CITY OF FORT COLLINS TYPE 1 ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING FINDINGS AND DECISION

HEARING DATE:	January 6, 2014
PROJECT NAME:	Sunshine House Early Learning Academy
CASE NUMBER:	FDP130041
APPLICANT:	Cathy Mathis The Birdsall Group 444 Mountain Avenue Berthoud, CO 80513
OWNER:	Colorado State University Research Foundation (CSURF) PO Box 483 Fort Collins, CO 80522
HEARING OFFICER:	Kendra L. Carberry

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: This is a consolidated Project Development Plan and Final Plan (PDP/FP) request to subdivide Tract A of the Grove at Fort Collins into 2 lots, with a 10,250 square foot child care facility on Lot 1, including 41 parking spaces and 4 outdoor play areas.

SUMMARY OF DECISION:	Approved
ZONE DISTRICT:	Employment (E)

HEARING: The Hearing Officer opened the hearing at approximately 5:15 p.m. on January 6, 2014, in Conference Room A, 281 North College Avenue, Fort Collins, Colorado.

EVIDENCE: During the hearing, the Hearing Officer accepted the following evidence: (1) Planning Department Staff Report; (2) application, plans, maps and other supporting documents submitted by the applicant; and (3) an email submitted by Beth Boddiger dated October 24, 2013 in opposition to the PDP/FP (the Land Use Code, the Comprehensive Plan and the formally promulgated polices of the City are all considered part of the record considered by the Hearing Officer).

TESTIMONY: The following persons testified at the hearing:

From the City:	Courtney Levingston
From the Applicant:	Cathy Mathis, Ian Shuck, Nick Haws
From the Public:	Michael "Bo" Brown, Mary Hamilton

FINDINGS

1. Evidence presented to the Hearing Officer established the fact that the hearing was properly posted, legal notices mailed and notice published.

2. Though not required by the Land Use Code, the applicant held a neighborhood meeting on September 26, 2013. No public attended that meeting.

3. The PDP/FP complies with the applicable General Development Standards contained in Article 3 of the Code.

a. The PDP/FP complies with Section 3.2.1, Landscaping and Tree Protection, because the landscape plan provides full tree stocking, no one species of the proposed 75 trees exceeds 15% of the total trees on site; the water budget is 7.2 gallons per square foot; and the City Forester approved two mitigation trees in exchange for removal of the existing Cottonwood tree.

b. The PDP/FP complies with Section 3.2.2, Access, Circulation and Parking, because: the PDP/FP proposed 41 parking spaces, far in excess of the minimum; and the PDP/FP proposes 4 bicycle parking spaces, which meets the minimum requirement.

c. The PDP/FP complies with Section 3.2.4, Site Lighting, because: the parking lot lighting meets functional and safety needs; and the exterior light fixtures will be down-directional with sharp cut-off luminaries.

d. The PDP/FP complies with Section 3.4.1, Natural Habitats and Features, because: it mitigates for the loss of a small wetland by providing a fee in-lieu payment to the Gardens on Spring Creek, which will enhance its existing wetland.

e. The PDP/FP complies with Section 3.5.1, Building and Project Compatibility, because: the building is 10,250 square feet in size with a maximum height of 30', with a mass smaller than the Federal buildings to the east; articulation of accent details, recesses and projections are used consistently on all faces of the building to subdivide the massing and create appropriate forms and proportions in relation to the surrounding context; the building materials include brick, corrugated metal siding, fiber cement siding, stucco and steel accents, which is consistent with the surrounding neighborhood; and the colors are sufficiently muted.

f. The PDP/FP complies with Division 3.6, Transportation and Circulation, because: the City's Traffic Operations department reviewed the Transportation Impact Study and determined that the vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle facilities are acceptable; the applicant submitted a Larimer County Urban Area Street Standards variance request regarding the level of service failure of the eastbound approach at the Botanical Lane and Centre Avenue, and the City's Traffic Operations department found that the intersection does not meet warrants for a traffic signal and that requiring a roundabout would be an out-of-scale improvement, so the variance was approved by the City's Traffic Operations department and Engineering staff; and the PDP/FP includes Transfort concrete bus pad on the east side adjacent to Centre Avenue, with an associated transit easement.

g. The PDP/FP complies with Section 3.8.4, Child Care Center Regulations, because: enrollment will be 125-150 children, the outdoor play area will be 10,960 square feet and the indoor floor space will be 6,000 square feet, meeting the minimum requirements; the PDP/FP includes a 6' black powder-coated chain link fence with a black mesh screen; and landscaping is provided around the perimeter of the fenced play areas.

4. The PDP/FP complies with the applicable standards contained in Article 4 of the Code for the E zone district.

a. The PDP/FP complies with Section 4.27(B)(2)(c)(6), Permitted Uses, because a child care center is a permitted use in the E District subject to Administrative Review.

b. The PDP/FP complies with Section 4.27(D)(2), Land Use Standards, because the PDP/FP will occupy 1.95 acres of the CSURF amended ODP Employment District, which, together with other secondary uses, totals less than the 25% maximum.

c. The PDP/FP complies with Section 4.27(E), Development Standards, because the plan includes a note evidencing the intent to enter into cooperative agreements with adjacent property owners to create a comprehensive development plan.

DECISION

Based on the foregoing findings, the Hearing Officer hereby enters the following rulings:

1. The PDP/FP is approved as submitted.

DATED this 22nd day of January, 2014.

finanafarberry

Kendra L. Carberry Hearing Officer



ITEM NO _____ HEARING DATE January 6, 2014 STAFF <u>C. Levingston</u> ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

PROJECT: Sunshine House Early Learning Academy – #FDP130041

 (Centre For Advanced Technology 23rd Filing Sunshine House - FC 139)

 APPLICANT: Cathy Mathis

 The Birdsall Group

 444 Mountain Avenue
 Berthoud, CO 80513

 OWNER: Colorado State University Research Foundation (CSURF)

 PO Box 483

Fort Collins, CO 80522

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

This is a consolidated Project Development Plan (PDP) and Final Plan (FP) request to subdivide Tract A of the Grove at Fort Collins into two 1.9 acre lots and construct a 10,250 square foot child care facility on Lot 1. In addition, the project is proposing 41 parking spaces and four outdoor play areas. The site is located in the Employment (E) District and child care centers are a permitted use subject to administrative review and public hearing.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval of Sunshine House Early Learning Academy consolidated Project Development Plan and Final Plan - #FDP130041.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The proposed project is located southwest of the intersection of Centre Avenue and the soon to be former Rolland Moore Drive (Botanical Lane). The renaming of Rolland Moore Drive to Botanical Lane is a separate resolution from this project which City Council will consider on January 21, 2014. As proposed, the project will take access from Perennial Lane.

Sunshine House Early Learning Academy - Consolidated Project Development Plan and Final Plan -#FDP130041 Administrative Hearing January 6, 2014 Page 2

Staff finds that the approval of the Sunshine House Early Learning Academy Project Development Plan complies with the applicable requirements of the City of Fort Collins Land Use Code (LUC), more specifically:

- The PDP/FP complies with the process located in Division 2.2 Common Development Review Procedures for Development Applications of Article 2 – Administration.
- The PDP/FP complies with relevant standards located in Article 3 General Development Standards.
- The PDP/FP complies with relevant standards located in Division 4.27, Employment (E) of Article 4 – Districts.

COMMENTS:

1. <u>Background:</u>

The subject property was part of the Fourth College Annexation in August, 1965. In 2011, the property was plated as Tract A of the Grove at Fort Collins.

Prior to 1997, the property was zoned "IP, Industrial Park", with a Planned Unit Development designation. With the adoption of City Plan and the Land Use Code in 1997, the property was placed into the Employment (E) District.

The subject property is located on Parcel C of the Amended CSURF Centre for Advanced Technology Overall Development Plan (CSURF ODP). The original Centre for Advanced Technology, Master Plan (Overall Development Plan) was approved by the Planning & Zoning Board, on September 23, 1985. Since then, the Board has approved four amendments to the plan on: January 25, 1988; June 27, 1994; February 20, 2003; and June, 2011. The purpose of the June 2011 amendment to the Overall Development Plan was to realign the Rolland Moore future street connection through Parcel C between Centre Avenue and South Shields Street. The Gardens on Spring Creek is not part of the CSURF ODP.

The surrounding zoning and land uses are as follows:

Direction	Zone District	Existing Land Uses
North	Employment (E)	Gardens on Spring Creek

Sunshine House Early Learning Academy - Consolidated Project Development Plan and Final Plan -#FDP130041 Administrative Hearing January 6, 2014 Page 3

South	CSU	Colorado State University
East	Employment (E)	Natural Resources Research Center (Federal government offices)
West	Employment (E) with Medium Density Mixed Use Neighborhood (MMN) beyond	The Grove student apartments

2. West Central Neighborhoods Plan

The site is located within the West Central Neighborhoods Plan (adopted, 1999) area boundaries. The Plan highlights the area's broad mix of building ages, neighborhoods and uses and notes that there is not an overarching character for the area. At time of the Plan, this area had the highest population density of any area in Fort Collins. As noted, support services for that population (such as daycare) will be increasingly necessary over time.

3. <u>Compliance with Applicable Employment (E) Land Use and Development</u> <u>Standards:</u>

The Sunshine House FC Early Learning Academy consolidated PDP/FP is in compliance with the applicable land use and development standards of the Employment (E) District, including the following:

A. <u>Section 4.27 (A) - Purpose</u>

The purpose of the Employment District is as follows:

The Employment District is intended to provide locations for a variety of workplaces including light industrial uses, research and development activities, offices and institutions. This District also is intended to accommodate secondary uses that complement or support the primary workplace uses, such as hotels, restaurants, convenience shopping, child care and housing.

Additionally, the Employment District is intended to encourage the development of planned office and business parks; to promote excellence in the design and construction of buildings, outdoor spaces, transportation facilities and streetscapes; to direct the development of workplaces consistent with the availability of public facilities and services; and to

continue the vitality and quality of life in adjacent residential neighborhoods.

The proposed daycare use supports the surrounding primary employment uses and meets the purpose statement of the Employment District.

B. <u>Section 4.27(B)(2)(c)(6) – Permitted Uses</u>

The proposed PDP/FP is for a child care center. Child care centers are permitted in the Employment District subject to an Administrative (Type 1) review.

C. <u>Section 4.27(D)(2) – Land Use Standards</u>

This Section states that secondary uses in the Employment District together shall occupy no more than 25% of the total gross area of the development plan. Child care centers are considered a secondary use in the Employment District.

- The CSURF amended ODP is a total of 116.7 acres, of which 96.5 acres is zoned Employment.
- The Grove multi-family development occupies 3 acres of residential secondary use in the CSURF amended ODP Employment District.
- As proposed, the Sunshine House FC Early Learning Academy will occupy 1.95 acres of the CSURF amended ODP Employment District area.

Together, the secondary uses total 4.95 acres, which is under the 24.125 acre maximum for secondary uses in the CSURF amended ODP Employment District.

- D. <u>Section 4.27(E) Development Standards</u>
 - In order to meet the intent of Section 4.27(E)(1)(a), a note is included on the plan that states, "to the extent feasible, cooperative agreements with adjacent property owners to create a comprehensive development plan that establishes an integrated pattern of cross access, parking areas, outdoor spaces, building styles and land uses."

4. <u>Compliance with Applicable General Development Standards:</u>

The project complies with all applicable General Development Standards, with the following relevant comments provided:

Sunshine House Early Learning Academy - Consolidated Project Development Plan and Final Plan -#FDP130041 Administrative Hearing January 6, 2014 Page 5

A. <u>Division 3.2 – Site Planning and Design Standards</u>

- 1) 3.2.1 Landscaping and Tree Protection:
- The project provides full tree stocking with the inclusion of honeylocust, crabapple and serviceberry trees in the landscaped areas around the building.
- The proposal complies with Section 3.2.1(D)(3) in that no one species of the proposed 75 trees on the development plan exceeds 15% of the total trees on-site, or more than 11 trees. The most of any one species are the Western Hackberry and the Honeylocust, both at 11 trees.
- Section 3.2.1(E)(3) is complied with as the overall water budget of 7.2 gallons per square foot, which is less than the 15 gallons per square feet allowed by the Land Use Code.
- The City Forester met with the Applicant's consultant on site in September, 2013. The existing tree on site is a Plains Cottonwood, 28" in diameter with multi-stems. The City Forster determined the condition of the Cottonwood to be "fair/minus". Two mitigation trees are required and have been provided for the removal of this tree.
- 2) 3.2.2 Access, Circulation and Parking:
- Child care centers are required to provide 1 parking space per 3 employees or 1 parking space per 1,000 square feet of building floor area (whichever requires the greatest number of parking spaces). The project is required to provide a minimum of 10 parking spaces, and it provides 41, meeting the standard.
- For child care centers, a minimum of 1 bicycle parking space per 3,000 square feet of floor area via a fixed rack is required. The project is required and provides 4 bicycle parking spaces via a fixed rack, meeting the standard.
- 3) 3.2.4 Site Lighting

- The parking lot is proposed to be unobtrusively lit while still meeting the functional needs and safety considerations. The exterior parking lot light fixtures will be down directional with sharp cutoff luminaries.
- B. <u>Division 3.4 Environmental, natural Area, Recreation and Cultural</u> <u>Resource Protection Standards</u>
 - 1) 3.4.1 Natural Habitats and Features.
 - The applicant submitted an Environmental Characterization Study (ECS) which was reviewed by the City's Environmental Planner. As noted in the ECS, the Sunshine House site contained an approximately 200 square foot isolated wetland drainage in the southeast corner of the parcel. The Applicant has committed to mitigating for this wetland by providing a fee in-lieu payment to the Gardens on Spring Creek. The Gardens on Spring Creek will add the additional square footage to their wetland enhancement adjacent to Spring Creek. As this project proposes to mitigate for the isolated wetland in close proximity to Spring Creek, the overall value of this small wetland will be enhanced due to the proximity with the creek's corridor. Through this mitigation, the project complies with Section 3.4.1 of the Land Use Code.

C. <u>Division 3.5 – Building Standards</u>

- 1) 3.5.1 Building and Project Compatibility
- The proposed building is 10,250 square feet in size with a maximum height of 30 feet. The buildings' mass is smaller than the much larger Federal buildings to the east. Articulation of accent details, recesses and projections are used consistently throughout all faces of the building to subdivide the massing and create appropriate forms and proportions in relation to the adjacent building context surrounding the site.
- Within the neighborhood context surrounding the site, the existing building materials colors and textures are varied. The proposed materials include brick, corrugated metal siding, fiber cement siding, stucco and steel accents. Colors are sufficiently muted primarily featuring gray and beige tones. The materials and colors have sufficient similarity with the materials used in the surrounding area

while also reinforcing a high standard of detail and quality consistent with the standards set for the area.

D. <u>Division 3.6 – Transportation and Circulation</u>

- The City's Traffic Operations department reviewed the submitted Transportation Impact Study and determined that the vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle facilities proposed with this project are acceptable as it relates to the standards contained in Part II of the City of Fort Collins Multi-Modal Transportation Level of Service Manual.
- The Applicant submitted a Larimer County Urban Area Street Standards (LCUASS) variance request regarding the Level of Service failure of the eastbound approach at the Botanical Lane (old Rolland Moore Drive) and Centre 2-way stop sign controlled intersection. City Traffic Operations found that the intersection does not meet warrants for a traffic signal and that requiring the construction of a roundabout at the location would be an out-ofscale improvement as it relates to the relative impact and mitigation. City Traffic Operations' analysis also contends that the addition of north or south bound right turn lanes on Centre Avenue do not improve the eastbound Level of Service failure.

The Traffic Operations staff asserts that there is not a reasonable solution to the Level of Service failure that is proportionate with the scale of the failure. The variance request was accepted by City Traffic Operations and Engineering staff as it was found not to be detrimental to public health, safety and welfare.

- The project meets the Transit Facility Standard requirements of Section 3.6.5 as the project is providing a Transfort concrete bus pad on the east side of the site adjacent to Centre Avenue. As the proposed Transfort bus pad is not located within the right-of-way, a transit easement is also provided on the plat.
- E. <u>Division 3.8 Supplementary Regulations</u>
 - 1) 3.8.4 Child Care Center Regulations

Sunshine House Early Learning Academy - Consolidated Project Development Plan and Final Plan -#FDP130041 Administrative Hearing January 6, 2014 Page 8

- In the submitted planning objectives, Sunshine House Early Learning Center states that enrollment will be approximately 125 - 150 children. For a 150 child enrollment, the code requires a minimum of 7,000 square feet of outdoor play area and 6,000 square feet of indoor floor space. The project provides a total of 10,960 square feet of outdoor play area and 6,043 square feet of dedicated indoor classroom area, meeting the requirements.
- The project proposes a 6-foot black powder coated chain link fence around the play areas with a black mesh screen. Generous landscaping is provided around the exterior perimeter of these fenced play areas.

5. <u>Neighborhood Meeting:</u>

The Land Use Code does not require a neighborhood meeting for project subject to Administrative (Type 1) review. However, the Applicant elected to hold a neighborhood meeting on September 26, 2013. No members from the public attended; therefore, there are no notes from the meeting to include in this packet.

6. Findings of Fact and Conclusion:

In evaluating the request for the Sunshine House Early Learning Academy consolidated Project Development Plan and Final Plan, staff makes the following findings of fact:

- A. The PDP/FP complies with process located in Division 2.2 Common Development Review Procedures for Development Applications of Article 2 – Administration.
- B. The PDP/FP complies with relevant standards located in Article 3 General Development Standards.
- C. The PDP/FP complies with relevant standards located in Division 4.27, Employment (E) of Article 4 Districts.

RECOMMENDATION

Approval of Sunshine House Early Learning Academy consolidated Project Development Plan and Final Plan.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Statement of Planning Objectives

Sunshine House Early Learning Academy - Consolidated Project Development Plan and Final Plan -#FDP130041 Administrative Hearing January 6, 2014 Page 9

- 2. Site Plan
- 3. Landscape Plans
- 4. Architectural Elevations
- 5. Utility Plans
- 6. Centre for Advanced Technology 23rd Filing Sunshine House FC 139 Plat
- 7. Photometric Plan
- 8. Traffic Impact Study
- 9. Environmental Characterization Study (ECS) Checklist
- 10. Environmental Characterization Study
- 11. CSURF Amended Overall Development Plan (approved, 2012)
- 12. Citizen Input



October 9, 2013

Sunshine House FC - #139 Early Learning Academy

Statement of Planning Objectives

The proposed Sunshine House Early Learning Academy is to be located in Tract A of the Grove at Fort Collins, at the southwest corner of Centre Avenue and Botanical Lane. The intent of the project is to construct a new child care facility on the northern half of the parcel. The surrounding infrastructure, utilities, street paving, and parking are all in place from the construction of the Grove.

The new building is intended to replace an existing facility leased for 15 years by Sunshine House which is currently located on the CSU campus. That facility will be closed in order for the university to facilitate new student housing construction. Although priority is given to CSU faculty, students and staff, this facility will be open to the Fort Collins community. Sunshine House operates several locations in Colorado, including Colorado Springs, Loveland and Greeley. The Sunshine House also has outgrown its existing facility and will operate the new facility designed and built for an enrollment of 125-150 FTE children. The new center will provide a program of play and learning activities for children ages six weeks to 12 years. Hours of operation will be from 6:30 am to 6 pm, M-F.

The 1.95-acre site is in the E zoning district. According to the Purpose Statement contained within Article 4 of the Land Use Code, "The Employment District is intended to provide locations for a variety of workplaces including light industrial uses, research and development activities, offices and institutions. This District also is intended to accommodate secondary uses that complement or support the primary workplace uses, such as hotels, restaurants, convenience shopping, child care and housing."

The areas adjacent the project contain student housing (The Grove), government offices (NRRC Campus), and The Gardens on Spring Creek. The site is ideal for this type of use as it provides a service that is in a convenient and centrally-located location. There is an existing transit stop on directly across Centre Avenue and the project is providing a pad for a stop on the west side of Centre. There are bike lanes in Centre Avenue and the Spring Creek Bike Trail is close to the site. In addition, there are ample resources for the Sunshine House to tap into, such as the Gardens on Spring Creek, the bike trails, and Rolland Moore Park.

(i) Statement of appropriate City Plan Principles and Policies achieved by the proposed plan:

This proposal meets the applicable City Plan Principles and Policies:

Employment Districts

Principle LIV 38: Employment Districts will be the major employment centers in the community, and will also include a variety of complementary uses to meet the needs of employees. By design, they will be accessible to the City's multimodal transportation system and encourage walking, bicycling, car and van pooling, and transit use. Policy LIV 38.1 - Mix of Uses Policy LIV 38.5 – Coordinate District Design Policy LIV 38.10 – Link to Transit

Centre Avenue, the Spring Creek trail and the nearby MAX BRT all will help promote and encourage the use of multi-modal transportation. Child care centers are identified several times in City Plan to be an ideal supporting use for employment districts.

Transportation

Principle T 8: Transportation that provides opportunities for residents to lead healthy and active lifestyles will be promoted. Policy T 8.1 – Support Active Transportation Policy T 8.2 – Design for Active Living

The location of the new facility will support active lifestyles by providing onstreet sidewalks, designated bike lanes, bike and walking trails.

Principle T 9: Enhanced Travel Corridors will contain amenities and designs that specifically promote walking, the use of mass transit, and bicycling.

Policy T 9.1 – Locating Enhanced Travel Corridors

The project is located within walking distance to the MAX Bus Rapid Transit.

Principle T10: Using transit will be a safe, affordable, easy, and convenient mobility option for all ages and abilities. Policy T 10.1 – Transit Stops

There is an existing transit stop on Centre Avenue, as well as the project providing a transit stop on-site.

Principle T11: Bicycling will be a safe, easy, and convenient mobility option for all ages and abilities

The location of Sunshine House Early Learning Academy will promote and support the idea of the employees and clients to utilize alternative modes of transportation (walking/biking) or public transportation. There are bike lanes and sidewalks on all of the public streets.

(ii) Description of proposed open space, wetlands, natural habitats and features, landscaping, circulation, transition areas, and associated buffering on site and in the general vicinity of the project. There are no wetlands or significant natural habitats within the boundaries of the site. However, a small wetland has occurred historically. The wetland will be replaced in-kind in an off-site location due to a financial donation from the developer.

- (iii) Statement of proposed ownership and maintenance of public and private open space areas; applicant's intentions with regard to future ownership of all or portions of the project development plan. The facility will be constructed and owned by CSURF and will be leased to the Sunshine House.
- (iv) Estimate of number of employees for business, commercial, and industrial uses. 25-30
- (v) Description of rationale behind the assumptions and choices made by the applicant.
 At this time the project is not proposing any variance from the City of Fort Collins criteria.
- (vi) The applicant shall submit as evidence of successful completion of the applicable criteria, the completed documents pursuant to these regulations for each proposed use. The planning Director may require, or the applicant may choose to submit, evidence that is beyond what is required in that section. Any variance from the criteria shall be described.

At this time the project is not proposing any variance from the City of Fort Collins criteria.

- (vii) Narrative description of how conflicts between land uses or disturbances to wetlands, natural habitats and features and or wildlife are being avoided to the maximum extent feasible or are mitigated. There are not existing wetlands, natural habitats or features currently located on site. See above.
- (viii) Written narrative addressing each concern/issue raised at the neighborhood meeting(s), if a meeting has been held. There was a neighborhood meeting held on 9.26.13 and no neighbors were in attendance.
- (ix) Name of the project as well as any previous name the project may have had during Conceptual Review. The project is called Sunshine House FC - #138 Early Learning Academy. The project was called 2060 Perennial Ln – Child Care Center at Conceptual Review.



- 12. CRITICAL CARE FACILITIES ARE NOT ALLOWED IN A FEMA-REGULATORY FLOODPLAIN (100-YR & 500-YR). THOUGH THIS BUILDING IS NOT IN A FLOODPLAIN AT THIS TIME, THE APPLICANT IS AWARE THAT IF THE LIMITS OF THE EXISTING FLOODPLAIN CHANGE, OR A 500-YEAR FLOODPLAIN IS DEFINED, THE BUILDING MAY BE IN A FLOODPLAIN IN THE FUTURE; AND THE DAYCARE WOULD BECOME A NON-CONFORMING USE.

EXISTING TREES TO RETAIN.

- 1. STREET AND ORNAMENTAL TREES SHALL BE PLANTED TO MEET STANDARDS IN LUC 3.2.1.G. THEY SHALL BE PLANTED NO CLOSER THAN FORTY (40) FEET AND FIFTEEN (15) FEET RESPECTIVELY FROM STREET LIGHTS. NO TREES SHALL BE PLANTED WITHIN TEN (10) FEET FROM WATER AND SEWER MAINS, SIX (6) FEET FROM WATER AND SEWER SERVICE LINES, FOUR (4) FEET FROM GAS, TELEPHONE AND ELECTRIC UTILITIES, EIGHT (8) FEET FROM ANY DRIVEWAY AND TWENTY (20) FEET FROM SIGNS AND TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES.
- 2. MINIMUM CLEARANCE OF THREE (3) FEET ON EACH SIDE OF FIRE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC). NO VEGETATION OTHER THAN TURF OR GROUND COVERS PLANTED IN FRONT OF FDC.
- 3. IF TREES OR SHRUBS ARE LOCATED ON TOP OF FIELD VERIFIED UTILITIES, CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY OWNER BEFORE ANY DIGGING HAS COMMENCED. VERIFY WITH OWNER IF AND WHICH SHRUBS/TREES SHALL BE TAKEN OUT OF PROJECT/CONTRACT.
- 4. ALL LANDSCAPE AREAS SHALL BE MAINTAINED, INCLUDING MOWING, WATERING AND FERTILIZING BY CONTRACTOR, UP TO FINAL ACCEPTANCE. AT SUCH TIME OWNER WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL MAINTENANCE. LANDSCAPE AND IRRIGATION WILL BE WARRANTED FOR ONE (1) FULL YEAR AFTER FINAL ACCEPTANCE. TREES AND VEGETATION, IRRIGATION SYSTEMS, FENCES, WALLS AND OTHER LANDSCAPE ELEMENTS SHALL BE CONSIDERED AS ELEMENTS OF THE PROJECT IN THE SAME MANNER AS PARKING, BUILDING MATERIALS AND OTHER SITE DETAILS. THE APPLICANT, LANDOWNER OR SUCCESSORS IN INTEREST SHALL BE JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REGULAR MAINTENANCE OF ALL LANDSCAPING ELEMENTS IN GOOD CONDITION. ALL LANDSCAPING SHALL BE MAINTAINED FREE FROM DISEASE, PESTS, WEEDS AND LITTER, AND ALL LANDSCAPE STRUCTURES SUCH AS FENCES AND WALLS SHALL BE REPAIRED AND REPLACED PERIODICALLY TO MAINTAIN A STRUCTURALLY SOUND CONDITION.
- 5. TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT FEASIBLE, TOPSOIL THAT IS REMOVED DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY SHALL BE CONSERVED FOR LATER USE ON AREAS REQUIRING REVEGETATION AND LANDSCAPING
- 6. EXCAVATED MATERIAL TO BE USED AS FILL WILL HAVE ALL ROCKS, DEBRIS, WASTE MATERIAL, FROZEN MATERIAL, VEGETATION LARGER THAN 3" IN ANY DIMENSION REMOVED BEFORE PLACEMENT AND COMPACTION OF SOIL. 7. PROVIDE POSITIVE DRAINAGE AWAY FROM BUILDING AND WALL FOUNDATIONS AND A SMOOTH TRANSITION BETWEEN ALL ADJACENT EXISTING GRADES AND PROPOSED
- GRADES 8. PRIOR TO FINE GRADING, SOD AREAS AND PLANTING BEDS, SHALL BE THOROUGHLY LOOSENED AND TILLE. REMOVE ALL UNSUITABLE TOPSOIL, INCLUDING ALL ROCKS LARGER THAN 3 INCHES IN ANY DIRECTION, ALL CONCRETE, TRASH, DEBRIS, WEEDS, ROOTS AND OTHER WASTE MATERIALS. THE SOIL IN ALL LANDSCAPE AREAS, INCLUDING PARKWAYS AND MEDIANS, SHALL BE THOROUGHLY LOOSENED TO A DEPTH OF NOT LESS THAN EIGHT (8) INCHES AND SOIL AMENDMENT SHALL BE THOROUGHLY INCORPORATED INTO THE SOIL OF ALL LANDSCAPE AREAS TO A DEPTH OF AT LEAST SIX (6) INCHES BY TILLING, DISCING OR OTHER SUITABLE METHOD, AT A RATE OF AT LEAST THREE (3) CUBIC YARDS OF SOIL AMENDMENT PER ONE THOUSAND (1,000) SQUARE FEET OF LANDSCAPE AREA. DO NOT CULTIVATE SOIL WITHIN THE DRIP LINE OF
- 9. UNIFORMLY COMPACT AND FINE GRADE THESE SOD / GRASS AREAS AND PLANTING BEDS TO A SMOOTH SURFACE, FREE FROM IRREGULAR SURFACE CHANGES. CUT OUT SOFT SPOTS, FILL IN LOW SPOTS AND TRIM HIGH SPOTS TO COMPLY WITH REQUIRED GRADE TOLERANCES.
- 10. ONCE COMPACTED AND FINE GRADED ALL ROCKS, DEBRIS, WASTE MATERIAL AND VEGETATION MATERIAL LARGER THAN 1/2" WILL BE RAKED FROM THE SURFACE AND REMOVED FROM SITE.
- 11. SOD TO BE 100% COLORADO GROWN BLUEGRASS BLEND SPECIFICALLY GROWN FOR LOW WATER AND HIGH TRAFFIC LAWN APPLICATIONS WITH MINIMUM THREE (3) IMPROVED VARIETIES, HAVING A HEALTHY VIGOROUS ROOT SYSTEM. ONCE TURF IS LAID IT SHALL BE PROPERLY ROLLED, COMPACTED AND PUSHED TOGETHER TO ELIMINATE ANY GAPS BETWEEN ROLL EDGES. APPLY FERTILIZER IN THESE AREAS PER SOD FARM'S RECOMMENDATIONS.
- 12. ALL TREES SHRUBS AND PERENNIAL SHRUB BEDS OUTSIDE OF IRRIGATED AREAS ARE TO BE IRRIGATED WITH A DRIP IRRIGATION SYSTEM. PERENNIAL SHRUB BEDS SHALL BE IRRIGATED BY 6" POP-UP SPRAY HEADS. IRRIGATION SYSTEM TO BE DESIGNED AND BUILT BY CONTRACTOR.
- 13. A PERMIT MUST BE OBTAINED FROM THE CITY FORESTER BEFORE ANY TREES OR SHRUBS AS NOTED ON THIS PLAN ARE PLANTED, PRUNED OR REMOVED ON THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY. THIS INCLUDES ZONES BETWEEN THE SIDEWALK AND CURB, MEDIANS AND OTHER CITY PROPERTY. THIS PERMIT SHALL APPROVE THE LOCATION AND SPECIES TO BE PLANTED. FAILURE TO OBTAIN THIS PERMIT MAY RESULT IN REPLACING OR RELOCATING TREES AND A HOLD ON CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY.
- 14. THE DEVELOPER SHALL CONTACT THE CITY FORESTER TO INSPECT ALL STREET TREE PLANTINGS AT THE COMPLETION OF EACH PHASE OF THE DEVELOPMENT. ALL TREES NEED TO HAVE BEEN INSTALLED AS SHOWN ON THE LANDSCAPE PLAN. APPROVAL OF STREET TREE PLANTING IS REQUIRED BEFORE FINAL APPROVAL OF EACH PHASE. FAILURE TO OBTAIN APPROVAL BY THE CITY FORESTER FOR STREET TREES IN A PHASE SHALL RESULT IN A HOLD ON CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY FOR FUTURE PHASES OF THE DEVELOPMENT
- 15. ALL PLANT MATERIALS ARE SIZED AND OUTLINED IN PLANT LIST. ALL PLANTS TO BE PLANTED IN AMENDED SOIL AND STAKED AS SHOWN IN DETAILS. ALL PLANTS SHALL BE A-GRADE OR NO. 1 GRADE, FREE OF ANY DEFECTS, OF NORMAL HEALTH, HEIGHT, LEAF DENSITY AND SPREAD APPROPRIATE TO THE SPECIES AS DEFINED BY AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF NURSERYMEN STANDARDS.
- 16. IF PLANTS ARE IN NEED OF REPLACEMENT DUE TO DECLINING HEALTH, DISEASE, OR DEATH, THE PLANTS SHALL BE PROMPTLY REPLACED BASED ON THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE FORT COLLINS LAND USE CODES AND REPLACED WITH THE ORIGINAL SPECIES UNLESS APPROVED BY THE CITY.
- 17. CHANGES IN PLANT SPECIES OF PLANT LOCATIONS FROM WHAT IS LISTED ON THE LANDSCAPE PLAN WILL REQUIRE THE APPROVAL OF THE CITY PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF REPLACEMENT. OVERALL QUANTITY AND QUALITY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE APPROVED PLANS. IN THE EVENT OF CONFLICT WITH THE QUANTITIES INCLUDED IN THE PLANT LIST, SPECIES AND QUANTITIES SHALL BE PROVIDED.
- 18. ALL TREES AND SHRUBS TO BE BALLED AND BURLAPPED, OR CONTAINERIZED.
- 19. ALL PLANT MATERIAL SHALL HAVE ALL WIRE, TWINE, BASKETS, BURLAP, AND ALL OTHER NON-BIODEGRADABLE CONTAINMENT MATERIAL REMOVED FROM THE TRUNK AND/OR ROOT BALL OF THE PLANT, PRIOR TO PLANTING. 20 ALL SHRUB BEDS SHALL HAVE MINIMUM 5" DEPTH SHREDDED CEDAR MULCH - NATURAL COLOR AND/OR WASHED SMOOTH COBBLE A CONTINUOUS LAYER OF TYPAR
- LANDSCAPE FABRIC OR APPROVED EQUAL SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ALL SHRUB BEDS WITH 6" OVERLAP AT SEAMS WITH 4" STAPLES 4' O.C. IN ALL DIRECTIONS.
- 21. EDGING BETWEEN GRASS TYPES AND SHRUB BEDS / ROCK COBBLE SHALL BE DURA-EDGE HEAVY DUTY STEEL EDGER MIN. 14 GA x 4" WITH ROLLED TOP AND SHALL BE SET LEVEL WITH THE TOP OF THE ADJACENT SOD. NO EDGING SHALL BE USED BETWEEN CEDAR MULCH / COBBLE TRANSITIONS.
- 22. ALL LANDSCAPING SHALL BE INSTALLED ACCORDING TO SOUND HORTICULTURAL PRACTICES IN A MANNER DESIGNED TO ENCOURAGE QUICK ESTABLISHMENT AND HEALTHY GROWTH. ALL LANDSCAPING IN EACH PHASE SHALL EITHER BE INSTALLED OR THE INSTALLATION SHALL BE SECURED WITH A LETTER OF CREDIT, ESCROW OR PERFORMANCE BOND FOR ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE (125) PERCENT OF THE VALUE OF THE LANDSCAPING PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY FOR ANY BUILDING IN SUCH PHASE
- 23. HEALTHY, MATURE TREES THAT ARE REMOVED BY THE APPLICANT OR BY ANYONE ACTING ON BEHALF OF OR WITH THE APPROVAL OF THE APPLICANT SHALL BE REPLACED WITH NOT LESS THAN ONE (1) OR MORE THAN SIX (6) REPLACEMENT TREES SUFFICIENT TO MITIGATE THE LOSS OF VALUE OF THE REMOVED TREE. THE APPLICANT SHALL SELECT EITHER THE CITY FORESTER OR A QUALIFIED LANDSCAPE APPRAISER TO DETERMINE SUCH LOSS BASED UPON AN APPRAISAL OF THE REMOVED TREE.
- 24. LANDSCAPING WITHIN THE R.O.W. IS RESTRICTED TO PLANTS THAT DO NOT EXCEED 24 INCHES IN HEIGHT MEASURED FROM THE STREET FLOWLINE (TREES EXCEPTED). IF THE LANDSCAPING WITHIN THE R.O.W. EXCEEDS THIS HEIGHT OR CREATES A SIGHT DISTANCE CONCERN THE PROPERTY OWNER SHALL BE REQUIRED TO TRIM THE LANDSCAPE MATERIAL
- 25. DEVELOPER SHALL ENSURE THAT SITE PLAN AND LANDSCAPE PLAN AND UTILITY PLANS ARE COORDINATED SO THAT THE GRADING, STORM DRAINAGE, OR OTHER CONSTRUCTION DOES NOT CONFLICT WITH NOR PRECLUDE INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF LANDSCAPING ELEMENTS AS SHOWN ON THE APPROVED PLANS.
- 26. ALL LANDSCAPE PLANTINGS INSTALLED WITHIN THE PUBLIC STREET RIGHT-OF-WAY SHALL CONFORM TO THE CURRENT SIGHT DISTANCE AND INTERSECTION SIGHT TRIANGLE STANDARDS IN CHAPTERS 7 THROUGH 9 OF THE LARIMER COUNTY URBAN AREA STREET STANDARDS. TREE CANOPIES SHOULD NOT OBSTRUCT VISIBILITY OF TRAFFIC RELATED REGULATORY SIGNAGE.



