to date collections are up \$956 (3.3%) compared to budget.

### USE TAX REVENUE (Excludes Use Tax Economic Incentive Revenues)

	2014 Actual	2014 Budget	Var.	1,400				201 Act			014 Sudget		20	13			
JAN	\$990	\$711	\$279														
FEB	886	711	174	1,200													_
MAR	1,194	711	482														
APR	871	711	160	1,000													
MAY	1,235	711	523														
JUN	1,231	711	520	800													
JUL																	
AUG				600													
SEP				400													
ост				400													
NOV				200													
DEC				200													
TOTALS	\$6,406	\$4,268	\$2,138	0													
	Collection in prior m				JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AU	G SI	EP C	ост'и	וסע ם	)E(

**POSITIVE** Use Tax represents approximately 10% of the General Fund Budget. Use tax is volatile because it is heavily dependent on building activity and vehicle sales. Use Tax collections in June 2014 were up 42.6% compared to 2013 and were 73.1% higher than the budget. Year to date collections are up \$2,138 (50.1%) due to increased collections in building permit use tax.

### **REVENUE ANALYSIS (continued):**

#### **PROPERTY TAX REVEUNE**



**POSITIVE** Property taxes represent 15% of the overall General Fund Budget. They are remitted in two installments due on February 28 and June 16 or they are paid in full by April 30. Whether paid by installment or in full by the April deadline, over 95% of the levy has typically been remitted to the City by the end of July each year. Property tax collections for June 2014 were higher than 2013 by 2.8%, but were lower than the monthly budget by less than 1%. Year to date collections are higher than the budget by \$751 (5.9%).

### **OTHER REVENUE**

	2014 Actual	2014 Budget	Var.	2014 Actual 2014 2013 Budget
JAN FEB MAR APR JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV	Actual \$920 1,568 953 1,656 2,250 1,416	Budget \$895 805 1,034 906 2,065 1,083	Var. \$25 763 -81 751 185 332	Budget 2,500 1,500 1,000 500
DEC TOTALS	\$8,763	\$6,787	\$1,975	JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC

**POSITIVE** Other revenue consists of licenses (e.g., contractor license, liquor license), permits (e.g., building permits, street cut permits), fines (e.g., court fines, camera radar fines), earnings on investments and miscellaneous. The volume of activity (i.e., building permits and fines) will fluctuate month to month. Other revenue collections for June 2014 were higher than 2013 by 55.1% and were higher than the budget by 30.7%. Year to date collections are higher than the budget by \$1,975 (29.1%).

**GENERAL FUND** 

### **GENERAL FUND**

### **REVENUE ANALYSIS (continued):**

### FEES AND CHARGES REVENUE



**POSITIVE** Fees and charges for services include the following: payments in lieu of taxes ("Pilot") paid by the Utilities to the General Fund, administrative charges, plan checking fees, PUD Subdivision & zoning fees, real estate service fees, custodial service fees, project management service fees, and cable franchise fees. June 2014 Fees and Charges were higher than June 2013 by 6.4% and were 1.2% higher than the budget. Year to date collections are lower than the budget by \$150 (2.0%).

## **EXPENDITURE ANALYSIS:**

**EXPENDITURES** (Excludes Use Tax Economic Incentive Expenditures)



**POSITIVE** Expenditures for June 2014 were lower than June 2013 by 22.9% and were lower than the budget by 47.8%. Year to date expenditures are lower than the budget by \$6,554 (9.9%). The main reasons for expenditures being under budget during the month is due to the North College Avenue project which received grant funding allowing \$2.9 Million to be returned to the General Fund and the fact that June had three pay periods budgeted where there were only two (this is an offset of the overspend in May).