# **Conceptual Review Agenda**

### Schedule for 11/04/21

Meetings hosted via Zoom Web Conferencing

# Thursday, November 4, 2021

Time	Project Name	Applicant Info	Project Description	
10:15	2310 E Prospect Rd School Expansion CDR210086	Alan Hauser 970-669-8220 al@hauserarchitectspc.com	This is a request to expand the existing building used by Mountain Sage Community School located at 2310 E Prospect Rd (parcel # 8717303906; 8717306970). The proposed expansion is 14,811 sf total to accommodate an increase in the student population from 325 to 450 students. 49 on-site parking spaces would be provided as well as a reconfiguration of the existing parking area and the adjacent parcel to the east to accommodate 3 new queuing lanes for pickup and drop-off. Access is taken from a private drive to the north which is access from Prospect Park Way directly to the east. The property is within the Employment (E) zone district and is subject to a Site Plan Advisory Review (SPAR).	Planner: Jason Holland Engineer: Dave Betley DRC: Todd Sullivan

# 2310 E Prospect Rd Mountain Sage School Expansion



These map products and all underlying data are developed for use by the City of Fort Collins for its internal purposes only, and were not designed or intended for general use by members of the public. The City makes no representation or warranty as to its accuracy, itselfies, or completeness, and in particular, its accuracy in telefing or displaying dimensions, contours, apperty boundaries, or placement of location of any map features thereon. THE CITY OF FORT COLLINS MAKES NO WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY OR WARRANTY FOR FITNESS OF USE FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE, EXPRESSED OR MIPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THESE MAP PRODUCTS OR THE UNDERLYING DATA. Any users of these map products, map applications, or diada, accepts same AS IS, WITH ALL FAULTS, and assumes all responsibility of the use thereof, and further coverants and agrees to hold the City harmless from and against all damage, loss, or liability arising from any use of this map product, in consideration of the City's having made this information available. Independent verification of all data contained herein should be obtained by any users of these products, or underlying data. The City disclaims, and shall not be hold liable for any and all damage, loss, or liability, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, which masses or may arise from these map products.







CONCEPTUAL REVIEW:

## APPLICATION

### **General Information**

All proposed development projects begin with Conceptual Review. Anyone with a development idea can schedule a Conceptual Review meeting to get feedback on prospective development ideas. At this stage, the development idea does not need to be finalized or professionally presented. However, a sketch plan and this application must be submitted to City Staff prior to the Conceptual Review meeting. The more information you are able to provide, the better feedback you are likely to get from the meeting. Please be aware that any information submitted may be considered a public record, available for review by anyone who requests it, including the media. The applicant acknowledges that they are acting with the owner's consent.

Conceptual Reviews are scheduled on three Thursday mornings per month on a "first come, first served" basis and are a free service. One 45 meeting is allocated per applicant and only three conceptual reviews are done each Thursday morning. A completed application must be submitted to reserve a Conceptual Review time slot. <u>Complete applications and sketch</u> <u>plans</u> must be submitted to City Staff on Thursday, no later than end of day, two weeks prior to the meeting date. Application materials must be e-mailed to <u>currentplanning@fcgov.com</u>. If you do not have access to e-mail, other accommodations can be made upon request.

At Conceptual Review, you will meet with Staff from a number of City departments, such as Community Development and Neighborhood Services (Zoning, Current Planning, and Development Review Engineering), Light and Power, Stormwater, Water/Waste Water, Advance Planning (Long Range Planning and Transportation Planning) and Poudre Fire Authority. Comments are offered by staff to assist you in preparing the detailed components of the project application. There is no approval or denial of development proposals associated with Conceptual Review. At the meeting you will be presented with a letter from staff, summarizing comments on your proposal.