DECIDUOUS TREE PLANTING DETAIL

CONIFER TREE PLANTING DETAIL

24" GREATER

THAN DIA. OF

ROOTBALL

Plant List

WIRE, TYP.

PLAN

SETTLE

NOTE:

NOTE

KEY	QTY	RATIO	COMMON NAME	BOTANICAL NAME	HEIGHT	WIDTH	SIZE	INSTALLATION NOTES
SHADE / CANOPY TR	REES -	16						
	10	18.5%	HACKBERRY, WESTERN	Celtis occidentalis	60'	50'	2" cal. BB	BALANCED, WELL BRANCHED W/ STRAIGHT TRUNK & CENTRAL LEADER
2005	8 2	20.4%	HONEYLOCUST, IMPERIAL	Gleditsia triacanthos inermis 'Imperial'	40'	40'	2" cal. BB 3" cal. BB	BALANCED, WELL BRANCHED W/ STRAIGHT TRUNK & CENTRAL LEADER
\diamond	6	11.1%	OAK, SHUMARD	Quercus shumardii	50'	40'	2" cal. BB	BALANCED, WELL BRANCHED W/ STRAIGHT TRUNK & CENTRAL LEADER
EVERGREEN TREES		9						
NUUG	4	7.4%	PINE,AUSTRIAN	Pinus nigra	40'	40'	6'-8' BB	FULL SPECIMEN, EVENLY AND WELL BRANCHED W/ STRAIGHT TRUNK & TOP LEADER
Mar Mar	5	9.3%	SPRUCE, BAKERI	Picea pungens 'Bakerii'	35'	15'	6' BB	FULL SPECIMEN, EVENLY AND WELL BRANCHED W/ STRAIGHT TRUNK & TOP LEADER
DRNAMENTAL TREE	S -	29						
L'	8	14.8%	CRABAPPLE, SPRING SNOW	Malus spp. 'Spring Snow'	20'	20'	1.5" cal. BB	BALANCED, WELL BRANCHED W/ STRAIGHT TRUNK & CENTRAL LEADER
\bigcirc	5	9.3%	MAPLE, AMUR	Acer ginnala 'Flame'	20'	20'	1.5" cal. BB	BALANCED, WELL BRANCHED W/ STRAIGHT TRUNK & CENTRAL LEADER
	7	13.0%	PEAR, CHANTICLEER	Pyrus calleryana 'Chanticleer'	25'	20'	1.5" cal. BB	BALANCED, WELL BRANCHED W/ STRAIGHT TRUNK & CENTRAL LEADER
	9	16.7%	SERVICEBERRY, AUTUMN BRILLANCE	Amelanchier grandiflora 'Autumn Brillance'	20'	20'	1.5" cal. BB	BALANCED, WELL BRANCHED W/ STRAIGHT TRUNK & CENTRAL LEADER
VERGREEN SHRUB	S -	20						
	20	-	PINE, MUGO SLOWMOUND	Pinus mugo 'slowmound'	3'	5'	5 Gallon	18" (h) FULL SPECIMEN, EVENLY AND WELL BRANCHED
	S -	292						
	12	-	BUTTERFLY BUSH, COMPACT PURPLE	Buddleja davidii nanhoensis 'Petite Plum'	5'	5'	5 Gallon	24" (h) FULL SPECIMEN, EVENLY AND WELL BRANCHED
\bigotimes	37	i.	CHOKEBERRY, BRILLIANT RED	Aronia arbutifolia 'Brilliantissima'	6'	6'	5 Gallon	24" (h) FULL SPECIMEN, EVENLY AND WELL BRANCHED
0	24	-	EUONYMUS, COMPACT BURNING BUSH	Euonymus alatus compacta	7'	7'	5 Gallon	12" (h) FULL SPECIMEN, EVENLY AND WELL BRANCHED
\odot	41	-	LILAC, DWARF KOREAN	Syringa meyeri 'Palibin'	4'	4'	5 Gallon	24" (h) FULL SPECIMEN, EVENLY AND WELL BRANCHED
\odot	95	-	POTENTILLA, MCKAY'S WHITE	Potentilla fruticosa 'McKay's White'	2'	3'	5 Gallon	18" (h) FULL SPECIMEN, EVENLY AND WELL BRANCHED
\bigcirc	4	~	SAND CHERRY, WESTERN PAWNEE BUTTES	Prunus besseyi 'Pawnee Buttes'	30"	6'	5 Gallon	24" (h) FULL SPECIMEN, EVENLY AND WELL BRANCHED
	33	-	SPIREA, BLUE MIST	Caryopteris x clandonensis 'Blue Mist'	4'	3'	5 Gallon	24" (h) FULL SPECIMEN, EVENLY AND WELL BRANCHED
\square	46		SUMAC, THREE LEAF	Rhus trilobata	5'	5'	5 Gallon	24" (h) FULL SPECIMEN, EVENLY AND WELL BRANCHED
PERENNIALS / GRAS	SES	590						
ERENNIALS / GRAS	28		GRASS, AVENA	Helichtotrichon sempervirens	2'	2'	1 Gallon	WELL ROOTED AND
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	GRASS, AVENA GRASS, GRAMA BLONDE AMBITION	Bouteloua gracilis 'Blonde	2'	2'	1 Gallon	ESTABLISHED WELL ROOTED AND
* 209 -		GRAMA GRASS, FEATHER REED	Ambition' Calamagrostis acutiflora 'Karl	4'	2'	1 Gallon	ESTABLISHED WELL ROOTED AND	
Ø	187	1.50		Foerster'				ESTABLISHED WELL ROOTED AND
\oplus	50	1.16	GRASS, FOUNTAIN	Pennisetum alopecuroides	4'	2.5'	1 Gallon	ESTABLISHED
\bigotimes	56		GRASS, HEAVY METAL BLUE SWITCH	Panicum virgatum 'Heavy Metal'	3'	18"	1 Gallon	WELL ROOTED AND ESTABLISHED

NOTE: THE WIRE BETWEEN THE STAKE AND THE TREE MUST HAVE SLACK TREE TRUNK — T-POST - GROMMETED NYLON STRAP, TYP. TIE GROMMETED NYLON STRAPS TO STAKE WITH WIRE. WIRE CEDAR MULCH RING TO BE TWICE ENDS SHALL BE BENT BACK TO ELIMINATE BURRS AND WHITE PVC DIAMETER OF ROOT BALL - 2" DEPTH PIPE ALONG ENTIRE LENGTH OF WIRE FOR VISUAL AND SAFETY TOP OF ROOT CROWN TO TOP OF ROOT CROWN TO BE 1" HIGHER HIGHER THAN FINISH GRAD KEEP MULCH LAYER THAN FINISH GRADE AWAY FROM FOLIAGE MULCH - SEE N DRIVE THREE (3) T-POSTS PER TREE FOR TREES OVER 6' IN HEIGHT. MAXIMUM DRIVE TWO (2) T-POSTS FOR TREES 6' IN HEIGHT OR LESS. SPACE ANCHORS EQUALLY AROUND TRUNK. AVOID DAMAGE TO BRANCHES. - REMOVE WIRE CAGE AND/OR TWINE. OPEN BURLAP OPEN BURLAP AROUND TR AROUND TRUNK. CUT & REMOVE TOP 1/3 OF BURLAP CUT & REMOVE TOP 1/3 OF <u>3" MIN.</u> SLOW RELEASE FERTILIZE - SLOW RELEASE FERTILIZER TABLET (TYP.) BACKFILL W/ 2/3 NATIVE SC BACKFILL W/ 2/3 NATIVE SOIL & 1/3 & 1/3 COMPOST. THOROUG COMPOST. THOROUGHLY WATER WATER SETTLE EXISTING -PLANTING HOLE SOIL TO BE 6" LARGER - EXISTING SOIL THAN DIA. OF ROOTBALL FOR SECTION GROUNDCOVER WIRE BASKETS AND TWINE SHALL BE COMPLETELY 12" LARGER THAN REMOVED PRIOR TO TREE INSTALLATION. DIA. OF ROOTBALL FOR SHRUBS

GROUND COVER & SHRUB PLANTING DETAIL

Tree Protection Notes

ydrozone Table ZONE HIGH MOD VERY ΤΟΤΑΙ

ESTABLISHED

1. SEE APPROVED LANDSCAPE PLAN FOR SPECIFIC LOCATIONS OF TREES TO BE REMOVED, AND TREES TO BE PROTECTED. EXISTING TREES MARKED FOR PROTECTION AND PRESERVATION SHALL NOT BE REMOVED OR MITIGATED. HEAVY EQUIPMENT SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO COMPACT OVER THE SOIL OVER THE ROOT ZONE OF EXISTING TREES. AVOID CUTTING SURFACE ROOTS WHEREVER POSSIBLE. SIDEWALKS AND PAVING LEVELS SHOULD BE CONTOURED SUFFICIENTLY TO

AVOID DAMAGE. ROOT CUTS FROM EXCAVATION SHOULD BE DONE RAPIDLY. SMOOTH FLUSH CUTS SHOULD BE MADE. BACKFILL BEFORE THE ROOTS HAVE A CHANCE TO DRY OUT AND WATER THE TREE IMMEDIATELY.

PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION, ALL PROTECTED TREES SHALL HAVE ORANGE PROTECTION BARRIER FENCING ERECTED, WHICH AS A MINIMUM ARE SUPPORTED BY I" X I" OR SIMILAR STURDY STOCK, FOR SHIELDING OF PROTECTED TREES, NO CLOSER THAN SIX (6) FEET FROM THE TRUNK OR ONE HALF (I /2) OF THE DRIP LINE, WHICH EVER IS GREATER. WITHIN THIS PROTECTION ZONE THERE SHALL BE NO MOVEMENT OF EQUIPMENT OR STORAGE OF EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS, DEBRIS, FILL OR CUT UNLESS APPROVED BY THE CITY FORESTER. ALL EXISTING TREES OR OFF-SITE TREES THAT OVER HANG ONTO PROPERTY SHALL BE PRUNED TO THE CITY FORESTER'S "MEDIUM PRUNE

STANDARDS." WITHIN THE DRIP LINE OF ANY PROTECTED EXISTING TREE, THERE SHALL BE NO CUT OR FILL OVER A FOUR INCH DEPTH UNLESS A

QUALIFIED ARBORIST OR FORESTER HAS EVALUATED AND APPROVED THE DISTURBANCE. DURING THE CONSTRUCTION STAGE OF DEVELOPMENT, THE APPLICANT SHALL PREVENT THE CLEANING OF EQUIPMENT OR MATERIAL OR THE STORAGE OR DISPOSAL OF WASTE MATERIAL SUCH AS PAINTS, OILS, SOLVENTS, ASPHALT, CONCRETE, MOTOR OIL OR ANY OTHER MATERIAL HARMFUL TO THE LIFE OF A TREE, WITHIN THE DRIP LINE OF ANY PROTECTED TREE OR GROUP OF TREES.

NO DAMAGING ATTACHMENT, WIRES, SIGNS OR PERMITS MAY BE FASTENED TO ANY PROTECTED TREE.

igation Notes

1. ENTIRE IRRIGATION SYSTEM WITH RAIN SENSOR TO BE DESIGNED FOR LANDSCAPE SHOWN ON PLAN AND BUILT BY CONTRACTOR UTILIZING EXISTING IRRIGATION SYSTEM. IRRIGATION CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY P.S.I. AND GPM AVAILABLE. SYSTEM SHALL BE DESIGNED TO MEET THE AVAILABLE P.S.I. AND GPM.

2. ALL INDICATED SOD GRASS AREAS ARE TO BE IRRIGATED BY A PERMANENT UNDERGROUND AUTOMATIC IRRIGATION SYSTEM. TURF AREAS LESS THAN 25 FEET IN WIDTH ARE TO BE IRRIGATED WITH POP-UP SPRAY HEADS AND AREAS GREATER THAN 25FEET SHALL USE A ROTOR POP-UP SPRAY SYSTEM.

3. ALL TREES, SHRUBS AND PERENNIALS OUTSIDE OF POP-UP IRRIGATED AREAS, ARE TO BE IRRIGATED WITH A PERMANENT DRIP IRRIGATION SYSTEM WITH RAIN SENSOR. IRRIGATION SYSTEM AND NECESSARY SLEEVING WILL BE DESIGNED AND BUILT BY CONTRACTOR AND ADJUSTED TO A LOW WATER REQUIREMENT, BASED ON THE NEEDS OF SELECTED PLANT MATERIAL.

4. QUICK COUPLERS SHALL BE PROVIDED AT EACH POINT OF CONNECTION AND AT REGULAR SPACING ALONG THE IRRIGATION MAINLINE. SPACING OF QUICK COUPLES SHALL NOT EXCEED 200 FEET. LOCATE QUICK COUPLING VALVE AT A POINT OF EASY ACCESS. 5. ALL IRRIGATION TRENCHES SHALL BE PROPERLY WATERED AND COMPACTED TO AVOID FUTURE SETTLING. ANY SETTLING DURING

WARRANTY PERIOD WILL BE REPAIRED BY THE CONTRACTOR AT NO COST TO THE OWNER. 6. COORDINATE ALL IRRIGATION WORK WITH EXISTING UTILITIES AND RESPECTIVE TRADES.

7. ALL IRRIGATION SLEEVING SHALL BE PROVIDED AND INSTALLED BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR. IRRIGATION CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE SLEEVING LOCATIONS WITH GENERAL CONTRACTOR. ALL IRRIGATION SLEEVING TO BE STAKED IN THE FIELD OR LOCATED ON DIMENSIONED "AS-BUILT" DRAWING BY THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR TO ALLOW FUTURE USE AND LOCATION.

ZONE	AREA		WATER USE	GALLONS			
HIGH	8,717	SF	18 GAL/SF	156,906 (GAL		
MODERATE	10,814	SF	10 GAL/SF	108,140	GAL.		
VERY LOW	28,829	SF	3 GAL/SF	86,487	GAL		
TOTAL / AVERAGE	48,360	SF	351,533 GAL	7.2 GAL	_/SF		
andscape L	egend						
8,717 S.F.	IRRIGATED TU	RF		444 S.F.		COBBLE ROCK	
	BLUE GRASS E	BLEND SOD				AREAS TO RECEIVE 4"-6" COBBLE OVER WEED BARRIER FABRIC	
10,626 S.F.	SHREDDED CE		MULCH	188 S.F.		PERENNIAL PLANTING	
	ALL SHRUB BE MINIMUM 4"-6" MULCH					AGASTACHE RUPESTRIS (SUNSET HYSSOP)	
28,829 S.F.	NON-IRRIGATE GRASS MIX	D NATIVE PR	ARIES MEADOW		:	STEEL EDGER, ROUNDED TOP	
	ARKANSAS VA APPROVED EC		IIX (OR			EXISTING TREES	
			lative Grass :	Seed Mix			
				E PRAIRIE MEADOW GRA		JTTE SEED, INC OR ARKANSAS VALLEY	
			SEEDS.				REVISIONS DATE
		2.		IXTURE THAT MATCHES			
			PRAIRIE JUN	RAMA ASS A TGRASS SH SQUIRRELTAIL	% 17.5° 38.8° 3.7' 5.6' 11.3° 1.2' 20.0°	% 6.2 % 0.6 % 0.9 % 1.8 % 0.2	
N TO BE 1" GRADE			ALKALI SAC		1.9		
SEE NOTES - 5" D)EPTH	3.	PERPENDICULAR D	. ,	PER ACI	RE (0.37 LBS / 1000 SF) IN TWO	
- Finisi	H GRADE	4.	PROVIDED FOR THE THAT NATIVE GRAS OF WEEDS, TRASH	E ESTABLISHMENT AND M SES SHALL BE MAINTAIN	MAINTEN, NED IN A L NOT RE	GATION OR BY WATER TRUCK WILL BE ANCE FOR THESE SEEDED AREAS, AND CONDITION OF ACCEPTABLE HEIGHT, FREE EPRESENT A FIRE HAZARD NOR BECOME A	
ND TRUNK.							DATE
/3 OF BURLAP		M	JLCH IN ALL NATIVE S	EED AREAS:			
ILIZER TABLET (T /E SOIL	YP.)	1.	IMMEDIATELY FOLL AREAS.	OWING THE RAKING OPE	ERATION	, ADD STRAW MULCH TO THE SEEDED	10.09.13
ROUGHLY		2.	APPLY STRAW MUL STRAW MULCH UNI DO NOT MULCH WH	SHEET TITLE			
		3.	NOTED ABOVE AND FLAT SERRATED DI MORE THAN 9 INCH OF THE EQUIPMEN	ANCHOR IT INTO THE SO SC AT LEAS ¼ INCH IN TH ES APART, WITH DISCS I FROM DRAGGING THE SS THE SLOPE WHERE P	OIL. USE HICKNES OF SUFFI MULCH.	PRACTICAL, PLACE MULCH IN THE MANNER E A DISC SUCH AS A MULCH TILLER, WITH A S, HAVING DULL EDGES, AND SPACE NO ICIENT DIAMETER TO PREVENT THE FRAME ANCHOR MULCH A MINIMUM DEPTH OF 2 AL WITH NO MORE THAN TWO PASSES OF	Landscape Notes, Details & Schedule
		4.	AREAS SHALL BE IF			G AND BINDING OPERATION, THE SEEDED NCHES OF SOIL EVENLY MOIST UNTIL SEED EIGHT OF 2-INCHES.	
		5.				NER WHICH WILL PROVIDE UNIFORM MOVEMENT, OR DAMAGE TO THE FINISHED	

SURFACE.

PROJECT TITLE

CENTRE FOR ADVANCED **TECHNOLOGY 23RD** FILING SUNSHINE HOUSE -FC 139

2060 Perennial Lane Fort Collins, Colorado PREPARED FOR

Colorado State University Research Foundation PO Box 483 Fort Collins CO 80522 Contact: Bo Brown Phone: 970.492.4503

REVISIONS	_	DATE	
		DAIL	
			_
			_
			_
			_
			_
			_
			_
			_
			_
			_
			_
			_
			_
			_
			_
			_

	GR	OU	Ρ

Berthoud, CO 80513 | WEB TBGroup.us

SEAL____

l.

444 Mountain Ave. | TEL 970.532.5891

landscape architecture planning illustration

Landscape Notes, **Details & Schedules**

OF

AMAGE TO THE FINISHED	
	SHEE

Landscape Plan



KEY	QTY	RATIO	COMMON NAME	landscape architecture planning illustra
SHADE / CANOPY	TREES -	16		
	10	18.5%	HACKBERRY, WESTERN	444 Mountain Ave. те. 970.532.58 Berthoud,CO 80513 weв TBGroup
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	8 2	20.4%	HONEYLOCUST, IMPERIAL	SEAL
$\Diamond$	6	11.1%	OAK, SHUMARD	
EVERGREEN TRE	es -	9		
MOR	4	7.4%	PINE,AUSTRIAN	
	5	9.3%	SPRUCE, BAKERI	
ORNAMENTAL TR	REES -	29		PROJECT TITLE
	8	14.8%	CRABAPPLE, SPRING SNOW	CENTRE FOR ADVANCED
	5	9.3%	MAPLE, AMUR	TECHNOLOGY 23R FILING SUNSHINE HOUSE
	7	13.0%	PEAR, CHANTICLEER	FC 139 2060 Perennial Lane
	9	16.7%	SERVICEBERRY, AUTUMN BRILLANCE	Fort Collins, Colorado
VERGREEN SHR	UBS -	20		Colorado State University Research Foundation
and and a start	20	2	PINE, MUGO SLOWMOUND	PO Box 483
DECIDUOUS SHRI	JBS -	292		Fort Collins CO 80522 Contact: Bo Brown
	12	=	BUTTERFLY BUSH, COMPACT PURPLE	Phone: 970.492.4503
$\bigotimes$	37	-	CHOKEBERRY, BRILLIANT RED	
0	24	Ę	EUONYMUS, COMPACT BURNING BUSH	
$\odot$	41	-	LILAC, DWARF KOREAN	
$\odot$	95	-	POTENTILLA, MCKAY'S WHITE	
$\bigcap$	4		SAND CHERRY, WESTERN PAWNEE	
 @	33		BUTTES SPIREA, BLUE MIST	
$\square$	46	-	SUMAC, THREE LEAF	
PERENNIALS / GR		590	initialiticade enclosed enclosed enclosed exempt	
	28	-	GRASS, AVENA	
*	269	-	GRASS, GRAMA BLONDE AMBITION	
Ø	187	-	GRAMA GRASS, FEATHER REED	
	50		GRASS, FOUNTAIN	
۲				

COMMON NAME	SIZE	CONDITION	TO BE REMOVED	MITIGATION REQUIRED	REVISIONS
1. COTTONWOOD 28"MULTI-STEM FAIR		YES	YES - 2		
			TOTAL MITIGATION	2 TREES	



# UTILITY PLANS FOR CENTRE FOR ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 23RDFILING SUNSHINE HOUSE - FC 139



# CONTACT INFORMATION

PROJECT TEAM:











## **PROJECT BENCHMARKS:**

BENCHMARK #1: City of Fort Collins Benchmark 14-97 Elevation= 5048.58 BENCHMARK #2: City of Fort Collins Benchmark 1-93 Elevation= 5023.27

Basis of Bearings

Basis of Bearings is the Southeastern lot line of Tract A as N42°49'17"E (assumed bearing).

### ORIGINAL FIELD SURVEY BY:

Northern Engineering Services, Inc NE Project No. 502-001 Date: October 20, 2008

ADDITIONAL FIELD SURVEYS: Northern Engineering Services, Inc Date(s): March 24, 2009, March 22, 2010, & October 04, 2013

## SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION BY:

Earth Engineering, Inc. "SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION REPORT PROPOSED OFFICE BUILDING DEVELOPMENT CSURF TRACT A AT THE GROVE" EEC Project No. 1132061 Date: September 17, 2013

### DISCLAIMER STATEMENT:

These plans have been reviewed by the City of Fort Collins for concept only. The review does not imply responsibility by the reviewing department, the City of Fort Collins Engineer, or the City of Fort Collins for accuracy and correctness of the calculations. Furthermore, the review does not imply that quantities of items on the plans are the final quantities required. The review shall not be construed for any reason as acceptance of financial responsibility by the City of Fort Collins for additional quantities of items shown that may be required during the construction phase.

### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT:**

I hereby affirm that these final construction plans were prepared under my direct supervision, in accordance with all applicable City of Fort Collins and State of Colorado standards and statutes respectively; and that I am fully responsible for the accuracy of all design. revisions, and record conditions that I have noted on these plans.



IORTHERN NE

A REPLAT OF TRACT A, THE GROVE FORT COLLINS, LOCATED IN SECTION 23, TOWNSHIP 7 NORTH, RANGE 69 WEST OF THE 6th P.M., CITY OF FORT COLLINS, COUNTY OF LARIMER, STATE OF COLORADO

OWNER Colorado State University Research Foundation (CSURF) Michael 'Bo' Brown P.O. Box 483 Fort Collins, Colorado 80522 (970) 472-0491



DEVELOPER/APPLICANT Colorado State University Research Foundation (CSURF) Michael 'Bo' Brown P.O. Box 483 Fort Collins, Colorado 80522

PLANNER/ LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT The Birdsall Group Cathy Mathis, APA 444 Mountain Ave. Berthoud, Colorado 80513 (970) 532-5891



ARCHITECT Aller .Lingle .Massey Ian Shuff, AIA 712 Whalers Way Fort Collins, Colorado 80525 (970) 223-1820

(970) 472-0491



SITE ENGINEER Northern Engineering Services, Inc. Nick Haws, PE 200 South College Avenue, Suite 010 NGINEERING Fort Collins, Colorado 80524 (970) 221-4158

SURVEYOR Northern Engineering Services, Inc. Gary Gilliland, PLS 200 South College Avenue, Suite 010 ENGINEERING Fort Collins, Colorado 80524 (970) 221-4158



**TRAFFIC ENGINEER** Matt Delich, PE **Delich Associates** 2272 Glen Haven Drive Loveland, Colorado 80538 (970) 669-2061



Phone: 970-556-057

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER Earth Engineering Company, Inc. Lester Litton, PE 4396 Greenfield Drive Vindsor, Colorado 80550 (970) 545-3908

MECHANICAL ENGINEER Integrated Mechanical Thomas Segelhorst, PE 223 Linden St., Suite 204 Fort Collins, Colorado 80524 (970) 556-0570

#### UTILITY CONTACT LIST: *

UTILITY COMPANY	PH	ONE NUMBER
GAS Xcel Energy	Stephanie Rich	(970) 225-7857
ELECTRIC City of Fort Collins Light & Power	Doug Martine	(970) 224-6152
CABLE Comcast	Don Kapperman	(970) 567-0425
TELECOMCenturyLink	- William Johnson	(970) 377-6401
WATER City of Fort Collins Utilities	Roger Buffington	(970) 221-6700
WASTEWATERCity of Fort Collins Utilities	Roger Buffington	(970) 221-6700
STORMWATER- City of Fort Collins Utilities	Glen Schlueter	(970) 221-6700

* This list is provided as a courtesy reference only. Northern Engineering Services assumes no responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of this list. In no way shall this list relinquish the Contractor's responsibility for locating all utilities prior to commencing any construction activity. Please contact the Utility Notification Center of Colorado (UNCC) at 811 for additional information.



## SHEET INDEX

C0.00	COVER SHEET
C0.01	GENERAL & CONSTRUCTION NOTES
1-2	SUBDIVISION PLAT (FOR REFERENCE ONLY)
C1.00	EXISTING CONDITIONS & DEMOLITION PLAN
C2.00	UTILITY PLAN
C2.01	STORM SEWER PLAN
C3.00	GRADING PLAN
C4.00	DRAINAGE EXHIBIT
C5.00-C5.04	CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
C6.00	EROSION CONTROL PLAN
C6.10	EROSION CONTROL DETAILS



CALL UTILITY NOTIFICATION CENTER OF
COLORADO



City of Fort Collins, Colorado UTILITY PLAN APPROVAL				
APPROVED:	City Engineer	Date		
CHECKED BY:	Water & Wastewater Utility	Date		
CHECKED BY:	Stormwater Utility	Date		
CHECKED BY:	Parks & Recreation	Date		
CHECKED BY:	Traffic Engineer	Date		
CHECKED BY:	Environmental Planner	Date		

#### A. GENERAL NOTES

- 1. All materials, workmanship, and construction of ppublic improvements shall meet or exceed the standards and specifications set forth in it Larimer County Urban Area Street Standards and applicable state and federal regulations. Where there is conflict between these plans an the specifications, or any applicable standards, the most restrictive standard shall apply. All work shall be inspected and approved by the City of Fort Collins.
- 2. All references to any published standards shall refer to the latest revision of said standard, unless specifically stated otherwise.
- 3. These public improvement construction plans shall be valid for a period of three years from the date of approval by the City of Fort Collins Engineer. Use of these plans after the expiration date will require a new review and approval process by the City of Fort Collins prior to commencement of any work shown in these plans.
- 4. The engineer who has prepared these plans, by execution and/or seal hereof, does hereby affirm responsibility to the City of Fort Collins, beneficiary of said engineer's work, for any errors and omissions contained in these plans, and approval of these plans by the City of Fort Collins Engineer shall not relieve the engineer who has prepared these plans of all such responsibility. Further, to the extent permitted by law, the engineer hereby agrees to hold harmless and indemnify the City of Fort Collins, and its officers and employees, from and against liabilities, claims, and demands which may arise from any errors and omissions contained in these plans.
- 5. All storm sewer construction, as well as power and other "dry" utility installations, shall conform to the City of Fort Collins standards and specifications current at the date of approval of the plans by the City of Fort Collins Engineer.
- 6. The type, size, location and number of all known underground utilities are approximate when shown on the drawings. It shall be the responsibility of the Developer to verify the existence and location of all underground utilities along the route of the work before commenc new construction. The Developer shall be responsible for unknown underground utilities.
- 7. The Developer shall contact the Utility Notification Center of Colorado (UNCC) at 1-800-922-1987, at least 2 working days prior to beginn excavation or grading, to have all registered utility locations marked. Other unregistered utility entities (i.e. ditch / irrigation company) are be located by contacting the respective representative. Utility service laterals are also to be located prior to beginning excavation or gradin It shall be the responsibility of the Developer to relocate all existing utilities that conflict with the proposed improvements shown on these
- 8. The Developer shall be responsible for protecting all utilities during construction and for coordinating with the appropriate utility company any utility crossings required.
- 9. If a conflict exists between existing and proposed utilities and/or a design modification is required, the Developer shall coordinate with the engineer to modify the design. Design modification(s) must be approved by the City of Fort Collins prior to beginning construction.
- 10. The Developer shall coordinate and cooperate with the City of Fort Collins, and all utility companies involved, to assure that the work is accomplished in a timely fashion and with a minimum disruption of service. The Developer shall be responsible for contacting, in advance all parties affected by any disruption of any utility service as well as the utility companies.
- 11. No work may commence within any public storm water, sanitary sewer or potable water system until the Developer notifies the utility provider. Notification shall be a minimum of 2 working days prior to commencement of any work. At the discretion of the water utility provider, a pre-construction meeting may be required prior to commencement of any work.
- 12. The Developer shall sequence installation of utilities in such a manner as to minimize potential utility conflicts. In general, storm sewer an sanitary sewer should be constructed prior to installation of the water lines and dry utilities.
- 13. The minimum cover over water lines is 4.5 feet and the maximum cover is 5.5 feet unless otherwise noted in the plans and approved by t Water Utility.
- 14. A State Construction Dewatering Wastewater Discharge Permit is required if dewatering is required in order to install utilities or if water is discharged into a storm sewer, channel, irrigation ditch or any waters of the United States.
- 15. The Developer shall comply with all terms and conditions of the Colorado Permit for Storm Water Discharge (Contact Colorado Department of Health, Water Quality Control Division, (303) 692-3590), the Storm Water Management Plan, and the Erosion Control Plan.
- 16. The City of Fort Collins shall not be responsible for the maintenance of storm drainage facilities located on private property. Maintenance onsite drainage facilities shall be the responsibility of the property owner(s).
- 17. Prior to final inspection and acceptance by the City of Fort Collins, certification of the drainage facilities, by a registered engineer, must be submitted to and approved by the Stormwater Utility Department. Certification shall be submitted to the Stormwater Utility Department at least two weeks prior to the release of a certificate of occupancy for single family units. For commercial properties, certification shall be submitted to the Stormwater Utility Department at least two weeks prior to the release of any building permits in excess of those allowed prior to certification per the Development Agreement.
- 18. The City of Fort Collins shall not be responsible for any damages or injuries sustained in this Development as a result of groundwater seepage, whether resulting from groundwater flooding, structural damage or other damage unless such damage or injuries are sustained a result of the City of Fort Collins failure to properly maintain its water, wastewater, and/or storm drainage facilities in the development.
- 19. All recommendations of the Final Drainage and Erosion Control Report for Sunshine House FC 139 dated October 9, 2013by Northern Engineering Services. Inc., shall be followed and implemented
- 20. Temporary erosion control during construction shall be provided as shown on the Erosion Control Plan. All erosion control measures shal be maintained in good repair by the Developer, until such time as the entire disturbed areas is stabilized with hard surface or landscaping.
- 21. The Developer shall be responsible for insuring that no mud or debris shall be tracked onto the existing public street system. Mud and debris must be removed within 24 hours by an appropriate mechanical method (i.e. machine broom sweep, light duty front-end loader, etc or as approved by the the City of Fort Collins street inspector.
- 22. No work may commence within any improved or unimproved public Right-of-Way until a Right-of-Way Permit or Development Construction Permit is obtained, if applicable,
- 23. The Developer shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits for all applicable agencies prior to commencement of construction The Developer shall notify the the City of Fort Collins Inspector (Fort Collins - 221-6605) and the City of Fort Collins Erosion Control Inspector (Fort Collins - 221-6700) at least 2 working days prior to the start of any earth disturbing activity, or construction on any and all public improvements. If the City of Fort Collins Engineer is not available after proper notice of construction activity has been provided, the Developer may commence work in the Engineer's absence. However, the City of Fort Collins reserves the right not to accept the improvement if subsequent testing reveals an improper installation.
- 24. The Developer shall be responsible for obtaining soils tests within the Public Right-of-Way after right of way grading and all utility trench work is complete and prior to the placement of curb, gutter, sidewalk and pavement. If the final soils/pavement design report does not correspond with the results of the original geotechnical report, the Developer shall be responsible for a re-design of the subject pavement section or. the Developer may use the City of Fort Collins' default pavement thickness section(s). Regardless of the option used, all final soils/pavement design reports shall be prepared by a licensed Professional Engineer. The final report shall be submitted to the Inspector minimum of 10 working days prior to placement of base and asphalt. Placement of curb, gutter, sidewalk, base and asphalt shall not occu until the City of Fort Collins Engineer approves the final report.
- 25. The contractor shall hire a licensed engineer or land surveyor to survey the constructed elevations of the street subgrade and the gutter flowline at all intersections, inlets, and other locations requested by the the City of Fort Collins inspector. The engineer or surveyor must certify in a letter to the City of Fort Collins that these elevations conform to the approved plans and specifications. Any deviations shall be noted in the letter and then resolved with the City of Fort Collins before installation of base course or asphalt will be allowed on the streets
- 26. All utility installations within or across the roadbed of new residential roads must be completed prior to the final stages of road constructio For the purposes of these standards, any work except c/g above the subgrade is considered final stage work. All service lines must be stubbed to the property lines and marked so as to reduce the excavation necessary for building connections.
- 27. Portions of Larimer County are within overlay districts. The Larimer County Flood Plain Resolution should be referred to for additional criteria for roads within these districts.
- 28. All road construction in areas designated as Wild Fire Hazard Areas shall be done in accordance with the construction criteria as established in the Wild Fire Hazard Area Mitigation Regulations in force at the time of final plat approval.
- 29. Prior to the commencement of any construction, the contractor shall contact the Local Entity Forester to schedule a site inspection for any tree removal requiring a permit.
- 30. The Developer shall be responsible for all aspects of safety including, but not limited to, excavation, trenching, shoring, traffic control, and security. Refer to OSHA Publication 2226, Excavating and Trenching.
- 31. The Developer shall submit a Construction Traffic Control Plan, in accordance with MUTCD, to the appropriate Right-of-Way authority. (The the City of Fort Collins, Larimer County, Colorado), for approval, prior to any construction activities within, or affecting, the Right-of-Way. The Developer shall be responsible for providing any and all traffic control devices as may be required by the construction activities.
- 32. Prior to the commencement of any construction that will affect traffic signs of any type, the contractor shall contact the City of Fort Collins Traffic Operations Department, who will temporarily remove or relocate the sign at no cost to the contractor, however, if the contractor moves the traffic sign then the contractor will be charged for the labor, materials and equipment to reinstall the sign as needed.
- 33. The Developer is responsible for all costs for the initial installation of traffic signing and striping for the Development related to the Development's local street operations. In addition, the Developer is responsible for all costs for traffic signing and striping related to directing traffic access to and from the Development.

