Planning \& Zoning Commission Hearing: November 17, 2022

## PDP210019, The Savoy

## Summary of Request

This is a request for a Project Development Plan to construct a 243unit multi-family development. The proposal includes nine buildings, and a centrally located clubhouse with fitness center, pool amenity area. Primary vehicular access will be taken from Le Fever Drive to the north and Brookfield Drive to the east. This parcel (\#8604127001) is in the Harmony Corridor (HC) zone district and is subject to a Type 2, Planning \& Zoning Commission review.
Zoning Map


## Next Steps

If approved by the decision maker, the applicant will be eligible to apply for a Final Development Plan (FDP).

## Site Location

The project is located on Parcel $M$ of the Harmony Technology Park ODP. This parcel is bound by Le Fever Drive, Cinquefoil Lane, Brookfield Drive, and Precision Drive.

## Zoning

Harmony Corridor (H-C)

## Property Owner

Imago Enterprises Inc.
140 Palmer Drive
Fort Collins, CO 80525
Applicant/Representative
Blaine Mathisen
Northern Engineering
301 N Howes Street, Unit 100
Fort Collins, CO 80521

## Staff

Kai Kleer, City Planner
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## Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of one Modification of Standard and the Project Development Plan, with conditions.

## 1. Project Introduction

## A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

- The project is located within the Harmony Corridor and is classified as a Secondary Use. Secondary uses include hotels/motels; sit-down restaurants; neighborhood convenience shopping centers; childcare centers; athletic clubs; and a mix of single family and multi-family housing. These uses are intended to support and complement Base Industries (such as Intel or Broadcom) within the Harmony Corridor.
- This proposal would utilize the last remaining portion of secondary-use land area within the 273-acre Harmony Technology Park Overall Development Plan.
- The applicant is proposing to develop nine multi-family buildings which contain 243 units. Units will consist of studio, one bedroom, and two-bedroom variants.
- The project is surrounded by existing multi-family, condos, and single-family attached developments known as Milestone Apartments (aka The Vibe Apartments), Presidio Apartments (aka Terra Vida Apartments), and Brookfield (aka Morningside Village).
- Primary vehicular access to the site is taken from La Fevre Drive with a secondary access point along Brookfield Drive. Pedestrian access is provided into the site through a series of 14 walkway connections that connect into the street sidewalk system in logical areas between and near buildings.
- The 27,000 square foot central feature and gathering space features a leasing office, gym, pool, hot tub, coffee shop w/ outdoor seating area, grill area, and flex room.
- The proposal includes a modification request to allow the project to exceed the maximum block size of 7acres for multi-family developments.
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## B. DEVELOPMENT BACKGROUND \& CONTEXT

This site was annexed as part of the 50-acre Brookfield Annexation in 1999 and added, along with several other properties, to the Harmony Technology Park ODP in 2001. This project represents the last remaining portion of secondary use within the 273 -acre Harmony Technology Park ODP. The following is a history of annexations and master plan amendments previously approved by the City of Fort Collins:

| Year | Project Name | Description | Acres |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | Harmony Farm Annexation | The original annexation and zoning that contains the Intel campus. | 155 |
| 1997 | Harmony Technology Park ODP | The original master plan for the area that overlays the Harmony Farm Annexation. | 155 |
| 1999 | Brookfield Annexation | A 50-acre annexation that included the subject land area. The Brookfield Subdivision is included within this land area and was constructed in 199 | 50 |
| 2001 | Harmony Technology Park ODP, First Amendment | The first amendment added 112-acres of land area to the master plan. The area added is generally comprised of everything between Harmony Road and Rock Creek Drive and Lady Moon and the Fossil Creek Inlet Ditch. | 267 |
| 2004 | Harmony Technology Park ODP, Second Amendment | The second amendment added three residential properties and increased the total acreage of the ODP from 267.19 acres to 270.19 acres. This amendment also included consolidating Tracts 2, G, H and I into a single Tract G, and slightly increasing the amount of land area for secondary uses from 7.5 to 8.25 acres. | 270 |
| 2008 | Harmony Technology Park ODP, Third Amendment | The third amendment added approximately 3-acres to the master plan area and adjusted the location of primary and secondary uses. | 273 |
| 2012 | Harmony Technology Park ODP, Fourth Amendment | The fourth amendment shifted the primary uses from Parcel I in exchange for secondary uses from Parcel K. The remaining difference in secondary uses result in a slight adjustment to Parcel G to keep an overall balance. | 273 |
| 2013 | Harmony Technology Park ODP, Fifth Amendment | The fifth amendment shifted the primary uses to Parcel G from Parcel M in exchange for secondary uses shifting to Parcel M from Parcel G. There was no net change in the acreage of primary or secondary uses. | 273 |
| 2013 | Harmony Technology Park ODP, Sixth Amendment | The sixth amendment consolidated the location of secondary uses to Parcels C, D and S and return Timberwood Drive back to its original approved location. | 273 |
| 2014 | Harmony Technology <br> Park ODP, Seventh <br> Amendment | The seventh amendment relocated the east/west road connection between Ziegler Road and Technology Parkway from Intel's south boundary to align with Precision Drive. | 273 |
| 2022 | Harmony Technology Park ODP, Eight Amendment | The eighth amendment removes the primary use area from Parcel $M$ and reduces primary use land area from 190.795-acres to 189.57acres or $69.7 \%$ to $69.2 \%$. The secondary use mix conversely increases from 68.285 -acres to 69.15 -acres or $24.9 \%$ to $25.2 \%$ with the remaining portion of land area being calculated as open space. | 273 |
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## Surrounding Zoning and Land Uses

|  | North | South | East | West |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zoning | Harmony Corridor | Harmony Corridor | Harmony Corridor | Harmony Corridor |
| Land Use | Vacant | Single-family Attached <br> and 6/8-plex Multi- <br> family Dwellings <br> (Brookfield) | Single-family Attached <br> and 6/8-plex Multi- <br> family Dwellings <br> (Brookfield) | 24 and 36-plex Multi- <br> family Dwellings. <br> (Milestone Apartments <br> aka The Vibe <br> Apartments) |

View from south looking north. PDP area in shown in red.


## C. OVERVIEW OF MAIN CONSIDERATIONS

The plans were developed through three rounds of review and continued discussion with the applicant. Staff's primary considerations during the review of the project were creating three distinctly different multi-family building designs, creating recognizable entryways, and creating a comprehensive system of internal walkways in lieu of a street.

## D. HARMONY CORRIDOR PLAN

The site is located within the Harmony Corridor Plan subarea and within a specifically defined area designated as "Basic Industrial Non-Retail Employment Activity Center." Multi-family dwellings are permitted as secondary uses as designated in the Harmony Corridor Plan. The project is surrounded by public streets and is fully integrated and designated to function in coordination with the Harmony Corridor district.

## Compliance with Harmony Corridor Plan Standards

Since the project does not front on Harmony Road, nor located in a shopping center, there are very few applicable Harmony Corridor Plan Standards. If the proposed development were part of an office/business park, the design would need to be fully integrated both functionally and in appearance to match the surrounding context. Because this project is not part of an office/business park, those standards do not apply.

## E. CITY PLAN

City Plan is the City's comprehensive plan for land use, transportation, and transit. Several principles and policies are relevant to the evaluation of the current proposal. While the Harmony Corridor Plan and its companion Standards and Guidelines are the primary guiding documents for this area of the community, the proposal for development of this site also aligns with the guidance contained in City Plan:

- Principle LIV 4 - Enhance neighborhood livability. This principle encourages projects that demonstrate creativity in design, incorporation of unique site conditions, and expand housing options (including higher density buildings as in the current PDP proposal). It also emphasizes interconnected frameworks of streets and walkways.
City Plan designates the site of the current PDP as a "mixed employment" place type on the Structure Plan Map. Multi-family residential is considered a supporting land use in this place type. The primary land uses are planned to be a range of employment uses including offices, hospitals, research and development facilities, and similar. Much of the existing HC zoning has this mixed employment place type designation.


## 2. Public Outreach

A neighborhood meeting was held on June 21,2021 , notes from this meeting can be found attached to this report.
Main Topics discussed at the meeting included:

1. Issues with narrow streets and on-street parking along Precision.
2. Details about rent cost, building setback and unit size.
3. Questions about the bicycle and pedestrian network and what would be completed as part of the project.
4. Concerns with additional traffic congestion on surrounding streets.

## 3. Land Use Code Article 2 - Applicable Standards

## A. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT PLAN PROCEDURAL OVERVIEW

1. Conceptual Review - CDR210013

A conceptual review meeting was held on February 18, 2021.
2. First Submittal - PDP210019

The Project Development Plan was submitted on December 3, 2021

## 3. Neighborhood Meeting

Pursuant to LUC Section 2.2.2 - Step 2: Neighborhood Meetings, a neighborhood meeting is required for Planning and Zoning Commission (Type 2) projects. A neighborhood meeting was held virtually on November 4, 2021.