\*BOLDED ITEMS ARE REQUIRED\* \*The more info provided, the more detailed your comments from staff will be.\* Contact Name(s) and Role(s) (Please identify whether Consultant or Owner, etc)

Business Name (if applicable)		
Your Mailing Address		
Phone Number	Email Address	
Site Address or Description (parcel	# if no address)	
Description of Proposal (attach addi	tional sheets if necessary)	
Proposed Use	Existing Use	
Total Building Square Footage	S.F. Number of Stories	Lot Dimensions
Age of any Existing Structures		
Info available on Larimer County's Well If any structures are 50+ years old, goo		<u>ssessor/query/search.cfm</u> of the structure are required for conceptual.
Is your property in a Flood Plain?	□ Yes □ No If yes, then at what	at risk is it?
Info available on FC Maps: http://giswe	ab.fcgov.com/redirect/default.aspx?l	ayerTheme=Floodplains.
Increase in Impervious Area (Approximate amount of additional buil	lding, pavement, or etc. that will cov	S.F. er existing bare ground to be added to the site)
wetlands, large trees, wildlife, canals, in	ounding land uses, proposed use(s) areas, water treatment/detention, dra rrigation ditches), utility line location:	, existing and proposed improvements ainage), existing natural features (water bodies, s (if known), photographs (helpful but not drain now? Will it change? If so, what will

change?

### MOUNTAIN SAGE COMMUNITY SCHOOL ADDITION-EXPANSION & LAND UTILIZATION STUDY 2310 E Prospect Avenue

### October 22, 2021

Hauser Architects has been asked to update the 2013 Master Plan for the Mountain Sage Community School to determine the feasibility of increasing the student population served at the current site from 325 students to 450 students. This expansion represents a 38% increase in current student population. This proposed addition would essentially expand the current K thru 8th program from 1+ to 2 full rounds.

The school is currently located on two individual parcels within the Seven Lakes Business Park development. The school building itself is located on the western 1.36 acre lot (Parcel # 87173-06-906) which is owned by WM Services, LLC. The outdoor classroom, playground and traffic queues for the school are located on the eastern 1.51 acre lot (Parcel # 87173-06-910) which the school leases from the Orthopedic Center of the Rockies. Together, these two parcels contain 2.87 acres.

The current building contains a total of 17,884 SF per existing drawings of the facility. The expansion plan being presented for Concept Review depicts a total building area of approximately 32,695 SF, or an increase of 14,811 SF. This addition would represent an 82% increase in total gross floor area.

The proposed expansion of the existing building has been planned such that the building will not reduce existing setbacks from any of the surrounding property lines. Further, the expanded school building will still be totally contained within the existing western parcel or lot. The eastern (leased) lot would be limited to sitework improvements only to improve the traffic queues for student arrival and departure.

The 2013 TIS prepared by Delich Associates indicated a required queue at dismissal bell (worst case scenario) of 44 vehicles. This is the queue configuration that serves the school site today. The volume of this queue has been increased by 18 vehicles (41%) on the Conceptual Site Plan offered for concept review.

As you are aware, the recently approved Mountain View Community Church is currently under construction on the lot to the north of Mountain Sage Community School. It is my understanding that all of the property owners within the Seven Lakes Business Park Association have agreed to a joint cross access parking agreement to address or alleviate peak parking demands that may occur at different times between the various uses.

Our interests at this time are to receive comments from the City of Fort Collins with respect to a preliminary SPAR process. What components of this preliminary plan (if any) may not be in compliance with the following sections of the Fort Collins Land Use Code:

- 1.5.5; 1.6.52.10.2 Enlargement of Building and Expansion of Facilities, Equipment or Structures
- 2.10.2 Variances By the Director

**HAUSER** 

3.8.20 – Expansions and Enlargements of Existing Buildings

- 3.8.19 Setback Regulations
- 4.2.7 Employment District (E), or
- Other Divisions of the Land Use Code that may be applicable to the original Seven Lakes Final PUD Tract A and subsequent Administrative Modifications to the same.

### IBC, IEBC and IECC CODE REVIEW MOUNTAIN SAGE COMMUNITY SCHOOL EXPANSION

The original plans for this building (The Baker Building at the time) were prepared by the Neenan Company in 1983 under the 1979 UBC (Uniform Building Code). These plans were for a Type II-B Construction with a B-Occupancy designation.

The 2013 remodel of Mountain Sage Community School was designed by Hauser Architects under the 2009 IBC. The current expansion of the school would be subject to building code review by the State of Colorado, Division of Public Safety. Fire Department review could be provided by State of Colorado, Division of Public Safety or could be performed locally by Poudre Fire Authority if not deferred to State review.

The Code Study for the 2013 remodel of the Mountain Sage Community School has been attached to this project narrative for reference.