		<u> </u>	B. Street Improvement Notes
the nd	34. There shall be no site construction activities on Saturdays, unless specifically approved by the City of Fort Collins construction activities on Sundays or holidays, unless there is prior written approval by Larimer County.	Engineer, and no site	<ol> <li>All street construction is here.</li> </ol>
	35. The Developer is responsible for providing all labor and materials necessary for the completion of the intended im these drawings, or designated to be provided, installed, or constructed, unless specifically noted otherwise.	nprovements, shown on	<ol> <li>A paving section design, approval, prior to any str</li> </ol>
S	36. Dimensions for layout and construction are not to be scaled from any drawing. If pertinent dimensions are not sho for clarification, and annotate the dimension on the as-built record drawings.	own, contact the Designer	The job mix shall be sub
	37. The Developer shall have, onsite at all times, one (1) signed copy of the approved plans, one (1) copy of the appr specifications, and a copy of any permits and extension agreements needed for the job.		<ol> <li>Where proposed paving edge, to create a clean of construction joint can be</li> </ol>
, as t	38. If, during the construction process, conditions are encountered which could indicate a situation that is not identifie specifications, the Developer shall contact the Designer and the City of Fort Collins Engineer immediately.	ed in the plans or	<ol> <li>Street subgrades shall b subgrade has been inspectively</li> </ol>
t all	39. The Developer shall be responsible for recording as-built information on a set of record drawings kept on the consto the Larimer County's Inspector at all times. Upon completion of the work, the contractor(s) shall submit record collins Engineer.		5. Ft. Collins only. Valve bo rings are not allowed.
	<ul><li>40. The Designer shall provide, in this location on the plan, the location and description of the nearest survey benchr well as the basis of bearings. The information shall be as follows:</li></ul>		<ol> <li>When an existing asphal existing street condition conformance with Chapt</li> </ol>
ing	City of Fort Collins benchmark 14-97 Approximately 100 feet west of the intersection of Centre Ave. and Research Blvd., on the west end of the south Elevation=5048.58		<ul><li>determination of need fo adjacent landowners suc</li><li>7. All traffic control devices</li></ul>
to ng.	<u>City of Fort Collins benchmark 1-93</u> South Shields St. at the entrance to Rolland Moore Park, on east end of planter on top of curb		and as per the Right-of-\ 3. The Developer is require
for	Elevation=5023.27 41. All stationing is based on centerline of roadways unless otherwise noted.		asphalt. Gutters that hole properly.
	42. Damaged curb, gutter and sidewalk existing prior to construction, as well as existing fences, trees, streets, sidewal landscaping, structures, and improvements destroyed, damaged or removed due to construction of this project, sl in like kind at the Developer's expense, unless otherwise indicated on these plans, prior to the acceptance of com and/or prior to the issuance of the first Certificate of Occupancy.	hall be replaced or restored	<ol> <li>Prior to placement of H.E full depth section is prop be required. The entire s 50,000 lbs. and a single not travel at speeds great determined by the City o</li> </ol>
9,	43. When an existing asphalt street must be cut, the street must be restored to a condition equal to or better than its of existing street condition shall be documented by the City of Fort Collins Construction Inspector before any cuts and done in accordance with the City of Fort Collins Street Repair Standards. The finished patch shall blend in smooth surface. All large patches shall be paved with an asphalt lay-down machine. In streets where more than one cut is entire street width, including the patched area, may be required. The determination of need for a complete overlage large patches.	re made. Patching shall be hly into the existing s made, an overlay of the	The City of Fort Collins E of an Inspector. C. Traffic Signing and Pavem
d	Larimer County Engineer and/or the City of Fort Collins Inspector at the time the cuts are made. 44. Upon completion of construction, the site shall be cleaned and restored to a condition equal to, or better than, tha	t which existed before	<ol> <li>All signage and marking Construction Notes listed</li> </ol>
he	construction, or to the grades and condition as required by these plans. 45. Standard Handicap ramps are to be constructed at all curb returns and at all "T" intersections.		<ol> <li>All symbols, including ar</li> <li>All signage shall be per f</li> </ol>
110	46. After acceptance by the City of Fort Collins, public improvements depicted in these plans shall be guaranteed to b		<ol> <li>All lane lines for asphalt</li> </ol>
5	workmanship defects for a minimum period of two years from the date of acceptance. 47. The City of Fort Collins shall not be responsible for the maintenance of roadway and appurtenant improvements,		5. All lane lines for concrete
ent	<ul> <li>47. The City of Fort Collins shall not be responsible for the maintenance of roadway and appunchant improvements, structures and pipes, for the following private streets: N.A.</li> <li>48. Approved Variances are listed as follows: N.A.</li> </ul>		<ol> <li>Prior to permanent instal placement of the same.</li> <li>striping and symbols.</li> </ol>
of	CONSTRUCTION NOTES	:	7. Pre-formed thermo-plast
e	A. Grading and Erosion Control Notes	8	3. Epoxy applications shall
	<ol> <li>The erosion control inspector must be notified at least twenty-four (24) hours prior to any construction on this site.</li> </ol>		<ol><li>All surfaces shall be thor</li></ol>
	2. There shall be no earth-disturbing activity outside the limits designated on the accepted plans.		10. All sign posts shall utilize
as	<ol> <li>All required perimeter silt and construction fencing shall be installed <u>prior</u>to any land disturbing activity (stockpiling All other required erosion control measures shall be installed at the appropriate time in the construction sequence approved project schedule, construction plans, and erosion control report.</li> </ol>	g, stripping, grading, etc). e as indicated in the	<ol> <li>A field inspection of loca identified during the field</li> <li>The Developer installing</li> </ol>
	4. At all times during construction, the Developer shall be responsible for preventing and controlling on-site erosion in property sufficiently watered as as to minimize wind blown acdiment. The Developer shall also be responsible for		<ol> <li>Special care shall be tak</li> </ol>
II I.	<ul> <li>property sufficiently watered so as to minimize wind blown sediment. The Developer shall also be responsible for all erosion control facilities shown herein.</li> <li>5. Pre-disturbance vegetation shall be protected and retained wherever possible. Removal or disturbance of existing</li> </ul>		14. Signage and striping has Fort Collins Traffic Engin determines that an unfor
c.)	limited to the area(s) required for immediate construction operations, and for the shortest practical period of time.		striping shall fall under th
on	6. All soils exposed during land disturbing activity (stripping, grading, utility installations, stockpiling, filling, etc.) shal condition by ripping or disking along land contours until mulch, vegetation, or other permanent erosion control BM in areas outside project street rights-of-way shall remain exposed by land disturbing activity for more than thirty (3 temporary or permanent erosion control (e.g. seed/mulch, landscaping, etc.) is installed, unless otherwise approv	1Ps are installed. No soils     30) days before required	<ol> <li>Sleeves for sign posts sł</li> <li>Storm Drainage Notes</li> </ol>
I.	7. In order to minimize erosion potential, all temporary (structural) erosion control measures shall:	1	<ol> <li>The City of Fort Collins s onsite drainage facilities</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>a. Be inspected at a minimum of once every two (2) weeks and after each significant storm event and repaired of necessary in order to ensure the continued performance of their intended function.</li> <li>b. Remain in place until such time as all the surrounding disturbed areas are sufficiently stabilized as determined</li> </ul>		<ol> <li>All recommendations of Engineering Services, In</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>inspector.</li> <li>c. Be removed after the site has been sufficiently stabilized as determined by the erosion control inspector.</li> <li>8. When temporary erosion control measures are removed, the Developer shall be responsible for the clean up and</li> </ul>		<ol> <li>Prior to final inspection a submitted to and approv least two weeks prior to submitted to the Stormw</li> </ol>
t	and debris from all drainage infrastructure and other public facilities.		prior to certification per t
a ır	<ol> <li>The contractor shall immediately clean up any construction materials inadvertently deposited on existing streets, s rights of way, and make sure streets and walkways are cleaned at the end of each working day.</li> </ol>	sidewalks, or other public	E. Utility Notes I. All waterline and sanita
	<ol> <li>All retained sediments, particularly those on paved roadway surfaces, shall be removed and disposed of in a mar to cause their release into any waters of the United States.</li> </ol>		<ol> <li>The minimum cover over water utility.</li> </ol>
9 S.	11. No soil stockpile shall exceed ten (10) feet in height. All soil stockpiles shall be protected from sediment transpor watering, and perimeter silt fencing. Any soil stockpile remaining after thirty (30) days shall be seeded and mulch		3. Water mains shall be po
on.	12. The stormwater volume capacity of detention ponds will be restored and storm sewer lines will be cleaned upon c and before turning the maintenance over to the City/County or Homeowners Association (HOA).	completion of the project	<ol> <li>HDPE pipe may be used ASTM. The HDPE pipe</li> </ol>
	13. City Ordinance and Colorado Discharge Permit System (CDPS) requirements make it unlawful to discharge or all pollutant or contaminated water from construction sites. Pollutants include, but are not limited to discarded buildir truck washout, chemicals, oil and gas products, litter, and sanitary waste. The developer shall at all times take will necessary to assure the proper containment and disposal of pollutants on the site in accordance with any and all federal regulations.	ng materials, concrete hatever measures are	wire shall be installed wi wire test lid per City Wat
ý	14. A designated area shall be provided on site for concrete truck chute washout. The area shall be constructed so a material and located at least fifty (50) feet away from any waterway during construction. Upon completion of cons concrete washout material will be removed and properly disposed of prior to the area being restored.		
t	15. Conditions in the field may warrant erosion control measures in addition to what is shown on these plans. The De whatever measures are determined necessary, as directed by the City.	eveloper shall implement	
	······································		

16. See separate Stormwater Management Plan / Erosion Control Report for Sunshine House - FC 139 for additional information

on is subject to the General Notes on the cover sheet of these plans as well as the Street Improvements Notes listed

esign, signed and stamped by a Colorado licensed Engineer, must be submitted to the City of Fort Collins Engineer for ny street construction activity, (full depth asphalt sections are not permitted at a depth greater than 8 inches of asphalt). submitted for approval prior to placement of any asphalt.

wing adjoins existing asphalt, the existing asphalt shall be saw cut, a minimum distance of 12 inches from the existing ean construction joint. The Developer shall be required to remove existing pavement to a distance where a clean an be made. Wheel cuts shall not be allowed unless approved by the City of Fort Collins Engineer in Fort Collins.

hall be scarified the top 12 inches and re-compacted prior to subbase installation. No base material shall be laid until the inspected and approved by the City of Fort Collins Engineer.

Ive boxes and manholes are to be brought up to grade at the time of pavement placement or overlay. Valve box adjusting

sphalt street must be cut, the street must be restored to a condition equal to or better than its original condition. The lition shall be documented by the Inspector before any cuts are made. Cutting and patching shall be done in Chapter 25, Reconstruction and Repair. The finished patch shall blend smoothly into the existing surface. The ed for a complete overlay shall be made by the City of Fort Collins Engineer. All overlay work shall be coordinated with s such that future projects do not cut the new asphalt overlay work.

vices shall be in conformance with these plans or as otherwise specified in M.U.T.C.D. (including Colorado supplement) t-of-Way Work Permit traffic control plan.

equired to perform a gutter water flow test in the presence of the City of Fort Collins Inspector and prior to installation of t hold more than 1/4 inch deep or 5 feet longitudinally, of water, shall be completely removed and reconstructed to drain

of H.B.P. or concrete within the street and after moisture/density tests have been taken on the subgrade material (when a proposed) or on the subgrade and base material (when a composite section is proposed), a mechanical "proof roll" will ntire subgrade and/or base material shall be rolled with a heavily loaded vehicle having a total GVW of not less than ingle axle weight of at least 18,000 lbs. with pneumatic tires inflated to not less that 90 p.s.i.g. "Proof roll" vehicles shall greater than 3 m.p.h. Any portion of the subgrade or base material which exhibits excessive pumping or deformation, as City of Fort Collins Engineer, shall be reworked, replaced or otherwise modified to form a smooth, non-yielding surface. llins Engineer shall be notified at least 24 hours prior to the "proof roll." All "proof rolls" shall be preformed in the presence

avement Marking Construction Notes

rking is subject to the General Notes on the cover sheet of these plans, as well as the Traffic Signing and Marking listed here.

ing arrows, ONLYS, crosswalks, stop bars, etc. shall be pre-formed thermo-plastic.

e per the City of Fort Collins Standards and these plans or as otherwise specified in MUTCD.

phalt pavement shall receive two coats of latex paint with glass beads.

ncrete pavement should be epoxy paint.

nstallation of traffic striping and symbols, the Developer shall place temporary tabs or tape depicting alignment and ame. Their placement shall be approved by the City of Fort Collins Traffic Engineer prior to permanent installation of

plastic applications shall be as specified in these Plans and/or these Standards.

shall be applied as specified in CDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction.

thoroughly cleaned prior to installation of striping or markings.

utilize break-away assemblies and fasteners per the Standards.

f location and installation of all signs shall be performed by the City of Fort Collins Traffic Engineer. All discrepancies e field inspection must be corrected before the 2-year warranty period will begin.

alling signs shall be responsible for locating and protecting all underground utilities

e taken in sign location to ensure an unobstructed view of each sign.

has been determined by information available at the time of review. Prior to initiation of the warranty period the City of Engineer reserves the right to require additional signage and/or striping if the City of Fort Collins Traffic Engineer nforeseen condition warrants such signage according to the MUTCD or the CDOT M and S Standards. All signage and nder the requirements of the 2-year warranty period for new construction (except fair wear on traffic markings).

osts shall be required for use in islands/medians. Refer to Chapter 14, Traffic Control Devices, for additional detail.

lins shall not be responsible for the maintenance of storm drainage facilities located on private property. Maintenance of ilities shall be the responsibility of the property owner(s).

ns of the Final Drainage and Erosion Control Report for Sunshine House - FC 139 dated October 9, 2013by Northern es, Inc., shall be followed and implemented.

ction and acceptance by the City of Fort Collins, certification of the drainage facilities, by a registered engineer, must by oproved by the Stormwater Utility Department. Certification shall be submitted to the Stormwater Utility Department at ior to the release of a certificate of occupancy for single family units. For commercial properties, certification shall by ormwater Utility Department at least two weeks prior to the release of any building permits in excess of those allowed per the Development Agreement.

anitary sewer construction shall conform to the Fort Utility standards and specifications current to date of construction.

r over water lines is 4.5 feet and the maximum cover is 5.5 feet unless otherwise noted in the plans and approved by the

be poly-wrapped D.I.P, or PVC with tracer wire.

used for 1-1/2 and 2 inch water services. The pipe shall meet the standards of AWWA 901, NSF Standard 61 and pipe shall be SDR 9 having a pressure rating of 200 psi. Stiffeners shall be used at all fittings and connections. Tracer led with the HDPE service, and shall extend up the curb stop. The curb stop shall be covered with a metal box and tracer Water Detail 25.

### City of Fort Collins, Colorado UTILITY PLAN APPROVAL



CALL 2 BUSINESS DAYS IN ADVANCE BEFORE YOU DIG, GRADE, OR EXCAVATE FOR THE MARKING OF UNDERGROUND MEMBER UTILITIES.

APPROVEI	D:		
		City Engineer	Date
CHECKED	BY:	Water & Wastewater Utility	Date
			Date
CHECKED	BY:	Stormwater Utility	Date
CHECKED	pv.	-	
CHECKED	DI.	Parks & Recreation	Date
CHECKED	BY:		
		Traffic Engineer	Date
CHECKED	BY:		
		Environmental Planner	Date

	Revisions:	REVIEW CTION	NOT FOR CUNUT 11/20/13
	These drawings are instruments of service provided by Northern	Engineering Services, Inc. and are not to be used for any type of construction unless signed and sealed by	a Professional Engineer in the employ of Northern Engineering Services, Inc.
	NODTUEDN	ENGINEERING	PHONE: 970.221.4158 FAX: 970.221.4159 www.northernengineerling.com
			200 South College Avenue, Suite 010 Fort Collins, Colorado 80524
	DATE: 11/20/13	SCALE: N.A.	REVIEWED BY: N. Haws
,	PR0JECT: 232-023	DESIGNED BY: C. Snowdon	DRAWN BY: C. Bowen
	CENTRE FOR ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 23 RD FILING		GENERAL & CONSTRUCTION NOTES
	CENTE		<u>G</u> EI

# CENTRE FOR ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 23RD FILING SUNSHINE HOUSE - FC 139

STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP AND SUBDIVISION

Know all persons by these presents, that the undersigned owner(s) of the following described land: A tract of land being a portion of Section 23, Township 7 North, Range 69 West of the 6th P.M., City of Fort Collins, County of Larimer, State of Colorado being more particularly described as follows:

Tract A. The Grove at Fort Collins

The above described area contains 167,245 square feet or 3.839 acres more or less and is subject to all easements and rights-of-way now on record or existing.

For themselves and their successors in interest (collectively "Owner") have caused the above described land to be surveyed and subdivided into lots, tracts and streets as shown on this Plat to be known CENTRE FOR ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 23RD FILING (the "Development"), subject to all easements and rights-of-way now of record or existing or indicated on this Plat. The rights and obligations of this Plat shall run with the land.

CERTIFICATE OF DEDICATION:

The Owner does hereby dedicate and convey to the City of Fort Collins, Colorado (hereafter "City"), for public use, forever, a permanent right-of-way for street purposes and the "Easements" as laid out and designated on this Plat; provided, however, that (1) acceptance by the City of this dedication of Easements does not impose upon the City a duty to maintain the Easements so dedicated, and (2) acceptance by the City of this dedication of streets does not impose upon the City a duty to maintain streets so dedicated until such time as the provisions of the Maintenance Guarantee have been fully satisfied. The streets dedicated on this Plat are the fee property of the City as provided in Section 31-23-107 C.R.S. The City's rights under the Easements include the right to install, operate, access, maintain, repair, reconstruct, remove and replace within the Easements public improvements consistent with the intended purpose of the Easements; the right to install, maintain and use gates in any fences that cross the Easements; the right to mark the location of the Easements with suitable markers; and the right to permit other public utilities to exercise these same rights. Owner reserves the right to use the Easements for purposes that do not interfere with the full enjoyment of the rights hereby granted. The City is responsible for maintenance of its own improvements and for repairing any damage caused by its activities in the Easements, but by acceptance of this dedication, the City does not accept the duty of maintenance of the Easements, or of improvements in the Easements that are not owned by the City. Owner will maintain the surface of the Easements in a sanitary condition in compliance with any applicable weed, nuisance or other legal requirements.

Except as expressly permitted in an approved plan of development or other written agreement with the City, Owner will not install on the Easements, or permit the installation on the Easements, of any building, structure, improvement, fence, retaining wall, sidewalk, tree or other landscaping (other than usual and customary grasses and other ground cover). In the event such obstacles are installed in the Easements, the City has the right to require the Owner to remove such obstacles from the Easements. If Owner does not remove such obstacles, the City may remove such obstacles without any liability or obligation for repair and replacement thereof, and charge the Owner the City's costs for such removal. If the City chooses not to remove the obstacles, the City will not be liable for any damage to the obstacles or any other property to which they are attached.

The rights granted to the City by this Plat inure to the benefit of the City's agents, licensees, permittees and assigns.

OWNER: Colorado State University Research Foundation, a Colorado non-profit corporation

By:	
STATE OF COLORADO ) )SS COUNTY OF LARIMER )	
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this day of	, 20, by
as	of Colorado State University Research Foundation,

a Colorado non-profit corporation

Witness my hand and official seal

My commission expires: ____

Notary Public

#### MAINTENANCE GUARANTEE:

The Owner hereby warrants and guarantees to the City, for a period of two (2) years from the date of completion and first acceptance by the City of the improvements warranted hereunder, the full and complete maintenance and repair of the improvements to be constructed in connection with the Development which is the subject of this Plat. This warranty and guarantee is made in accordance with the City Land Use Code and/or the Transitional Land Use Regulations, as applicable. This guarantee applies to the streets and all other appurtenant structures and amenities lying within the rights-of-way, Easements and other public properties, including, without limitation, all curbing, sidewalks, bike paths, drainage pipes, culverts, catch basins, drainage ditches and landscaping. Any maintenance and/or repair required on utilities shall be coordinated with the owning utility company or department.

The Owner shall maintain said improvements in a manner that will assure compliance on a consistent basis with all construction standards, safety requirements and environmental protection requirements of the City. The Owner shall also correct and repair, or cause to be corrected and repaired, all damages to said improvements resulting from development-related or building-related activities. In the event the Owner fails to correct any damages within thirty (30) days after written notice thereof, then said damages may be corrected by the City and all costs and charges billed to and paid by the Owner. The City shall also have any other remedies available to it as authorized by law. Any damages which occurred prior to the end of said two (2) year period and which are unrepaired at the termination of said period shall remain the responsibility of the Owner.

A REPLAT OF TRACT A, THE GROVE AT FORT COLLINS, LOCATED IN SECTION 23, TOWNSHIP 7 NORTH, RANGE 69 WEST OF THE 6TH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, CITY OF FORT COLLINS, COUNTY OF LARIMER, STATE OF COLORADO

#### **REPAIR GUARANTEE:**

In consideration of the approval of this final Plat and other valuable consideration, the Owner does hereby agree to hold the City harmless for a five (5) year period, commencing upon the date of completion and first acceptance by the City of the improvements to be constructed in connection with the development which is the subject of this Plat, from any and all claims, damages, or demands arising on account of the design and construction of public improvements of the property shown herein; and the Owner furthermore commits to make necessary repairs to said public improvements, to include, without limitation, the roads, streets, fills, embankments, ditches, cross pans, sub-drains, culverts, walls and bridges within the right-of-way, Easements and other public properties, resulting from failures caused by design and/or construction defects. This agreement to hold the City harmless includes defects in materials and workmanship, as well as defects caused by or consisting of settling trenches, fills or excavations.

Further, the Owner warrants that he/she owns fee simple title to the property shown hereon and agrees that the City shall not be liable to the Owner or his/her successors in interest during the warranty period, for any claim of damages resulting from negligence in exercising engineering techniques and due caution in the construction of cross drains, drives, structures or buildings, the changing of courses of streams and rivers, flooding from natural creeks and rivers, and any other matter whatsoever on private property. Any and all monetary liability occurring under this paragraph shall be the liability of the Owner. I further warrant that I have the right to convey said land according to this Plat.

#### NOTICE OF OTHER DOCUMENTS

All persons take notice that the Owner has executed certain documents pertaining to this Development which create certain rights and obligations of the Development, the Owner and/or subsequent Owners of all or portions of the Development site, many of which obligations constitute promises and covenants that, along with the obligations under this Plat, run with the land. The said documents may also be amended from time to time and may include, without limitation, the Development Agreement, Site And Landscape Covenants, Final Site Plan, Final Landscape Plan, and Architectural Elevations, which documents are on file in the office of the clerk of the City and should be closely examined by all persons interested in purchasing any portion of the Development site.

#### ATTORNEY'S CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that this Subdivision Plat has been duly executed as required pursuant to Section 2.2.3(C)(3)(a) through (e) inclusive of the Land Use Code of the City of Fort Collins and that all persons signing this Subdivision Plat on behalf of a corporation or other entity are duly authorized signatories under the laws of the State of Colorado. This Certification is based upon the records of the Clerk and Recorder of Larimer County, Colorado as of the date of execution of the Plat and other information discovered by me through reasonable inquiry and is limited as authorized by Section 2.2.3(C)(3)(f) of the Land Use Code.

Attorney:

Registration No.:____

APPROVED AS TO FORM, CITY ENGINEER

By the City Engineer of the City of Fort Collins, Colorado this _____day of _____ A.D., 20____.

City Engineer

PLANNING APPROVAL

By the Director of Planning the City of Fort Collins, Colorado this _____ day of _____ A.D., 20____.

Director of Planning

NOTES:

1) The Basis of Bearings is the southeasterly line of Tract A, The Grove at Fort Collins as bearing North 42°49'17" East (assumed bearing).

2) All information regarding easements, right-of-way or title of record, Northern Engineering relied upon Title Commitment No.

3) Benchmark: City of Fort Collins Benchmark number 14-97, NGVD 1929, (unadjusted) elevation = 5048.58

4) The lineal unit of measurement for this plat is U. S. Survey Feet.

prepared by _____ dated ____

5) Transit Easement - Easement for public transportation, equipment, infrastructure and/or use, including public access.

6) There are no lienholders for this property.





		CURV	E TABL	E	
CURVE	DELTA	RADIUS	LENGTH	BEARING	CHORD
C1	1°41'06"	518.00'	15.23'	N69°16'37"W	15.23'
C2	16°44'18"	400.00'	116.86'	N60°03'54"W	116.44'
C3	4°31'08"	513.00'	40.46'	N49°26'10"W	40.45'
C4	89°59'52"	5.00'	7.85'	N02°10'39"W	7.07'
C5	12°35'55"	644.00'	141.61'	N36°31'21"E	141.32'
C6	21°15'14"	238.00'	88.29'	S49°37'30"E	87.78'
C7	6°37'12"	312.00'	36.05'	S42°18'29"E	36.03'
C8	14°11'52"	310.00'	76.82'	S34°35'11"W	76.62'
C9	4°55'02"	250.00'	21.46'	N62°48'13"W	21.45'
C10	23°35'03"	500.00'	205.81'	N48°33'10"W	204.36'
C11	17°54'12"	700.00'	218.73'	S33°52'21"W	217.84'
C12	2°16'02"	238.00'	9.42'	S59°07'06"E	9.42'
C13	0°26'46"	310.00'	2.41'	N27°42'39"E	2.41'

	LINE TABLE			
LINE	LENGTH	BEARING		
L1	172.28'	N41°41'07"E		
L2	139.55'	N48°18'53"W		
L3	17.00'	N41°41'07"E		
L4	30.50'	S48°18'53"E		
L5	73.09'	N48°18'53"W		
L6	11.41'	N48°18'53"W		
L7	65.50'	S48°18'53"E		
L8	41.50'	N48°18'53"W		
L9	24.00'	S48°18'53"E		
L10	24.00'	S41°41'07"W		
L11	11.07'	S48°18'53"E		
L12	24.00'	N42°29'50"E		
L13	73.43'	S48°18'53"E		
L14	33.00'	N41°41'07"E		
L15	51.00'	S41°41'07"W		
L16	24.00'	S48°18'53"E		
L17	33.00'	N41°41'07"E		
L18	51.00'	N41°41'07"E		
L19	24.00'	N41°41'07"E		
L20	81.63'	S00°15'48"W		
L21	20.00'	N89°44'12"W		
L22	42.78'	S00°15'48"W		
L23	8.87'	S46°43'42"E		
L24	20.00'	N43°16'18"E		
L25	9.02'	N46°43'42"W		
L26	26.20'	N36°45'40"W		
L27	16.85'	N60°20'41"W		









DRAMING FILENAME: D: \Projects\232-023\Dwg\Util\232-023_UTIL.dwg LAYOUT NAME: C2.00 DATE: Nov 20, 2013 - 10:41am CAD OPE LIST OF XREFS: [NES-xborder] [232-023_xUTIL_Jeg] [232-023_xPutil] [232-023_xSite] [502-001_xSite] [502-001_xExis

	20 0 20 40 60 Feet	
	20 0 20 40 60 Feet ( IN FEET ) 1 inch = 20 ft.	
	LEGEND:	
	PROPOSED WATER MAIN	N S S
	PROPOSED SANITARY SEWERSS	ы 1007
	PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE	evision
t	PROPOSED IRRIGATION CURB STOP	
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	PROPOSED FIRE DEPT. CONNECTIONFDCPROPOSED FIRE HYDRANTR	ШЦ
	EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT XX PROPOSED STORM SEWER	III III IIII IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
	EXISTING STORM SEWER      ST         EXISTING ELECTRIC, CABLE &      E/T/C         TELEPHONE IN JOINT TRENCH      E/T/C	O Z
	EXISTING TELEPHONE	
	PROPOSED CURB & GUTTER	These drawings are instruments of service provided by Northern ingineering Services, Inc. any type of construction any type of construction less signed and sealed b Professional Engineer in the employ of Northern ingineering Services, Inc.
	PROPOSED RIGHT OF WAY     — — — — —       PROPOSED LOT LINE     — — — — — — —	These drawings are instruments of servic provided by Northen Engineering Services, I and are not to be used any type of constructi anless signed and seale the employ of Northe Engineering Services, I
	EXISTING SLOTTED PVC SUB-DRAIN	International production of the second secon
	EASEMENT LINE        PROPOSED ELECTRIC TRANSFORMER	
	PROPOSED STORM INLET	<b>ERN</b> RING Ing.com
	EXISTING LIGHT POLE	
	EXISTING WATER METER	<b>NORTHEI</b> E NGINEERI MWW.northernengineering.com
	EXISTING POWER POLE CLARACTER	ENGIN
	EXISTING TELEPHONE PEDESTAL	
	EXISTING TREES	Suite 010
	PROPOSED ELECTRIC METERS IM PROPOSED GAS METERS IM	age Avenue, orado 805
	EXISTING FEMA FLOODWAY	200 South College Avenue, Suite 010 Fort Collins, Colorado 80524
	EXISTING 100-YR FEMA FLOODPLAIN     IFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF	Fort
Xx8' NCRETE S PAD	NOTES:	ж Ш
	1. THE SIZE, TYPE AND LOCATION OF ALL KNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITIES ARE APPROXIMATE WHEN SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY THE EXISTENCE OF ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES IN THE AREA OF THE WORK. BEFORE COMMENCING NEW CONSTRUCTION, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR LOCATING ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL UNKNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITIES.	DATE: 11/20/13 SCALE: 1" = 20' N. Haws N. Haws
	<ol> <li>ALL WATER AND SEWER CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE PER THE CITY OF FORT COLLINS STANDARD CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS, LATEST EDITION.</li> </ol>	PROJECT: 232-023 DESIGNED BY: C. Snowdon DRAWN BY: C. Snowdon
	3. ALL WATER FITTINGS AND VALVES ARE ONLY GRAPHICALLY REPRESENTED AND ARE NOT TO SCALE.	PROJECT: 232–023 DESIGNED C. Snowdo C. Snowdo C. Snowdo
	4. MAINTAIN 10' HORIZONTAL AND 18" VERTICAL MINIMUM SEPARATION BETWEEN ALL SANITARY SEWER MAINS, WATER MAINS & SERVICES.	
	5. REFER TO THE PLAT FOR LOT AREAS, TRACT SIZES, EASEMENTS, LOT DIMENSIONS, UTILITY EASEMENTS, OTHER EASEMENTS, AND OTHER SURVEY INFORMATION.	
	6. FIRE LINE SHALL BE STUBBED INSIDE THE RISER ROOM AND CAPPED 1' ABOVE THE FINISHED FLOOR ELEVATION.	23 RD FILING
	7. LIMITS OF STREET CUT ARE APPROXIMATE. FINAL LIMITS TO BE DETERMINED IN THE FIELD BY THE CITY ENGINEERING INSPECTOR. ALL REPAIRS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY STREET REPAIR STANDARDS.	23 ^{RI}
	8. ALL OF THE PROPOSED STORM SEWER IS TO BE PRIVATE AND OWNED/ MAINTAINED BY THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY.	3 d √
		CHNOI SE - FC PLAN
	CALL UTILITY NOTIFICATION CENTER OF COLORADO	
OURCE		
NTER	Know what's below.	UT SHI
	Call before you dig. CALL 2 BUSINESS DAYS IN ADVANCE BEFORE YOU DIG, GRADE, OR EXCAVATE FOR THE MARKING OF UNDERGROUND MEMBER UTILITIES.	R ADVAN( SUNSHIN UTI
	City of Fort Collins, Colorado	
	UTILITY PLAN APPROVAL	CENTRE FOR S
	APPROVED: City Engineer Date	
	CHECKED BY:	UUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUU
	CHECKED BY: Date	Sheet
	CHECKED BY:     Parks & Recreation     Date       CHECKED BY:	$\left  \begin{array}{c} \text{Sheet} \\ \text{C2.00} \end{array} \right $
	CHECKED BY: Environmental Planner Date	Of 17 Sheets



DRAWING FILENAME: D:\Projects\232-023\Dwg/Util\232-023_STRN.dwg LAYOUT NAME: C2.01 DATE: Nov 20, 2013 - 10:41am CAD OP LIST OF XREFS: [NES-xborder][232-023_xPutil][232-023_xSite][502-001_xSite][502-001_xExist][502-001_xPutil]