## 4. Notice (Posted, Written and Published)

Posted Notice: June 9, 2021, Sign \#628.
Written Hearing Notice: November 3, 2022, 399 addresses mailed.
Published Coloradoan Hearing Notice: Scheduled for November 6, 2022

## B. DIVISION 2.8 - MODIFICATION OF STANDARDS

The applicant requests one modification of standard to $3.8 .30(D)(2)$ - Block Size which limits the maximum size of a multi-family block to 7-acres.

The Land Use Code is adopted with the recognition that there will be instances where a project would support the implementation of City Plan, but due to unique and unforeseen circumstances would not meet a specific standard of the Land Use Code as stated. Accordingly, code standards include provisions for modifications. The modification process and criteria in Land Use Code Division 2.8.2(H) provide for evaluation of these instances on a case-by-case basis, as follows:

## Land Use Code Modification Criteria:

"The decision maker may grant a modification of standards only if it finds that the granting of the modification would not be detrimental to the public good, and that:
(1) the plan as submitted will promote the general purpose of the standard for which the modification is requested equally well or better than would a plan which complies with the standard for which a modification is requested; or
(2) the granting of a modification from the strict application of any standard would, without impairing the intent and purpose of this Land Use Code, substantially alleviate an existing, defined and described problem of city-wide concern or would result in a substantial benefit to the city by reason of the fact that the proposed project would substantially address an important community need specifically and expressly defined and described in the city's Comprehensive Plan or in an adopted policy, ordinance or resolution of the City Council, and the strict application of such a standard would render the project practically infeasible; or
(3) by reason of exceptional physical conditions or other extraordinary and exceptional situations, unique to such property, including, but not limited to, physical conditions such as exceptional narrowness, shallowness or topography, or physical conditions which hinder the owner's ability to install a solar energy system, the strict application of the standard sought to be modified would result in unusual and exceptional practical difficulties, or exceptional or undue hardship upon the owner of such property, provided that such difficulties or hardship are not caused by the act or omission of the applicant; or
(4) the plan as submitted will not diverge from the standards of the Land Use Code that are authorized by this Division to be modified except in a nominal, inconsequential way when considered from the perspective of the entire development plan and will continue to advance the purposes of the Land Use Code as contained in Section 1.2.2.

Any finding made under subparagraph (1), (2), (3) or (4) above shall be supported by specific findings showing how the plan, as submitted, meets the requirements and criteria of said subparagraph (1), (2), (3) or (4).

## 1. Modification to $3.8 .30(\mathrm{D})(2)$ Block Size

This standard relates to the multi-family requirement that limits the maximum block size of any proposed development:
"Section 3.8.30(D)(2) Block Size. All blocks shall be limited to a maximum size of seven (7) acres."

## Overview

This modification is necessary because the proposed project exceeds the maximum block size by 1.13-acres.

## Summary of Applicant Justification

The applicant's modification request is attached and provides their complete justification. The applicant contends the following:

- The alternative design provided enables the project to meet parking/unit count requirements
- Introduction of an internal public or private street would severely limit the developability of the site.
- The proposal incorporates pedestrian corridors to that run north/south and east/west through the site that provide enhanced pedestrian connectivity through the use of 8-foot walkways, enhanced crosswalks, pedestrian portals and bollard lighting.
- The plan provides enhanced landscaping.
- The east/west connection meets the definition of Major Walkway Spine as defined in Section 5.1.
- Other developments in the area did not provide an internal street system (The Vibe \& Terra Vida) while developments that did (Brookfield) complained of inadequate off-street parking.


## Staff Findings

The general purpose of the standard is to create a short block and street pattern. In turn, this small block size and connected pattern of streets creates a compact and walkable urban form for multi-family buildings to front on.
For the project to comply with the standard a local street would need to extend through the site north/south or east/west. Generally, the local street would need to be approximately 57 feet in width and likely occupy around 36,822 square feet (. 84 -acres) of the site, effectively splitting the property into two 3.73 -acre blocks.
Staff finds that the requested Modification of Standard to Section 3.8.30(D)(2) - Block Size to allow the project to exceed the 7 -acre block maximum would not be detrimental to the public good based on the mitigating elements of the plan which are described next.
Staff finds that the requested Modification addresses Criterion 1, "equal to or better than" a plan meeting the standard because of several elements that include:

- An enhanced internal network of pedestrian walkways which include:
- A clearly defined 35 -foot pedestrian corridor that provides a direct and clearly visible pedestrian connection between Brookfield Drive and Cinquefoil. The walkway is 8 ' in width and provides expanded landscape islands in parking areas, enhanced pedestrian crossings, generous landscaping, entryway portals, pedestrian lighting, and direct line of site through the project, much like a street system would provide.
- An enhanced north/south pedestrian connection that provides a walkway connection from Precision Drive to Le Fevre Drive. This walkway is enhanced by pedestrian portals, pedestrian crosswalks, landscaping, bollard lighting, and provides a direct connection to the north and south side of the clubhouse which is central to the site.
- A 4,830 square foot pocket park between Buildings $7 \& 8$.
- If a street was constructed it would effectively reduce the block size into two 3.73-acre blocks that would not provide any greater level of pedestrian walkability than what is proposed. Further, the internal street would be lined by parking and not buildings which would require additional considerations regarding building frontage standards.
- The framework of streets has been previously set by surrounding development. None of the three surrounding developments have local streets that could extend into the site to provide greater connectivity to the surrounding neighborhood (see image below).



## 4. Land Use Code Article 3 - General Development Standards

## A. DIVISION 3.2 - SITE PLANNING AND DESIGN STANDARDS

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Applicable Code } \\ \text { Standard }\end{array} & \begin{array}{rl}\text { Summary of Code Requirement and Analysis }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Staff } \\ \text { Findings }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { 3.2.1 - } \\ \text { Landscaping and } \\ \text { Tree Protection }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { The standards of this section require that a development plan demonstrate a } \\ \text { comprehensive approach to landscaping that enhances the appearance and function } \\ \text { of the neighborhood, parking lots, buildings, and pedestrian environment. The } \\ \text { proposed plan provides the following: } \\ \text { - The plan provides a comprehensive landscape plan that includes landscaping }\end{array} & \text { Complies } \\ \text { around the entire perimeter of each building. Special attention has been given } \\ \text { to landscaping around ground mounted air condensers which pair with low }\end{array}\right\}$

| Applicable Code Standard | Summary of Code Requirement and Analysis | Staff Findings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.2.2(C)(4) - <br> Bicycle Parking <br> Space <br> Requirements | This standard requires one bicycle space per bedroom, for a total of 339 bicycle spaces required. To meet the minimum bicycle parking requirements, the development must provide bicycle parking for both "enclosed bicycle parking" and "fixed bicycle racks", as defined in the Land use Code. $60 \%$ of the spaces must be enclosed (covered) and $40 \%$ must be fixed. Fixed spaces may be uncovered, and the placement of the bicycle facilities must be adequately convenient and easily accessible to building entrances and walkways. <br> - The PDP provides 168 (64\%) enclosed bicycle spaces and 96 (36\%) fixed spaces for a total of 264 spaces. Bicycle rack locations are distributed along key sidewalk circulation routes to provide convenient access for residents entering and exiting the buildings. <br> - To meet the minimum requirements of this section, staff is recommending that before prior to the recording of the Final Development Plan that the project provide required 339 ( 75 additional bicycle parking spaces) in compliance with the standards of this section. <br> Fixed Bike Parking Details <br> Enclosed Bike Parking Details | Condition of Approval Recommend ed |
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| Applicable Code Standard | Summary of Code Requirement and Analysis |  |  |  |  | Staff <br> Findings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.2.2(C)(6,7) - <br> Direct On/Off-Site <br> Access to <br> Pedestrian and <br> Bicycle <br> Destinations | These standards require that the on-site/off-site pedestrian and bicycle circulation system be designed to provide for direct connections to major pedestrian and bicycle destinations, including, trails, parks, schools, Neighborhood Centers, Neighborhood Commercial Districts, and transit stops that are located either within the development or adjacent to the development. <br> - The most notable pedestrian and bicycle destinations within the vicinity are Fossil Ridge High School and the commercial retail businesses that front along Harmony Road. The Plan provides multiple direct connection into the street sidewalk system and on-street bike facilities. Each of these elements provide connection into the greater network of sidewalks and bike lanes that connect with the aforementioned destinations. |  |  |  |  | Complies |
| 3.2.2(C)(8) - <br> Transportation Impact Study | The Transportation Impact Study finds that all level of service requirements for the City of Fort Collins are met. <br> Traffic Engineering Staff has reviewed the report and in general the conclusions have been accepted. |  |  |  |  | Complies |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Section } \\ & \text { 3.2.2(K)(2)(a,b,c) } \\ & \text { - Parking } \end{aligned}$ | The minimum number of required parking spaces for multifamily dwellings is based the parking ratios in the table below. |  |  |  |  | Complies |
|  | Number of Bedrooms/Dwelling Unit | Parking Spaces Per Dwelling Unit | Proposed Unit Count | Required Spaces | Proposed Spaces |  |
|  | One or less | 1.5 | 147 | 221 |  |  |
|  | Two | 1.75 | 96 | 168 |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 243 | 389 | 389 |  |
|  | The plan provides adequate parking in compliance with this standard. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.2.2(K)(5) - <br> Handicap Parking | All handicap-accessible dimensional requirements are met. Parking standards require a minimum amount of 8 handicap spaces based on the total spaces in the parking areas provided. A total of 8 accessible spaces are provided. |  |  |  |  | Complies |