### OPINION OF PROBABLE COST OF CONSTRUCTION

During the last two years of the COVID pandemic, labor & material costs rose dramatically and supply chain problems led to schedule and completion delays and additional cost increases. In today's dollars (4thQ, 2021), we are estimating the total cost of new construction for primary school facilities to be within the range of \$375/SF to \$425/SF.

These costs are inclusive of architectural/engineering design fees, building permit and development fees, general contracting fees, site development work and vertical building costs. They are exclusive of land costs, debt service fees, owner's representative fees and all fixtures, furniture and equipment costs.

### TYPICAL NEW SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL COST BY DISCIPLINE OR DESCRIPTION OF WORK

A/E Design Fees -	6%
Permit and Development Fees -	7%
General Contracting Services -	8%
Land Development and Sitework -	26%
Architectural Construction -	38%
Fire Protection Systems -	2%
Plumbing Systems -	3%
Mechanical HVAC Systems -	4%
Electrical Power& Lighting Systems -	6%
	100%

# 2009 INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE ANALYSIS.

TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION:		III-B (FULLY SPRINKLED, NO RATED AREA SEPARATIONS)	O, NO RATE	D AREA	SEPARATIO	(SN	
BUILDING ACTUAL AREA:	15,480 5.F. 2,405 5.F. 17,885 5.F.		EXISTING MAIN LEVEL BUILDING EXISTING UPPER LEVEL TOTAL ACTUAL AREA	L BULDI	NS		1
OCCUPANCY GROUP:	а у п	(EDUCATION) (ASSEMBLY) (BUSINESS)	64 612	14,010 5.F. 622 5.F. 2,143 5.F.			
ALLOWABLE AREA:	14,500 5,F	u Ø					
AREA INCREASES:	14,500 5.F. 10,815 5.F. 43,500 5.F. 68,815 5.F.		BASIC ALLOWABLE 75% FRONTAGE INCREASE 300% SPRINKLER INCREASE TOTAL ALLOWABLE S.F.	BLE INCREA ER INCR	GE EASE		
BUILDING OCCUPANCY GROUP:	(SEE OCCI MAIN LEVI MAIN LEVI UPPER LEV	(SEE OCCUPANCY GROUP KEY PLANS) MAIN LEVEL SCHOOL AREA 1 E MAIN LEVEL SCHOOL AREA 2 E UPPER LEVEL SCHOOL AREA 3 E	P KEY PLA ZEA I I ZEA 2 I REA 3 I	(Ŝ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10,181 5,F. 1,424 5,F. 2,127 5,F.		
	MAIN LEVI	MAIN LEVEL COMMON AREA		8-9	662 S.F.		
	OFFICE AREA	REA		m	2,143 S.F.		
LOADI	(SEE OCUPANT LC SCHOOL OFFICE A SCHOOL OFFICE A BREAKOUT AREA CLASSROOM AREA CLASSROOM AREA BREAK ROOM I BREAK ROOM I UPPER OFFICE ARE UPPER OFFICE ARE UPPER OFFICE ARE UPPER OFFICE ARE SCHOOL OCUPANT SCHOOL OCUPANT TOTAL OCUPANT	(SEE OCCUPANT LOAD KEY PLAN, SCHOOL OFFICE AREA 450 5) BREAKOUT AREA 2261 57 CLASSROOM AREA 2251 622 51 CLASSROOM AREA 22662 55 CLASSROOM AREA 2662 55 CLASSROOM AREA 369 51 BREAK ROOM 1 61 55 CLASSROOM AREA 4 864 51 BREAK ROOM 2 111 54 BREAK ROOM 2 1111 54 BREAK ROOM 2 11111 54 BREAK ROOM 2 11111 54 BREAK ROOM 2 111111 54 BREAK ROOM 2 1111111111111111111111111111111111	EY PLAN, C 450 SF 450 SF 2861 SF 622 SF 825 SF 862 SF 142 SF 142 SF 640 SF 2193 SF 2193 SF		CLATED BY NET AREA) = 0.005F, = 456 = 0.005F, = 140.8 = 0.005F, = 133.1 = 10.0 = 0.005F, = 140.8 = 10.0 = 10.0	AREA) 4.5 OCCUPANTS 4.0.8 OCCUPANTS 14.0.8 OCCUPANTS 183.1 OCCUPANTS 1.6 OCCUPANTS 1.6 OCCUPANTS 1.1 OCCUPANTS 1.1 OCCUPANTS 1.1 OCCUPANTS 1.1 OCCUPANTS 4.8 T.5 OCCUPANTS 6.4 OCCUPANTS 5.1 OCCUPANTS	Y
AREA SEPARATIONS:	AREA SEP,	AREA SEPARATIONS (NOT REQUIRED, PER 2009 IBC 508.2.4)	T REQUIRE	2 PER 2	004 IBC 506	9.2.4)	
FIRE RATED	EXTERIOR RATED RO	RATED CONST	RUCTION N	OT REQU	RED PER 20	EXTERIOR RATED CONSTRUCTION NOT REQUIRED PER 2009 IBC TABLE 601 RATED ROOF AGGEMENTY NOT BEQUIRED RED 2004 IBC 7481 E 000	