	NORTH	
	10 0 10 20 30 Feet	
**	( IN FEET $)1 inch = 10 ft.$	
	LEGEND:	
	PROPOSED WATER MAIN	
	EXISTING WATER MAIN	
	PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER	
	PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE S PROPOSED CURB STOP & METER PIT	Revisions
	PROPOSED IRRIGATION CURB STOP	
+ + >+ + + + +	PROPOSED FIRE SERVICEF PROPOSED FIRE DEPT. CONNECTION FDC	
· · · + · + · + · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PROPOSED FIRE HYDRANT	
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT XX PROPOSED STORM SEWER	
	EXISTING STORM SEWERST EXISTING ELECTRIC, CABLE &E/T/C	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
· + → · · · +	TELEPHONE IN JOINT TRENCH	
	EXISTING GASG PROPOSED CURB & GUTTERG	ere em ad for tition erin herin
	PROPERTY BOUNDARY	wings are s of service / Northem services, Inc be used for construction and sealed I I Engineer i of Northern services, Inc
	PROPOSED RIGHT OF WAY         ————————————————————————————————————	These drawi instruments o provided by R Engineering Ser and are not to k any type of coi inless signed an a Professional E the employ of Engineering Ser
	EXISTING SLOTTED PVC SUB-DRAIN    UD       EXISTING SOLID PVC DRAIN    PVC	These instrum provide Engineeri and are n and are n unless sigr the emp the emp Engineeri
	EXISTING AREA DRAIN        AD           EASEMENT LINE	
	PROPOSED ELECTRIC TRANSFORMER	
	PROPOSED STORM INLET	RING Ing.com
	EXISTING LIGHT POLE & C EXISTING ELECTRIC METER C EXISTING WATER METER & W	
		<b>NORTHEF</b> E N G I N E E R I WWW.northernengineering.com
	EXISTING IRRIGATION BOX	G-1 G-1 M. M. M
	EXISTING GAS METER	ENGIN PHONE: 970.221.415
	EXISTING TELEPHONE PEDESTAL	
	EXISTING TREES	
	PROPOSED CONDENSER UNITS	anue, Sui
	PROPOSED GAS METERS	ullege Ave
	EXISTING FEMA FLOODWAY	200 South College Avenue, Suite 010 Fort Collins, Colorado 80524
	EXISTING 100-YR FEMA FLOODPLAIN	200 Fort
* 1100 F @ 7.0000	NOTES:	
-F-00.8	1. THE SIZE, TYPE AND LOCATION OF ALL KNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITIES	/13 0' %s
	ARE APPROXIMATE WHEN SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY THE EXISTENCE OF ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES IN THE AREA OF THE WORK. BEFORE	DATE: 11/20/ SCALE: 1" = 10 N. Hawe
	COMMENCING NEW CONSTRUCTION, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR LOCATING ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AND SHALL BE	
+ + + +	RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL UNKNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITIES. 2. ALL FITTINGS AND VALVES ARE ONLY GRAPHICALLY REPRESENTED AND ARE	
CONCRETE COLLAR + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	3. MAINTAIN 10' HORIZONTAL AND 18" VERTICAL MINIMUM SEPARATION	PROJECT: 232-023 DESIGNED BY: C. Snowdon DRAWN BY: C. Snowdon
FG=4999.55 + + + + + + + +	BETWEEN ALL SANITARY SEWER MAINS, WATER MAINS & SERVICES.	DRA DRA DRA DRA
	<ol> <li>PIPE LENGTHS ARE CALCULATED FROM THE CENTER OF MANHOLES AND INLET BOX STRUCTURES. SPECIFIED LENGTH OF PIPE INCLUDES THE LAYING LENGTH OF FLARED END SECTIONS.</li> </ol>	
TE COLLAR 999.43 (SW) FG=4999.40	<ol> <li>ALL RCP SHALL BE CLASS III OR GREATER. PIPE MATERIAL, BEDDING, AND INSTALLATION WITHIN PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY SHALL BE GOVERNED BY THE</li> </ol>	9   9
+	LOCAL JURISDICTION. ALTERNATES (SUCH AS ADS N–12 OR HP SANITITE) OUTSIDE OF THE R.O.W. SHALL BE APPROVED IN ADVANCE BY THE	23 RD FILING
	ENGINEER. ALL JOINTS SHALL BE 'WATERTIGHT' USING APPROPRIATE GASKETS OR JOINT WRAPS (PER ASTM C443 FOR RCP AND PER ASTM F477 / D3212 FOR PLASTIC PIPE).	
A B B B	6. ALL OF THE PROPOSED STORM SEWER IS TO BE PRIVATE AND OWNED/	531
× ^v ⊗ ^O ×	MAINTAINED BY THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY.	≻
		139 LAN
INSTALL 8"x4" TEE INV: 4999.54		<b>고</b> 윤
FG=5002.84		<u>₹</u> ∠
×		
	CALL UTILITY NOTIFICATION CENTER OF	
	COLORADO	
	Know what's below.	RM RM
	Call before you dig.	R ADVANG SUNSHIN STORM
	DIG, GRADE, OR EXCAVATE FOR THE MARKING OF UNDERGROUND MEMBER UTILITIES.	ST SL
	City of Fort Collins, Colorado	FOR S
	UTILITY PLAN APPROVAL	
	APPROVED: City Engineer Date	CENTRE
	CHECKED BY:	〔二
	CHECKED BY: Stormwater Utility Date	μ J
	CHECKED BY: Parks & Recreation Date	Sheet
	CHECKED BY: Traffic Engineer Date	C2.01
	CHECKED BY: Environmental Planner Date	/
	Environmental Planner Date	Of 17 Sheets





		Date	
DENS		90 Feet	
CREEK	( IN FEET ) 1 inch = 30 ft.		
	LEGEND:		Ě
	PROPERTY BOUNDARY		ST
	PROPOSED BASIN LINES		0
	EXISTING STORM SEWER LINE W/ MH	UD	
	PROPOSED STORM DRAIN		10
	PROPOSED CONTOUR	_93	14
	EXISTING CONTOUR	5013	15
	PROPOSED SWALE		<b>-</b> Z
	APPROXIMATE LIMITS OF DEVELOPMENT		
	CURB & GUTTER PROPOSED RIBBON CURB		ed by er in ern
	PROPOSED OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION		or construction led and sealed onal Engineer loy of Northerr ng Services, In
	PROPOSED PERMEABLE PAVERS	These instrume provide the and are no	any type unless sigr a Professi the emp Engineeri
	PROPOSED SOFT PAN		
	BASIN DELINEATION MINOR RUNOFF COEFFICIENT MAJOR RUNOFF COEFFICIENT		<b>L IV G</b> 0.221.4159 om
	BASIN ACREAGE		ΓΓ FAX: 97 gineering.c
	DESIGN POINT		<b>C 1 1 1 2</b> 221.4158 . northerneng
	NOTES:		C IN G I IN C C K I IN G PHONE: 970.221.4158 FAX: 970.221.4159 www.northernengineering.com
	<ol> <li>THE SIZE, TYPE AND LOCATION OF ALL KNOWN U UTILITIES ARE APPROXIMATE WHEN SHOWN ON TH IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRA- VERIFY THE EXISTENCE OF ALL UNDERGROUND UT AREA OF THE WORK. BEFORE COMMENCING NEW THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR LO UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AND SHALL BE RESPONS ALL UNKNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITIES.</li> <li>REFER TO THE "FINAL DRAINAGE LETTER FOR THE HOUSE – FC 139 BY NORTHERN ENGINEERING, DA</li> </ol>	NDERGROUND ESE DRAWINGS. ACTOR TO ILITIES IN THE CONSTRUCTION, DCATING ALL IBLE FOR FOR	200 South College Avenue, Suite 010 Fort Collins, Colorado 80524
	9, 2013 FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.		200 South C Fort Collins.
	CITY OF FORT COLLINS BENCHMARK 14-97		
	APPROXIMATELY 100 FEET WEST OF THE INTERSECTIO AVE. AND RESEARCH BLVD., ON THE WEST END OF T HEADWALL ON CENTRE AVE. ELEVATION=5048.58 (NGVD 29 UNADJUSTED)	HE SOUTH	- JO EVIEWED BY: Haws
	<u>CITY OF FORT COLLINS BENCHMARK 1–93</u> SOUTH SHIELDS ST. AT THE ENTRANCE TO ROLLAND ON EAST END OF PLANTER ON TOP OF CURB ELEVATION=5023.27 (NGVD 29 UNADJUSTED)	MOORE PARK,	
	FIELD SURVEY BY:	PROJECT: 232-023 DESIGNED BY:	C. Snowdon C. Snowdon
	ORIGINAL FIELD SURVEY Northern Engineering Services, Inc. Date: October 20, 2008		
	ADDITIONAL FIELD SURVEYS: Northern Engineering Services, Inc. Date(s): March 24, 2009, March 22, 2010, &October04	, 2013 <b>Superior</b>	
	FEMA REFERENCE:		
	FIRM (FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP): PANEL 0987G MAP NUMBER: 08069C0987G EFFECTIVE DATE: MAY 2, 2012	53	
	PROJECTION: STATE PLANE COLORADO NORTH (FEET) HORIZONTAL DATUM: NAD 83, GRS80 SPHEROID VERTICAL DATUM: NAVD 88 (SEE NOTES 3 AND 4, BELOW	. 33 <del>[</del> ]33	⊢
	NATIONAL GEODETIC SURVEY: (301) 713–3242 FEMA MAP SERVICE CENTER: (800) 358–9616		Ē
	NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM INFORMATION: (877 (877	·) 336-2627	XHIB
	CALL UTILITY NOTIFICATION CENTER OF <u>CO</u> LORADO		Ш Ш
			NAG
	Know what's below.		RIN
	Call before you dig. CALL 2 BUSINESS DAYS IN ADVANCE BEFORE YOU DIG, GRADE, OR EXCAVATE FOR THE MARKING OF UNDERGROUND MEMBER UTILITIES.	R ADVA SUNSH	Δ
	City of Fort Collins, Col UTILITY PLAN APPROV	orado	
	APPROVED:City Engineer	Date UI	
	CHECKED BY:	Date Z	
	CHECKED BY:Stormwater Utility	Date O	
	CHECKED BY: Parks & Recreation	Date She	et
INAGE REVIEW ONLY OR CONSTRUCTION	CHECKED BY: Traffic Engineer	Date $   C4.$	00
	CHECKED BY: Environmental Planner	Date Of 17 S	heets









$\left( \right)$		STANDARD SI	DEWALK		
	LARIMER COUNTY	CONSTRUCTION	REVISION	NO: 1	DRAWING
URBAN AREA STREET STANDARDS		DRAWINGS	DATE:	04/01/07	1601



PAVEMENT DETAIL ON-SITE/PRIVATE PAVING ONLY

\C5.00∕









ALL VALVES TO BE RESILIENT SEAT, EPOXY COATED INSIDE AND OUT PER CITY OF FORT COLLINS AND AWWA SPECS. ALL VALVE BOXES TO BE OF CAST IRON CONSTRUCTION, TWO PIECE THREADED ADJUSTABLE DESIGN PER CITY OF FORT COLLINS SPECS. ALL VALVES TO BE 8 MIL POLY WRAPPED. T-BOLTS, NUTS AND RODS TO BE TAR COATED BEFORE WRAPPED.

NOT TO SCALE

Date

Date

Date

Date

Date

Date

### VALVE ASSEMBLY BOX



C5.01











## TYPICAL ROOF DRAIN CONNECTION

	City of Fort Collins, Colorad UTILITY PLAN APPROVAL			
	APPROVED:	City Engineer	Date	
CALL UTILITY NOTIFICATION CENTER OF COLORADO	CHECKED BY:	Water & Wastewater Utility	Date	
	CHECKED BY:	Stormwater Utility	Date	
	CHECKED BY: .	Parks & Recreation	Date	
Know what's below. Call before you dig.	CHECKED BY:	Traffic Engineer	Date	
CALL 2 BUSINESS DAYS IN ADVANCE BEFORE YOU DIG, GRADE, OR EXCAVATE FOR THE MARKING OF UNDERGROUND MEMBER UTILITIES.		Environmental Planner	Date	



NOT TO SCALE

2

C5.02





C MIN



NOTE: DIMENSIONS ARE FOR REFERENCE ONLY ACTUAL DIMENSIONS MAY VARY

THIS PRINT DISCLOSES SUBJECT MATTER IN WHICH NYLOPLAST HAS PROPRIETARY RIGHTS. THE RECEIPT OR POSSESSION OF THIS PRINT DOES NOT CONFER, TRANSFER, OR LICENSE THE USE OF THE DESIGN OR TECHNICAL INFORMATION SHOWN HEREIN REPRODUCTION OF THIS PRINT OR ANY INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN, OR MANUFACTURE OF ANY ARTICLE HEREFROM, FOR THE DISCLOSURE TO OTHER IS FORBIDDEN, EXCEPT BY SPECIFIC WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM NYLOPLAST. ©2010 NYLOP

-	DRAWN BY	CJA	MATERIA	L			
	DATE	2-6-03					
	REVISED BY	′ EBC	PROJECT	r no./Nai	ИE		_
RS	DATE	3-11-10					
AST	DWG SIZE	А	SCALE	1:25	SHEET	1 OF 1	D















PERMISSION FRO	ANYLOPLAST. <u>exmonroplast</u> DWG SIZE A SCALE 1:5 SHEET 10F1 DWG NO. 7001-110	-524 KEV B	DR ADVANCE SUNSHINE I ONSTRUC
	City of Fort Collins, Colc UTILITY PLAN APPROVA	orado AL	III Ŭ
	APPROVED: City Engineer	Date	CENTRE
ENTER OF	CHECKED BY: Water & Wastewater Utility	Date	
	CHECKED BY: Stormwater Utility	Date	
	CHECKED BY: Parks & Recreation	Date	Sheet
lig.	CHECKED BY: Traffic Engineer	Date	C5.04
efore you Arking of Tes.	CHECKED BY: Environmental Planner	Date	Of 17 Sheets

Z

 $\square$ **M** 

Z

K

n for E

type type sig pro Engin and a any i unless a Pro

N N D

Ш~

**NOR** E NG I N

S

TAIL

Ш О

TION

 $\sim$ 

39 Q

 $\neg$ 

ED TECHNOLO HOUSE - FC

BO





DRAWNG FILENAME: D:\Projects\232-023\Dwg\Drng\232-023_EROS.dwg LAYOUT NAME: C6.00 DATE: Nov 20, 2013 - 10:43am CAD OPERATOR LIST OF XREFS: [502-001_xSite][232-023_xPgrad][232-023_xPutil][232-023_xSite][232-023_xTopo][502-001_xExist][502-001_xPutil][xbor NATURAL RESOURCE RESEARCH CENTER

THE GARDENS

ON SPRING CREEK

POLLAND TO BE PHO BOTANICAL ANDED PHILE LANE AS E

 $(\mathbf{W})$ 

/**//** 

CENTRE AVENUE

RP

SOX

DOWNSPOUT — (TYPICAL)

PROPOSED INLET

## TABLE OF CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE AND BMP APPLICATION

CONSTRUCTION PHASE	PHASE I	PHASE II	PHASE III	PHASE IV
Grading				
Overlot				
Swales, Drainageways				
Pipeline Installation				
Stormwater				
Water Service				
Sanitary Sewer Service				
Concrete Installation				
Building Structure				
Curb and Gutter				
Concrete Parking and Drive Aisle				
Miscellaneous	•		•	
Hardscape Amenities				
BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES				
Temporary				
Contour Furrows and Diversion Dikes (Ripping/Disking)				
Inlet Protection (IP)				
Vehicle Tracking Control (VTC)				
Flow Barriers (Bales, Wattles, Etc) (WD)				
Concrete Washout Area (CWA)				
Preventative Maintenance Activities/Meetings/ etc.				
Permanent				
Mulching/Sealant				
Permanent Seed Planting				
Grass Swale				
Permeable Pavers				
Riprap				

<form></form>	
INTRODUCT BALL MEDIATION OF ALL KNOW UNDERGOUND UTURES ARE ACCOUNTS. INTER: UNIT REPORTED INTER CLIPE AC UTTER PROFECTION OF ALL STORES ARE ACCOUNTS. INTER: UNIT REPORTED INTER CLIPE AC UTTER PROFECTION OF ALL STORES ARE ACCOUNTS. INTER: UNIT REPORTED INTER CLIPE AC UTTER PROFECTION OF ALL STORES ARE ACCOUNTS. INTER: UNIT REPORTED INTER CLIPE AC UTTER PROFECTION OF ALL STORES ARE ACCOUNTS. INTER: UNIT REPORTED INTER CLIPE ACCOUNTS. INTER: INTER ACCOUNTS. INTER: INTER CLIPE AND LOCATION OF ALL KNOW UNDERGOUND UTURES ARE ACCOUNTS. INTER: INTER ACCOUNTS. INTERCENT: <p< th=""><th>1/20/1</th></p<>	1/20/1
INTRODUCT BALL MEDIATION OF ALL KNOW UNDERGOUND UTURES ARE ACCOUNTS. INTER: UNIT REPORTED INTER CLIPE AC UTTER PROFECTION OF ALL STORES ARE ACCOUNTS. INTER: UNIT REPORTED INTER CLIPE AC UTTER PROFECTION OF ALL STORES ARE ACCOUNTS. INTER: UNIT REPORTED INTER CLIPE AC UTTER PROFECTION OF ALL STORES ARE ACCOUNTS. INTER: UNIT REPORTED INTER CLIPE AC UTTER PROFECTION OF ALL STORES ARE ACCOUNTS. INTER: UNIT REPORTED INTER CLIPE ACCOUNTS. INTER: INTER ACCOUNTS. INTER: INTER CLIPE AND LOCATION OF ALL KNOW UNDERGOUND UTURES ARE ACCOUNTS. INTER: INTER ACCOUNTS. INTERCENT: <p< th=""><th></th></p<>	
EXISTING CONTOUR     PROPOSED INFLOW CURE & GUTTER     PROPOSED     PROPOSED INFLOW     PROPOSED     PROPOSE	Ö.
PROPOSED RIBBON CURB  APPROXIMATE LIVITS OF DISTURBANCE  WATTLE NLET PROTECTION  WATTLE NLET PROTECTION  WATTLE  CONCRETE WASHOUT AREA  VEHICLE TRACKING PAD  RIPRAP   NOTES:   THE STEE, TYPE AND LOCATION OF ALL KNOWN UNDERGROUND UTURES ARE APPROXIMATE WASHOUT AREA  VEHICLE TRACKING PAD  RIPRAP   NOTES:  THE STEE, TYPE AND LOCATION OF ALL KNOWN UNDERGROUND UTURES ARE APPROXIMATE WASHOUT AREA  VEHICLE TRACKING PAD  RIPRAP    NOTES:  THE STEE, TYPE AND LOCATION OF ALL KNOWN UNDERGROUND UTURES ARE APPROXIMATE WASHOUT AREA  VEHICLE TRACKING PAD  RIPRAP     NOTES:  THE STEE, TYPE AND LOCATION OF ALL KNOWN UNDERGROUND UTURES ARE APPROXIMATE WASHOUT AREA  VEHICLE TRACKING PAD  RIPRAP	5
WATTLE INLET PROTECTION WATTLE WATTLE WATTLE CONCRETE WASHOUT AREA WENCLE TRACKING PAD RIFRAP  NETTER ONCRETE WASHOUT AREA WENCLE TRACKING PAD RIFRAP  NETTER	Z
CONCRETE WISHOUT AREA       Image: Concrete Wishout Area <t< th=""><th>$\bigsqcup$</th></t<>	$\bigsqcup$
CONCRETE WASHOUT AREA       CWA       CMA       CMA         VEHICLE TRACKING PAD       CMA       CMA       CMA         RIPRAP       CMA       CMA       CMA         NOTES:       1       THE SIZE, TYPE AND LOCATION OF ALL KNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITES ARE APPROXIMATE WHON SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS, IT SHALL BET THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO VERPT THE EXISTENCE OF ALL UNRERGROUND UTILITES IN THE SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR LOCATION OF ALL KNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITES AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL UNRERGROUND UTILITES AND SHALL BE TO THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR HASING OF BEEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPS) IS EXPECTED. ADDITIONAL, AND/OR DIFFRENT BUPS FROM THOSE ORIGINALLY DEPICTED AND DEVICES. STARP ORING ROSTED CONTROL PLAN SERVES ONLY AS A QUIDLENE TO THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PERMITTING (DTY, STAE DESCHARACE PERMIT, ETC), AND COMPLIANCE WITH PROTECTION FOR ALL BE THE RESPONSIBLE FOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL STARD AND ANY NOT BE TO SCALE, NOR ARE THEY RECESSARLY SHOWN IN THEIR EXACT LOCATION.       CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL STARD AND AND AND AND RESPONSIBLE FOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR SHALL BE ADAPTED, AS NECESSARY, TO THE SUPROVINDING WATTHER AS SUCH, GRAPHICAL STWBOLS MAY NOT BE TO SCALE, NOR ARE THEY RECESSARLY SHOWN IN THEIR EXACT LOCATION.       CONTRACTOR SHALL BE REPORTING THE PROTECTION FOR ALL STORE PERMIT FOLL AND CONTROL NOTES.       CONTRACTOR SHALL BE REPROPERIATE HERE ROSION CONTROL AND AND RESPONSIBL	<u>00 0 00</u>
VEHICLE TRACKING PAD       Image: Transmission of the second	ofessional Er e employ of N ineering Serv
NOTES:         1. THE SIZE, TYPE AND LOCATION OF ALL KNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITES ARE APPROXIMATE WHEN SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO VERY THE EXISTENCE OF ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES IN THE SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR LOCATION ALL DIREGROUND UTILITIES AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL UNKNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR CALL UNKNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITIES TO THE CONTRACTOR STACING AND/OP PHASING FOR STATIONAL A QUIDELINE TO RECONTRACTOR STACING AND/OP PHASING FOR STATIONAL A COUNTROL PLAN SERVES ONLY AS A CUIDELINE TO THE CONTRACTOR STACING AND/OP PHASING FOR STATIONAL PLAN SERVES ONLY AS A CUIDELINE TO THE CONTRACTOR STACING AND/OP PHASING FOR STATIONAL DECIDENCE TO THE CONTRACTOR STACING AND/OP PHASING FOR STATIONAL PLAN SERVES ONLY AS A CUIDELINE TO CONTROL MEASURES ARE PROPERLY MAINTAINED AND FOLLOWED.         9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PERMITTING (CITY, STATE DISCHARGE PERMIT, ETC.) AND COMPLIANCE WITH GOVERNIC AND LOVEL OF NEURAL DE ADDROL CONTROL MEASURES ARE PROPERLY MAINTAINED AND FOLLOWED.         10. CONTRACTOR SHALL INPLEMENT THE APPROPRIATE INCEPT PROTECTION FOR ALL BE ADAPTED, AS NECESSARY, TO THE SURFOUNDING SUFFACE TYPE AND CONDITION (Le., STAKE-DRIVEN WATLES FOR BARE SOL, SAND BASIS ORG MALLE SOCKS FOR PRAVEMENT, EL.         11. CONTRACTOR SHALL INPLEMENT THE APPROPRIATE ENCIDENCE FOR SOLM ATTS; THEOREMARY WATTES FOR ADD STABLIZED. INCE THE PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM OFFICIENT AND IN DISCHARGE TYPE AND CONDITION (LE., STAKE-DRIVEN WATLES FOR BARE SOL, SAND BASIS ORG MALLE SOCKS FOR PRAVEMENT, EL.               S	e P Eng
<ul> <li>THE SIZE, TYPE AND LOCATION OF ALL KNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITES ARE APPROXIMATE WHEN SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILTY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY THE EXISTINCE OF ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITES IN THE AREA OF THE WORK, BEFORE COMMENCING, NEW CONSTRUCTION, THE CONSIDILTY OF THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR LOCATING ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITES AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL UNKNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITES. AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL UNKNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITES AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL UNKNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITES.</li> <li>EROSION CONTROL PRACTICES, SITE PROTECTION, AND REVEGETATION METHODS SHALL FOLLOW OTTY REGULATIONS.</li> <li>IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT ANY EROSION CONTROL PLAN SERVES ONLY AS A GUIDELINE TO THE CONTRACTOR. STAQING AND/OR PHASING OF BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPA) IS EXPECTED. ADDITIONAL AND/OR DIFFERENT BMPA SERVET PRACTICES (BMPA) IS EXPECTED. ADDITIONAL AND/OR DIFFERENT BMPA SERVET PRACTICES (BMPA) IS EXPECTED. ADDITIONAL AND/OR DIFFERENT BMPA SERVET PRACTICES (BMPA) IS EXPECTED. ADDITIONAL AND/OR DIFFERENT BMPA SERVET PRACTICES (BMPA) IS EXPECTED. ADDITIONAL AND/OR DIFFERENT BMPA SERVET PRACTICES (BMPA) IS EXPECTED. ADDITIONAL AND/OR DIFFERENT BMPA SERVET ANAGEMENT RACTOR STRUCTION. SOR ARE REQUIRED BY LOCAL AUTHORITIES. IT SHALL BE THE REACT LOCACATION.</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PERMITTING (CITY, STATE DISCHARGE PERMIT, TIC.) AND COMPLIANCE WITH GOVERNME AUTHORITIES. IT SHALLES ACCORDING THE THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBILTY OF THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE PROPERITE MADDERION CONTROL MEASURES ACCORDING THE ADER CONSTRUCTION FOR ALL STORM DEADS OR GRAVEL SOCKS FOR PAVEMENT, ECC.) ADDITIONAL WATTLES OR SHALL MOREMATING SUFFARE THE ADDITE.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR IS ALL IMPLEMENT THE APPROPRIATE EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ACCORDING VIEW AND STABILIZES ACCORDING VIEW AND STABILIZE THE SLOPE.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR STABILIZATION. ALL SLOPES, PARTICULARY THOSE STARTING CONTROL MEAS AND STABLES TOR</li></ul>	21.4159
<ul> <li>APPROXIMATE WHEN SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR OVERITY THE EXISTENCE OF ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITES IN THE AREA OF THE WORK. BEFORE COMMENCING NEW CONSTRUCTION THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL UNNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITES AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL UNNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITES.</li> <li>EROSION CONTROL PRACTICES, SITE PROTECTION, AND REVEGETATION METHODS SHALL FOLLOW CITY REGULATIONS.</li> <li>IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT ANY EROSION CONTROL PLAN SERVES ONLY AS A GUIDELINE TO THE CONTRACTOR, STAGING AND/OR PHASING OF BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPP) IS EXPECTED. ADDITIONAL AND/OR PHASING OF BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPP) IS EXPECTED. ADDITIONAL AND/OR PHASING OF BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPP) IS EXPECTED. ADDITIONAL AND/OR PHASING OF BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPP) IS EXPECTED. ADDITIONAL AND/OR PHASING OF BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPP) IS EXPECTED. ADDITIONAL AND/OR PHASING OF BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPP) IS EXPECTED. ADDITIONAL AND/OR PHASING OF DEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPP) IS EXPECTED. ADDITIONAL AND/OR PHASING OF DEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPP) IS EXPECTED. ADDITIONAL AND/OR PHASING CONTRUCTION DUE TO CHANGING SITE CONDITIONS OR AS REQUIRED BY LOCAL AUTHORITIES.</li> <li>THIS EROSION CONTROL PLAN IS SCHEMATIC IN NATURE. AS SUCH, GRAPHICAL SYMBOLS MAY NOT BE TO SCALE, NOR ARE THEY NECESSARILY SHOWN IN THEIR EXACT LOCATION.</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL DERMITTING (CITY, STATE DISCHARGE PERMIT, ETC.) AND COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM CONTROL MEASURES ARE PROPERIT APROPRIATE INCIDE TO ENSURE EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ARE PROPERIATE ENCIDE PROVIDE CONTROL MEASURES ACCORDING THE THE CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCING AND LEVEL OF SITE STABILIZATION.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT APROPORIATE INCIDE PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM WATTLES FOR BARE SOLL, SAND BAGS OR GRAVEL SOCKS FOR PAVEMENT, ETC.) BECONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT APROPORIATE PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM WATTLES FOR BARE SOLL, SAND</li></ul>	PHONE: 970.221.4158 FAX: 970.221.4159 www.northernengineering.com
<ul> <li>IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT ANY EROSION CONTROL PLAN SERVES ONLY AS A GUIDELINE TO THE CONTRACTOR. STAGING AND/OR PHASING OF BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPs) IS EXPECTED. ADDITIONAL AND/OR DIFERENT BMPs FROM THOSE ORIGINALLY DEPICTED MAY BE NECESSARY DURING CONSTRUCTION DUE TO CHANGING SITE CONDITIONS OR AS REQUIRED BY LOCAL AUTHORITIES.</li> <li>THIS EROSION CONTROL PLAN IS SCHEMATIC IN NATURE. AS SUCH, GRAPHICAL SYMBOLS MAY NOT BE TO SCALE, NOR ARE THEY NECESSARILY SHOWN IN THEIR EXACT LOCATION.</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PERMITHING (CITY, STATE DISCHARGE PERMIT, ETC.) AND COMPLIANCE WITH GOVERNING AUTHORITIES. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR (OR PERMIT HOLDER) TO ENSURE EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ARE PROPERLY MAINTAINED AND FOLLOWED.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT THE APPROPRIATE INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS IUNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS, TEMPORARY IRRIGATION, AND ADDITIONAL MATTLES OR SILT FENCING MARS, TEMPORARY IRRIGATION, AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON PLANTING, REVEGETATION, HARDSCAPE AND OTHER PERMANENT SITE STABILIZATION METHODS.</li> <li>SEE LANDSCAPE PLANS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.</li> <li>SEE LANDSCAPE PLANS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE PERMEABLE PAVERS ON SHEET CO.02 OF FINAL UTILITY PLANS FOR SUMSHING. HOUSE — FC 139 PREPARED BY NORTHERIN ENGINEERING DATED OCTOBER 91H, 2013 FOR ADDITIONAL I</li></ul>	21.4158 northernengir
<ul> <li>IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT ANY EROSION CONTROL PLAN SERVES ONLY AS A GUIDELINE TO THE CONTRACTOR. STAGING AND/OR PHASING OF BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPs) IS EXPECTED. ADDITIONAL AND/OR DIFERENT BMPs FROM THOSE ORIGINALLY DEPICTED MAY BE NECESSARY DURING CONSTRUCTION DUE TO CHANGING SITE CONDITIONS OR AS REQUIRED BY LOCAL AUTHORITIES.</li> <li>THIS EROSION CONTROL PLAN IS SCHEMATIC IN NATURE. AS SUCH, GRAPHICAL SYMBOLS MAY NOT BE TO SCALE, NOR ARE THEY NECESSARILY SHOWN IN THEIR EXACT LOCATION.</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PERMITHING (CITY, STATE DISCHARGE PERMIT, ETC.) AND COMPLIANCE WITH GOVERNING AUTHORITIES. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR (OR PERMIT HOLDER) TO ENSURE EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ARE PROPERLY MAINTAINED AND FOLLOWED.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT THE APPROPRIATE INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS IUNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS, TEMPORARY IRRIGATION, AND ADDITIONAL MATTLES OR SILT FENCING MARS, TEMPORARY IRRIGATION, AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON PLANTING, REVEGETATION, HARDSCAPE AND OTHER PERMANENT SITE STABILIZATION METHODS.</li> <li>SEE LANDSCAPE PLANS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.</li> <li>SEE LANDSCAPE PLANS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE PERMEABLE PAVERS ON SHEET CO.02 OF FINAL UTILITY PLANS FOR SUMSHING. HOUSE — FC 139 PREPARED BY NORTHERIN ENGINEERING DATED OCTOBER 91H, 2013 FOR ADDITIONAL I</li></ul>	10NE: 970.2 www.
<ul> <li>DÉPICTED MAY BE NECESSARY DURING CONSTRUCTION DUE TO CHANGING SITE CONDITIONS OR AS REQUIRED BY LOCAL AUTHORITIES.</li> <li>THIS EROSION CONTROL PLAN IS SCHEMATIC IN NATURE. AS SUCH, GRAPHICAL SYMBOLS MAY NOT BE TO SCALE, NOR ARE THEY NECESSARILY SHOWN IN THEIR EXACT LOCATION.</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PERMITTING (CITY, STATE DISCHARGE PERMIT, ETC.) AND COMPLIANCE WITH GOVERNING AUTHORITIES. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR (OR PERMIT HOLDER) TO ENSURE EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ARE PROPERLY MAINTAINED AND FOLLOWED.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT THE APPROPRIATE EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ACCORDING THE THE CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCING AND LEVEL OF SITE STABILIZATION.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT THE APPROPRIATE EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ACCORDING THE THE CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCING AND LEVEL OF SITE STABILIZATION.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT THE APPROPRIATE EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ACCORDING THE THE CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCING AND LEVEL OF SITE STABILIZATION.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT APPROPRIATE INCET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM WATTLES FOR BARE SOIL, SAND BAGS OR GRAVEL SOCKS FOR PAVEMENT, ETC.)</li> <li>CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR STABILIZING ALL SLOPES, PARTICULARLY THOSE STEEPER THAN 6:1. CRIMP MULCHING, HYDRO MULCHING, EROSION MATS, TEMPORARY IRRIGATION, AND ADDITIONAL WATTLES OR SILT FENCING MAY BE NECESSARY TO ESTABLISH VEGETATIVE COVER AND STABILIZE THE SLOPE.</li> <li>SEE LANDSCAPE PLANS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON PLANTING, REVEGETATION, HARDSCAPE AND OTHER PERMANENT SITE STABILIZATION METHODS.</li> <li>SEE CRADING &amp; EROSION CONTROL NOTES" ON SHEET CO.02 OF FINAL UTILITY PLANS FOR SUDSHINE HOUSE – FC 139 PREPARED BY NORTHERN ENGINEERING DATED OCTOBER 9TH, 2013 FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE PERMEABLE PAVERS ARE PROTECTED FROM CONSTRUCTION</li> </ul>	_
<ul> <li>MAY NOT BE TO SCALE, NOR ARE THEY NECESSARILY SHOWN IN THEIR EXACT LOCATION.</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PERMITTING (CITY, STATE DISCHARGE PERMIT, ETC.) AND COMPLIANCE WITH GOVERNING AUTHORITIES. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR (OR PERMIT HOLDER) TO ENSURE EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ARE PROPERLY MAINTAINED AND FOLLOWED.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT THE APPROPRIATE EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ACCORDING THE THE CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCING AND FULLOWED.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT THE APPROPRIATE INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM MATTLES FOR BARE SOIL, SAND BAGS OR GRAVEL SOCKS FOR PAYEMENT, ETC.)</li> <li>CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR STABILIZING ALL SLOPES, PARTICULARLY THOSE STEEPER THAN 6:1. CRIMP MULCHING, HYDRO MULCHING, EROSION MATS, TEMPORARY IRRIGATION, AND ADDITIONAL WATTLES OR SILT FENCING MAY BE NECESSARY TO ESTABLISH VEGETATIVE COVER AND STABILIZE THE SLOPE.</li> <li>SEE LANDSCAPE PLANS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON PLANTING, REVEGETATION, HARDSCAPE AND OTHER PERMANENT SITE STABILIZATION METHODS.</li> <li>SEE "GRADING &amp; EROSION CONTROL NOTES" ON SHEET CO.02 OF FINAL UTILITY PLANS FOR SUNSHINE HOUSE – <u>FC 139</u> PREPARED BY NORTHERN ENGINEERING DATED OCTOBER 9TH, 2013 FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE PERMEABLE PAYERS ARE PROTECTED FROM CONSTRUCTION</li> </ul>	200 South College Avenue, Suite 010 Fort Collins, Colorado 80524
<ul> <li>PERMIT, ETC.) AND COMPLIANCE WITH GOVERNING AUTHORITIES. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR (OR PERMIT HOLDER) TO ENSURE EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ARE PROPERLY MAINTAINED AND FOLLOWED.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT THE APPROPRIATE EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ACCORDING THE THE CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCING AND LEVEL OF SITE STABILIZATION.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT APPROPRIATE INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION SHALL BE ADAPTED, AS NECESSARY, TO THE SURROUNDING SURFACE TYPE AND CONDITION (i.e., STAKE-DRIVEN WATTLES FOR BARE SOIL, SAND BAGS OR GRAVEL SOCKS FOR PAVEMENT, ETC.)</li> <li>CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR STABILIZING ALL SLOPES, PARTICULARLY THOSE STEEPER THAN 6:1. CRIMP MULCHING, HYDRO MULCHING, EROSION MATS, TEMPORARY IRRIGATION, AND ADDITIONAL WATTLES OR SILT FENCING MAY BE NECESSARY TO ESTABLISH VEGETATIVE COVER AND STABILIZE THE SLOPE.</li> <li>SEE LANDSCAPE PLANS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON PLANTING, REVEGETATION, HARDSCAPE AND OTHER PERMANENT SITE STABILIZATION METHODS.</li> <li>SEE "GRADING &amp; EROSION CONTROL NOTES" ON SHEET CO.02 OF FINAL UTILITY PLANS FOR SUNSHINE HOUSE - FC 139 PREPARED BY NORTHERN ENGINEERING DATED OCTOBER 9TH, 2013 FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE PERMEABLE PAVERS ARE PROTECTED FROM CONSTRUCTION</li> </ul>	College Aveni Colorado 80
<ul> <li>6. CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT THE APPROPRIATE EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ACCORDING THE THE CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCING AND LEVEL OF SITE STABILIZATION.</li> <li>7. CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT APPROPRIATE INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION FOR ALL STORM DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION SHALL BE ADAPTED, AS NECESSARY, TO THE SURROUNDING SURFACE TYPE AND CONDITION (i.e., STAKE-DRIVEN WATTLES FOR BARE SOIL, SAND BAGS OR GRAVEL SOCKS FOR PAVEMENT, ETC.)</li> <li>8. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR STABILIZING ALL SLOPES, PARTICULARLY THOSE STEEPER THAN 6:1. CRIMP MULCHING, HYDRO MULCHING, EROSION MATS, TEMPORARY IRRIGATION, AND ADDITIONAL WATTLES OR SILL FENCING MAY BE NECESSARY TO ESTABLISH VEGETATIVE COVER AND STABILIZE THE SLOPE.</li> <li>9. SEE LANDSCAPE PLANS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON PLANTING, REVEGETATION, HARDSCAPE AND OTHER PERMANENT SITE STABILIZATION METHODS.</li> <li>10. SEE "GRADING &amp; EROSION CONTROL NOTES" ON SHEET CO.02 OF FINAL UTILITY PLANS FOR <u>SUNSHINE HOUSE - FC 139</u> PREPARED BY NORTHERN ENGINEERING DATED OCTOBER 9TH, 2013 FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.</li> <li>11. CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE PERMEABLE PAVERS ARE PROTECTED FROM CONSTRUCTION</li> </ul>	200 South Fort Collins
<ul> <li>DRAINS UNTIL SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. INLET PROTECTION SHALL BE ADAPTED, AS NECESSARY, TO THE SURROUNDING SURFACE TYPE AND CONDITION (i.e., STAKE-DRIVEN WATTLES FOR BARE SOIL, SAND BAGS OR GRAVEL SOCKS FOR PAVEMENT, ETC.)</li> <li>8. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR STABILIZING ALL SLOPES, PARTICULARLY THOSE STEEPER THAN 6:1. CRIMP MULCHING, HYDRO MULCHING, EROSION MATS, TEMPORARY IRRIGATION, AND ADDITIONAL WATTLES OR SILT FENCING MAY BE NECESSARY TO ESTABLISH VEGETATIVE COVER AND STABILIZE THE SLOPE.</li> <li>9. SEE LANDSCAPE PLANS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON PLANTING, REVEGETATION, HARDSCAPE AND OTHER PERMANENT SITE STABILIZATION METHODS.</li> <li>10. SEE "GRADING &amp; EROSION CONTROL NOTES" ON SHEET CO.02 OF FINAL UTILITY PLANS FOR <u>SUNSHINE HOUSE - FC 139</u> PREPARED BY NORTHERN ENGINEERING DATED OCTOBER 9TH, 2013 FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.</li> <li>11. CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE PERMEABLE PAVERS ARE PROTECTED FROM CONSTRUCTION</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Steper Than 6:1. CRIMP MULCHING, HYDRO MULCHING, EROSION MATS, TEMPORARY IRRIGATION, AND ADDITIONAL WATTLES OR SILT FENCING MAY BE NECESSARY TO ESTABLISH VEGETATIVE COVER AND STABILIZE THE SLOPE.</li> <li>SEE LANDSCAPE PLANS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON PLANTING, REVEGETATION, HARDSCAPE AND OTHER PERMANENT SITE STABILIZATION METHODS.</li> <li>SEE "GRADING &amp; EROSION CONTROL NOTES" ON SHEET CO.02 OF FINAL UTILITY PLANS FOR <u>SUNSHINE HOUSE – FC 139</u> PREPARED BY NORTHERN ENGINEERING DATED OCTOBER 9TH, 2013 FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.</li> <li>CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE PERMEABLE PAVERS ARE PROTECTED FROM CONSTRUCTION</li> </ul>	VIEWED BY: Haws
ESTABLISH VEGETATIVE COVER AND STABILIZE THE SLOPE.         9. SEE LANDSCAPE PLANS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON PLANTING, REVEGETATION, HARDSCAPE AND OTHER PERMANENT SITE STABILIZATION METHODS.         10. SEE "GRADING & EROSION CONTROL NOTES" ON SHEET CO.02 OF FINAL UTILITY PLANS FOR SUNSHINE HOUSE – FC 139 PREPARED BY NORTHERN ENGINEERING DATED OCTOBER 9TH, 2013 FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.         11. CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE PERMEABLE PAVERS ARE PROTECTED FROM CONSTRUCTION	REV N
10. SEE "GRADING & EROSION CONTROL NOTES" ON SHEET CO.02 OF FINAL UTILITY PLANS FOR <u>SUNSHINE HOUSE - FC 139</u> PREPARED BY NORTHERN ENGINEERING DATED OCTOBER 9TH, 2013 FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.         11. CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE PERMEABLE PAVERS ARE PROTECTED FROM CONSTRUCTION	AWN BY: Snowdon
11. CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE PERMEABLE PAVERS ARE PROTECTED FROM CONSTRUCTION	DRAV C. Sr
CONSTRUCTION VEHICLE ACCESS, AND PROVIDING EDUCATION TO ALL PARTIES WORKING ON SITE.	
12. CONTRACTOR SHALL AVOID STORING DIRT, SAND OR GRAVEL ON THE SURFACE OF THE	
<b>−</b> 0,3 0,0 0,0 0	Z
	PL/
	—   Ш
	SI-
Know what's below. Call before you dig.	
DIG, GRADE, OR EXCAVATE FOR THE MARKING OF UNDERGROUND MEMBER UTILITIES.	S
City of Fort Collins, Colorado UTILITY PLAN APPROVAL	
APPROVED:	
CHECKED BY: Water & Wastewater Utility Date	
CHECKED BY: Date Date Date Shee	$\leq$
Official Difference     Date     Shee       CHECKED BY:	
CHECKED BY: Environmental Planner Date Of 17 Sh	