| Applicable Code <br> Standard | Summary of Code Requirement and Analysis <br> 3.2.4-Site <br> Lighting | The purpose of this Section is to ensure adequate exterior lighting for the safety, <br> security, enjoyment, and function of the proposed land use; conserve energy and <br> resources; reduce light trespass, glare, artificial night glow, and obtrusive light; protect <br> the local natural ecosystem from damaging effects of artificial lighting; and encourage <br> quality lighting design and fixtures. <br> The updated lighting standards require compliance with the applicable Lighting <br> Context Area which determines the limitations for exterior artificial lighting. The <br> Lighting Context Area for the Low-Density Mixed-Use Neighborhood zone district is <br> LC1. Compliance is based on the specific requirements for the LC1 context area: <br> - Light Trespass Limitations. The project complies with the light trespass levels <br> along all measurement boundaries. The maximum illumination values <br> permitted along site boundary is 0.1 measured 10 feet from the property line. <br> The proposed lighting plan is in compliance with this maximum. <br> Based on the LC1 context area, the project is also reviewed for maximum <br> allowable BUG ratings (Backlight, Uplight and Glare). All BUG ratings are met <br> for the project. <br> All proposed lighting is fully shielded and down-directional, meeting color <br> temperature requirements of 3,000K or less. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Findings |  |  |$\quad$| Complies |
| :--- |


| Applicable Code Standard | Summary of Code Requirement and Analysis | Staff Findings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section 3.2.5 - <br> Trash and Recycling Enclosures | The purpose of this standard is to ensure the provision of areas, compatible with surrounding land uses, for the collection, separation, storage, loading and pickup of trash, waste cooking oil, compostable and recyclable materials. <br> Adequately sized, conveniently located, accessible and fully screened trash and recycling enclosures are provided within the parking lots. A trash and recycling detail can be seen below and is also located within the plan set attached to the staff report. Walk-in access is provided for each enclosure. <br> FRONT RIGHT CORNER ISO <br> SCALE: NTS | Complies |

## B. DIVISION 3.3 - ENGINEERING STANDARDS

| Applicable Code Standard | Summary of Code Requirement and Analysis | Staff <br> Findings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.3.1(C) - Public <br> Sites, <br> Reservations and Dedications | This standard requires the applicant to dedicate rights-of-way for public streets, drainage easements and utility easements as needed to serve the area being developed. In cases where any part of an existing street is abutting or within the property being developed, the applicant must dedicate such additional rights-of-way to meet the minimum width required by Larimer County Urban Area Street Standards and the City of Fort Collins Land Use Code. The PDP complies with this standard by: <br> Because the existing street network was dedicated under prior standards additional ROW dedications were required and include the following: <br> - 6 feet of additional public ROW along Le Fever Drive and relocation of a 9 -foot utility easement. <br> - 4 feet of additional public ROW along Cinquefoil Lane and relocation of a 9 -foot utility easement behind the ROW. <br> - 2 feet of additional public ROW along Precision Drive and relocation of a 9 -foot utility easement. <br> - 2 feet of additional public ROW along Brookfield Drive and relocation of a 9 -foot utility easement. <br> Within the site, appropriate utility and emergency access easements have been depicted on the subdivision plat. | Complies |
| 3.3.5 - <br> Engineering <br> Design Standards | The project must comply with all design standards, requirements and specifications related to water supply, sanitary sewer, mass transit, fire protection, flood hazard areas, telephone, walks/bikeways, irrigation companies, electricity, natural gas, storm drainage, cable television, streets/pedestrians, and broadband/fiber optics. <br> With respect to streets, the City Engineering department has reviewed and approved variances to drive spacing requirements and street cross sections design requirements for Precision Drive and Brookfield Drive. Information about the approved variances can be found attached to this report. | Complies |

## C. DIVISION 3.4 - ENVIRONMENTAL, NATURAL AREA, RECREATIONAL AND CULTURAL RESOURCE PROTECTION STANDARDS

The purpose of this Section is to ensure that when property is developed consistent with its zoning designation, the way in which the proposed physical elements of the development plan are designed and arranged on the site will protect the natural habitats and features both on the site and in the vicinity of the site.

| Applicable <br> Code <br> Standard | Summary of Code Requirement and Analysis | Staff <br> Findings |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.4.1 - <br> Natural <br> Habitats | This Section applies if any portion of the development site is within five hundred (500) feet <br> of an area or feature identified as a natural habitat or feature on the City's Natural <br> Habitats and Features Inventory Map, or if any portion of the development site contains <br> natural habitats or features that have significant ecological value, and such natural <br> habitats or features are discovered during site evaluation and/or reconnaissance <br> associated with the development review process. | Complies |
|  | Environmental Planning staff initially reviewed the project and determined that a buffer <br> standard would apply to existing prairie dog colonies on site. Staff have since reviewed <br> and found that prairie dogs were euthanized without prior notice. At present, Staff does <br> not believe that any prairie dogs are present on the site and the site has been fenced to <br> prevent any new colonization. Prior to the Development Construction Permit (DCP) being <br> released, a qualified wildlife biologist must be retained to determine the presence of <br> prairie dogs and burrowing owls on the project site. <br> Because euthanization of prairie dogs occurred without prior notification, the total acres of <br> prairie dog colony lost is uncertain. Staff are requiring payment-in-lieu of $\$ 1,637$ per acre <br> of Prairie Dog Colony lost and the applicant has agreed to pay the fee equivalent to the <br> entire property size (8.336 acres) given that euthanization occurred without properly <br> documenting the total area of the Prairie Dog Colony. |  |
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## D. DIVISION 3.5 - BUILDING STANDARDS

The purpose of this Section is to ensure that the physical and operational characteristics of proposed buildings and uses are compatible when considered within the context of the surrounding area. Building design requirements are discussed again related to additional code language in Section 3.8.30 which is covered later in this staff report.

| Applicable Code Standard | Summary of Code Requirement and Analysis | Staff Findings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.5.1(A) and <br> (B) - Building <br> Project and Compatibility, <br> Purpose and General Standard | This section is designed to ensure compatibility of new buildings with the surrounding context. Absent any established character, the standard requires that new buildings set an enhanced standard of quality for future projects or redevelopment in the area. The standards in this section are intended to complement the more specific requirements in Article 4, which are addressed in Section 6 of this report. For reference, the Land Use Code definition of "compatibility" in Article 5 has been included below: <br> Compatibility shall mean the characteristics of different uses or activities or design which allow them to be located near or adjacent to each other in harmony. Some elements affecting compatibility include height, scale, mass and bulk of structures. Other characteristics include pedestrian or vehicular traffic, circulation, access and parking impacts. Other important characteristics that affect compatibility are landscaping, lighting, noise, odor and architecture. Compatibility does not mean "the same as." Rather, compatibility refers to the sensitivity of development proposals in maintaining the character of existing development. <br> Overall, staff finds that the design of the PDP is compatible with the existing context surrounding the site. <br> Height, Scale, and Mass <br> - The site is surrounded by a similar form of 3-story multi-family residential development to the west and transitions into 2-story 6 and 8-plex units to the east and south. <br> Traffic <br> - According to the Trip Generation table found in the Traffic Impact Study, the proposal will add an estimated 1,114 weekday vehicle trip ends to the area. The additional traffic will share the same traffic characteristics of the surrounding area. <br> Landscaping, Lighting, Noise, and Architecture <br> - Landscaping along the site perimeter contributes to the transition between the project and nearby developments. <br> - Lighting is in compliance with the newly adopted lighting standards and should eliminate all off-site impacts to adjacent developments. <br> - Noise will be similar to those surrounding residential uses and not create any noticeable impacts to the surrounding neighborhood. <br> - Architecture is unique and provides a variation in design when compared to the neighboring residential blocks. Architectural design is inspired by the midcentury modern style. | Complies |