RATED ROOF ASSEMBLY NOT REQUIRED PER 2009 IBC TABLE 602 RATED CORRIDORS NOT REQUIRED PER 2009 IBC 1018.1 CONSTRUCTION

THIS BUILDING IS TO BE PROTECTED THROUGHOUT BY AN AUTOMATIC SPRINKLER SYSTEM IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 903 & NEPA 13. SPRINKLERS 王王

A MANUAL FIRE LARM AND NOTIFICATION SYSTEM IS REQUIRED IN ALL GROUP "E" OCCUPANCIES WITH AN OCCUPANT LOAD OF GREATER THAN FIRE ALARM & DETECTION:

(I) ACCESSIBLE EXIT REQUIRED PER 2009 IBC 3411.4.2 (2) REQUIRED FROM EACH AREA OVER 50 000. (3) EXITS TOTAL REQUIRED (4) EXITS PROVIDED EXITS & (3 EXITS & ACCESSIBILITY:

(2) PROVIDED

Y

(I) ACCESSIBLE ROUTE TO PARKING REQUIRED (I) PROVIDED

510 OCCUPANTS x 2 = 102" 102/2= 51" REQUIRED 250' MAXIMUM TRAVEL DISTANCE TO EXIT T5' MAXIMUM COMMON PATH OF EGRESS 12" MINIMUM EGRESS WIDTH TRAVEL DISTANCE: EGRESS WIDTH:

(15" PROVIDED (3 EXITS @ 34" CLEAR EA., I EXIT @ 70" CLEAR)

MAIN LEVEL SCHOOL AREA FIXTURES M.C. 4.28 M 4.28 F (473.2/2= 243.15 6 Ko) 5 MALE 5 FEMALE (PROVIDED) PLUMBING

4.00 M 4.00 F (413.2/2= 243.15 @ Ko) 5 MALE 5 FEMALE (PROVIDED) LAV

(E. 14.3/2= 1.2 @ Ko) (EXISTING) 0.14 M 0.14 F UPPER LEVEL FIXTURES NO

ACTUAL OCCUPANT LOAD WILL NOT EXCEED 400) (487.5 @ Xoo) DRINKING FOUNTAINS 5 REQUIRED 4 PROVIDED

(EXISTING) SERVICE SINK ENERGY CODE AN OVERALL ENVELOPE COMCHECK IS NOT (ENVELOPE). PROVIDED. (3) NEW WINDOWS ARE PROPOSED AND (1) DOOR WILL BE REPLACED. THESE ELEMENTS WILL BE OF A STANDARD COMENSURATE WITH A PASSING DESIGN. THIS INFORMATION IS INDICATED ON THE FLOOR PLANS AND SCHEDULES. WALL FURRING AND RIGID INGULATION WILL BE REPARED TO MATCH THE EXISTING CONDITIONS, NOTE THAT IT IS NOT A "CAVITY" MALL, BUT 2X MOOD FURRING, WITH RIGID INGULATION, OVER A CMJ MALL.

ELECTRICAL AND MECHANICAL ENERGY CODE COMPLICANCE IS INDICATED ON THEIR RESPECTIVE PLAN SETS.



1 - SITE PLAN CONCEPT

T S