Location	Pipe Diameter (Inches)	Riprap Type	Riprap Length (ft)	Riprap Width (ft)	Riprap Depth (
Storm Line A	24	Type L	10.0	6.0	1.5
Storm Line B	12	Type L	5.0	5.0	1.5
Storm Line C	4	Type L	3.0	2.0	1.5


# CENTRE FOR ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 23RD FILING SUNSHINE HOUSE - FC 139

STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP AND SUBDIVISION

Know all persons by these presents, that the undersigned owner(s) of the following described land: A tract of land being a portion of Section 23, Township 7 North, Range 69 West of the 6th P.M., City of Fort Collins, County of Larimer, State of Colorado being more particularly described as follows:

Tract A, The Grove at Fort Collins

The above described area contains 167,245 square feet or 3.839 acres more or less and is subject to all easements and rights-of-way now on record or existing.

For themselves and their successors in interest (collectively "Owner") have caused the above described land to be surveyed and subdivided into lots, tracts and streets as shown on this Plat to be known CENTRE FOR ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 23RD FILING (the "Development"), subject to all easements and rights-of-way now of record or existing or indicated on this Plat. The rights and obligations of this Plat shall run with the land.

#### CERTIFICATE OF DEDICATION:

The Owner does hereby dedicate and convey to the City of Fort Collins, Colorado (hereafter "City"), for public use, forever, a permanent right-of-way for street purposes and the "Easements" as laid out and designated on this Plat; provided, however, that (1) acceptance by the City of this dedication of Easements does not impose upon the City a duty to maintain the Easements so dedicated, and (2) acceptance by the City of this dedication of streets does not impose upon the City a duty to maintain streets so dedicated until such time as the provisions of the Maintenance Guarantee have been fully satisfied. The streets dedicated on this Plat are the fee property of the City as provided in Section 31-23-107 C.R.S. The City's rights under the Easements include the right to install, operate, access, maintain, repair, reconstruct, remove and replace within the Easements public improvements consistent with the intended purpose of the Easements; the right to install, maintain and use gates in any fences that cross the Easements; the right to mark the location of the Easements with suitable markers; and the right to permit other public utilities to exercise these same rights. Owner reserves the right to use the Easements for purposes that do not interfere with the full enjoyment of the rights hereby granted. The City is responsible for maintenance of its own improvements and for repairing any damage caused by its activities in the Easements, but by acceptance of this dedication, the City does not accept the duty of maintenance of the Easements, or of improvements in the Easements that are not owned by the City. Owner will maintain the surface of the Easements in a sanitary condition in compliance with any applicable weed, nuisance or other legal requirements.

Except as expressly permitted in an approved plan of development or other written agreement with the City, Owner will not install on the Easements, or permit the installation on the Easements, of any building, structure, improvement, fence, retaining wall, sidewalk, tree or other landscaping (other than usual and customary grasses and other ground cover). In the event such obstacles are installed in the Easements, the City has the right to require the Owner to remove such obstacles from the Easements. If Owner does not remove such obstacles, the City may remove such obstacles without any liability or obligation for repair and replacement thereof, and charge the Owner the City's costs for such removal. If the City chooses not to remove the obstacles, the City will not be liable for any damage to the obstacles or any other property to which they are attached.

The rights granted to the City by this Plat inure to the benefit of the City's agents, licensees, permittees and assigns.

OWNER: Colorado State University Research Foundation, a Colorado non-profit corporation

·				
STATE OF COLORADO ) )SS COUNTY OF LARIMER )				
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this	s day of	, 20	_, by	
as	of Col	orado State University I	Research Foundat	tion

a Colorado non-profit corporation

Witness my hand and official seal

My commission expires: ____

Notary Public

#### MAINTENANCE GUARANTEE:

The Owner hereby warrants and guarantees to the City, for a period of two (2) years from the date of completion and first acceptance by the City of the improvements warranted hereunder, the full and complete maintenance and repair of the improvements to be constructed in connection with the Development which is the subject of this Plat. This warranty and guarantee is made in accordance with the City Land Use Code and/or the Transitional Land Use Regulations, as applicable. This guarantee applies to the streets and all other appurtenant structures and amenities lying within the rights-of-way, Easements and other public properties, including, without limitation, all curbing, sidewalks, bike paths, drainage pipes, culverts, catch basins, drainage ditches and landscaping. Any maintenance and/or repair required on utilities shall be coordinated with the owning utility company or department.

The Owner shall maintain said improvements in a manner that will assure compliance on a consistent basis with all construction standards, safety requirements and environmental protection requirements of the City. The Owner shall also correct and repair, or cause to be corrected and repaired, all damages to said improvements resulting from development-related or building-related activities. In the event the Owner fails to correct any damages within thirty (30) days after written notice thereof, then said damages may be corrected by the City and all costs and charges billed to and paid by the Owner. The City shall also have any other remedies available to it as authorized by law. Any damages which occurred prior to the end of said two (2) year period and which are unrepaired at the termination of said period shall remain the responsibility of the Owner.

A REPLAT OF TRACT A, THE GROVE AT FORT COLLINS, LOCATED IN SECTION 23, TOWNSHIP 7 NORTH, RANGE 69 WEST OF THE 6TH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, CITY OF FORT COLLINS, COUNTY OF LARIMER, STATE OF COLORADO

#### **REPAIR GUARANTEE**

In consideration of the approval of this final Plat and other valuable consideration, the Owner does hereby agree to hold the City harmless for a five (5) year period, commencing upon the date of completion and first acceptance by the City of the improvements to be constructed in connection with the development which is the subject of this Plat, from any and all claims, damages, or demands arising on account of the design and construction of public improvements of the property shown herein; and the Owner furthermore commits to make necessary repairs to said public improvements, to include, without limitation, the roads, streets, fills, embankments, ditches, cross pans, sub-drains, culverts, walls and bridges within the right-of-way, Easements and other public properties, resulting from failures caused by design and/or construction defects. This agreement to hold the City harmless includes defects in materials and workmanship, as well as defects caused by or consisting of settling trenches, fills or excavations.

Further, the Owner warrants that he/she owns fee simple title to the property shown hereon and agrees that the City shall not be liable to the Owner or his/her successors in interest during the warranty period, for any claim of damages resulting from negligence in exercising engineering techniques and due caution in the construction of cross drains, drives, structures or buildings, the changing of courses of streams and rivers, flooding from natural creeks and rivers, and any other matter whatsoever on private property. Any and all monetary liability occurring under this paragraph shall be the liability of the Owner. I further warrant that I have the right to convey said land according to this Plat.

#### NOTICE OF OTHER DOCUMENTS:

All persons take notice that the Owner has executed certain documents pertaining to this Development which create certain rights and obligations of the Development, the Owner and/or subsequent Owners of all or portions of the Development site, many of which obligations constitute promises and covenants that, along with the obligations under this Plat, run with the land. The said documents may also be amended from time to time and may include, without limitation, the Development Agreement, Site And Landscape Covenants, Final Site Plan, Final Landscape Plan, and Architectural Elevations, which documents are on file in the office of the clerk of the City and should be closely examined by all persons interested in purchasing any portion of the Development site.

#### ATTORNEY'S CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that this Subdivision Plat has been duly executed as required pursuant to Section 2.2.3(C)(3)(a) through (e) inclusive of the Land Use Code of the City of Fort Collins and that all persons signing this Subdivision Plat on behalf of a corporation or other entity are duly authorized signatories under the laws of the State of Colorado. This Certification is based upon the records of the Clerk and Recorder of Larimer County, Colorado as of the date of execution of the Plat and other information discovered by me through reasonable inquiry and is limited as authorized by Section 2.2.3(C)(3)(f) of the Land Use Code.

Attorney:	
Address:	

Registration No.

#### APPROVED AS TO FORM, CITY ENGINEER

By the City Engineer of the City of Fort Collins, Colorado this _____ day of _____ A.D., 20____.

#### City Engineer

#### PLANNING APPROVAL

By the Director of Planning the City of Fort Collins, Colorado this _____ day of _____ A.D., 20____.

Director of Planning

#### NOTES:

1) The Basis of Bearings is the southeasterly line of Tract A, The Grove at Fort Collins as bearing North 42°49'17" East (assumed bearing).

2) All information regarding easements, right-of-way or title of record, Northern Engineering relied upon Title Commitment No.

- 3) Benchmark: City of Fort Collins Benchmark number 14-97, NGVD 1929, (unadjusted) elevation = 5048.58
- 4) The lineal unit of measurement for this plat is U. S. Survey Feet.

prepared by ______ dated _____

- 5) Transit Easement Easement for public transportation, equipment, infrastructure and/or use, including public access.
- 6) There are no lienholders for this property.



NOTICE

ALL RESPONSIBILITIES AND COSTS OF OPERATION, MAINTENANCE AND RECONSTRUCTION OF THE PRIVATE STREETS AND/OR DRIVES LOCATED ON THE PRIVATE PROPERTY THAT IS THE SUBJECT OF THIS PLAT SHALL BE BORNE BY THE OWNERS OF SAID PROPERTY, EITHER INDIVIDUALLY, OR COLLECTIVELY, THROUGH A PROPERTY OWNERS' ASSOCIATION, IF APPLICABLE. THE CITY OF FORT COLLINS SHALL HAVE NO OBLIGATION OF OPERATION, MAINTENANCE OR RECONSTRUCTION OF SUCH PRIVATE STREETS AND/OR DRIVES NOR SHALL THE CITY HAVE ANY OBLIGATION TO ACCEPT SUCH STREETS AND/OR DRIVES AS PUBLIC STREETS OR DRIVES.

### SURVEYOR'S STATEMENT

I, Gerald D. Gilliland, a Colorado Registered Professional Land Surveyor do hereby state that this Subdivision Plat was prepared from an actual survey under my personal supervision, that the monumentation as indicated hereon were found or set as shown, and that the foregoing plat is an accurate representation thereof, all this to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Gerald D. Gilliland Colorado Registered Professional Land Surveyor No. 14823





CURVE TABLE						
CURVE	DELTA	RADIUS	LENGTH	BEARING	CHORD	
C1	1°41'06"	518.00'	15.23'	N69°16'37"W	15.23'	
C2	16°44'18"	400.00'	116.86'	N60°03'54"W	116.44'	
C3	4°31'08"	513.00'	40.46'	N49°26'10"W	40.45'	
C4	89°59'52"	5.00'	7.85'	N02°10'39"W	7.07'	
C5	12°35'55"	644.00'	141.61'	N36°31'21"E	141.32'	
C6	21°15'14"	238.00'	88.29'	S49°37'30"E	87.78'	
C7	6°37'12"	312.00'	36.05'	S42°18'29"E	36.03'	
C8	14°11'52"	310.00'	76.82'	S34°35'11"W	76.62'	
C9	4°55'02"	250.00'	21.46'	N62°48'13"W	21.45'	
C10	23°35'03"	500.00'	205.81'	N48°33'10"W	204.36'	
C11	17°54'12"	700.00'	218.73'	S33°52'21"W	217.84'	
C12	2°16'02"	238.00'	9.42'	S59°07'06"E	9.42'	
C13	0°26'46"	310.00'	2.41'	N27°42'39"E	2.41'	

LINE TABLE				
LINE	LENGTH	BEARING		
L1	172.28'	N41°41'07"E		
L2	139.55'	N48°18'53"W		
L3	17.00'	N41°41'07"E		
L4	30.50'	S48°18'53"E		
L5	73.09'	N48°18'53"W		
L6	11.41'	N48°18'53"W		
L7	65.50'	S48°18'53"E		
L8	41.50'	N48°18'53"W		
L9	24.00'	S48°18'53"E		
L10	24.00'	S41°41'07"W		
L11	11.07'	S48°18'53"E		
L12	24.00'	N42°29'50"E		
L13	73.43'	S48°18'53"E		
L14	33.00'	N41°41'07"E		
L15	51.00'	S41°41'07"W		
L16	24.00'	S48°18'53"E		
L17	33.00'	N41°41'07"E		
L18	51.00'	N41°41'07"E		
L19	24.00'	N41°41'07"E		
L20	81.63'	S00°15'48"W		
L21	20.00'	N89°44'12"W		
L22	42.78'	S00°15'48"W		
L23	8.87'	S46°43'42"E		
L24	20.00'	N43°16'18"E		
L25	9.02'	N46°43'42"W		
L26	26.20'	N36°45'40"W		
L27	16.85'	N60°20'41"W		

LEGEND				
	EASEMENT LINE			
	EXISTING RIGHT-OF-WAY			
	PROPOSED RIGHT-OF-WAY			
	BOUNDARY LINE			
	LOT LINE			
·	SECTION LINE			
O	SET #4 REBAR w/1" PLASTIC CAP, LS 14823			
۲	FOUND #4 REBAR w/1" PLASTIC CAP, LS 14823			
	DRAINAGE EASEMENT (DE)			
	EMERGENCY ACCESS EASEMENT (EAE)			
	PUBLIC ACCESS EASEMENT (PAE)			
	EMERGENCY ACCESS & PUBLIC EASEMENT (EAE & PAE))			



#### SUNSHINE HOUSE DAYCARE TRANSPORTATION IMPACT STUDY

FORT COLLINS, COLORADO

NOVEMBER 2013

Prepared for:

CSU/CSURF Real Estate Office P.O. Box 483 Fort Collins, CO 80522

Prepared by:

DELICH ASSOCIATES 2272 Glen Haven Drive Loveland, CO 80538 Phone: 970-669-2061 FAX: 970-669-5034



Project #1384



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. EXISTING CONDITIONS	2
Land Use	2
Roads	
Existing Traffic	5
Existing Operation	5
Pedestrians Facilities	8
Bicycle Facilities	8
Transit Facilities	8
	-
III. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	
Trip Generation	
Trip Distribution	
Background Traffic Projections	9
Trip Assignment	13
Signal Warrants	13
Operation Analysis	13
Geometry	
Pedestrian Level of Service	19
Bicycle Level of Service	19
Transit Level of Service	19
IV. CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS	20



#### LIST OF TABLES

1.	Current Peak Hour Operation	7
2.	Trip Generation	. 9
	Short Range (2018) Background Peak Hour Operation	
4.	Short Range (2018) Total Peak Hour Operation	17

#### LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Site Location	3
2.	Existing Intersection Geometry	4
	Recent Peak Hour Traffic	
	Site Plan	
5.	Trip Distribution	11
6.	Short Range (2018) Background Peak Hour Traffic	12
	Site Generated Peak Hour Traffic	
8.	Short Range (2018) Total Peak Hour Traffic	15
9.	Short Range (2018) Geometry	18

#### APPENDICES

- A. Base Assumptions Form
- B. Recent Peak Hour Traffic
- C. Current Peak Hour Operation/Level of Service Descriptions/Fort Collins LOS Standards
- D. Short Range (2018) Background Peak Hour Operation
- E. Short Range (2018) Total Peak Hour Operation
- F. Pedestrian/Bicycle Level of Service



#### I. INTRODUCTION

This intermediate transportation impact study (ITIS) addresses the capacity, geometric, and control requirements at and near the proposed Sunshine House Daycare. The proposed Sunshine House Daycare site is located in the southwest quadrant of the Centre/Perennial intersection in Fort Collins, Colorado.

During the course of the analysis, numerous contacts were made with the project developer (CSU/CSURF), the project planning consultant (TB - Group), the Fort Collins Traffic Engineering staff, and the Fort Collins Transportation Planning staff. This study generally conforms to the format set forth in the Fort Collins transportation impact study guidelines contained in the "Larimer County Urban Area Street Standards" (LCUASS). A Base Assumptions Form and related information are provided in Appendix A. The study involved the following steps:

- Collect physical, traffic, and development data;
- Perform trip generation, trip distribution, and trip assignment;
- Determine peak hour traffic volumes;
- Conduct capacity and operational level of service analyses on key intersections;
- Analyze signal warrants;
- Conduct level of service evaluation of pedestrian, bicycle, and transit modes of transportation.

This TIS is a revision to the "Sunshine House Daycare Transportation Impact Study" dated October 2013. The revision was requested by the City of Fort Collins because some data collection occurred during the Federal Government shutdown in early October 2013.



#### **II. EXISTING CONDITIONS**

The location of the Sunshine House Daycare site is shown in Figure 1. It is important that a thorough understanding of the existing conditions be presented.

#### Land Use

Land uses in the area are primarily commercial, institutional (CSU/CSURF), or residential. Land adjacent to the site is flat (<2% grade) from a traffic operations perspective. This site is near the center of Fort Collins. Colorado State University and the Fort Collins CBD are north of the proposed Sunshine House Daycare site.

#### Roads

The primary streets near the Sunshine House Daycare site are Prospect Road, Centre Avenue, Rolland Moore Drive, and Perennial Lane. The existing geometry at the key intersections is shown in Figure 2.

Prospect Road is to the north of the Sunshine House Daycare site. It is classified as a four-lane arterial street on the Fort Collins Master Street Plan. Currently, Prospect Road has a four-lane cross section near the Prospect/Centre intersection. At the Prospect/Centre intersection, Prospect Road has eastbound and westbound left-turn lanes and two travel lanes in each direction. According to LCUASS, eastbound and westbound right-turn lanes are required with the existing traffic volumes. The Prospect/Centre intersection has signal control. The existing speed limit in this are is 35 mph.

Centre Avenue is to the east of (adjacent to) the Sunshine House Daycare site. In this area, it is generally a north-south street designated as a collector street on the Fort Collins Master Street Plan. Currently, it has a two-lane cross section with a center two-way continuous left-turn lane. At the Prospect/Centre intersection, Centre Avenue has northbound and southbound left-turn lanes, one through lane in each direction, and northbound and southbound right-turn lanes. At the Centre/Rolland Moore-NRRC South and Centre/Perennial-NRRC North intersections, Centre Avenue has the center two-way continuous left-turn lane and one through lane in each direction. The existing posted speed in this area is 35 mph. During the morning peak hour, the northbound right-turn volumes at both the Centre/Perennial-NRRC North and Centre/Rolland Moore-NRRC South intersections exceed the threshold volume requiring a right-turn lane. However, the NRRC land uses have been in existence for a number of years with no accident history that would be mitigated by these right-turn lanes. Current traffic volumes on Centre Avenue indicate that it should be classified as a two-lane arterial street, since the current traffic volumes exceed 5,000 vehicles per day.

Rolland Moore Drive is an east-west street designated as a collector street on the Fort Collins Master Street Plan. Currently, Rolland Moore Drive has a two-lane cross section. The east leg of the Centre/Rolland Moore-NRRC South intersection





### SITE LOCATION

## 



EXISTING INTERSECTION GEOMETRY

### Figure 2



serves the NRRC campus. At the Centre/Rolland Moore-NRRC South intersection, Rolland Moore Drive has an eastbound left-turn lane and a combined eastbound rightturn/through lane. The NRRC South Access has a westbound left-turn lane and a combined westbound right-turn/through lane. The Centre/Rolland Moore-NRRC South intersection has stop control on Rolland Moore Drive and the NRRC South Access.

Perennial Lane is an east-west street designated as a local street on the Fort Collins Master Street Plan. Currently, Perennial Lane has a two-lane cross section. The east leg of the Centre/Perennial-NRRC North intersection serves the NRRC campus. At the Centre/Perennial-NRRC North intersection, Perennial Lane has an eastbound left-turn lane and a combined eastbound through/right-turn lane. The NRRC North Access has a westbound left-turn lane and a combined must bound through/right-turn lane. The Centre/Perennial-NRRC North intersection has stop control on Perennial Lane and the NRRC North Access.

#### Existing Traffic

Recent peak hour traffic volumes at the Prospect/Centre, Centre/Perennial-NRRC North, and Centre/Rolland Moore-NRRC South intersections are shown in Figure 3. The traffic data at the Centre/Perennial-NRRC North and Centre/Rolland Moore-NRRC South intersections was collected in November 2013. The peak hour traffic at the Prospect/Centre intersection was obtained in September 2013. Raw traffic count data is provided in Appendix B. Since the reason for this revised TIS was due to the Federal Government shutdown and its impact on the two accesses to the NRRC Campus, the peak hour traffic counts from the different days were compared. While there are differences in the volumes using the respective accesses, the composite differences were not significant. There were forty more vehicles using the NRRC driveways during the Federal Government shutdown in the morning peak hour, and thirteen less vehicles using the NRRC driveways during the Federal Government shutdown in the afternoon peak hour.

#### Existing Operation

The Prospect/Centre, Centre/Perennial-NRRC North, and Centre/Rolland Moore-NRRC South intersections were evaluated and the peak hour operation is displayed in Table 1. Calculation forms are provided in Appendix C. The Prospect/Centre intersection is currently operating acceptably with existing control, geometry, and signal timing in the morning and afternoon peak hours. The Centre/Perennial-NRRC North and Centre/Rolland Moore-NRRC South intersections do not meet acceptable levels of service with the existing traffic. The intersections were evaluated using techniques provided in the <u>2010 Highway Capacity Manual</u>. A description of level of service for signalized and unsignalized intersections from the <u>2010 Highway Capacity Manual</u> and a table showing the Fort Collins Motor Vehicle LOS Standards (Intersections) are also provided in Appendix C. The Prospect/Centre intersection is in an area termed "mixeduse district." In areas termed "mixed-use districts," acceptable operation at signalized







**RECENT PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC** 

### Figure 3



TABLE 1							
Current Peak Hour Operation							
Intersection	Movement		Service				
	EB LT	AM	PM				
	EBLI	A B	A B				
	EB RT	B	B				
	EB APPROACH	B	В				
	WB LT	A	A				
	WB T	A	В				
	WB RT	A	В				
Prospect/Centre	WB APPROACH	A	В				
(signal)	NB LT	D	D				
	NB T	D	С				
	NB RT	С	С				
	NB APPROACH	D	D				
	SB LT	D	D				
	SB T	С	D				
	SB RT	А	С				
	SB APPROACH	D	D				
	OVERALL	В	В				
	EB LT	D	F				
	EB T/RT	С	E				
	EB APPROACH	С	E				
Centre/Perennial-NRRC North	WB LT	D	F				
(stop sign)	WB T/RT	С	D				
	WB APPROACH	С	D				
	NB LT	А	А				
	SB LT	А	А				
	EB LT	E	F				
	EB T/RT	С	D				
	EB APPROACH	D	E				
Centre/Rolland Moore-NRRC	WB LT	С	F				
South	WB T/RT	В	D				
(stop sign)	WB APPROACH	С	E				
	NB LT	В	A				
	SB LT	A	A				



intersections during the peak hours is defined as level of service E or better for the overall intersection, and level of service E or better for any leg or movement. The Sunshine House Daycare site is in an area termed "all other areas." Acceptable operation at unsignalized intersections during the peak hours is defined as level of service E or better for any approach leg for an arterial/collector and level of service C or better for any approach leg for a local and collector/local intersection.

#### **Pedestrian Facilities**

There are sidewalks along Prospect Road, Centre Avenue, Rolland Moore Drive, and Perennial Lane.

#### **Bicycle Facilities**

Bicycle lanes exist on Centre Avenue. Prospect Road has no bicycle lanes. Bike lanes are not required on local or connector streets.

#### **Transit Facilities**

Currently, Transfort serves this area of Fort Collins with Route 7. Route 7 runs from the Mall Transfer Point, along Drake Road, Centre Avenue, through the CSU Campus, and to the CSU Transit Center. There are transit stops very close to this site.



#### III. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Sunshine House Daycare is a proposed daycare with approximately 152 students. Figure 4 shows a site plan of the Sunshine House Daycare site. The site plan shows access to/from Perennial Lane. The short range analysis (Year 2018) includes development of the Sunshine House Daycare site and an appropriate increase in background traffic, due to normal growth, and other approved developments in the area.

#### Trip Generation

Trip generation is important in considering the impact of a development such as this upon the existing and proposed street system. A compilation of trip generation information contained in <u>Trip Generation</u>, 9th Edition, ITE was used to estimate trips that would be generated by the proposed/expected use at this site. Table 2 shows the expected trip generation on a daily and peak hour basis.

TABLE 2 Trip Generation												
Code	Use	Size	AWDTE         AM Peak Hour           Rate         Trips         Rate         In         Rate         Out			<b>P</b> Rate	M Pea	<b>ak Hou</b> Rate	<b>ir</b> Out			
565	Daycare	152 Students	4.48	680	0.42	64	0.38	58	0.39	59	0.43	65

#### Trip Distribution

Directional distribution of the generated trips was determined for the Sunshine House Daycare site. Future year data was obtained from the NFRRTP and other traffic studies. Figure 5 shows the trip distribution used for the Sunshine House Daycare site. The trip distribution was discussed and agreed to in the scoping discussions.

#### Background Traffic Projections

Figure 6 shows the short range (2018) background traffic projections. Background traffic projections for the short range future horizon were obtained by reviewing the NFRRTP, reviewing traffic studies for other developments, and reviewing historic count data for this area of Fort Collins. Traffic at the key intersections was increased at a rate of one percent per year for the short range (2018) background traffic forecasts.







### TRIP DISTRIBUTION

### Figure 5





SHORT RANGE (2018) BACKGROUND PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC

Figure 6



#### Trip Assignment

Trip assignment is how the generated and distributed trips are expected to be loaded on the street system. The assigned trips are the resultant of the trip distribution process. The site generated trip assignment for the Sunshine House Daycare site is shown in Figure 7. The site generated traffic was combined with the background traffic to determine the total forecasted traffic at the key intersections. Figure 8 shows the short range (2018) total peak hour traffic at the key intersections.

#### Signal Warrants

As a matter of policy, traffic signals are not installed at any location unless warrants are met according to the <u>Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices</u> (MUTCD). None of the stop sign controlled intersections are expected to meet peak hour signal warrants.

#### **Operation Analysis**

Operation analyses were performed at the key intersections. The operation analyses were conducted for the short range analysis, reflecting a year 2018 condition.

Using the short range (2018) background peak hour traffic volumes, the key intersections operate as indicated in Table 3. Calculation forms for these analyses are provided in Appendix D. The Prospect/Centre intersection operates acceptably with existing control, geometry, and signal timing in the morning and afternoon peak hours. The Centre/Perennial-NRRC North and Centre/Rolland Moore-NRRC South intersections do not meet acceptable levels of service, since Centre Avenue is classified as a collector street.

Using the traffic volumes shown in Figure 8, the key intersections operate in the short range (2018) total condition as indicated in Table 4. Calculation forms for these analyses are provided in Appendix E. As with the background traffic, the Prospect/Centre intersection operates acceptably with existing control, geometry, and signal timing in the morning and afternoon peak hours. The Centre/Perennial-NRRC North and Centre/Rolland Moore-NRRC South intersections do not meet acceptable levels of service, since Centre Avenue is classified as a collector street. A variance with regard to the operation at the Centre/Perennial-NRRC North and Centre/Rolland Moore-NRRC South intersections will be submitted with this TIS.

#### Geometry

The short range (2018) geometry is shown in Figure 9. The geometry at the analyzed intersections is the existing geometry. As mentioned earlier, according to LCUASS, eastbound and westbound right-turn lanes are required with the existing traffic volumes at the Prospect/Centre intersection.