| Applicable Code Standard | Summary of Code Requirement and Analysis | Staff Findings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.5.1 (C)Building Size, Height, Bulk, Mass, Scale | Buildings shall either be similar in size and height, or, if larger, be articulated and subdivided into massing that is proportional to the mass and scale of other structures, if any, on the same block face, abutting or adjacent to the subject property, opposing block face or cater-corner block face at the nearest intersection. <br> - The project proposes the following building lengths and heights: <br> 36-Plex: L=170' H=42'4" (3-Stories) <br> 24-Plex: L=130' H=37'9" (3-Stories) <br> Clubhouse: 33' 11" (1.5-Stories) <br> 3-Plex: L=70' H=30'5" (2 stories) <br> - Brookfield, which is located to the east and south of the proposal, feature the following: <br> - 6-unit multi-family with $35^{\prime}$ heights (2-stories) <br> - 8-unit multi-family with 35 ' heights (2-stories) <br> - Milestone Apartments, which is located to the west of the proposal, features the following: <br> 24 and 36-plex: 39'10" (3-stories) <br> - Various building elements help articulate and subdivide the buildings using wall plane projections, recesses, varying roof forms, and window groupings that help the buildings relate to a pedestrian scale. Together these elements provide building designs that are proportional to the mass and scale of existing development in the vicinity. <br> - In terms of overall building height and eave height, these are not significantly different than the Milestone (The Vibe) apartments to the west. | Complies |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3.5.1 (D)-- } \\ & \text { Privacy } \\ & \text { Considerations } \end{aligned}$ | Elements of the development plan shall be arranged to maximize the opportunity for privacy by the residents of the project and minimize infringement on the privacy of adjoining land uses. <br> - The plan is arranged in a traditional sense where buildings face streets. In all areas of the plan the nearest existing residential unit is across a the local street network. Building to building measurements range between 80 and 100 feet and include an overall landscaping scheme that provides privacy and softens the transition between developments. | Complies |
| 3.5.1 (E)Building Materials | This section addresses building materials, glare, and windows. Building materials shall either be similar to the materials already being used in the neighborhood or, if dissimilar materials are being proposed, other characteristics such as scale and proportions, form, architectural detailing, color and texture, shall be utilized to ensure that enough similarity exists for the building to be compatible, despite the differences in materials. <br> - Primary building materials cement-board lap siding, shake siding and cultured stone. <br> - The proposed materials are in character of the materials found in other developments in the vicinity of the site. | Complies |


| Applicable Code Standard | Summary of Code Requirement and Analysis | Staff <br> Findings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.5.1 (F)- <br> Building Color | Color shades shall be used to facilitate blending into the neighborhood and unifying the development. The color shades of building materials shall draw from the range of color shades that already exist on the block or in the adjacent neighborhood. <br> - The project proposes four muted body colors that will be distributed throughout the site. Secondary colors are identical between buildings and feature neutral shades of tan and grey that blend into the surrounding area. <br> 24 PLEX BUILDING - COLOR SCHEME A <br> 36 PLEX BUILDING - COLOR SCHEME A <br> 24 PLEX BUILDING - COLOR SCHEME B <br> 36 PLEX BUILDING - COLOR SCHEME B | Complies |


| Applicable Code Standard | Summary of Code Requirement and Analysis | Staff Findings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | The purpose of this Section is to establish a special process to review buildings or structures that exceed forty (40) feet in height. Its intent is to encourage creativity and diversity of architecture and site design within a context of harmonious neighborhood planning and coherent environmental design, to protect access to sunlight, to preserve desirable views and to define and reinforce downtown and designated activity centers. <br> - Light and Shadow: A shadow analysis showing impacts throughout the year was conducted for this project and is included as an attachment to this report. Buildings or structures greater than forty feet in height shall be designed so as not to have a substantial adverse impact on the distribution of natural and artificial light on adjacent public and private property. Shadowing impacts are minimal and are cast onto street right-of-way. This may require that increased measures be taken to clear snow and ice along the street and sidewalk frontages during portions of the winter months. <br> While this represents a change in current conditions for these areas, this change in shadowing is not a unique street situation that would represent a substantial adverse impact. <br> Additionally, the only buildings which activate this standard is the 36-plex which measures $42^{\prime} 4$ " in height. Further, the placement of the four 36 -plex buildings are adjacent to undeveloped land and a future street to the north, along the south block face which would shadow internal driveways and parking, or the western edge of the site where the abutting development is a 3-story multifamily that is similar in character. <br> - Privacy: The site is surrounded by public streets, with multi-family uses adjacent to the site. There are no unusual or significant privacy concerns given the context of the site. <br> - Neighborhood Scale: The project provides appropriate design elements to address neighborhood scale within the surrounding context. This is achieved through a combination of design elements discussed in this staff report, including building setbacks, Juliet balconies, and landscaping. |  |
| 3.5.2- <br> Residential Building Standards | These standards are intended to promote variety, visual interest and pedestrian-oriented streets in residential development. Development projects containing residential buildings shall place a high priority on building entryways and their relationship to the street. Pedestrian usability shall be prioritized over vehicular usability. Buildings shall include human-scaled elements, architectural articulation, and in projects containing more than one (1) building, design variation. <br> The project complies with the general standards of this section with exception of placing a high priority on building entryways and their relationship to the street. A related condition of approval is recommended under section 3.8.30(F)(4) - Entrances. | Complies See more specific standard under 3.8.30(F)(4) for condition of approval. |
| 3.5.2(D)(1) Orientation to a Connecting Walkway. | This section requires that every front facade with a primary entrance to a dwelling unit face a connecting walkway with no primary entrance more than two hundred (200) feet from a street sidewalk. A primary entrance may be up to three hundred fifty (350) feet from a street sidewalk if the primary entrance faces and opens directly onto a connecting walkway that qualifies as a major walkway spine. Additionally, each multifamily building shall have at least one entrance facing the adjacent local street. <br> - Each of the proposed multi-family buildings has a primary entrance which faces a connecting walkway with no entrance being more than 200 linear feet from the street sidewalk. | Complies |


| Applicable Code Standard | Summary of Code Requirement and Analysis | Staff Findings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.5.2.(D)(2) <br> Street-Facing Facades. | Every building containing four (4) or more dwelling units shall have at least one (1) building entry or doorway facing any adjacent street that is smaller than a full arterial or has on-street parking. <br> - The 3-plex building features a canopy feature on the east side of the building that overhangs the primary stairwell entrance to the three $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor units. <br> - The24-plex features two ground-floor entryways (sliding doors) that connect to the street sidewalk system through a connecting walkway. <br> - The 36-plex features three ground-floor entryways (sliding doors) that connect to the street sidewalk system through a connecting walkway. <br> A condition related to this standard is proposed and can be found under section 3.80.30(F)(4) - Entrances of this report. | Complies - <br> See more <br> specific <br> standard <br> under <br> 3.8.30(F)(4) <br> for condition <br> of approval. |
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## E. DIVISION 3.6 - TRANSPORTATION AND CIRCULATION

This Section is intended to ensure that the transportation network of streets, alleys, roadways, and trails is in conformance with adopted transportation plans and policies established by the City.
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Applicable Code } \\ \text { Standard }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Summary of Code Requirement and Analysis }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Staff } \\ \text { Findings }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { 3.6.3(A-F) - } \\ \text { Street Pattern } \\ \text { Standards }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { This Section is intended to ensure that the local street system is well designed with } \\ \text { regard to safety, efficiency and convenience for automobile, bicycle, pedestrian and } \\ \text { transit modes of travel. } \\ \text { - The project proposes a local block system that measures 570 feet x } 630 \text { feet in } \\ \text { size. } \\ \text { The project proposes to build within an existing block system that has designed } \\ \text { and constructed by previous development within the area. The project would } \\ \text { continue La Fevre along the northern edge of the site to complete the overall } \\ \text { block system of the area. } \\ \text { Sidewalks are provided as required by Larimer County Urban Area Street } \\ \text { Standards. }\end{array} & \text { Complies }\end{array}\right\}$

| Applicable Code <br> Standard | Summary of Code Requirement and Analysis | Staff <br> Findings |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.6.6 - <br> Emergency <br> Access | This standard states, "all developments shall provide adequate access for <br> emergency vehicles and for those persons rendering fire protection and emergency <br> services by complying with Article 9, Fire Department Access and Water Supply, of <br> the Uniform Fire Code as adopted and amended pursuant to Chapter 9 of the City <br> Code. All emergency access ways, easements, rights-of-way or other rights required <br> to be granted pursuant to the Uniform Fire Code must include not only access rights <br> for fire protection purposes, but also for all other emergency services." | Complies |
|  | The project has been reviewed by Poudre Fire Authority (PFA) and currently meets <br> the needs and requirements of PFA regulations. Emergency access easements are <br> provided with the project and a turning movement exhibit can be found attached to <br> this project. |  |

Planning \& Zoning Commission Hearing - Agenda Item 10
PDP210019, The Savoy
Thursday, November 17, 2022 | Page 24 of 33