### SITE GENERATED PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC

DELICH ASSOCIATES

1 1







SHORT RANGE (2018) TOTAL PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC

Figure 8



TABLE 3 Short Range (2018) Background Peak Hour Operation					
			Service		
Intersection	Movement	AM	PM		
	EB LT	A	A		
	EB T	В	В		
	EB RT	В	В		
	EB APPROACH	В	В		
	WB LT	В	В		
	WBT	A	В		
	WB RT	В	В		
	WB APPROACH	В	В		
Prospect/Centre	NB LT	D	D		
(signal)	NB T	D	С		
	NB RT	С	С		
	NB APPROACH	D	D		
	SB LT	D	D		
	SB T	С	D		
	SB RT	A	С		
	SB APPROACH	D	D		
	OVERALL	В	В		
	EB LT	D	F		
	EB T/RT	С	F		
	EB APPROACH	D	F		
Centre/Perennial-NRRC North	WB LT	D	F		
(stop sign)	WB T/RT	С	D		
	WB APPROACH	С	E		
	NB LT	A	А		
	SB LT	А	А		
	EB LT	E	F		
	EB T/RT	С	D		
	EB APPROACH	D	E		
Centre/Rolland Moore-NRRC	WB LT	E	F		
South (stop sign)	WB T/RT	С	E		
	WB APPROACH	С	F		
	NB LT	В	А		
	SB LT	A	А		



TABLE 4							
Short Range (2018) Total Peak Hour Operation Level of Service							
Intersection	Movement		k				
	EDIT	AM	PM				
	EB LT	A	A				
	EB T	B	B				
	EB RT	B	B				
	EB APPROACH	B	B				
	WBLT	В	В				
	WBT	В	В				
	EB RT	В	В				
Prospect/Centre	WB APPROACH	В	В				
(signal)	NB LT	D	D				
	NB T	D	С				
	NB RT	D	С				
	NB APPROACH	D	D				
	SB LT	D	D				
	SB T	С	С				
	SB RT	A	С				
	SB APPROACH	D	D				
	OVERALL	В	В				
	EB LT	E	F				
	EB T/RT	D	F				
	EB APPROACH	D	F				
Centre/Perennial-NRRC North	WB LT	D	F				
(stop sign)	WB T/RT	С	D				
	WB APPROACH	С	Е				
	NB LT	A	A				
	SB LT	A	A				
	EB LT	F	F				
	EB T/RT	С	D				
	EB APPROACH	D	E				
Centre/Rolland Moore-NRRC	WBLT	E	F				
South	WB T/RT	C	E				
(stop sign)	WB APPROACH	C	 F				
	NB LT	B	A				
	SB LT	A	A				





SHORT RANGE (2018) GEOMETRY

### Figure 9



#### Pedestrian Level of Service

Appendix F shows a map of the area that is within 1320 feet of the Sunshine House Daycare. The Sunshine House Daycare site is located within an area termed as a "other," which sets the level of service threshold at LOS C for all measured factors. There are six destination areas within 1320 feet of the proposed Sunshine House Daycare: 1) NRRC Campus; 2) The Grove residential neighborhood; 3) the residential neighborhood to the northwest of the site; 4) the residential neighborhood to the north of the site; 5) Spring Creek Trail; and 6) The Gardens on Spring Creek. In most cases, sidewalks exist within the pedestrian influence area. It is assumed that sidewalks will Appendix F contains a Pedestrian LOS be completed as properties develop. Worksheet. This site will have sidewalk access to the Spring Creek Trail. Level of service B cannot be achieved for the Directness category to the neighborhood to the north of the site (destination #4). There is a body of water between this neighborhood and the Spring Creek Trail. Therefore, this neighborhood accesses the Spring Creek Trail on the far west side of the neighborhood. This causes the Directness ratio to be approximately 1.77.

#### Bicycle Level of Service

Based upon Fort Collins bicycle LOS criteria, there is one destination area (Spring Creek Trail) within 1320 feet of the Sunshine House Daycare. The bicycle level of service is acceptable. The Mason Trail is greater than 1320 feet from the Sunshine House Daycare. The bicycle LOS Worksheet is provided in Appendix F.

Transit Level of Service

Currently, Transfort serves this area of Fort Collins with Route 7. Route 7 runs from the Mall Transfer Point, along Drake Road, Centre Avenue, through the CSU Campus, and to the CSU Transit Center. There are transit stops very close to this site.



#### IV. CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

This study assessed the impacts of the Sunshine House Daycare site development on the short range (2018) street system in the vicinity of the proposed development. As a result of this analysis, the following is concluded:

- The development of the Sunshine House Daycare site is feasible from a traffic engineering standpoint. At full development, the Sunshine House Daycare site will generate approximately 680 daily trip ends, 122 morning peak hour trip ends, and 124 afternoon peak hour trip ends.
- Current operation at the key intersections is acceptable.
- In the short range (2018) future, given development of the Sunshine House Daycare site and an increase in background traffic, the Prospect/Centre intersection operates acceptably with existing control, geometry, and signal timing in the morning and afternoon peak hours. The Centre/Perennial-NRRC North and Centre/Rolland Moore-NRRC South intersections do not meet acceptable levels of service, since Centre Avenue is classified as a collector street. A variance with regard to the operation at the Centre/Perennial-NRRC North and Centre/Rolland Moore-NRRC South intersections will be submitted with this TIS.
- Acceptable level of service is achieved for bicycle and transit modes based upon the measures in the multi-modal transportation guidelines. Acceptable level of service is achieved for pedestrians, except for one category to one destination.



## APPENDIX A

### Attachment "A" **Transportation Impact Study Base Assumptions**

Project Information						
Project Name Sunshine House Dayca	ire					
Project Location Southwest quadrant of	of the Centre/Old Re	olland Mo	ore-NRR	C North Access		
TIS Assumptions						
Type of Study	Full:		Intermediate: Yes			
Study Area Boundaries	North: Prospect		South: Rolland Moore			
	East: Centre		West: Centre			
Study Years	Short Range: 2018		Long Range:			
Future Traffic Growth Rate	1% per year					
Study Intersections	1. Centre/Prospect		5.			
	2. Centre/Old Rolland Moore-NRRC North Access		6.			
	3. Centre/New Rolland Moore-NRRC North Access		7.			
	4. South		8.			
Time Period for Study	AM: 7:00-9:00	PM: 4:0	00-6:00	Sat Noon:		
Trip Generation Rates	Per ITE Attached					
Trip Adjustment Factors	Passby: N/A		Captive Market: N/A			
Overall Trip Distribution	See Attached					
Mode Split Assumptions	N/A					
Committed Roadway Improvements	NONE					
Other Traffic Studies						
Areas Requiring Special Study	INclude Ped/bike Volumes in Prospect & Center ANAlysis.					

D	0	+	~	•
$\mathcal{D}$	a	ι	C	

September 30, 2013

Traffic Engineer:

Delich Associates

Local Entity Engineer:

Larimer	County	Urban	Area	Street	Standards
	J	anuary	2,20	01	

10/8/13



## SITE LOCATION





TABLE 2 Trip Generation												
Code	Use	Size	AWDTERateTrips		A Rate	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour           Rate         In         Rate         Out			
565	Daycare	152 Students	4.48	680	0.42	64	0.38	58	0.39	59	0.43	65





### TRIP DISTRIBUTION



Figure 5

#### Ward Stanford

From:Aaron IversonSent:Tuesday, October 08, 2013 2:13 PMTo:Ward Stanford; Amy LewinCc:Michael Delich (michael@delichassoc.com)Subject:RE: Sunshine House Daycare scoping

Ward, A couple of comments. Aaron

1) Can they annotate what all of the numbers represent? The map is really not as valuable, without knowing what those destinations are. . .

2) Include trails in these analyses. For example, access to the Mason Trail. . .

-----Original Message-----From: Ward Stanford Sent: Tuesday, October 08, 2013 12:54 PM To: Amy Lewin; Aaron Iverson Cc: Michael Delich (<u>michael@delichassoc.com</u>) Subject: FW: Sunshine House Daycare scoping

Aaron, Amy,

Attached is a base assumption packet with the ped influence area as the last sheet. Please let Michael and myself know if the ped influence area is acceptable and if you have anything to add to the scoping packet.

Best regards,

Ward Stanford Traffic Systems Engineer City of Fort Collins 970-221-6630 wstanford@fcgov.com

-----Original Message-----From: Michael P. Delich [mailto:michael@delichassoc.com] Sent: Monday, October 07, 2013 3:23 PM To: Ward Stanford Subject: Sunshine House Daycare scoping

Ward-

I think Joe sent you a base assumptions form and other information regarding the Sunshine House Daycare project. This project is located just south of the Gardens at Spring Creek in the southwest quadrant of the Centre/Old Rolland Moore intersection. (I believe old Rolland Moore will now be called Perennial Lane?) I am attaching a larger scoping packet with more information. Please review and let me know if you need to discuss. Thanks

Michael



SCALE: 1"=600'

### PEDESTRIAN INFLUENCE AREA



The map is of the area that is within 1320 feet of the Sunshine House Daycare. The Sunshine House Daycare site is located within an area termed as a "other," which sets the level of service threshold at LOS C for all measured factors. There are six destinationareas within 1320 feet of the proposed Sunshine House Daycare: 1) NRRC Campus; 2) The Grove residential neighborhood; 3) the residential neighborhood to the northwest of the site; 4) the residential neighborhood to the north of the site; 5) Spring Creek Trail; and 6) The Gardens on Spring Creek.



SCALE: 1"=600'

### BICYCLE INFLUENCE AREA



## APPENDIX B
### DELICH ASSOCIATES 2272 GLEN HAVEN DRIVE LOVELAND, CO 80538 Phone: (970) 669-2061

## TABULAR SUMMARY OF VEHICLE COUNTS

Date: 9/18/2013

Observer: City of Fort Collins

Day: Wednesday

Intersection:

Jurisdiction: Fort Collins Prospect/Centre

R = right turn S = straight

Time	Nor	thbound	d:	Centre	Sout	hbound	l:	Centre	Total	Eas	stbound	4:	Prospect	We	stbound	d:	Prospect	Total	Total
Begins	L	S	R	Total	L	S	R	Total	north/south	L	S	R	Total	L	S	R	Total	east/west	All
7:30	14	54	45	113	7	10	4	21	134	31	199	22	252	72	152	56	280	532	666
7:45	16	74	61	151	2	18	9	29	180	33	205	21	259	82	185	42	309	568	748
8:00	13	39	49	101	12	16	8	36	137	12	170	20	202	60	116	27	203	405	542
8:15	6	38	37	81	6	10	8	24	105	20	186	27	233	46	122	27	195	428	533
:30-8:30	49	205	192	446	27	54	29	110	556	96	760	90	946	260	575	152	987	1933	2489
PHF	0.77	0.69	0.79	0.74	0.56	0.75	0.81	0.76		0.73	0.93	0.83	0.91	0.79	0.78	0.68	0.8		0.83
4:30	18	14	63	95	32	45	21	98	193	10	184	21	215	62	220	16	298	513	706
4:45	13	43	61	117	31	40	17	88	205	10	211	21	242	64	257	12	333	575	780
5:00	35	27	93	155	35	46	30	111	266	4	206	13	223	77	245	7	329	552	818
5:15	21	9	90	120	37	44	25	106	226	4	207	15	226	89	235	17	341	567	793

4:30-5:30	87	93	307	487	135		93	403	890	28	808	70	906	292	957	52	1301	2207	3097
PHF	0.62	0.54	0.83	0.79	0.91	0.95	0.78	0.91		0.7	0.96	0.83	0.94	0.82	0.93	0.76	0.95		0.95



## TABULAR SUMMARY OF VEHICLE COUNTS

Date: 11/6/2013

Observer: Sue

Day: Wednesday

Intersection:

Jurisdiction: Fort Collins

Centre/Perennial-NRRC North Access

R = right turn S = straight

L = left turn																			
Time	Nort	hbound	d:	Centre	Sout	hbound	l:	Centre	Total	Eas	stbound	d:	Perennial	Wes	stbound	d:	NRRC North	Total	Total
Begins	L	S	R	Total	L	S	R	Total	north/south	L	S	R	Total	L	S	R	Total	east/west	All
7:30	0	194	24	218	3	62	1	66	284	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	286
7:45	1	211	13	225	12	72	0	84	309	6	0	1	7	2	0	2	4	11	320
8:00	0	117	1	118	13	62	1	76	194	4	0	4	8	0	0	3	3	11	205
8:15	0	113	16	129	1	47	2	50	179	1	0	0	1	1	1	5	7	8	187
7:30-8:30	1	635	54	690	29	243	4	276	966	12	0	5	17	3	1	11	15	32	998
PHF	0.25	0.75	0.56	0.77	0.56	0.84	0.5	0.82		0.5	n/a	0.31	0.53	0.38	0.25	0.55	0.54		0.78
4:30	0	124	1	125	1	104	14	119	244	11	0	1	12	14	0	20	34	46	290
4:45	0	138	0	138	0	119	2	121	259	11	0	0	11	17	0	15	32	43	302
5:00	1	158	1	160	1	187	5	193	353	7	0	0	7	21	0	21	42	49	402
5:15	0	162	0	162	1	131	6	138	300	2	0	1	3	11	0	10	21	24	324
4:30-5:30	1	582	2	585	3	541	27	571	1156	31	0	2	33	63	0	66	129	162	1318
PHF	0.25	0.9	0.5	0.9	0.75	0.72	0.48	0.74		0.7	n/a	0.5	0.69	0.75	n/a	0.79	0.77		0.82



## TABULAR SUMMARY OF VEHICLE COUNTS

Date: 11/6/2013

Observer: Michael

Day: Wednesday

Intersection:

Jurisdiction: Fort Collins

Centre/New Rolland Moore-NRRC South Access

R = right turn S = straight

L = left turn																			
Time	Nort	hboun	d:	Centre	Sout	hbound	1:	Centre	Total	Eas	stbound	1:	New Rol. M.	Wes	stbound	d:	NRRC South	Total	Total
Begins	L	S	R	Total	L	S	R	Total	north/south	L	S	R	Total	L	S	R	Total	east/west	All
7:30	1	201	25	227	20	38	0	58	285	10	0	4	14	1	0	3	4	18	303
7:45	1	200	35	236	36	39	4	79	315	10	0	3	13	6	0	10	16	29	344
8:00	0	101	32	133	20	48	0	68	201	6	0	4	10	0	0	16	16	26	227
8:15	1	111	17	129	16	33	1	50	179	4	0	5	9	1	0	14	15	24	203
7:30-8:30	3	613	109	725	92	158	5	255	980	30	0	16	46	8	0	43	51	97	1077
PHF	0.75	0.76	0.78	0.77	0.64	0.82	0.31	0.81		0.75	n/a	0.8	0.82	0.33	n/a	0.67	0.8		0.78
4:30	11	100	7	118	4	111	5	120	238	9	0	7	16	24	0	18	42	58	296
4:45	8	111	9	128	13	115	9	137	265	9	0	4	13	18	1	16	35	48	313
5:00	4	128	8	140	1	183	10	194	334	4	0	9	13	20	1	30	51	64	398
5:15	14	124	3	141	5	144	5	154	295	5	1	10	16	13	1	26	40	56	351
4:30-5:30	37	463	27	527	23	553	29	605	1132	27	1	30	58	75	3	90	168	226	1358
PHF	0.66	0.9	0.75	0.93	0.44	0.76	0.73	0.78		0.75	0.25	0.75	0.91	0.78	0.75	0.75	0.82		0.85





# APPENDIX C

	≯	-	$\mathbf{r}$	1	+	×	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	- ሻ	<b>∱</b> ⊅		<u>۲</u>	<b>∱</b> ⊅		ሻ	<b>↑</b>	1	ሻ	<b>↑</b>	1
Volume (veh/h)	96	760	90	260	575	152	49	205	192	27	54	29
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.98	1.00		0.97	0.93		0.75	0.97		1.00
Parking Bus Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow veh/h/ln	186.3	186.3	190.0	186.3	186.3	190.0	186.3	186.3	186.3	186.3	193.7	186.3
Lanes	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Cap, veh/h	527	1957	217	482	1800	452	286	367	235	153	382	312
Arrive On Green	0.05	0.59	0.58	0.09	0.63	0.62	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.00
Sat Flow, veh/h	1774	3290	364	1774	2858	718	1244	1863	1192	1008	1937	1583
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	113	506	487	292	411	380	58	241	91	32	64	0
Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln	1774	1863	1792	1774	1863	1713	1244	1863	1192	1008	1937	1583
Q Serve(g_s), s	2.3	14.5	14.6	4.9	10.1	10.2	3.9	11.5	6.4	2.9	2.6	0.0
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	2.3	14.5	14.6	4.9	10.1	10.2	6.5	11.5	6.4	14.4	2.6	0.0
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.20	1.00		0.42	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	527	1108	1066	482	1173	1079	286	367	235	153	382	312
V/C Ratio(X)	0.21	0.46	0.46	0.61	0.35	0.35	0.20	0.66	0.39	0.21	0.17	0.00
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	579	1108	1066	602	1173	1079	429	582	372	269	605	494
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	6.6	10.8	10.9	8.2	8.5	8.6	34.7	35.6	33.5	42.2	32.0	0.0
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.2	1.4	1.4	1.2	0.8	0.9	0.3	2.0	1.0	0.7	0.2	0.0
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile Back of Q (50%), veh/In	0.8	6.2	6.0	1.9	4.2	3.9	1.2	5.5	1.9	0.8	1.3	0.0
Lane Grp Delay (d), s/veh	6.8	12.2	12.3	9.4	9.3	9.5	35.1	37.6	34.6	42.9	32.2	0.0
Lane Grp LOS	А	В	В	А	А	А	D	D	С	D	С	
Approach Vol, veh/h		1106			1083			390			96	
Approach Delay, s/veh		11.7			9.4			36.5			35.8	
Approach LOS		В			А			D			D	
Timer												
Assigned Phs	7	4		3	8			2			6	
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	8.1	61.7		11.5	65.0			22.9			22.9	
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.0	5.5		4.0	5.5			5.0			5.0	
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	7.0	52.5		14.0	59.5			29.0			29.0	
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+l1), s		16.6		6.9	12.2			13.5			16.4	
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.1	7.8		0.5	7.9			1.5			1.4	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay			15.2									
HCM 2010 LOS			В									
Notes												

# Timing Report, Sorted By Phase 3: Centre & Prospect

		4	4	-\$⊳	۶	¥
Phase Number	2	3	4	6	7	8
Movement	NBTL	WBL	EBTL	SBTL	EBL	WBTL
Lead/Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	None	None	C-Max
Maximum Split (s)	34	18	58	34	11	65
Maximum Split (%)	30.9%	16.4%	52.7%	30.9%	10.0%	59.1%
Minimum Split (s)	23	11	23.5	23	11	24.5
Yellow Time (s)	3	3	4	3	3	4
All-Red Time (s)	2	1	1.5	2	1	1.5
Minimum Initial (s)	7	4	10	7	4	10
Vehicle Extension (s)	3	3	3	3	3	3
Minimum Gap (s)	3	3	3	3	3	3
Time Before Reduce (s)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Time To Reduce (s)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Walk Time (s)	7		7	7		7
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11		11	11		12
Dual Entry	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Inhibit Max	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Start Time (s)	79	3	21	79	3	14
End Time (s)	3	21	79	3	14	79
Yield/Force Off (s)	108	17	73.5	108	10	73.5
Yield/Force Off 170(s)	97	17	62.5	97	10	61.5
Local Start Time (s)	0	34	52	0	34	45
Local Yield (s)	29	48	104.5	29	41	104.5
Local Yield 170(s)	18	48	93.5	18	41	92.5
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length			110			
Control Type	Actu	ated-Coo	rdinated			
Natural Cycle			60			
Offset: 79 (72%), Reference	ed to phase	e 4:EBTL		3TL, Start	of Red	
				_,		

### Splits and Phases: 3: Centre & Prospect

¶ø2	<b>√</b> ø3	ø4 (R)	
34 s	18 s	58 s	
¢ ø6	_ <b>≠</b>	₩ Ø8 (R)	•
34 s	11 s	65 s	

	≯	-	$\mathbf{r}$	1	+	•	1	1	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	<u>۲</u>	<b>∱</b> ⊅		ሻ	<b>∱</b> β		<u>۲</u>	<b>↑</b>	1	ሻ	<b>↑</b>	1
Volume (veh/h)	28	808	70	292	957	52	87	93	307	135	175	93
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.98	1.00		0.97	0.94		0.85	0.93		0.76
Parking Bus Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow veh/h/ln	186.3	186.3	190.0	186.3	186.3	190.0	186.3	186.3	186.3	186.3	193.7	186.3
Lanes	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Cap, veh/h	392	1980	163	501	2250	118	214	393	284	262	409	254
Arrive On Green	0.03	0.58	0.57	0.09	0.64	0.63	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21
Sat Flow, veh/h	1774	3391	279	1774	3503	184	1107	1863	1345	1139	1937	1202
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	29	467	454	307	536	524	92	98	61	142	184	19
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1774	1863	1807	1774	1863	1824	1107	1863	1345	1139	1937	1202
Q Serve(g_s), s	0.6	14.0	14.0	5.2	14.5	14.5	7.9	4.4	3.8	11.9	8.3	1.3
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	0.6	14.0	14.0	5.2	14.5	14.5	16.3	4.4	3.8	16.3	8.3	1.3
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.15	1.00		0.10	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	392	1087	1055	501	1196	1172	214	393	284	262	409	254
V/C Ratio(X)	0.07	0.43	0.43	0.61	0.45	0.45	0.43	0.25	0.21	0.54	0.45	0.07
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	477	1087	1055	782	1196	1172	377	668	482	430	694	431
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	7.9	11.6	11.7	8.6	9.0	9.0	41.6	33.0	32.7	39.8	34.5	31.7
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.1	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.4	0.3	0.4	1.7	0.8	0.1
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile Back of Q (50%), veh/ln	0.3	6.1	5.9	2.4	5.9	5.8	2.3	2.1	0.0	3.6	4.2	0.4
Lane Grp Delay (d), s/veh	8.0	12.9	12.9	9.8	10.2	10.3	43.0	33.3	33.1	41.5	35.3	31.9
Lane Grp LOS	A	B	В	A	B	В	D	C	С	D	D	<u> </u>
Approach Vol, veh/h		950			1367			251			345	
Approach Delay, s/veh		12.7			10.2			36.8			37.7	
Approach LOS		В			В			D			D	
Timer												
Assigned Phs	7	4		3	8			2			6	
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	6.2	63.1		12.1	69.0			25.2			25.2	
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.0	5.5		4.0	5.5			5.0			5.0	
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	7.0	46.5		24.0	63.5			35.0			35.0	
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+l1), s	2.6	16.0		7.2	16.5			18.3			18.3	
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.0	8.7		0.9	9.3			1.9			1.9	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay			16.6									
HCM 2010 LOS			В									
Notes												
110162												

## Timing Report, Sorted By Phase 3: Centre & Prospect

Maximum Split (%) 33.3% 23.3% 43.3% 33.3	Lea Y€ one Non 40 1 3% 9.29 23 1	nd Lag es Yes ne C-Max 1 69
Lead/LagLeadLagLead-Lag OptimizeYesYesRecall ModeNoneNoneC-MaxNoMaximum Split (s)40285243.3%33.3%Minimum Split (s)231123.544.3%33.3%Yellow Time (s)33444.1%All-Red Time (s)211.545.1%	Lea Ye one Non 40 1 3% 9.29 23 1 3	nd Lag es Yes ne C-Max 1 69 % 57.5% 1 24.5
Lead-Lag Optimize         Yes         Yes           Recall Mode         None         None         C-Max         No           Maximum Split (s)         40         28         52         52           Maximum Split (s)         33.3%         23.3%         43.3%         33.3           Minimum Split (s)         23         11         23.5         52           Yellow Time (s)         3         3         4         4	Ye one Non 40 1 3% 9.29 23 1 3	es Yes ne C-Max 1 69 % 57.5% 1 24.5
Recall Mode         None         None         C-Max         No           Maximum Split (s)         40         28         52         52           Maximum Split (%)         33.3%         23.3%         43.3%         33.3           Minimum Split (s)         23         11         23.5           Yellow Time (s)         3         3         4           All-Red Time (s)         2         1         1.5	one Non 40 1 3% 9.29 23 1 3	ne C-Max 1 69 % 57.5% 1 24.5
Maximum Split (s)402852Maximum Split (%)33.3%23.3%43.3%33.3Minimum Split (s)231123.54Yellow Time (s)3344All-Red Time (s)211.5	40 1 3% 9.29 23 1 3	1 69 % 57.5% 1 24.5
Maximum Split (%)33.3%23.3%43.3%33.3Minimum Split (s)231123.53Yellow Time (s)334All-Red Time (s)211.5	3% 9.29 23 1 3	% 57.5% 1 24.5
Minimum Split (s)         23         11         23.5           Yellow Time (s)         3         3         4           All-Red Time (s)         2         1         1.5	23 1 3	1 24.5
Yellow Time (s)         3         3         4           All-Red Time (s)         2         1         1.5	3	
All-Red Time (s) 2 1 1.5		3 4
.,	2	
Minimum Initial (s) 7 4 10		1 1.5
	7	4 10
Vehicle Extension (s) 3 3 3	3	3 3
Minimum Gap (s) 3 3 3	3	3 3
Time Before Reduce (s) 0 0 0	0	0 0
Time To Reduce (s) 0 0 0	0	0 0
Walk Time (s) 7 7	7	7
Flash Dont Walk (s) 11 11	11	12
Dual Entry Yes No Yes Y	res N	lo Yes
Inhibit Max Yes Yes Yes Y	Yes Ye	es Yes
Start Time (s) 16 56 84	16 5	66 67
End Time (s) 56 84 16	56 6	67 16
Yield/Force Off (s) 51 80 10.5	51 6	53 10.5
Yield/Force Off 170(s) 40 80 119.5	40 6	53 118.5
Local Start Time (s) 0 40 68	0 4	0 51
Local Yield (s) 35 64 114.5	35 4	7 114.5
Local Yield 170(s) 24 64 103.5	24 4	7 102.5
Intersection Summary		
Cycle Length 120		
Control Type Actuated-Coordinated		
Natural Cycle 60		
Offset: 16 (13%), Referenced to phase 4:EBTL and 8:WBTL, S	Start of Red	d

### Splits and Phases: 3: Centre & Prospect

<b>∮</b> ø2	<b>√</b> ø3	→ø4 (R)
40 s	28 s	52 s
<b>↓</b> ø6	∮ø7 🗸 ø8 (R)	•
40 s	11 s 69 s	

HCM 2010 TWSC 6: Centre & NRRC North/Perennial

Intersection												
Intersection Delay, s/veh	0.9											
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Vol, veh/h	12	0	5	3	1	11	1	635	54	29	243	4
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	75	-	-	75	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	14	0	6	4	1	13	1	747	64	34	286	5
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1152	1177	296	1148	1148	779	299	0	0	811	0	0
Stage 1	364	364	-	781	781	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	788	813	-	367	367	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Headway	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Capacity-1 Maneuver	175	191	743	176	199	396	1262	-	-	815	-	-
Stage 1	655	624	-	388	405	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	384	392	-	653	622	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Time blocked-Platoon, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Capacity-1 Maneuver	162	182	739	169	189	396	1262	-	-	815	-	-
Mov Capacity-2 Maneuver	162	182	-	169	189	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	651	595	-	388	405	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	370	392	-	621	593	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE			NW			NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	23			17.7			0			1		
HCM LOS	С			С								
Minor Lane / Major Mvmt		NEL	NET	NER	NWLn1	NWLn2	SELn1	SELn2	SWL	SWT	SWR	
Capacity (veh/h)		1262	-	-	169	333	162	286	815	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.001	-	-	0.014	0.046	0.058	0.037	0.042	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.855	-	-	26.6	16.3	28.6	18.1	9.61	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		A			D	С	D	С	A			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.003	-	-	0.042	0.144	0.183	0.115	0.131	-	-	

Notes

Lanes and Geometrics 6: Centre & NRRC North/Perennial

	4	X	2	F	×	1	3	×	7	Ĺ	*	×
Lane Group	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations	7	el el		۲ ۲	eî		1	el el		ľ	el el	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	10	10	10	10	10	10	16	12	12	16	12	12
Grade (%)		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Storage Length (ft)	75		0	75		0	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor												
Frt		0.850			0.861			0.988			0.997	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1652	1478	0	1652	1497	0	2006	1840	0	2006	1857	0
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1652	1478	0	1652	1497	0	2006	1840	0	2006	1857	0
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			35			35	
Link Distance (ft)		315			317			771			2032	
Travel Time (s)		8.6			8.6			15.0			39.6	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type	Other											

Area Type:

HCM 2010 TWSC 6: Centre & NRRC North/Perennial

Intersection												
Intersection Delay, s/veh	4.5											
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Vol, veh/h	31	0	2	63	0	66	1	582	2	3	541	27
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	75	-	-	75	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	36	0	2	74	0	78	1	685	2	4	636	29
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1387	1349	652	1349	1363	687	667	0	0	688	0	0
Stage 1	659	659	-	689	689	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	728	690	-	660	674	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Headway	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Capacity-1 Maneuver	120	151	468	128	148	447	923	-	-	906	-	-
Stage 1	453	461	-	436	446	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	415	446	-	452	454	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Time blocked-Platoon, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Capacity-1 Maneuver	99	150	468	127	147	447	923	-	-	906	-	-
Mov Capacity-2 Maneuver	99	150	-	127	147	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	452	459	-	435	445	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	343	445	-	448	452	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE			NW			NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	48.4			33.4			0			0		
HCM LOS	E			D								
Minor Lane / Major Mvmt		NEL	NET	NER	NWLn1	NWLn2	SELn1	SELn2	SWL	SWT	SWR	
Capacity (veh/h)		923	-	-	127	278	99	114	906	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.001	-	-	0.389	0.368	0.246	0.127	0.004	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)		8.905	-	-	50.3	25.3	52.8	41.1	8.989	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		A			F	D	F	E	A			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.004	-	-	1.635	1.628	0.892	0.423	0.012	-	-	
× /												

Notes

Lanes and Geometrics 6: Centre & NRRC North/Perennial

	4	X	2	1	×	1	3	*	7	Ĺ	*	×
Lane Group	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations	۲	¢Î		۲	eî 👘		۳	¢Î		۲	el 🕴	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	10	10	10	10	10	10	16	12	12	16	12	12
Grade (%)		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Storage Length (ft)	75		0	75		0	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor												
Frt		0.850			0.850						0.993	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1652	1478	0	1652	1478	0	2006	1863	0	2006	1850	0
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1652	1478	0	1652	1478	0	2006	1863	0	2006	1850	0
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			35			35	
Link Distance (ft)		315			317			771			2032	
Travel Time (s)		8.6			8.6			15.0			39.6	
Intersection Summary												
	∩ther											

Area Type:

HCM 2010 TWSC 8: Centre & NRRC South/Rolland Moore

Intersection												
Intersection Delay, s/veh	3											
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Vol, veh/h	30	0	16	8	0	43	3	613	109	92	158	5
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	35	0	19	9	0	51	4	721	128	108	186	6
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1227	1265	193	1211	1204	785	196	0	0	849	0	0
Stage 1	409	409	-	792	792	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	818	856	-	419	412	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Headway	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Capacity-1 Maneuver	155	169	849	159	184	393	1377	-	-	789	-	-
Stage 1	619	596	-	382	401	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	370	374	-	612	594	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Time blocked-Platoon, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Capacity-1 Maneuver	120	145	847	139	158	393	1377	-	-	789	-	-
Mov Capacity-2 Maneuver	120	145	-	139	158	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	615	513	-	381	400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	321	373	-	516	511	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE			NW			NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	30.3			18.5			0			3.7		
HCM LOS	D			C			-			•••		
Minor Lane / Major Mvmt		NEL	NET	NER	NWLn1	NWLn2	SELn1	SELn2	SWL	SWT	SWR	
Capacity (veh/h)		1377		-	139	355	120	254	789	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.003	-	-	0.045	0.151	0.196	0.12	0.137	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.621	-	-	32.1	16.9	42.2	21.1	10.287	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		A			52.1 D	C	E	21.1 C	10.207 B			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.008	-	-	0.141	0.528	0.692	0.405	0.474	-	-	
		0.000				0.020	0.072	0.100	0.171			

Notes

Lanes and Geometrics 8: Centre & NRRC South/Rolland Moore

	4	X	2	1	×	ť	3	×	~	í,	¥	*~
Lane Group	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations	<u>۲</u>	el el		1	el el		ľ	el el		ľ	el el	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	10	10	10	10	10	10	16	12	12	16	12	12
Grade (%)		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Storage Length (ft)	0		75	0		75	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor												
Frt		0.850			0.850			0.977			0.995	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1652	1478	0	1652	1478	0	2006	1820	0	2006	1853	0
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1652	1478	0	1652	1478	0	2006	1820	0	2006	1853	0
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			35			35	
Link Distance (ft)		302			263			348			771	
Travel Time (s)		8.2			7.2			6.8			15.0	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type	Other											

Area Type:

Intersection												
Intersection Delay, s/veh	7.5											
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Vol, veh/h	27	1	30	75	3	90	37	463	27	23	553	29
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	32	1	35	88	4	106	44	545	32	27	651	34
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1424	1386	668	1388	1387	561	685	0	0	576	0	0
Stage 1	722	722	-	648	648	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	702	664	-	740	739	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Headway	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Capacity-1 Maneuver	113	143	458	120	143	527	908	-	-	997	-	-
Stage 1	418	431	-	459	466	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	429	458	-	409	424	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Time blocked-Platoon, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Capacity-1 Maneuver	83	132	458	104	132	527	908	-	-	997	-	-
Mov Capacity-2 Maneuver	83	132	-	104	132	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	398	419	-	437	443	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	324	436	-	366	413	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE			NW			NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	37.2			45			0.6			0.3		
HCM LOS	E			E			0.0			0.0		
Minor Lane / Major Mvmt		NEL	NET	NFR	NWLn1	NWLn2	SELn1	SELn2	SWL	SWT	SWR	
Capacity (veh/h)		908	1461		104	272	83	220	997	5001	JUNI	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.048	-	-	0.566	0.51	0.255	0.214	0.027	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)		0.048 9.164	-	-	77.4	31.3	62.6	25.8	8.712	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		9.104 A	-	-	77.4 F	51.5 D	02.0 F	25.8 D	0.712 A	-	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.151	-	-	2.657	2.692	0.918	0.788	0.084	-	-	
Notes												

Lanes and Geometrics 8: Centre & NRRC South/Rolland Moore

	4	$\mathbf{x}$	2	F	×	ť	3	×	~	í,	¥	×
Lane Group	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations	5	¢Î		ľ	el el		ľ	el el		2	¢Î	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	10	10	10	10	10	10	16	12	12	16	12	12
Grade (%)		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Storage Length (ft)	0		75	0		30	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor												
Frt		0.854			0.855			0.992			0.993	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1652	1485	0	1652	1486	0	2006	1848	0	2006	1850	0
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1652	1485	0	1652	1486	0	2006	1848	0	2006	1850	0
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			35			35	
Link Distance (ft)		302			263			348			771	
Travel Time (s)		8.2			7.2			6.8			15.0	
Intersection Summary												
	Othor											

Area Type:

# UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

Level-of-Service	Average Total Delay sec/veh
А	<u>&lt;</u> 10
В	> 10 and <u>&lt;</u> 15
С	> 15 and <u>&lt;</u> 25
D	> 25 and <u>&lt;</u> 35
E	> 35 and <u>&lt;</u> 50
F	> 50

# SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

Level-of-Service	Average Total Delay sec/veh
А	<u>&lt;</u> 10
В	> 10 and <u>&lt;</u> 20
С	> 20 and <u>&lt;</u> 35
D	> 35 and <u>&lt;</u> 55
E	> 55 and <u>&lt;</u> 80
F	> 80

# Table 4-3Fort Collins (City Limits)Motor Vehicle LOS Standards (Intersections)

	Land Use (from structure plan)						
		Othe	er corridors with	in:			
Intersection type	Commercial corridors	Mixed use districts	Low density mixed use residential	All other areas			
Signalized intersections (overall)	D	E*	D	D			
Any Leg	E	E	D	E			
Any Movement	E	E	D	E			
Stop sign control (arterial/collector or local— any approach leg)	N/A	F**	F**	E			
Stop sign control (collector/local—any approach leg)	N/A	С	С	С			
<ul> <li>* mitigating measures required</li> <li>** considered normal in an urban environment</li> </ul>							

# APPENDIX D

	≯	-	$\mathbf{i}$	4	+	*	1	Ť	۲	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	- ሽ	<b>≜</b> ⊅		ሻ	<b>∱</b> ⊅		<u>۲</u>	<b>↑</b>	1	<u>۲</u>	<b>↑</b>	1
Volume (veh/h)	101	799	95	273	604	160	51	215	202	28	57	30
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.98	1.00		0.97	0.94		0.76	0.97		1.00
Parking Bus Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow veh/h/ln	186.3	186.3	190.0	186.3	186.3	190.0	186.3	186.3	186.3	186.3	193.7	186.3
Lanes	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Cap, veh/h	506	1928	215	463	1778	449	291	378	244	151	394	322
Arrive On Green	0.06	0.59	0.58	0.09	0.62	0.61	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.00
Sat Flow, veh/h	1774	3287	367	1774	2854	721	1244	1863	1202	989	1937	1583
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	119	533	512	307	433	399	60	253	103	33	67	0
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1774	1863	1791	1774	1863	1713	1244	1863	1202	989	1937	1583
Q Serve(g_s), s	2.5	16.1	16.1	5.4	11.1	11.2	4.1	12.2	7.3	3.1	2.8	0.0
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	2.5	16.1	16.1	5.4	11.1	11.2	6.8	12.2	7.3	15.3	2.8	0.0
Prop In Lane	1.00	1000	0.20	1.00	11/1	0.42	1.00	270	1.00	1.00	204	1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	506	1092	1050	463	1161	1067	291	378	244	151	394	322
V/C Ratio(X)	0.24	0.49	0.49	0.66	0.37	0.37	0.21	0.67	0.42	0.22	0.17	0.00
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	553	1092	1050	574	1161	1067	423	575	371	256	598 1.00	489
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00 0.00
Upstream Filter(I)	7.0	11.6	11.7	1.00 9.8	1.00 9.0	9.1	34.8	35.7	33.7	42.7	31.9	0.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	7.0 0.2	1.6	1.6	9.0 2.0	9.0 0.9	9.1 1.0	0.3	35.7 2.0	33.7 1.2	42.7 0.7	0.2	0.0
Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh	0.2	0.0	0.0	2.0 0.0	0.9	0.0	0.3	2.0	0.0	0.7	0.2	0.0
%ile Back of Q (50%), veh/ln	0.0	7.0	6.8	2.8	0.0 4.6	4.3	1.3	5.8	2.2	0.0	0.0 1.4	0.0
Lane Grp Delay (d), s/veh	7.3	13.2	13.3	11.8	9.9	10.1	35.1	37.7	34.9	43.4	32.1	0.0
Lane Grp LOS	7.5 A	13.2 B	13.5 B	B	A	B	55.1 D	57.7 D	С С	чэ.ч D	52.1 C	0.0
Approach Vol, veh/h	73	1164	D	D	1139	D	D	416	0	D	100	
Approach Delay, s/veh		12.6			10.5			36.6			35.9	
Approach LOS		12.0 B			B			00.0 D			00.7 D	
Timer		D			D			D			D	
Assigned Phs	7	4		3	8			2			6	
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	8.4	61.4		11.9	65.0			23.7			23.7	
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.0	5.5		4.0	5.5			5.0			5.0	
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	7.0	52.5		14.0	59.5			29.0			29.0	
Max Q Clear Time $(q_c+11)$ , s	4.5	18.1		7.4	13.2			14.2			17.3	
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.1	8.4		0.6	8.6			1.6			1.5	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay HCM 2010 LOS			16.1 B									
Notes			D									

# Timing Report, Sorted By Phase 3: Centre & Prospect

		4	4	-⊈⊳	۶	¥	
Phase Number	2	3	4	6	7	8	
Movement	NBTL	WBL	EBTL	SBTL	EBL	WBTL	
Lead/Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	None	None	C-Max	
Maximum Split (s)	34	18	58	34	11	65	
Maximum Split (%)	30.9%	16.4%	52.7%	30.9%	10.0%	59.1%	
Minimum Split (s)	23	11	23.5	23	11	24.5	
Yellow Time (s)	3	3	4	3	3	4	
All-Red Time (s)	2	1	1.5	2	1	1.5	
Minimum Initial (s)	7	4	10	7	4	10	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Minimum Gap (s)	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Time Before Reduce (s)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Time To Reduce (s)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Walk Time (s)	7		7	7		7	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11		11	11		12	
Dual Entry	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	
Inhibit Max	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Start Time (s)	79	3	21	79	3	14	
End Time (s)	3	21	79	3	14	79	
Yield/Force Off (s)	108	17	73.5	108	10	73.5	
Yield/Force Off 170(s)	97	17	62.5	97	10	61.5	
Local Start Time (s)	0	34	52	0	34	45	
Local Yield (s)	29	48	104.5	29	41	104.5	
Local Yield 170(s)	18	48	93.5	18	41	92.5	
Intersection Summary							
Cycle Length			110				
Control Type	Actu	ated-Coo	rdinated				
Natural Cycle			65				
Offset: 79 (72%), Reference	ed to phase	e 4:EBTL	and 8:WE	3TL, Start	of Red		

### Splits and Phases: 3: Centre & Prospect

<b>√</b> ø2	<b>√</b> ø3	ø4 (R) ■
34 s	18 s	58 s
₽ Ø6	<b>▶</b> _{ø7}	
34 s	11 s	65 s

	≯	-	$\mathbf{r}$	1	+	×	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	<u>۲</u>	<b>∱</b> ⊅		<u>۲</u>	<b>∱</b> ⊅		ሻ	- <b>†</b>	1	ሻ	<b>↑</b>	1
Volume (veh/h)	29	849	74	307	1006	55	91	98	323	142	184	98
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.98	1.00		0.97	0.95		0.85	0.94		0.77
Parking Bus Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow veh/h/ln	186.3	186.3	190.0	186.3	186.3	190.0	186.3	186.3	186.3	186.3	193.7	186.3
Lanes	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Cap, veh/h	368	1941	161	481	2222	117	217	409	297	268	425	267
Arrive On Green	0.03	0.57	0.56	0.09	0.63	0.62	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22
Sat Flow, veh/h	1774	3389	281	1774	3502	185	1099	1863	1353	1134	1937	1214
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	31	491	477	323	563	552	96	103	65	149	194	20
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1774	1863	1807	1774	1863	1824	1099	1863	1353	1134	1937	1214
Q Serve(g_s), s	0.7	15.6	15.6	5.7	16.1	16.1	8.4	4.6	4.0	12.7	8.8	1.3
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	0.7	15.6	15.6	5.7	16.1	16.1	17.3	4.6	4.0	17.4	8.8	1.3
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.16	1.00		0.10	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	368	1067	1035	481	1182	1157	217	409	297	268	425	267
V/C Ratio(X)	0.08	0.46	0.46	0.67	0.48	0.48	0.44	0.25	0.22	0.56	0.46	0.08
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	450	1067	1035	749	1182	1157	365	660	479	421	686	430
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	8.6	12.6	12.7	10.3	9.7	9.8	41.9	32.8	32.5	39.9	34.4	31.5
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.1	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.4	0.3	0.4	1.8	0.8	0.1
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile Back of Q (50%), veh/In	0.3	6.8	6.7	3.3	6.9	6.8	2.4	2.2	0.0	3.8	4.5	0.4
Lane Grp Delay (d), s/veh	8.7	14.0	14.1	11.9	11.1	11.2	43.3	33.1	32.9	41.7	35.2	31.6
Lane Grp LOS	A	B	В	В	B	В	D	С	С	D	D	С
Approach Vol, veh/h		999			1438			264			363	
Approach Delay, s/veh		13.9			11.3			36.8			37.7	
Approach LOS		В			В			D			D	
Timer												
Assigned Phs	7	4		3	8			2			6	
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	6.3	62.7		12.6	69.0			26.3			26.3	
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.0	5.5		4.0	5.5			5.0			5.0	
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	7.0	46.5		24.0	63.5			35.0			35.0	
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s	2.7	17.6		7.7	18.1			19.3			19.4	
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.0	9.3		1.0	10.1			2.0			2.0	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay			17.5									
HCM 2010 LOS			В									
Notes												

# Timing Report, Sorted By Phase 3: Centre & Prospect

	- ≪‡-	4	4	\$⊳	۶	¥
Phase Number	2	3	4	6	7	8
Movement	NBTL	WBL	EBTL	SBTL	EBL	WBTL
Lead/Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	None	None	C-Max
Maximum Split (s)	40	28	52	40	11	69
Maximum Split (%)	33.3%	23.3%	43.3%	33.3%	9.2%	57.5%
Minimum Split (s)	23	11	23.5	23	11	24.5
Yellow Time (s)	3	3	4	3	3	4
All-Red Time (s)	2	1	1.5	2	1	1.5
Minimum Initial (s)	7	4	10	7	4	10
Vehicle Extension (s)	3	3	3	3	3	3
Minimum Gap (s)	3	3	3	3	3	3
Time Before Reduce (s)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Time To Reduce (s)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Walk Time (s)	7		7	7		7
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11		11	11		12
Dual Entry	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Inhibit Max	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Start Time (s)	16	56	84	16	56	67
End Time (s)	56	84	16	56	67	16
Yield/Force Off (s)	51	80	10.5	51	63	10.5
Yield/Force Off 170(s)	40	80	119.5	40	63	118.5
Local Start Time (s)	0	40	68	0	40	51
Local Yield (s)	35	64	114.5	35	47	114.5
Local Yield 170(s)	24	64	103.5	24	47	102.5
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length			120			
Control Type	Actu	ated-Coo	rdinated			
Natural Cycle			60			
Offset: 16 (13%), Reference	ed to phase	e 4:EBTL		BTL, Start	of Red	
				_,		

### Splits and Phases: 3: Centre & Prospect

<b>∮</b> ø2	<b>√</b> ø3	→ø4 (R)
40 s	28 s	52 s
<b>↓</b> ø6	∮ø7 🗸 ø8 (R)	•
40 s	11 s 69 s	

HCM 2010 TWSC 6: Centre & NRRC North/Perennial

1

#### Intersection

Intersection Delay, s/veh

Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Vol, veh/h	13	0	5	3	1	12	1	667	57	30	255	4
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	75	-	-	75	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	15	0	6	4	1	14	1	785	67	35	300	5

Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1209	1235	310	1205	1204	818	313	0	0	852	0	0
Stage 1	381	381	-	821	821	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	828	854	-	384	383	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Headway	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Capacity-1 Maneuver	160	176	730	161	184	376	1247	-	-	787	-	-
Stage 1	641	613	-	369	389	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	365	375	-	639	612	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Time blocked-Platoon, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Capacity-1 Maneuver	147	167	726	154	175	376	1247	-	-	787	-	-
Mov Capacity-2 Maneuver	147	167	-	154	175	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	637	582	-	369	389	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	350	375	-	606	582	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE			NW			NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	25.2			18.5			0			1		
HCM LOS	D			С								
Minor Long / Major Mumt		NEI	NET		NI\\/  p1		CEL n1	SEI n2	C///I	SW/T	SWD	

Minor Lane / Major Mvmt	NEL	NET	NER	NWLn1	NWLn2	SELn1	SELn2	SWL	SWT	SWR	
Capacity (veh/h)	1247	-	-	154	317	147	257	787	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.001	-	-	0.015	0.052	0.069	0.043	0.045	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.89	-	-	28.7	17	31.3	19.6	9.789	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS	А			D	С	D	С	А			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.003	-	-	0.046	0.164	0.221	0.133	0.141	-	-	
Natas											

Notes

Lanes and Geometrics 6: Centre & NRRC North/Perennial

	4	$\mathbf{x}$	2	-	×	ť	3	×	~	í,	¥	*~
Lane Group	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations	5	¢Î		1	el 🕴		1	el el		1	el el	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	10	10	10	10	10	10	16	12	12	16	12	12
Grade (%)		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Storage Length (ft)	75		0	75		0	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor												
Frt		0.850			0.860			0.988			0.998	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1652	1478	0	1652	1495	0	2006	1840	0	2006	1859	0
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1652	1478	0	1652	1495	0	2006	1840	0	2006	1859	0
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			35			35	
Link Distance (ft)		315			317			771			2032	
Travel Time (s)		8.6			8.6			15.0			39.6	
Intersection Summary												
Aroa Typo:	Othor											

Area Type:

HCM 2010 TWSC 6: Centre & NRRC North/Perennial

Intersection												
Intersection Delay, s/veh	5.3											
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Vol, veh/h	33	0	1	66	0	69	1	612	2	3	569	28
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	75	-	-	75	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	39	0	1	78	0	81	1	720	2	4	669	30
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1458	1419	686	1418	1433	722	701	0	0	723	0	0
Stage 1	693	693	-	725	725	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	765	726	-	693	708	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Headway	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Capacity-1 Maneuver	107	137	447	114	134	427	896	-	-	879	-	-
Stage 1	434	445	-	416	430	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	396	430	-	434	438	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Time blocked-Platoon, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Capacity-1 Maneuver	86	136	447	113	133	427	896	-	-	879	-	-
Mov Capacity-2 Maneuver	86	136	-	113	133	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	433	443	-	415	429	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	320	429	-	431	436	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE			NW			NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	59.4			39.5			0			0		
HCM LOS	F			E								
Minor Lane / Major Mvmt		NEL	NET	NER	NWLn1	NWLn2	SELn1	SELn2	SWL	SWT	SWR	
Capacity (veh/h)		896	-	-	113	255	86	92	879	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.001	-	-	0.458	0.42	0.301	0.153	0.004	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)		9.023	-	-	61.3	29	64	51.1	9.112	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		А			F	D	F	F	А			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.004	-	-	2.009	1.963	1.124	0.516	0.012	-	-	

Notes

Lanes and Geometrics 6: Centre & NRRC North/Perennial

	4	X	2	1	×	۲	3	×	~	Ĺ	¥	*
Lane Group	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations	ľ	¢Î		1	el el		ľ	et		ľ	el el	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	10	10	10	10	10	10	16	12	12	16	12	12
Grade (%)		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Storage Length (ft)	75		0	75		0	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor												
Frt		0.850			0.850						0.994	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1652	1478	0	1652	1478	0	2006	1863	0	2006	1852	0
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1652	1478	0	1652	1478	0	2006	1863	0	2006	1852	0
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			35			35	
Link Distance (ft)		315			317			771			2032	
Travel Time (s)		8.6			8.6			15.0			39.6	
Intersection Summary												
A												

Area Type:

Intersection												
Intersection Delay, s/veh	3.3											
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Vol, veh/h	32	0	17	8	0	45	3	644	115	97	166	5
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	38	0	20	9	0	53	4	758	135	114	195	6
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1289	1330	202	1272	1265	825	205	0	0	893	0	0
Stage 1	430	430	- 202	832	832		- 200	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	859	900	-	440	433	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Headway	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Capacity-1 Maneuver	141	155	839	144	169	372	1366	-	-	759	-	-
Stage 1	603	583	-	363	384	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	351	357	-	596	582	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Time blocked-Platoon, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Capacity-1 Maneuver	106	131	837	124	143	372	1366	-	-	759	-	-
Mov Capacity-2 Maneuver	106	131	-	124	143	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	600	494	-	362	383	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	300	356	-	494	493	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE			NW			NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	34.6			19.7			0			3.8		
HCM LOS	54.0 D			C			0			5.0		
Minor Lane / Major Mvmt		NEL	NET		NWLn1	NWLn2	SELn1	SELn2	SWL	SWT	SWR	
				NLI						3001	300	
Capacity (veh/h)		1366 0.003	-	-	124 0.051	335	106	229	759	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio			-	-		0.167 17.9	0.237	0.142 23.3	0.15	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.642	-	-	35.6	17.9 C	49.2	23.3 C	10.581 P	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		A 800.0			E 0.158	0.593	E 0.858	0.487	В 0.527	_	_	
		0.000	-	-	0.100	0.373	0.000	0.407	0.527	-	-	
Notes												

Lanes and Geometrics 8: Centre & NRRC South/Rolland Moore

	4	$\mathbf{x}$	2	-	×	₹	3	×	~	4	×	×
Lane Group	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations	<u>۲</u>	4Î		1	el el		ľ	el el		ľ	el el	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	10	10	10	10	10	10	16	12	12	16	12	12
Grade (%)		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Storage Length (ft)	0		75	0		75	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor												
Frt		0.850			0.850			0.977			0.996	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1652	1478	0	1652	1478	0	2006	1820	0	2006	1855	0
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1652	1478	0	1652	1478	0	2006	1820	0	2006	1855	0
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			35			35	
Link Distance (ft)		302			263			348			771	
Travel Time (s)		8.2			7.2			6.8			15.0	
Intersection Summary												
Aroa Typo:	Othor	-		-	-			-				

Area Type:

Intersection												
Intersection Delay, s/veh	9.4											
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Vol, veh/h	28	1	32	79	3	95	39	487	28	24	581	30
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	33	1	38	93	4	112	46	573	33	28	684	35
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1497	1456	701	1458	1456	589	719	0	0	606	0	0
Stage 1	758	758	-	681	681	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	739	698	-	777	775	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Headway	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Capacity-1 Maneuver	101	130	439	107	130	508	882	-	-	972	-	-
Stage 1	399	415	-	440	450	-		-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	409	442	-	390	408	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Time blocked-Platoon, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Capacity-1 Maneuver	72	120	439	# 91	120	508	882	-	-	972	-	-
Mov Capacity-2 Maneuver	72	120	-	# 91	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	378	403	-	417	427	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	300	419	-	345	396	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE			NW			NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	43.1			58			0.7			0.3		
HCM LOS	43.1 E			F			0.7			0.5		
Minor Lane / Major Mvmt		NEL	NET	NFR	NWI n1	NWLn2	SELn1	SELn2	SWL	SWT	SWR	
Capacity (veh/h)		882		-	91	248	72	201	972			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.052	-	_	0.681	0.59	0.305	0.248	0.029	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)		9.305	-	_	104.2	38.4	75.6	28.7	8.814	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		7.303 A	-	-	104.2 F	50.4 E	73.0 F	20.7 D	0.014 A	-	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.164	-	-	3.336	3.403	1.117	0.941	0.09	-	-	
Notes												

Lanes and Geometrics 8: Centre & NRRC South/Rolland Moore

	4	X	2	F	×	۲	3	×	7	í,	¥	*
Lane Group	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations	ň	ef.		ľ	el el		ľ	el el		ľ	el el	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	10	10	10	10	10	10	16	12	12	16	12	12
Grade (%)		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Storage Length (ft)	0		75	0		30	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor												
Frt		0.854			0.855			0.992			0.993	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1652	1485	0	1652	1486	0	2006	1848	0	2006	1850	0
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1652	1485	0	1652	1486	0	2006	1848	0	2006	1850	0
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			35			35	
Link Distance (ft)		302			263			348			771	
Travel Time (s)		8.2			7.2			6.8			15.0	
Intersection Summary												
A	Other											

Area Type:

# APPENDIX E

	≯	-	$\mathbf{r}$	•	+	•	1	1	1	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	<u>۲</u>	<b>∱</b> ⊅		ሻ	<b>∱</b> ⊅		- ሽ	<b>↑</b>	1	ሻ	<b>↑</b>	1
Volume (veh/h)	101	799	114	286	604	160	68	221	214	28	63	30
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.98	1.00		0.97	0.94		0.76	0.97		1.00
Parking Bus Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow veh/h/ln	186.3	186.3	190.0	186.3	186.3	190.0	186.3	186.3	186.3	186.3	193.7	186.3
Lanes	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Cap, veh/h	502	1863	248	456	1769	444	292	387	251	151	403	329
Arrive On Green	0.06	0.58	0.57	0.10	0.62	0.61	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.00
Sat Flow, veh/h	1774	3215	427	1774	2858	718	1239	1863	1209	973	1937	1583
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	119	545	520	321	433	398	80	260	115	33	74	0
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1774	1863	1780	1774	1863	1713	1239	1863	1209	973	1937	1583
Q Serve(g_s), s	2.6	17.0	17.1	5.7	11.3	11.4	5.6	12.6	8.1	3.2	3.1	0.0
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	2.6	17.0	17.1	5.7	11.3	11.4	8.6	12.6	8.1	15.7	3.1	0.0
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.24	1.00		0.42	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	502	1079	1031	456	1153	1060	292	387	251	151	403	329
V/C Ratio(X)	0.24	0.50	0.50	0.70	0.38	0.38	0.27	0.67	0.46	0.22	0.18	0.00
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	549	1079	1031	559	1153	1060	415	572	371	247	594	486
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	7.3	12.2	12.3	11.1	9.2	9.4	35.4	35.7	33.9	42.9	31.9	0.0
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.2	1.7	1.8	3.0	0.9	1.0	0.5	2.0	1.3	0.7	0.2	0.0
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile Back of Q (50%), veh/ln	1.0	7.5	7.2	3.5	4.7	4.4	1.7	6.0	2.5	0.8	1.5	0.0
Lane Grp Delay (d), s/veh	7.6	13.9	14.1 D	14.1	10.2	10.4	35.9	37.7	35.2	43.6	32.1	0.0
Lane Grp LOS	A	B	В	В	B	В	D	D	D	D	C	
Approach Vol, veh/h		1184			1152			455			107	
Approach Delay, s/veh		13.3			11.4			36.7			35.7	
Approach LOS		В			В			D			D	
Timer												
Assigned Phs	7	4		3	8			2			6	
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	8.4	61.1		12.3	65.0			24.3			24.3	
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.0	5.5		4.0	5.5			5.0			5.0	
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	7.0	52.5		14.0	59.5			29.0			29.0	
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+l1), s	4.6	19.1		7.7	13.4			14.6			17.7	
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.1	8.5		0.6	8.8			1.8			1.6	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay HCM 2010 LOS			17.1 B									
Notes												

# Timing Report, Sorted By Phase 3: Centre & Prospect

		4	4	4>	٦	$\mathbf{F}$
Phase Number	2	3	4	6	7	8
Movement	NBTL	WBL	EBTL	SBTL	EBL	WBTL
Lead/Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	None	None	C-Max
Maximum Split (s)	34	18	58	34	11	65
Maximum Split (%)	30.9%	16.4%	52.7%	30.9%	10.0%	59.1%
Minimum Split (s)	23	11	23.5	23	11	24.5
Yellow Time (s)	3	3	4	3	3	4
All-Red Time (s)	2	1	1.5	2	1	1.5
Minimum Initial (s)	7	4	10	7	4	10
Vehicle Extension (s)	3	3	3	3	3	3
Minimum Gap (s)	3	3	3	3	3	3
Time Before Reduce (s)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Time To Reduce (s)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Walk Time (s)	7		7	7		7
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11		11	11		12
Dual Entry	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Inhibit Max	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Start Time (s)	79	3	21	79	3	14
End Time (s)	3	21	79	3	14	79
Yield/Force Off (s)	108	17	73.5	108	10	73.5
Yield/Force Off 170(s)	97	17	62.5	97	10	61.5
Local Start Time (s)	0	34	52	0	34	45
Local Yield (s)	29	48	104.5	29	41	104.5
Local Yield 170(s)	18	48	93.5	18	41	92.5
Intersection Summary			110			
Cycle Length	A		110			
Control Type	Actuated-Coordinated					
Natural Cycle	Natural Cycle 65 Offset: 79 (72%), Referenced to phase 4:EBTL and 8:WBTL, Start of Red					
Unset: 19 (12%), Reference	a to phase	e 4:EBIL	and 8:WE	sill, Start	of Red	

### Splits and Phases: 3: Centre & Prospect

<b>√</b> ø2	<b>√</b> ø3	ø4 (R)										
34 s	18 s	58 s										
¢ ø6	▶ ø7	₩ Ø8 (R)										
34 s	11 s	65 s										
	≯	-	$\mathbf{r}$	4	+	•	1	1	1	1	ŧ	~
---------------------------------------------------------	------------	--------------	--------------	-------------	--------------	-------	-------	--------------	-------	-------	--------------	-------
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	- ሽ	<b>≜</b> ⊅		ሻ	<b>∱</b> ⊅		ሻ	<b>↑</b>	1	ሻ	- <b>†</b>	1
Volume (veh/h)	29	849	91	319	1006	55	111	104	336	142	190	98
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.98	1.00		0.97	0.95		0.86	0.94		0.78
Parking Bus Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow veh/h/ln	186.3	186.3	190.0	186.3	186.3	190.0	186.3	186.3	186.3	186.3	193.7	186.3
Lanes	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Cap, veh/h	355	1835	187	466	2170	115	233	442	325	283	460	294
Arrive On Green	0.03	0.55	0.54	0.10	0.62	0.61	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24
Sat Flow, veh/h	1774	3320	338	1774	3502	185	1097	1863	1368	1128	1937	1238
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	31	502	483	336	563	552	117	109	71	149	200	21
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1774	1863	1796	1774	1863	1824	1097	1863	1368	1128	1937	1238
Q Serve(g_s), s	0.8	17.1	17.2	6.4	17.1	17.2	10.6	4.9	4.3	12.8	9.1	1.4
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	0.8	17.1	17.2	6.4	17.1	17.2	19.7	4.9	4.3	17.8	9.1	1.4
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.19	1.00		0.10	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	355	1030	993	466	1155	1130	233	442	325	283	460	294
V/C Ratio(X)	0.09	0.49	0.49	0.72	0.49	0.49	0.50	0.25	0.22	0.53	0.44	0.07
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	434	1030	993	716	1155	1130	352	644	473	406	670	428
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	9.6	14.2	14.3	12.4	10.8	10.8	42.1	32.1	31.9	39.3	33.8	30.8
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.1	1.6	1.7	2.1	1.5	1.5	1.7	0.3	0.3	1.5	0.6	0.1
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile Back of Q (50%), veh/In	0.3	7.7	7.5	4.3	7.4	7.2	3.0	2.3	1.5	3.8	4.6	0.4
Lane Grp Delay (d), s/veh	9.8	15.9	16.0	14.6	12.3	12.3	43.8	32.4	32.3	40.9	34.4	30.9
Lane Grp LOS	A	B	В	В	B	В	D	<u>C</u>	С	D	C	C
Approach Vol, veh/h		1016			1451			297			370	
Approach Delay, s/veh		15.8			12.8			36.9			36.8	
Approach LOS		В			В			D			D	
Timer Assigned Phs	7	4		3	8			2			4	
	7 6.4	4 62.0		3 13.3	8 69.0			2 28.7			6 28.7	
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	0.4 4.0	62.0 5.5			09.0 5.5			20.7 5.0				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s				4.0 24.0				5.0 35.0			5.0 35.0	
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	7.0 2.8	46.5 19.2		24.0 8.4	63.5 19.2			35.0 21.7			35.0 19.8	
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s Green Ext Time (p_c), s	2.0 0.0	9.3		0.4 1.0	19.2			21.7			2.1	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay			18.9									
HCM 2010 LOS			10.9 B									
Notes												

## Timing Report, Sorted By Phase 3: Centre & Prospect

		4	4	-⊈⊳	٦	¥
Phase Number	2	3	4	6	7	8
Movement	NBTL	WBL	EBTL	SBTL	EBL	WBTL
Lead/Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	None	None	C-Max
Maximum Split (s)	40	28	52	40	11	69
Maximum Split (%)	33.3%	23.3%	43.3%	33.3%	9.2%	57.5%
Minimum Split (s)	23	11	23.5	23	11	24.5
Yellow Time (s)	3	3	4	3	3	4
All-Red Time (s)	2	1	1.5	2	1	1.5
Minimum Initial (s)	7	4	10	7	4	10
Vehicle Extension (s)	3	3	3	3	3	3
Minimum Gap (s)	3	3	3	3	3	3
Time Before Reduce (s)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Time To Reduce (s)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Walk Time (s)	7		7	7		7
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11		11	11		12
Dual Entry	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Inhibit Max	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Start Time (s)	16	56	84	16	56	67
End Time (s)	56	84	16	56	67	16
Yield/Force Off (s)	51	80	10.5	51	63	10.5
Yield/Force Off 170(s)	40	80	119.5	40	63	118.5
Local Start Time (s)	0	40	68	0	40	51
Local Yield (s)	35	64	114.5	35	47	114.5
Local Yield 170(s)	24	64	103.5	24	47	102.5
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length			120			
Control Type	Actu	ated-Coo				
Natural Cycle			65			
Offset: 16 (13%), Reference	ed to phase	e 4:EBTL		BTL. Start	of Red	
				- <u>-</u> , etait		

### Splits and Phases: 3: Centre & Prospect

↓ ø2	<b>√</b> ø3	ø4 (R) ■
40 s	28 s	52 s
ø6	∮ø7 🗸 ø8 (R)	•
40 s	11 s 69 s	

HCM 2010 TWSC 6: Centre & NRRC North/Perennial

Intersection												
Intersection Delay, s/veh	2.4											
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Vol, veh/h	48	0	13	3	1	12	11	667	57	30	255	42
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	75	-	-	75	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	56	0	15	4	1	14	13	785	67	35	300	49
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1255	1281	333	1255	1272	818	357	0	0	852	0	0
Stage 1	403	403	-	844	844	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	852	878	-	411	428	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Headway	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Capacity-1 Maneuver	148	166	709	148	168	376	1202	-	-	787	-	-
Stage 1	624	600	-	358	379	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	354	366	-	618	585	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Time blocked-Platoon, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Capacity-1 Maneuver	135	156	705	139	158	376	1202	-	-	787	-	-
Mov Capacity-2 Maneuver	135	156	-	139	158	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	614	570	-	354	375	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	336	362	-	578	556	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE			NW			NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	33.9			19.1			0.1			0.9		
HCM LOS	D			С								
Minor Lane / Major Mvmt		NEL	NET	NER	NWLn1	NWLn2	SELn1	SELn2	SWL	SWT	SWR	
Capacity (veh/h)		1202	-	-	139	308	135	212	787	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.011	-	-	0.017	0.053	0.279	0.161	0.045	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)		8.028	-	-	31.3	17.3	41.7	25.2	9.789	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		А			D	С	E	D	А			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.033	-	-	0.052	0.169	1.067	0.561	0.141	-	-	
Notos												

Notes

~: Volume Exceeds Capacity; \$ : Delay Exceeds 300 Seconds; Error : Computation Not Defined

Lanes and Geometrics 6: Centre & NRRC North/Perennial

	4	X	2	1	×	۲	3	×	~	í,	¥	×
Lane Group	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations	۲. ۲	ef 🛛		1	el el		ľ	el el		ľ	el el	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	10	10	10	10	10	10	16	12	12	16	12	12
Grade (%)		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Storage Length (ft)	75		0	75		0	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor												
Frt		0.850			0.860			0.988			0.979	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1652	1478	0	1652	1495	0	2006	1840	0	2006	1824	0
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1652	1478	0	1652	1495	0	2006	1840	0	2006	1824	0
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			35			35	
Link Distance (ft)		315			317			771			2032	
Travel Time (s)		8.6			8.6			15.0			39.6	
Intersection Summary												
Aroa Typo:	Othor											

Area Type:

HCM 2010 TWSC 6: Centre & NRRC North/Perennial

Intersection												
Intersection Delay, s/veh	9.4											
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Vol, veh/h	72	0	11	66	0	69	10	612	2	3	569	63
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	75	-	-	75	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	85	0	13	78	0	81	12	720	2	4	669	68
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1498	1459	705	1464	1492	722	739	0	0	723	0	0
Stage 1	712	712	-	746	746	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	786	747	-	718	746	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Headway	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Capacity-1 Maneuver	101	129	436	106	123	427	867	-	-	879	-	-
Stage 1	423	436	-	405	421	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	385	420	-	420	421	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Time blocked-Platoon, %		10/		101	101	407	o / 7	-	-	070	-	-
Mov Capacity-1 Maneuver	# 81	126	436	101	121	427	867	-	-	879	-	-
Mov Capacity-2 Maneuver	# 81	126	-	101	121	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	417	434	-	399	415	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	307	414	-	406	419	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE			NW			NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	92			45.1			0.1			0		
HCM LOS	F			E								
Minor Lane / Major Mvmt		NEL	NET	NER	NWLn1	NWLn2	SELn1	SELn2	SWL	SWT	SWR	
Capacity (veh/h)		867	-	-	101	240	81	109	879	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.014	-	-	0.513	0.446	0.697	0.378	0.004	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)		9.209	-	-	73.3	31.5	117.6	56.8	9.112	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		А			F	D	F	F	А			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.041	-	-	2.297	2.14	3.317	1.541	0.012	-	-	

Notes

~: Volume Exceeds Capacity; \$ : Delay Exceeds 300 Seconds; Error : Computation Not Defined

Lanes and Geometrics 6: Centre & NRRC North/Perennial

	4	X	2	F	×	1	3	×	7	Ĺ	*	×
Lane Group	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations	5	¢Î		1	eî		1	el el		1	el el	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	10	10	10	10	10	10	16	12	12	16	12	12
Grade (%)		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Storage Length (ft)	75		0	75		0	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor												
Frt		0.850			0.850						0.986	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1652	1478	0	1652	1478	0	2006	1863	0	2006	1837	0
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1652	1478	0	1652	1478	0	2006	1863	0	2006	1837	0
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			35			35	
Link Distance (ft)		315			317			771			2032	
Travel Time (s)		8.6			8.6			15.0			39.6	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type	Othor											

Area Type:

HCM 2010 TWSC 8: Centre & NRRC South/Rolland Moore

Intersection												
Intersection Delay, s/veh	3.5											
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Vol, veh/h	32	0	23	8	0	45	9	654	115	97	174	5
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized		-	None			None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	38	0	27	9	0	53	11	769	135	114	205	6
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1325	1366	212	1311	1301	837	215	0	0	905	0	0
Stage 1	440	440		858	858	-		-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	885	926	-	453	443	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Headway	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Capacity-1 Maneuver	133	147	828	136	161	367	1355	-	-	752	-	-
Stage 1	596	578		352	374	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	340	347	-	586	576	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Time blocked-Platoon, %	0.0	017			0.0			-	-		-	-
Mov Capacity-1 Maneuver	100	123	826	116	135	367	1355	-	-	752	-	-
Mov Capacity-2 Maneuver	100	123	-	116	135	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	590	489	-	349	371	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	289	344	-	481	487	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
olugo 2	207	011		101	107							
Approach	SE			NW			NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	34			20.3			0.1			3.7		
HCM LOS	D			С								
Minor Lane / Major Mvmt		NEL	NET	NER	NWLn1	NWLn2	SELn1	SELn2	SWL	SWT	SWR	
Capacity (veh/h)		1355	-	-	116	327	100	250	752	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.008	-	-	0.054	0.171	0.251	0.158	0.152	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.678	-	-	37.8	18.3	52.7	22.1	10.642	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		A			57.6 E	C	52.7 F	C	B			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.024	-	-	0.169	0.61	0.916	0.553	0.533	-	-	
		5.5 <b>L</b>			0.107	5.01	0.710	0.000	0.000			
Notes												

~: Volume Exceeds Capacity; \$: Delay Exceeds 300 Seconds; Error : Computation Not Defined

Lanes and Geometrics 8: Centre & NRRC South/Rolland Moore

	<b>.</b>	$\mathbf{x}$	2	-	×	ť	3	*	~	4	¥	*
Lane Group	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	¢Î		7	ef 👘		7	el 🗧		<u>ک</u>	eî 👘	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	10	10	10	10	10	10	16	12	12	16	12	12
Grade (%)		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Storage Length (ft)	0		75	0		75	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor												
Frt		0.850			0.850			0.978			0.996	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1652	1478	0	1652	1478	0	2006	1822	0	2006	1855	0
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1652	1478	0	1652	1478	0	2006	1822	0	2006	1855	0
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			35			35	
Link Distance (ft)		302			263			348			771	
Travel Time (s)		8.2			7.2			6.8			15.0	
Intersection Summary												
Aroa Tupo	Othor											

Area Type:

Intersection												
Intersection Delay, s/veh	10.5											
				• •								
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Vol, veh/h	28	1	38	79	3	95	45	496	28	24	591	30
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	33	1	45	93	4	112	53	584	33	28	695	35
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1533	1491	713	1498	1493	600	731	0	0	616	0	0
Stage 1	769	769		706	706	000	751	0	-	010	-	0
Stage 2	764	709	-	700	700	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Headway	3.518	4.018	- 3.318	3.518	4.018	- 3.318	- 2.218	-	-	- 2.218	-	-
Pollow-up neadway Pot Capacity-1 Maneuver	3.518 95	4.018	432	101	4.018	501	873	-	-	2.218 964	-	-
Stage 1	95 394	411	432	427	439	501	0/3	-	-	904	-	-
Stage 2	394 396	411	-	382	439	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Time blocked-Platoon, %	390	431	-	302	403	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Capacity-1 Maneuver	67	113	432	# 84	112	501	873	-	-	964	-	-
1 5	67	113			112	100	8/3	-	-	904	-	-
Mov Capacity-2 Maneuver	370	399	-	# 84 401	412	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1					412 391	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	287	405	-	332	391	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE			NW			NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	44.2			66.2			0.7			0.3		
HCM LOS	E			F								
Minor Long / Main March								0.51 - 2	C\4/I	CWT	CIND	
Minor Lane / Major Mvmt		NEL	NET	NER		NWLn2	SELn1	SELn2	SWL	SWT	SWR	
Capacity (veh/h)		873	-	-	84	235	67	205	964	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.061	-	-	0.738	0.622	0.328	0.277	0.029	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)		9.39	-	-	121.9	42.6	83	29.2	8.847	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		А			F	E	F	D	A			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.193	-	-	3.636	3.707	1.205	1.088	0.09	-	-	
Notos												

Notes

~: Volume Exceeds Capacity; \$: Delay Exceeds 300 Seconds; Error : Computation Not Defined

Lanes and Geometrics 8: Centre & NRRC South/Rolland Moore

	*	$\mathbf{x}$	2	-	×	ť	3	*	~	6	¥	*~
Lane Group	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations	۲ ۲	¢Î		1	el el		ľ	¢Î		ľ	el el	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	10	10	10	10	10	10	16	12	12	16	12	12
Grade (%)		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Storage Length (ft)	0		75	0		30	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor												
Frt		0.853			0.855			0.992			0.993	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1652	1483	0	1652	1486	0	2006	1848	0	2006	1850	0
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1652	1483	0	1652	1486	0	2006	1848	0	2006	1850	0
Link Speed (mph)		25			25			35			35	
Link Distance (ft)		302			263			348			771	
Travel Time (s)		8.2			7.2			6.8			15.0	
Intersection Summary												
A	Other											

Area Type:

# APPENDIX F



SCALE: 1"=600'

# PEDESTRIAN INFLUENCE AREA



		Peo	lestrian L	OS Works	sheet			
		Pro	pject Location C	lassification:	Other			
	Description of	Destination		Level of Ser	vice (minimum	n based on pro	ject location c	lassification)
	Description of Applicable Destination Area Within 1320'	Destination Area Classification		Directness	Continuity	Street Crossings	Visual Interest & Amenities	Security
			Minimum	С	С	С	С	С
1	NRRC Campus	Commercial	Actual	А	А	А	В	А
	-		Proposed	А	А	А	В	А
			Minimum	С	С	С	С	С
2	The Grove	Residential	Actual	Α	А	А	В	А
			Proposed	Α	А	А	В	А
			Minimum	С	С	С	С	С
3	Neighborhood to the northwest	Residential	Actual	В	В	А	В	В
	nontriwest		Proposed	В	В	А	В	В
			Minimum	С	С	С	С	С
4	Neighborhood to the north	Residential	Actual	D	С	А	С	В
	north		Proposed	D	С	А	С	В
	<b>T</b> I 0 I 10 I		Minimum	С	С	С	С	С
5	The Gardens at Spring Creek	Commercial	Actual	Α	А	А	Α	А
	Oreck		Proposed	Α	А	А	Α	А
			Minimum					
6			Actual					
			Proposed					
			Minimum					
7			Actual					
			Proposed					
			Minimum					
8			Actual					
			Proposed					
			Minimum					
9			Actual					
			Proposed					
			Minimum					
10			Actual					
			Proposed					



SCALE: 1"=600'

# BICYCLE INFLUENCE AREA



Bicycle LOS Worksheet							
				Level of Service – Connectivity			
				Minimum	Actual	Proposed	
		Base Con	nectivity:	С	В	В	
	Specific connections to	priority sites:					
	Description of Applicable Destination Area Within 1320'	Destination Area Classification					
1	Spring Creek Trail	Recreation		С	А	А	
2	-						
3							
4							
		•	•	·	·	<u>.                                    </u>	



## Ecological Characterization Study Review

Project Name: Sunshine House

Project Planner: Courtney Levingston

**ECS Consultant:** Cedar Creek Associates

Review Date: December 18, 2013

**Project Description:** This is a request for a consolidated Project Development Plan / Final Development Plan for the proposed development to construct a child care facility on 1.95 acres on the site located in Tract A of the Grove at Fort Collins, at the southwest corner of Centre Avenue and Botanical Lane. The new center will provide a program of play and learning activities for children ages six weeks to 12 years. The site is in the E zoning district.

*Environmental Planner Summary.* The Sunshine House site contained approximately 200 square foot isolated, wetland drainage in the southeast corner of the parcel. The applicants have committed to mitigating for this wetland by providing a fee in-lieu payment to the Gardens on Spring Creek. The Gardens on Spring Creek will add the additional square footage to their wetland enhancement adjacent to Spring Creek.

As this project proposes to mitigate for the isolated wetland in close proximity to Spring Creek, and the overall value of this small wetland will be enhanced due to the proximity with the creek's corridor, staff finds this project complies with Section 3.4.1 of the Land Use Code.

Ecological Characterization Study (ECS) Requirements and Evaluation – Section 3.4.1(D) of the Land Use Code					
	Yes	No	N/A	Comments	
Is the project within 500' of a Natural Habitat or Feature ⁱ ? If yes, which features?	þ	••	••	Yes, Spring Creek and the site contained an undelineated wetland drainage that was filled with the development of the Grove student housing project (see page 1 of the ECS). The wetland is less than 1/3 of an acre in size.	
Is the wildlife use and value of the area described?	ures? be and b		••	Yes, due to prior site disturbance, the wildlife use of the property has largely been eliminated. Wildlife use in the area is to the northwest in the wetlands associated with the Grove and in the Spring Creek Corridor. According to the ECS (page 1), "Urban-adapted birds such as mourning dove and house finch may occasionally use the site for foraging for seeds. The single cottonwood tree on the project area may also be used for perching and foraging by urban-adapted songbirds. Bird nesting use of the tree is not likely because of its isolated location and lack of any surrounding natural habitats. No bird nests were located in the cottonwood tree during the October 4, 2013 field survey. Prior to clearing and regarding of the development site, Canada geese likely grazed the area occasionally and small mammals such as deer mouse and prairie vole probably foraged in the area."	

Ecological Characterization Study (ECS) Requirements and Evaluation – Section 3.4.1(D) of the Land Use Code					
	Yes	No	N/A	Comments	
Are there wetlands present? If yes, have the boundaries and functions been described?	þ	••	••	There was a small 200 sq. ft. drainage area that was not delineated as a part of the Grove project. According to the ECS, "The wetland vegetation community was dominated by herbaceous species including Baltic rush ( <i>Juncus balticus</i> 1), threesquare ( <i>Schoenoplectus pungens</i> ), and reed canarygrass ( <i>Phalaris arundinacea</i> ). A few coyote willows ( <i>Salex exigua</i> ) were also present. Open water within the channel, when present, was typically shallow and occurred as a result of precipitation and runoff from the area upslope to the south. Wildlife habitat value was limited to foraging and cover for small mammals such as deer mouse and prairie vole" (see page 2).	
Are there any prominent views from or across the site?	••	þ	••	The ECS indicates there are no prominent views of natural features or other areas of scenic values (see page 2).	
Are the pattern, species, and location of significant native trees and vegetation ⁱⁱ described?	Þ	••	••	Yes, there is a single cottonwood tree on the site, which will be mitigated for as a part of the project (see pages 1- 2).	
Are the pattern, species, and location of significant non-native trees and vegetation described?	••	••	Þ	There are no non-native trees or vegetation on the site.	
Is a stream or perennial body of water present? If yes, is top of bank ⁱⁱⁱ located?	••	þ	••	No, the only drainage was the wetland area described above.	
Are Sensitive or Specially Valued Species ^{iv} present? If yes, are the areas of use identified?		þ	••	No, the ECS reports there is not suitable habitat for threatened, endangered, or sensitive species (see page 2).	
Are other special habitat features ^v located on the site?	••	Þ	••	The ECS indicates that past removal of any native habitat on the immediate site eliminated the potential for other special habitat features besides the cottonwood tree (see page 2).	
Does the site contain wildlife movement corridors?	••	Þ	••	No, although the ECS notes the corridor along the north boundary of the Grove development where a band of wetlands exists (see page 2).	
Are the general ecological functions of the site described?	þ	••	••	Yes (covered throughout the report).	

Ecological Characterization Study (ECS) Requirements and Evaluation – Section 3.4.1(D) of the Land Use Code				
	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
Are there any issues regarding development related timing that should be addressed?	þ	••	••	Yes. The timing of the removal of the site's tree should be conducted outside of the raptor and songbird nesting season (April 1 – July 31) or a survey should be conducted during that time to ensure no nesting activity is occurring (see page 2).
Are any measures needed to mitigate adverse impacts projected by the development?	þ	••	••	The wetland mitigation that will take place on the Gardens on Spring Creek will mitigate the adverse impacts of the project.

## Glossary of Terms

ⁱ Natural features shall mean (a) natural springs, (b) areas of topography which, because of their steepness, erosion characteristics/geologic formations, high visibility from off-site locations and/or presence of rock outcroppings, and (c) view corridors which present vistas to mountains and foothills, water bodies, open spaces and other regions of principal environmental importance, provided that such natural features are either identified on the city's *Natural Habitats and Features Inventory Map*, or otherwise meet the definition of natural area as contained in this Article.

ⁱⁱ *Native vegetation* shall mean any plant identified in <u>Fort Collins Native Plants: Plant Characteristics and</u> <u>Wildlife Value of Commercial Species</u>, prepared by the City's Natural Resources Department, updated February 2003.

ⁱⁱⁱ *Top of bank* shall mean the topographical break in slope between the bank and the surrounding terrain. When a break in slope cannot be found, the outer limits of riparian vegetation shall demark the top of bank.

^{iv} Sensitive or Specially Valued Species are defined as the following species: Federally Threatened and Endangered Species; State of Colorado Threatened and Endangered Species; State of Colorado Species of Concern as identified in the document, Colorado's Natural Heritage: Rare and Imperiled Animals, Plants and Natural Communities, April 1996, Volume 2, No. 1, Animals and Plants of Special Concern and/or any other species identified as in need of protection in the City of Fort Collins Natural Areas Policy Plan (see Division 5 of the Land Use Code).

^v Special habitat features shall mean specially valued and sensitive habitat features including key raptor habitat features, such as nest sites, night roosts and key feeding areas as identified by the Colorado Division of Wildlife or in the Fort Collins Natural Areas Policy Plan (NAPP); key production areas, wintering areas and migratory feeding areas for waterfowl; key use areas for wading birds and shorebirds; heron rookeries; key use areas for migrant songbirds; key nesting areas for grassland birds; fox and coyote dens; mule deer winter concentration areas as identified by the Colorado Division of Wildlife or NAPP; prairie dog colonies over fifty (50) acres in size as included on the Natural Areas Inventory Map; key areas for rare, migrant or resident butterflies as identified in the NAPP; areas of high terrestrial or aquatic insect diversity as identified in the NAPP; remnant native prairie habitat; mixed foothill shrubland; foothills ponderosa pine forest; plains cottonwood riparian woodlands; and any wetland greater than one-fourth (¼) acre in size.



November 7, 2013

Michael "Bo" Brown CSU/CSURF Real Estate Office P.O. Box 483 Fort Collins, CO 80522

RE: Second Revision Ecological Characterization Study (ECS) Letter Report for the proposed Sunshine House Daycare development at the southwest corner of the old Rolland Moore Drive (to be renamed) and Centre Avenue (Tract A).

Bo:

This revised letter ECS Report is submitted in response to the City's request for an ECS report for the proposed Sunshine House Daycare development on CSURF's Tract A. This report supersedes and replaces the previous ECS Report submitted on September 30, 2013. The 1.9-acre development site is located between Centre Avenue and recently constructed Perennial Lane, immediately south of the old Rolland Moore Road (partial spur), which was renamed Perennial Lane. The current development proposal calls for the construction of a daycare building and associated facilities. The proposed development would provide daycare facilities for 152 children from infants to kindergarten age. Construction is proposed to begin in January 2014 and be completed by August 2014. The total area of development would include the entire 1.9-acre parcel. Ecological characteristics of the property were evaluated during a field review of the property on October 4, 2013.

The following provides a summary of ECS information required by Fort Collins Land Use Code under 3.4.1 (D) (1) items (a) through (k).

#### ECOLOGICAL STUDY CHARACTERIZATION CHECKLIST

(a & i) The proposed Sunshine House Daycare development site currently has very low ecological value and supports no natural habitat features. The majority of the property was previously disturbed for a sales office and associated parking for the Grove student housing project to the west. Previously the site supported non-native grassland used for hay production and a wetland drainage that carried surface runoff under Rolland Moore Drive and eventually into the Spring Creek drainage. The previous Grove associated developments on the parcel filled a small (200 square-foot) wetland drainage, immediately south of the former Rolland Moore Drive (now Perennial Lane), that was not included in the wetland mitigation area associated with the Grove development.

Currently, the Grove sales buildings and associated facilities have been removed, and the site has been graded to approximate original contours and straw mulched (see attached Photo 1). As a result the parcel currently provides minimal wildlife habitat value except for a single, multi-trunked (6 to 14 inches in diameter) eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*) tree growing near the center of the property.

Wildlife habitat value on the property has basically been eliminated by past development, clearing of structures, and adjacent developments and roadways. Urban-adapted birds such as mourning dove and house finch may occasionally use the site for foraging for seeds. The single cottonwood tree on the project area may also be used for perching and foraging by urban-adapted songbirds. Bird nesting use of the tree is not likely because of its isolated location and lack of any surrounding natural habitats. No bird nests were located in the cottonwood tree during the October 4, 2013 field survey. Prior to clearing and regarding of the development site, Canada geese likely grazed the area occasionally and small mammals such as deer mouse and prairie vole probably foraged in the area.

(b) There are currently no wetlands on the property, but as indicated in the previous section, a small wetland drainage was filled by construction of the Grove sales office facilities. Based on Cedar Creek Associates, Inc. staff's previous knowledge of the project area, this wetland drainage consisted of a narrow, intermittent, and incised channel with adjacent wetland side slopes. This wetland/channel feature was approximately 20 feet long and was estimated to have an average width of approximately 10 feet (200 square feet total size). The wetland vegetation community was dominated by herbaceous species including Baltic rush (*Juncus balticus*¹), threesquare (*Schoenoplectus pungens*), and reed canarygrass (*Phalaris arundinacea*). A few coyote willows (*Salex exigua*) were also present. Open water within the channel, when present, was typically shallow and occurred as a result of precipitation and runoff from the area upslope to the south. Wildlife habitat value was limited to foraging and cover for small mammals such as deer mouse and prairie vole.

The City of Fort Collins will require mitigation for the filled wetland channel as a requirement for development of the Sunshine House Daycare development (see the following Section k).

(c) The project area does not provide any prominent views of natural features or other areas of scenic value.

(d) As indicated under (a & i), native vegetation supported on the project area consists of a single eastern cottonwood tree that may be classified as significant by the City Forester. This isolated tree does provide some wildlife habitat value as a possible perching and foraging site for urban-adapted songbirds. If removal of the tree is required for project development, loss of the tree will need to be mitigated (see the following Section k).

(e) The one natural drainage that existed previously in the project area was removed by the previous sales office facilities associated with the Grove project.

(f) There is no suitable habitat for any threatened, endangered, or other sensitive species on or adjacent to the project area. No other sensitive or ecologically important species are likely to use the property since its surface has been disturbed and cleared and supports no native habitats.

(g) Past removal of native habitat has eliminated the potential for any special habitat features on the property other than the single eastern cottonwood.

(h) There are no wildlife movement corridors on the property, but the wetland drainage along the north boundary of the Grove development serves as a wildlife movement corridor to and from the Spring Creek drainage. Portions of this wetland drainage are within 500 feet of the Sunshine House development parcel.

(j) There is only one issue regarding the timing of property development and ecological features or wildlife use of the project area. If the development proposal includes removal of the eastern cottonwood on the property or if construction occurs near an occupied bird nest in this tree during the songbird nesting season (April through July), these activities could result in the loss or abandonment of a nest and would be in violation of the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act. Bird nesting use of the tree is unlikely because of its isolated location and lack of any surrounding natural habitats, but the tree should be checked prior to removal during the nesting season to ensure lack of nesting activity.

(k) The primary mitigation measure associated with the current development proposal would be CSURF's commitment to provide mitigation for the previous loss of the small wetland drainage on the property. Wetland mitigation within the current Sunshine House development parcel is not feasible so the City of Fort Collins has agreed to permit CSURF to meet the wetland mitigation obligation for the Sunshine House parcel by assisting the nearby Gardens on Spring Creek facility in their planned expansion of an existing wetland area. The City of Fort Collins, Gardens on Spring Creek, and CSURF have agreed that CSURF will commit \$5,000 in mitigation funds to the City of Fort Collins. These funds will be used for the design and construction of an additional 200

¹ Scientific nomenclature follows USDA, NRCS Plants Database. Available online at: http://plants.usda.gov/java/

M. Brown 11/7/13 Page 3 of 4

square-foot expansion of the Gardens on Spring Creek's planned enlargement of its existing wetland, thereby mitigating the previous loss of wetlands on the Sunshine House Daycare development parcel.

Since the entire project area has been previously developed and has now been cleared, project development would have no impact on natural habitats or important habitat features, other than the one existing cottonwood tree on the property. The City Forester may classify this tree as significant. The tree may also provide some perching, foraging, and possible nesting habitat for urban-adapted songbird species. If removal of this tree is required for project development, appropriate plantings of landscape trees should be included with project development to mitigate the loss of a possible significant tree and its habitat value for urban-adapted songbirds.

Because tree removal or construction near trees during the nesting season could result in the loss or abandonment of a nest, it is recommended that tree removal or construction near the tree occur outside of the nesting season (April 1 - July 31), or the tree be surveyed to ensure lack of nesting prior to removal or construction activities during the nesting season. This mitigation recommendation would preclude the possible incidental take or disturbance of an active songbird nest.

Bo, if you have any questions or require additional information regarding my evaluation, please give me a call.

Sincerely, CEDAR CREEK Associates, Inc.

Mi-Qao 1920

T. Michael Phelan Principal Senior Wildlife Biologist



Photo 1. View of Sunshine House Daycare Development Parcel. (View is from northwest property corner looking southeast. Single cottonwood tree on site is in center of photo.)



# LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A PARCEL DESCRIBED AS PARCEL A COMPRISED OF 8.9 ACRES, LOCATED ALONG THE EAST SIDE OF CENTRE AVENUE IN THE SOUTHWEST 1/4 OF SECTION 23, TOWNSHIP 7 NORTH, RANGE 69 WEST OF THE SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, CITY OF FORT COLLINS, COUNTY OF LARIMER, STATE OF COLORADO.

A PARCEL DESCRIBED AS PARCEL B COMPRISED OF 17.5 ACRES, LOCATED ALONG THE WEST SIDE OF CENTRE AVENUE IN THE SOUTHWEST 1/4 OF SECTION 23, TOWNSHIP 7 NORTH, RANGE 69 WEST OF THE SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, CITY OF FORT COLLINS, COUNTY OF LARIMER, STATE OF COLORADO.

A PARCEL DESCRIBED AS PARCEL C COMPRISED OF 33.1 ACRES, LOCATED ALONG THE WEST SIDE OF CENTRE AVENUE, IN PRINCIPALLY THE WEST 1/2 OF SECTION 23, TOWNSHIP 7 NORTH, RANGE 69 WEST OF THE SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, CITY OF FORT COLLINS, COUNTY OF LARIMER, STATE OF COLORADO.

A PARCEL DESCRIBED AS PARCEL D COMPRISED OF 9.4 ACRES, LOCATED ALONG THE WEST SIDE OF CENTRE AVENUE IN THE NORTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 23, TOWNSHIP 7 NORTH, RANGE 69 WEST OF THE SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, CITY OF FORT COLLINS, COUNTY OF LARIMER, STATE OF COLORADO.

A PARCEL DESCRIBED AS PARCEL E COMPRISED OF 42.9 ACRES, LOCATED ALONG THE EAST SIDE OF CENTRE AVENUE IN THE NORTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 23, TOWNSHIP 7 NORTH, RANGE 69 WEST OF THE SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, CITY OF FORT COLLINS, COUNTY OF LARIMER, STATE OF COLORADO.

A PARCEL DESCRIBED AS PARCEL F COMPRISED OF 4.9 ACRES, LOCATED ALONG THE WEST SIDE OF THE BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAILROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY IN THE NORTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 23, TOWNSHIP 7 NORTH, RANGE 69 WEST OF THE SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, CITY OF FORT COLLINS, COUNTY OF LARIMER, STATE OF COLORADO.

## **GENERAL NOTES**

- 1. THE FOLLOWING NOTES APPLY TO THOSE ODP PARCELS WITHIN THE FLOODWAY:
  - THE BASE FLOOD ELEVATION AND OBTAIN A FLOOD PLAIN USE PERMIT. B. TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT STORAGE OF MATERIALS IS NOT ALLOWED IN THE FLOODWAY. THIS INCLUDES CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS, FLEET VEHICLES, STORAGE RELATED TO A BUSINESS, ETC.

  - (CLOMR) AND A LETTER OF MAP REVISION (LOMR).
  - WITH ALL FLOODPLAIN REGULATIONS IN CHAPTER 10 OF CITY CODE.
- DISTRICT AS APPLICABLE.

75% OR APPROXIMATELY 72.4 ACRES PRIMARY LAND USES (E ZONE) SECONDARY LAND USES (E ZONE) 25% OR APPROXIMATELY 24.1 ACRES TOTAL LAND AREA (E ZONE) 100 % OR APPROXIMATELY 96.5 ACRES

- THE DEVELOPMENT AT THIS TIME. AS CHANGES OCCUR IN THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE OVERALL DEVELOPMENT, IT MAY BE NECESSARY TO MODIFY THE OVERALL DEVELOPMENT PLAN.
- FOR ALL PARCELS NOT TO EXCEED 0.37.
- SIDEWALKS.
- COUNTY URBAN AREA STREET STANDARDS.
- 8. THE PURPOSE OF THE OVERALL DEVELOPMENT PLAN IS TO ESTABLISH GENERAL PLANNING AND VESTED RIGHT TO DEVELOP PROPERTY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLAN.
- 9. FIRE HYDRANTS WILL BE PROVIDED AS REQUIRED BY THE POUDRE FIRE AUTHORITY STANDARDS.
- UNITS PER NET ACRE IF THE SUBJECT DEVELOPMENT PLAN IS TWENTY (20) ACRES OR LESS IN SIZE.
- SUBMITTAL UNLESS MODIFICATIONS AND/OR ENGINEERING VARIANCES ARE APPROVED.
- PROJECT DEVELOPMENT PLAN.
- GRANTED.
- DURING THE PDP PROCESS.
- USE CODE.
- PART OF THIS ODP.

## NATURAL FEATURES GENERAL BUFFER ZONES

- 1. SPRING CREEK: 100' AVERAGE EACH SIDE
- 2. LARIMER NO. 2 CANAL: 50' AVERAGE EACH SIDE
- 3. NEW MERCER DITCH: 50' AVERAGE EACH SIDE

A. ANY PARKING PROPOSED FOR AREAS IN THE FLOODWAY MUST BE CERTIFIED TO CAUSE NO-RISE IN

C. ANY ITEMS SUCH AS PICNIC TABLES, BLEACHERS, ETC. MUST BE ANCHORED SO AS NOT TO BE MOVED DURING A FLOOD AND CERTIFIED TO CAUSE NO-RISE ON THE BASE FLOOD ELEVATION.

D. ANY CHANGE TO THE FEMA FLOODWAY WILL REQUIRE A CONDITIONAL LETTER OF MAP REVISION

E. ALL DEVELOPMENT WITHIN THE FEMA DESIGNATED FLOODPLAIN OR FLOODWAY MUST COMPLY

ALL DEVELOPMENT MUST COMPLY WITH THE APPLICABLE STANDARDS IN ARTICLE 4 OF THE LAND USE CODE. ALLOWED LAND USES IN EACH PARCEL ARE PER THE E ZONE DISTRICT AND THE MMN ZONE

THE LAND USE BREAKDOWN FOR LAND IN THE E ZONE WITHIN THIS OVERALL DEVELOPMENT PLAN SHALL BE 75% PRIMARY AND 25% SECONDARY AS ESTABLISHED IN THE LAND USE CODE; HOWEVER, LAND USES PROPOSED WITHIN THE SPRING CREEK 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED SECONDARY LAND USES. THE LAND USE BREAKDOWN SHALL BE AS FOLLOWS UNLESS A MODIFICATION IS REQUESTED:

4. THE CSURF CENTRE FOR ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY OVERALL DEVELOPMENT PLAN IS PLANNED TO BE MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT, THAT MAY INCLUDE STUDENT HOUSING, OFFICES, PARKS, OPEN SPACE AND TRAILS. THE LAND USE DESIGNATIONS DEPICTED ON THIS PLAN ARE BASED UPON THE BEST ESTIMATE OF

MAXIMUM FLOOR AREA RATIO ( BUILDING SQUARE FOOTAGE DIVIDED BY LAND AREA SQUARE FOOTAGE)

6. COMMON OPEN SPACE AREAS AND STREETSCAPES WILL BE MAINTAINED BY THE OWNER/DEVELOPER OR A HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION (HOA) UNLESS OTHERWISE ACCEPTED BY THE CITY OF FORT COLLINS FOR MAINTENANCE. HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION IS RESPONSIBLE FOR SNOW REMOVAL ON THE SIDEWALKS AND ALL BICYCLE/PEDESTRIAN TRAILS. CITY WILL NOT TAKE ON MAINTENANCE OF STREETSCAPE OR SIDEWALK PER CITY CODE. THE DEVELOPER/OWNER OR HOA WILL MAINTAIN ALL STREETSCAPES AND

ALL PUBLIC STREETS WILL BE DESIGNED TO MEET OR EXCEED CITY STANDARDS IN EFFECT AT THE TIME OF APPLICATION FOR A PROJECT DEVELOPMENT PLAN PER THE CITY LAND USE CODE AND THE LARIMER

DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PARAMETERS FOR PROJECTS THAT WILL BE DEVELOPED IN PHASES WITH MULTIPLE SUBMITTALS WHILE ALLOWING SUFFICIENT FLEXIBILITY TO PERMIT DETAILED PLANNING IN SUBSEQUENT SUBMITTALS. APPROVAL OF AN OVERALL DEVELOPMENT PLAN DOES NOT ESTABLISH ANY

10. RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MMN ZONE DISTRICT SHALL HAVE AN OVERALL MINIMUM AVERAGE DENSITY OF TWELVE(12) DWELLING UNITS PER NET ACRE OF RESIDENTIAL LAND OR SEVEN (7) DWELLING

11. BOUNDARY CONNECTIONS SHALL BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAND USE CODE AND LARIMER COUNTY URBAN AREA STREET STANDARDS IN PLACE AT THE TIME OF DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION

12. ALL DEVELOPMENT MUST COMPLY WITH APPLICABLE STANDARDS CONTAINED IN THE LAND USE CODE ARTICLE 3, CITY CODE CHAPTER 10, FEDERAL REGULATIONS 44 CFR, AT THE TIME OF APPLICATION FOR A

13. DEVELOPMENT WITHIN THE AREA OF THE MMN ZONE DISTRICT SHALL BE CONSISTENT WITH THE BLOCK SIZE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 4.6 OF THE LAND USE CODE UNLESS A MODIFICATION OR VARIANCE IS

14. GENERAL BUFFER ZONES SHOWN ON THIS ODP MAY BE REDUCED OR ENLARGED BY THE DECISION MAKER

15. THIS OVERALL DEVELOPMENT PLAN SHOWS THE GENERAL LOCATION AND APPROXIMATE SIZE OF ALL NATURAL AREAS, HABITATS AND FEATURES WITHIN ITS BOUNDARIES AND THE PROPOSED ROUGH ESTIMATE OF THE NATURAL AREA BUFFER ZONES AS REQUIRED BY LAND USE CODE SEC. 3.4.1(E). DETAILED MAPPING OF A SITE'S NATURAL AREAS, HABITATS AND FEATURES WILL BE PROVIDED AT THE TIME INDIVIDUAL PROJECT DEVELOPMENT PLANS (PDP) ARE SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW. ALL DEVELOPMENT WITHIN THIS OVERALL DEVELOPMENT PLAN SHALL CONFORM TO APPLICABLE STANDARDS CONTAINED IN DIVISION 3.4, ENVIRONMENTAL, NATURAL AREA, RECREATIONAL AND CULTURAL RESOURCE, OF THE LAND

16. THE LARIMER CANAL NO. 2 IS PROPOSED TO BE REALIGNED AS AN INDEPENDENT PROJECT AND NOT AS



# PLANNING & ZONING CERTIFICATE

APPROVED BY THE PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD OF THE CITY OF FORT COLLINS,



# **OWNER'S CERTIFICATION**

THE UNDERSIGNED DOES/DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I/WE ARE THE LAWFUL OWNERS OF THE REAL PROPERTY DESCRIBED ON THIS SITE PLAN AND DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I/WE ACCEPT THE CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS SET FORTH ON SAID SITE PLAN.

OWNER;

COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY RESEARCH FOUNDATION, A COLORADO NON-PROFIT



MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 2-20-2013

STATE OF COLORADO ) COUNTY OF LARIMER

THE FOREGOING INSTRUMENT WAS ACKNOWLEDGED BEFORE ME THIS _____ DAY OF FED. 7012, BY Kathleen // CHAB President / CEO_____ OF COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY RESEARCH FOUNDATION - SOLORADO NON PROFIT CORPORATION.



AMENDED CSURF CENTRE FOR ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	jand planvin a urban 401 West Mouma Fort Colline, CO 8	phone 970/224.5628
OVERALL DEVELOPMENT	_	VERALL ELOPMENT PLAN
PLAN	DATE JOB NO.	06/18/02 R00-041
FORT COLLINS,	DRAWN CHECKED	DH 
COLORADO	REVISED	<u>11/27/02</u> 02/10/03 07/13/10
		<u>09/23/10</u> <u>12/28/10</u> <u>03/30/2011</u> <u>06/01/2011</u> 01/20/2012
THIS IS A LAND USE PLANNING DOCUMENT, NOT A CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENT. REFER TO CIVIL ENGINEERING PLANS.	1	OF 1

25-M

### **Courtney Levingston**

From:	beth boddiger <bboddiger@gmail.com></bboddiger@gmail.com>
Sent:	Thursday, October 24, 2013 7:49 PM
To:	Courtney Levingston
Subject:	Development Proposal #FDP130041
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

Hi, my name is Beth Boddiger. I would like to comment on the proposed project at Centre Ave/Perennial Ln.

I have many concerns with overdeveloping this particular piece of ground. One concern is aesthetics and the urbanization of this plat of land to the detriment of surrounding neighborhoods. Another concern is safety-more traffic to the Spring Creek Trail in this area could be dangerous. It is not large enough to accommodate much extra traffic during certain times of the day.

The major concern at this point, however, is traffic at the intersections of Centre/Prospect and Centre/Rolland Moore and Centre/Perennial. Traffic is congested at certain times of the day, specifically morning/lunch/early evening. The student housing complex combined with the NRRC complex and the Spring Creek Gardens all have access from Centre Ave. My concern is that from what I understand from the report the traffic study was completed in October 2013. From October 1-16, federal employees were on furlough meaning there was next to no traffic entering/exiting the NRRC complex. To represent a traffic study undertaken during that time as normal conditions would be irresponsible and flat out wrong. I urge you to take a closer look at traffic conditions in that area and require a more thorough study.

I think encouraging more development at this address, especially the type with concentrated traffic hours that mimic that of already-existing traffic, would be a mistake. The street is not set up to be safe for more traffic.

Thank you, Beth Boddiger 1907 Ross Ct, D Fort Collins