## F. 3.8.30 MULTI-FAMILY AND SINGLE-FAMILY ATTACHED DWELLING DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

The standards in this section apply to all multi-family developments that contain at least four (4) dwelling units and single-family attached developments that contain at least four (4) dwelling units where there is no reasonably sufficient area for outdoor activities and useable outdoor space on an individual per lot basis. This section is intended to promote variety in building form and product, visual interest, access to parks, pedestrian-oriented public or private streets and compatibility with surrounding neighborhoods

| Applicable Code Standard | Summary of Code Requirement and Analysis | Staff <br> Findings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.8.30(C) - <br> Access to a <br> Park, Central <br> Feature or <br> Gathering <br> Place | At least ninety (90) percent of the dwellings in all development projects containing two (2) or more acres shall be located within 1,320 feet ( $1 / 4 \mathrm{mile}$ ) of either a neighborhood park, a privately owned park or a central feature or gathering place that is located either within the project or within adjacent development, which distance shall be measured along street frontage without crossing an arterial street. <br> The project provides a neighborhood support/recreation facility which is defined as "recreation/pool facilities and/ or meeting rooms intended for the use and enjoyment of residents and guests of the neighborhood." The neighborhood facility is approximately 27,000-square feet in size a leasing office, gym, pool, hot tub, coffee shop w/ outdoor seating area, grill area, and flex room. | Complies |
| 3.8.30(D) - <br> Block <br> Requirements | Each multi-family project must be developed as a series of complete blocks bounded by public or private streets. Natural areas, irrigation ditches, high-voltage power lines, operating railroad tracks and other similar substantial physical features may form up to two (2) sides of a block. Blocks must be 7 acres or less in size. Buildings, plazas, or other functional open space must comprise $40 \%$ of each block side or $50 \%$ of the block faces of the total block. <br> - The proposed project is located within an 8-acre block that was established by the previous alignment of local streets. The applicant is seeking a modification of standard and proposes to meet the intent of the standard in an equal to or better than way using a series of enhanced internal walkways. A detailed analysis can be found earlier in this report. | Modification requested |
| 3.8.30(E) - Buildings | This section mainly discusses multifamily building setbacks from streets. A minimum setback from the right-of-way along an arterial street must be fifteen (15) feet and along a nonarterial street must be nine (9) feet. <br> - The nine multi-family buildings each exceed the minimum setbacks required by this section by providing a 15-19 foot setback from the street. | Complies |




| 3.8.30(F)(3) Variation of Color | The project meets the color variation requirements for multi-family buildings, which shall feature a palette of muted colors, earth tone colors, natural colors found in surrounding landscape or colors consistent with the adjacent neighborhood. <br> For any such development containing more than 56 dwelling units, there shall be at least 3 distinct color schemes used on structures throughout the development. For all developments, there shall be no more than 2 similarly colored structures placed next to each other along a street or major walkway spine. <br> The project provides four color schemes that are made distinct through the use of the primary body colors which includes Caribbean Coral, Harvest Gold, Plymouth Green, and Powder Blue. Two types of stone are used. No two similarly colored structure are placed next to one another. <br> 24 PLEX BUILDING - COLOR SCHEME A <br> 24 PLEX BUILDING - COLOR SCHEME B <br> 36 PLEX BUILDING - COLOR SCHEME A <br> 36 PLEX BUILDING - COLOR SCHEME B | Complies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.8.30(F)(4) - Entrances | This section requires entrances to be made clearly visible from the streets and public areas through the use of architectural elements and landscaping. <br> Though the project provides entryway features through the use of an entry canopy or overhanging stairwell/railing system, block walls, and landscaping. These entry points face the parking area and are not clearly visible from the street (see images from plan below). <br> Parking-lot Facing Façade 'Front Elevation’ | Condition of Approval |



### 3.8.30(F)(5) Roofs

Roofs. Roof lines may be either sloped, flat or curved, but must include at least two (2) of the following elements:
(a) The primary roof line shall be articulated through a variation or terracing in height, detailing and/or change in massing.
(b) Secondary roofs shall transition over entrances, porches, garages, dormers, towers or other architectural projections.
(c) Offsets in roof planes shall be a minimum of two (2) feet in the vertical plane.
(d) Termination at the top of flat roof parapets shall be articulated by design details and/or changes in materials and color.
(e) Rooftop equipment shall be hidden from view by incorporating equipment screens of compatible design and materials.
For the flat roof building design, this standard is met by incorporating elements (a) which changes the massing in the primary roof line through the use of an overhanging cornice/fascia element which is juxtaposed to a colored wall module without an overhang. The second roof element is (d) the termination of the roof parapet is articulated in changes to material and color.

For the pitch roof building design, this standard is met by changing roof ridge/massing over two portions of the building, one, that extend over the primary entrances, and the other, with an overhanging eave/fascia in between the gable elements. While The second element is achieved through a variation in cornice massing between façade modules.


|  | For the third multi-family building design, the building design provides a secondary roof <br> element over the buildings entrance. The second element is a change in massing to the <br> overhang/fascia when comparing the end to the sides of the building. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |

## Land Use Code Article 4 - Applicable Standards:

## G. DIVISION 4.26 - HARMONY CORRIDOR DISTRICT (H-C)

The Harmony Corridor District is intended to implement the design concepts and land use vision of the Harmony Corridor Plan - that of creating an attractive and complete mixed-use area with a major employment base.

| Applicable Code Standard | Summary of Code Requirement and Analysis | Staff Findings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.26(B) - <br> Permitted Uses | The proposal is for multi-family dwellings, which is a permitted use in the HC zone district, subject to Planning and Zoning Board (Type 2) review. | Complies |
| 4.26(D) - <br> Land Use <br> Standards | Standards in this section include a range of land use requirements: <br> - Secondary uses are limited to a maximum of $25 \%$ total gross area <br> - Maximum building height of 3 stories for residential buildings <br> - Minimum density of 7 dwelling units per net acre <br> - Access to a park, central feature or gathering place within $1 / 4$ mile for $90 \%$ of the dwellings <br> The plan complies by providing the following: <br> - $100 \%$ secondary use is permitted by the amended Overall Development Plan (ODP), <br> - Building heights are 3 stories for the multi-family buildings, 2 stories for the threeplex building, and 1 story for the amenity center/clubhouse. <br> - Density exceeds the required minimum at 29.9 dwelling units per net acre <br> - A 27,000 square foot central feature and gathering space provides the development with a community room, coffee shop, leasing office, gym, hot tub, and pool. This area totals approximately 27,000 square feet, which exceeds the 10,000 square foot requirement. $100 \%$ of the dwellings are located within $1 / 4$ mile (1,320 feet) of the amenity area. | Complies |

## 5. Findings of Fact/Conclusion

In evaluating the request for The Savoy, PDP210019, Staff makes the following findings of fact and conclusions:

1. The Project Development Plan complies with the applicable procedural and administrative requirements of Article 2 of the Land Use Code.
2. The Project Development Plan is consistent with the Harmony Technology Park, Eight Amendment Overall Development Plan.
3. Staff supports the request for Modification to 3.8.30(D)(2) Block Size to allow the project to exceed the 7-acre maximum block size. Staff finds that the granting of the modification would not detrimental to the public good and that the request satisfies criteria 2.8.2(H)(1). Staff's finding of no detriment to the public good is based on the facts that the development plan creates a direct internal pedestrian network that shares similar characteristics that a public street would by providing a 35' pedestrian corridor connecting Brookfield Drive to Cinquefoil. Staff's findings for criterion (1), "equal to or better than" is based on the following considerations: the 35 -foot corridor provides an 8 foot walkway, is tree lined, gives priority to pedestrians when crossing internal drive aisles, provides bollard lighting, and entryway portals.
4. The Project Development Plan complies with relevant standards located in Article 3-General Development Standards, subject to approval of a Modification of Standard and two Conditions.
5. Staff recommends a Condition of Approval regarding compliance with 3.2.2(C)(4) that prior to Final Plan approval, the project provide 75 additional bicycle parking spaces to meet the minimum bicycle parking required standards of this section.
6. Staff recommends a Condition of Approval regarding compliance with 3.8.30(F)(4) - Entrances, to require that the street facing façade contain a minimum of one primary entryway, similar to what is found on the opposing side of the building. This feature should be emphasized through the use of landscaping, roof forms, balcony overhangs, block wall features, and shall be a primary entrance to at least one or more units of each building.
7. The Project Development Plan complies with relevant standards located in Division 4.26 Harmony Corridor District of Article 4 - Districts.

## 6. Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of the Modification of Standard and approval of The Savoy PDP (PDP210019), subject to the following two conditions:

- Prior to Final Plan approval, the project shall provide 75 additional bicycle parking spaces to meet the minimum bicycle parking required standards of section 3.2.2(C)(4).
- Prior to Final Plan approval, the street facing façade shall contain a minimum of one primary entryway, similar to what is found on the opposing side of the building. This entryway shall be emphasized using landscaping, roof forms, balcony overhangs, block wall features, and shall be a primary entrance to at least one or more units of each building in a manner acceptable to City staff.


## 7. Attachments

## A. ATTACHED DOCUMENTS

1. Vicinity Map
2. Project Narrative
3. Site, Landscape and Elevation Plan
4. Utility Plan
5. Plat
6. Modification request \#2 -- 3.8.30(D)(2)
7. Harmony Technology Park, Eighth Amendment Overall Development Plan
8. Prairie Dog Mitigation and Removal Plan
9. Neighborhood Meeting Notes
10. Shadow Analysis
B. DOCUMENT HYPERLINKS
11. Traffic Study
12. Drainage Report
13. Prairie Dog Relocation Phone Log
14. Drive Spacing Administrative Variance
15. Poudre Fire Authority Turning Exhibit

## Foriticollins FCMaps



## PRELIMINARY DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE SAVOY

A REPLAT OF TRACT A, BROOKFIELD FIRST REPLAT, LOCATED IN THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 4, TOWNSHIP 6 NORTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE 6TH P.M., CITY OF FORT COLLINS, COUNTY OF LARIMER, STATE OF COLORADO

## LAND USE CHAR

| Exxting zoning |  |  | ${ }^{\text {H.C }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2208s51099ac | Ner |  |
| Grosta OEEALING UNITS | (2,485F(0.99 AC) | ${ }_{\text {Tera }}^{\text {Total }}$ | 354,003 5 F 8,4 |
| Total owelln unis | ${ }_{225.10}^{223}$ | TET | ${ }_{29.9}^{24.90 \mathrm{OUC}}$ |


| owelling unit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TPPE | DWelling unis | Total beprooms | Perce |
| Stulo | 39 | 3 |  |
| Two EEDROOOM |  |  |  |
| Totals | ${ }_{243}$ | ${ }_{339}^{139}$ | ${ }_{100 \%}^{4000}$ |


COMPACT SPACES 389 SPACES 1285 SPACES 139 .



| BUILDING HEIGHT | [42-4.413 (3ToRIES) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 24 PEX | 37-9909 (3 SToris) |
| ${ }^{\text {cuebhouse }}$ | $33^{3}-11^{\prime \prime}(1.5$ SToRES) |
|  | 30.5" ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ (2 sToris) |

##    <br>  <br>  <br> 



SITE PLAN NOTES
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| SHEET INDEX |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SP-1 | cover sheet |
| 2 | SP-2 | SIte plan |
| 3 | L-1 | Landscape Cover sheet / overall landscape plan |
| 4-6 | L-2 - L-4 | Landscape plan |
| 7 | L-5 | PLANT SCHEDULE |
| 8 | L-6 | Hydrozone plan |
| 9 | L-7 | amenity layout plans |
| 10-12 | L-8 - L-10 | LANDSCAPE DETALLS |
| 13-14 | L-11-L-12 | Walkway Spine perspectives |
| 15-16 | A-1-A-2 | 24 Plex elevations - Carribean coral |
| 17-18 | A-3-A-4 | 24 PLEX ELEVATIons - harvest gold |
| 19-20 | A-5 - A-6 | 36 PLEX Elevations - powder blue |
| 21-22 | A-7 - A-8 | 36 PLEX ELEVATIONS - Plymouth green |
| 23-24 | A-9 - A-10 | clubhouse elevations |
| 25 | A-11 | mail lounge/3-plex elevations |
| 26 | A-12 | CARPORT/PORTAL ELEVATIONS |
| 27 | A-13 | trash enclosure elevations |
| 28 | A-14 | EXTERIOR MATERIALS Board |
| 29-33 | A-15-A-19 | architectural perspectives |
| 34-35 | A-20-A-21 | Architectural site perspectives |
| 36 | PH1 | SITE PHOTOMETRIC PLAN |
| 37 | PH2 | SITE LIGHTING FIXTURES |

PLANNING CERTIFICATE


Director Signatue

## OWNER'S CERTIFICATE


$\qquad$









VICIIITY MAP
-ANDSCAPE AREA CALCULATIONS
OTAL LANSCAPE ARE

AEKNG LOT LANDSCAPE

| AREA | "OF PARKING SPACES | TREES / SHRUES PROVIDED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SARRFACE | 389 | 40 TREES 489 SHRUBS |

## -




PLANTS WILL BE SELECTED AND ARRANGED ACCORDING TO THE CITY OF FORT COLLINS RECOMMENDED PLANT LIST EASED ON WATER LSAGE AND PHTSICA


OVERALL LANDSCAPE PLAN

GENERAL LANDSCAPE NOTES:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { B THEFOLOUUNG SEPARAIONS SHALL BE PROVIDED BETUEEN TREES/SHRUBS } \\
& \text { IND UTLITIES: }
\end{aligned}
$$

## SHEET INDEX

| 3 L.1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| L.2 | Lanoscape flan |
| ${ }^{\text {L.3 }}$ | Lanoscape plan |
| ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~L} 4$ | Lanoscape flan |
| 7 L.5 | Plant schedule |
| 8 L.6 | hyorozone plan |
| $9 \quad \mathrm{~L}-7$ | amenitr Lavout plans |
| 10 L.8 | Lanoscape detalls |
| 11 L.9 | Lanoscape detalls |
| 12 L.10 | Lanoscape detalis |
| $13 \quad$ L-11 | WALKNAA SPPNE PRSSPECTVUES |
| 14 | KnNar spme Prersectiv |

тем 10, аттасымел


LANDSCAPE PLAN 2
$\mathrm{NORTH}_{\text {SCALE } 1^{\prime \prime}=20^{\prime}}^{20^{\circ}}$

пtem10.аптастени


$-1$



1





PLANT LIST

| QuAntity | stmbol | COMMON NAME | LATIN NAME | size | $\underset{\text { SIZTURE (HxW) }}{\text { MAT }}$ | container | WATER USE | \% ON SITE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SHADE TREES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | AsL | AMERICAN SENTRY LINDEN | TILA AMERICANA MCKSENTRY' | 2 " CAL. | $35^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ | Est | LOWMOD | 10.51821\% |
| 11 | sto | SCARLET LETTER OAK | QuERCUS $\times$ 'SCARLET LETTER' | ${ }^{2 \prime \prime} \mathrm{CAL}$. | $40^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$ | ${ }^{86}$ | LOUMOD | 2820513\% |
| 2 | сн | UESTERN HACKEERRY | CELTIS OCCIDNETALIS PRAIRIE SENTNEL' | ${ }^{2 \prime}$ CAL. | $50^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ | B68 | LOWMOD | 0.51282\% |
| 12 | co | CHINKAPIN OAK | QUERCUS MUEHLENBERGII | $2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{CAL}$. | $55^{\prime} \times 45^{\prime}$ | Bs | Low | 3.076923\% |
| 10 | TRO | TEXAS RED OAK | QuERCUS EUCKLEY | ${ }^{2 \prime}$ CALL. | $30^{\circ} \times 20^{\prime}$ | B4B | LOUMOD | 2564103\% |
| 8 | ${ }_{\square}$ ¢ | Greenspire Linden | TLIA CORDATA 'GREENSPIRE' | 2 " CAL. | $35^{3} \times 30^{\prime}$ | B6B | LOUMOD | 2.051282\% |
| 13 | kc | KENTUCKY COFFEETREE | GTMNOCLADUS DIOICA EESPRESSO' | $2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{CAL}$. | $5^{5 \prime} \times 45^{\prime}$ | B6s | LOWMOD | 3.33333\% |
| 9 | CCE | CITY CHOICE ELM | ULMUS DAVIIANA 'CHOICE CITT' | $2{ }^{2 \prime} \mathrm{CAL}$. | $30^{\circ} \times 20^{\prime}$ | B68 | LOWMOD | 2.307692\% |
| 23 | PSG | PRINCETON SENTRY GINGKO | GINGKO BILOBA 'PRINCETON SENTRT' | ${ }^{2 \prime \prime} \mathrm{CAL}$. | ${ }^{35} \times 15^{\prime}$ | B6 | LOWMOD | 5.89743\% |
| 7 | R | REDMOND LINDEN | TLIA AMERICANA REDMOND' | $2{ }^{2 \prime} \mathrm{CAL}$. | $35^{\prime} \times 35$ | B\& ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | LOWMOD | 1.794872 \% |
| 22 | GMM | GrEEN MOUNTAIN MAPLE | ACER ACER SACCHARUM 'GREEN MOUNTAN' | $2{ }^{\text {" CALL }}$ | $30^{\circ} \times 20^{\prime}$ | B63 | LOUMOD | $5.641026 \%$ |
| 6 | SHM | Shantung maple | ACER TRUNCATMM | ${ }^{2 \prime} \mathrm{CAL}$. | $20^{\prime} \times 15$ | Bss | LOUMOD | 1538462\% |
| 21 | SSM | STATE STREET MAPLE | ACER MIT ABEI MORTON' | ${ }^{2 \prime}$ CALL. | $35^{\prime} \times 25$ ' | B4B | LOWMOD | 5.384615\% |
| 2 | TF | TURKISH FLEEERT | CORTLUS COLMMA | 2 " CAL. | $35^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ | B6B | Low | 0.512821 \% |
| ORNAMENTAL TREES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | BTM | B1GTOOTH MAPLE | ACER GRANDIDENTATUM | 2 " CAL. | $20^{\circ} \times 20^{\prime}$ | B\& ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | LOWMOD | $2.051282 \%$ |
| 19 | cso | CRMSON SPIRE OAK | QuERCUS CRMMON SPIRE | ${ }^{2 \prime \prime} \mathrm{CAL}$. | ${ }^{35^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}}$ | B6 | Low | 4.81799\% |
| 9 | ER | EASTERN REDBud | CERCIS CANADENSIS | 2 " CAL. | $20^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ | Bes | MEDIUM | 2307692 \% |
| 2 | GRT | GoLDEN RAIN TREE | KOELREUTERIA PANICULATA | $2{ }^{2 \prime} \mathrm{CAL}$. | $25^{\prime} \times 25$ | Bs | Low | 0.512821 \% |
| 3 | JTL | JAPANESE TREE LILAC | STRIMGA RETICULATA 'VORT SILK' | ${ }^{2 \prime}$ CALL. | ${ }^{15} \times \times 15^{\prime}$ | Bs | Low | $0.769231 \%$ |
| 2 | MGM | MESA GLOW MAPLE | ACER GRANDIDENTATUM 'Jfs-MMMex ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{CAL}$. | $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$ | B6 | LOUMOD | 0.51821\% |
| 1 | RBC | RED EARON CRABAPPLE | MALUS Red SARON' | 2 " CAL. | ${ }^{15} \times \times 6^{\prime}$ | Bs | LOWMOD | 0.25641\% |
| 1 | RFC | RADIANT FLOUERNG CRAEAPPLE | MALUS RADIANT | $2{ }^{\text {" CALL }}$ | $20^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$ | B6B | LOWMOD | 2820513\% |
| 6 | PFC | PRAIRE FIRE CRAEAPPLE | MaLus |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | SAC | SARGENT CRABAPPLE | MALUS SARGENTII | $2{ }^{\text {2 CALL }}$ | $10^{1} \times 10^{10}$ | B\& | LOUMOD | 4.102564\% |
| 26 | TCH | THORNLESS COCKSPUR HAUTHOEN | CRATAEGUS CRUS-GALLI VAR NERMIS | ${ }^{2 \prime \prime} \mathrm{CAL}$. | $20^{\prime} \times 18$ | Es | LOWMOD | 6.666667\% |
| 32 | UKH | UITER KING HAUTHORN | CRATAEGUS VIRDIS | 2 " CAL. | ${ }^{18} \times 18^{\prime}$ | Bs | LOWMOD | 8205128\% |
| EVERGREEN TREES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $4 P$ | Austrian pine | PINUS NGRA | $6^{\prime}$ | ${ }^{50} \times 35^{\prime}$ | B4 | Low | 0.769231\% |
| 5 | BP | BOSNIAN PINE | PINUS HELDREICHII | (1) | $20^{\prime} \times 1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | ${ }^{88}$ | Low | 1282051\% |
| 5 | HBS | HOOPSII COLORADO SPRUCE | PICEA Pungens 'Hoopsil' | $6^{\prime}$ | $45^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$ | B6s | LOWMOD | 1282051\% |
| 20 | MGJ | MOONGLOW JUNIPER | Juniferus scopulorum Moonglow | $6^{\prime}$ | $5^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$ | B8 | Low | 5.12820 \% |
| 12 | mJ | MEDORA JINIPER | JINIPERUS SCOPULORUM MEDORA' | ${ }^{6}$ | $10^{1} \times 3^{3}$ | Es ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Low | 3.076923\% |
| 23 | SPJ | SpARTAN JINIFER | JINIFERUS CHINENIS 'SPARTAN' | $6^{\prime}$ | ${ }^{15} \times 5^{\prime}$ | Bs | LOWMOD | $5.89743 \%$ |
| 390 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% |


| QUANTITY | STMEOL | COMMON NAME | LATIN NAME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MATURE } \\ & \text { IIEE (HxW) } \end{aligned}$ | Contaliner | UATER LSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SHRLBS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 125 | AAS | Autumin Amber sumac | RHUS TRIOEATA 'AUTMMN AMBER' | 15'x | 5 GALLO | Low |
| 63 | AN | AMBER JUELLEE NINEEARK | PHTSOCARPUS OPULIFOLIUS 'JEFAM' | ¢ $5^{\prime}$ | 5 GALLON | LOWMOD |
| 123 | Aws | ANTHONT WATERER SPIREA | SPIRAEA JAPONCA 'ANTHONT WATERER' | $2.55^{\times 3} \times$ | 5 GALLON | LOWMOD |
| 46 | BRD | BALEY REDTUGG DOGWOOD | CORNUS STOLONFERA ' BALLET' | $8^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$ | 5 GALLON | Low |
| 65 | ERJ | blue rug junipr | JUIIPERUS HorIzontalis 'wltonli | $5{ }^{\prime} \times 7$ | 5 GALLON | LOWMOD |
| 11 | ccu | CALGART CARPET JUNIPER | JUNIPERUS SABINA 'CALGARY CARPET | $1 \times 1$ | 5 GALLON | LOWMOD |
| 252 | csc | CREEPING UESTERN SAND CHERRY | FRNS EESECT PAUEE SUTES | ${ }^{31} \times{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5 GALLON | Low |
| 145 | DBC | DWARF BLACK CHOKEBERRY | ARONIA MELANOCARPA IROQUOIS BEAUTY | $3^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$ | 5 GALLON | LOWMOD |
| 74 | DEU | DUARF BURNING EUSH | EuONTMUS ALATUS 'compactus' | $7^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}$ | 5 GALLON | LOUMOD |
| $\bigcirc$ | dga | DARTS GOLD NINEBARK | PHTSOCARPUS OPULIFOLIU 'DARTS GOLD' | $5^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}$ | 5 GALLON | LOUMOD |
| 47 | DKı | DWARF KOREAN LILAC | STRINGA MEYER1 'PALIEN' | $45^{\prime} \times 6$ | 5 GALLON | LOUMOD |
| 4 | GBS | GLOEE BLLUE SPRUCE | PICEA Pungens 'Globosa' | $4^{\prime} \times 6{ }^{\prime}$ | 5 GALLON | LOWMOD |
| 136 | GMS | GOLD MOUND SPIREA | SPIRAEA JAPONICA 'GOLDMOUND' | ${ }^{3 \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$ | 5 GALLON | Low |
| 23 | нс | HEDGE COTONEASTER | COTONEATTER LUCIDUS | T'× ${ }^{\prime}$ | 5 GALLON | LOUMOD |
| 101 | ${ }_{\text {HD }}$ | IVORT HALO DOGWOOD | CORNUS ALEA 'BAILHALO' | $5^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}$ | 5 GALLON | LOUMOD |
| 93 | KOR | kNOCK OUT ROSE | ROSA $\times$ KNOCK OUT | 35' $\times 3.5$ | 5 GALLON | LOUMOD |
| 113 | LCN | LEMON CAND M NiNEBARK | PHTSOCARPLS OPULIFOLIUS 'PODARAS 3' | $3^{1} \times 3^{\prime}$ | 5 GALLON | LOUMOD |
| 115 | LD | LODENSE PRIVET | LIGUSTRUM YULGARE 'LODENSE' | $2.5 \times 3.5$ | 5 GALLON | LOWMOD |
| 18 | ME | MANHATTAN EUOVIMUS | EUONTMUS KLATSCHOVICA MANHATTAN' | $5^{\prime} \times{ }^{\prime}$ | 5 GALLON | LOWMOD |
| 52 | mv | MOHCAN VIBURNM | VIBURNUM LANTANA MOHICAN | $5^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}$ | 5 GALLON | Low |
| 19 | NWR | NEARLY WILD ROSE | ROSA $\times$ ' NEARLY WILD' | $25^{\prime} \times 2.5$ | 5 GALLON | LOWMOD |
| 1 | Rs | Russian sage | PEROVSKIA ATRIPLICIFOLIA | $35^{\prime} \times 3.5$ | 5 GALLON | Low |
| 22 | ss | SASKATOON SERVICEBERRT | AMEELANCHIR ALNFOLIA | $8^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$ | $5{ }^{5} \mathrm{BAB}$ | LOWMOD |
| 59 | Sun | SUMMER UINE NNEEARK | PHTSOCARPUS $\times$ SUMMER UNE | $5^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}$ | 5 GALLON | LOWMOD |
| 4 | тНв | TALL HEDGE EUCKTHOEN | RHAMNUS FRANGULA 'COLUMNARIS' | $8^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$ | $5^{5185}$ | LOWMOD |
| 171 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| QUANTITY | STMEOL | COMMON NAME | LATIN NAME | MATURE SIZE (HxW) | Container | UATER USE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PERENNIALS / ORNAMENTAL GRASSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | bag | BLIL AVENA GRASS | HELCTOTRICHON SEMPERVIRENS | 2.5 ' $\times 2$ ' | 5 GALLON | -OUMOD |
| 10 | BC | blle catmint | NEPETA FAASSENII 'SELECT ELUE' | ${ }^{1.5} \times 1.5{ }^{\prime}$ | 1 gallon | Low |
| 36 | BES | BLACK EYED SUSAN | RUDEECKIA FULGIDA 'GOLDSTRUM' | $2.0{ }^{\prime} \times 2.0{ }^{\prime}$ | 1 GALLON | Low |
| 94 | ELG | BLONDE AMBITION GRAMA GRASS | BOUTELOUA GRACIIIS 'BLONDE | $2.51 \times 2.5$ | 5 GALLON | LOWMOD |
| 370 | dFG | DUARF FOUNTAIN GRASS | PENNISETUM ALOPECLRADES 'HAMELIN | ${ }^{1.5} \times 1.5{ }^{\prime}$ | 5 GALLON | LOWMOD |
| 464 | KFG | KARL FOERSTRR FEATHER REED | CALAMAGROSTIS ACUTIFLORA KARL | $4.51 \times 2$ | 5 GALLON | LOWMOD |
| 408 | LBG | LITTE ELLESTEM GRASS | SCHIZACHTRLIM SCOPARIM 'THE BLLES' | $3^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$ | 5 GALLON | LO |
| 446 | Ofg | OVERDAM $M$ AREEATED REED GRASS GEATHER | CALAMAGROSTIS OVERDAM | $4^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ | 5 GALLON | LOWMOD |
| 6 | MB | MEADOW ELAZINGSTAR | LIATRIS LIGULISTY LUS | $3^{1 \times 15}$ | IGALLON | Low |
| 11 | MNS | MAY NIGHT SALVIA | SALVIA NEMOROSA MAY NIGHT' | $2^{1} \times 15^{\prime}$ | 1 Gallon | Low |
| 27 | fCg | PORCUPIN GRASS | MISCANTHUS SINENSIS 'STRICTUS' | $6^{\prime} \times 3.5$ | 5 GALLON | LOWMOD |
| 3 | PMG | PINK MUHLT GRASS | MUHLENEERGIA REVERCHONII | $3.5 \times 3.5{ }^{\text {' }}$ | 5 gallon | LOWMOD |
| 119 | SSG | SHENANDOAH SWITCH GRASS | PANICUM YIRGATMM 'SHENANDOAH' | 4.5 ' 3 .5' | 5 GALLON | LOWMOD |
| 194 | MG | VAREGATED MAIDEN GRASS | MISCANTHUS SINENIS 'VAREGGATUS' | 4.5 ' $3.5{ }^{\text {' }}$ | 5 GALLON | LOWMOD |
| 2230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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DECORATIVE IRON POOL FENCE


LIFEGUARD STAND $\qquad$


NOTES:
$\vdots$ DTALL IS CONCEPTUAL AND DHOWN FOR DESIGN INTENT ONLY
CONTRACTORTO SUMMT SHOP CONTRACORTO SUBMT SHOP DRAWINGS NCLUDI
ENGINERING FOR CONNECTONS AND FOOTINGS.


$\bigcirc \frac{\text { COFFEE }}{\text { sche seset.o. }}$ SHOP FENCE (at clubhouse)


UTLLTY SCREEN LOW FENCE



A-1 WALKWAY SPINE
A-1 WEST ENTRY AT CINQUEFOIL LANE - PRIMARY PORTAL


A-3 WALKWAY SPINE
A-3 GATEWAY PORTAL - LOOKING EAS

A-2 WALKWAY SPINE
A-2 ENHANCED CROSSWALK - LOOKING EAST

A-4 WALKWAY SPINE


A-4 SEATING NODE LOOKINGEAT



24 PLEX BUILDING - COLOR SCHEME A



24 PLEX BUILDING - COLOR SCHEME B


CLUBHOUSE - COLOR SCHEME


36 PLEX BUILDING - COLOR SCHEME A


36 PLEX BUILDING - COLOR SCHEME B


MAIL LOUNGE/3-PLEX - COLOR SCHEME




(3) $\frac{\text { RIGHT ELEVATION - } 24-P L E X}{188^{2}=1-0^{0}}$



(4) LEFT ELEVATION

MATERIALLEGEND
Cementrous siong sw 2ass poworrbue

TRM -sw oss twulght gray
PANEL-SW 676TPEEWERC CAST
SUNSEETTTONE CAPE COOE LEOOE

(6) $\frac{R I G H T \text { ELEVATION }}{18=\pi=10 \cdot T}$


[^0]-

(4) CLUBHOUSE ORTHO

(2) $\frac{1 \text { NTERIOR COURTYARD EAST - CLUBHOUSE }}{188^{2}=1.10^{\circ}}$

(3) WEST ELEVATION - CLUBHOUSE


MATERIALLEGEND

| CEmeNTITIOUS SHAKE SIING - SW 2985 HavVEST GOLD |
| :---: |
| Cementitious boaro \& batten -sw 2855 SYCaMORE tan |
| TRM - SW Oos TWLIGHTGRAY |
| PaNEL-SW 673 PeEWTER CAST |
| SUNSET STONE-Black runole filld stone |

## (2) $\frac{\operatorname{INTERIOR} \text { COURTYARD WEST - CLUBHOUSE }}{18^{2}=1 \cdot 0^{-0}}$



## MATERIAL LEGEND



(1) $\frac{\text { WEST }}{188^{15} 1: 100^{\circ}}$ ELEVATION - MAIL LOUNGE/3-PLEX

(3) $\frac{\text { EAST }}{1 / 8^{\circ}=1 \cdot 0 / 0}$ ELEVATION - MAIL LOUNGE/3-PLEX

(2) SOUTH ELEVATION - MAIL LOUNGE/3-PLEX



MATERIAL LEGEND



REAR ELEVATION
SCALE: $3 / 16^{"}=1$



sunset stone- black runde fielo panel -sw 6 673 Pewter cast
STone
24 PLEX BUILDING - COLOR SCHEME A


24 PLEX BUILDING - COLOR SCHEME B


CLUBHOUSE - COLOR SCHEME


36 PLEX BUILDING - COLOR SCHEME A


36 PLEX BUILDING - COLOR SCHEME B


MAIL LOUNGE/3-PLEX - COLOR SCHEME


N/S PEDESTRIAN CONNECTION - LOOKING NORTH


BROOKFIELD DRIVE - LOOKING NORTH


PRECISION DRIVE - LOOKING WEST


LE FEVER DRIVE-LOOKING SOUTHWEST

main entry - Looking south


CINQUEFOIL LANE-LOOKING SOUTHWSET


LE FEVER DRIVE-LOOKING EAST


PRECISOIN DRIVE-LOOKING NORTHWEST


36-PLEX - STREET ELEVATION - PLYMOUTH GREEN



36-PLEX - FRONT ELEVATION - PLYMOUTH GREEN


24-PLEX - FRONT ELEVATION - HARVEST GOLD


24-PLEX - STREET ELEVATION - CARIbBEAN CORAL

36-PLEX - STREET ELEVATION - POWDER bLUE


24-PLEX - FRONT ELEVATION - CARIBBEAN CORAL

mall Lounge / 3-PLEX


CLUBHOUSE-FRONT


MAIL LOUNGE / 3-PLEX


CLUBHOUSE-REAR



BIRDSEYE LOOKING NE



| Toral |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FxTVRE | $\left.\right\|^{\text {Lunev peg }}$ |  | Tiotele |
| A | ${ }^{2,778}$ | 22 | ${ }^{60,456}$ |
| B | 907 | 6 | ${ }_{5} .442$ |
| c | 1,978 | 7 | ${ }^{13,846}$ |
| $\bigcirc$ | 1,000 | ${ }^{24}$ | 24,000 |
| $\mathrm{Caz}^{2}$ | 900 | ${ }^{23}$ | 20,700 |
| S43 | ${ }_{6,468}$ | 3 | 19,404 |
| S45 | 6,711 | 3 | 20,133 |
| ${ }_{\text {sB }}$ | 4.900 | 5 | 24,500 |
| ${ }^{\text {sc }}$ | ${ }^{676}$ | 8 | 5.408 |
|  |  |  | 193,88 |


| LIGHTING FIXTURE SCHEDULE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | QTY | MANUFACTURER | CAT. No. | LAMPS | WATT | ${ }_{\text {HEIGHT }}^{\text {MTG }}$ | CoLOR | DESCRIPTION |
| A | 22 | LTHONA |  |  | ${ }^{24}$ | 18 ז | Bronze | Exteror, wet locaton rateo wall logrt. full cut off, flat glass lens. |
| в | 6 | Procress Locting | P563000-143-30 K |  | 12 | 7.5 r | bronze |  |
| c | 7 | Raв Lıgring | sum12 |  | 12 | 11 r | вronze | Wet Locaton rated full cut off Mnature leo wall floo logh |
| $\bigcirc$ | 25 | uno |  |  | 13 |  | вRovze | Wet location rated led carport celung logt |
| CA2 | ${ }^{21}$ | Eute ughting | B6IC-LED-RL630- $900 \mathrm{~L}-120-30 \mathrm{~K}-$ |  | 15 | 9 ¢т. | WHTE | $6^{6}$ WEt Locaton recessed leo down leht, white anfle |
| ${ }^{543}$ | 3 | ᄂпнома | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DSX1-LED-P1-30K- } \\ & \text { T3M-MVOLT-SPA- } \end{aligned}$ | 3000K LED 6,468 LUM | ${ }^{54}$ | न | виск | single head full cut off pole light on 20 ft. Square pole. TYPE III OPTICS, HOUSE SIDE SHIELD |
| S45 | 3 | -THONA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DSX1-LED-P1-30K- } \\ & \text { T5M-MVOLT-SPA- } \\ & \text { DBI XD } \end{aligned}$ | 3000K LED 6,711 LUM | ${ }^{54}$ | 20 r | өиск | SINGLE HEAD FULL CUT OFF POLE LIGHT ON 20 FT. SQUARE POLE. TYPE V OPTICS |
| sb | 5 | stux | SACL-R4-1-LG3105 POLE: S635BK |  | ${ }^{67}$ | 12 F . | виск | SINGLE POST TOP FULL CUT OFF PEDESTRIAN POLE LIGHT ON ROUND POLE. TYPE IV OPTICS |
| sc | ${ }^{\circ}$ | selux |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3000K LED } \\ & 676 \text { LUMENS } \end{aligned}$ | 14 | ${ }_{42}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | виск | Rouno Lee boluro Ligtr. ful. Cut off shmerric optics |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



POLE MOUNTED FIXTURES INSTALLATION DETAIL
FOR FIXTURES "SA3" AND "SA5"



[^0]:    (5) REAR ELEVATION

