

# City of Fort Collins, Colorado

## 2021 Community Survey

## **Report of Results**

June 2021





2955 Valmont Road, Suite 300 | Boulder, CO 80301 | polco.us | 303-444-7863

## Contents

Executive Summary	1
Survey Background	4
Survey Results Neighborhood Livability and Social Health Safe Community Environmental Health Transportation Culture and Recreation Economic Health High Performing Government	
Appendix A: Respondent Characteristics	37
Appendix B: Complete Set of Survey Responses	41
Appendix C: Verbatim Responses	65
Appendix D: Comparisons of Select Questions by Respondent Characteristics	80
Appendix E: Comparisons of Select Questions by Area of Residence	118
Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons	137
Appendix G: Comparisons of Survey Results by Year	153
Appendix H: Survey Methodology	162
Appendix I: Survey Materials	166

### **Executive Summary**

#### Survey Background and Methods

The Fort Collins Community Survey serves as a consumer report card for the City by providing residents the opportunity to rate the quality of life in the city and their satisfaction with community amenities and their local government. Residents also provide feedback to the City on what is working well and what is not, and identify priorities for community planning and resource allocation. The Fort Collins Community Survey was first conducted in 2001. This report outlines the results for the 2021 survey, which was the 12th iteration.

For the 2021 survey, 3,000 households within city boundaries were randomly selected to receive a mailed survey in April 2021. All respondents were given the option to complete the survey online in English or in Spanish, if desired. Of the 3,000 surveys mailed to households, about 88 were returned because the housing unit was vacant or the postal service was unable to deliver the survey as addressed. Of the 2,912 households that received a survey, 603 completed the survey (267 were completed online; no responses were collected in Spanish), for a response rate of 20%. It is customary to describe the precision of estimates made from surveys by a "level of confidence" (or margin of error). The 95% confidence level is typically no greater than plus or minus four percentage points around any given percent based on community-wide results (603 respondents). Comparisons are made between 2021 responses and those from prior years, when available. The margin of error when comparing 2021 to 2019 results is plus or minus six percentage points.

The 2021 results also were compared by demographic characteristics of respondents and geographic subareas of residence. In addition, the City of Fort Collins elected to have results compared to those of other jurisdictions around the nation and to Front Range jurisdictions. These comparisons are made possible through National Research Center's (NRC's) national benchmark database, which contains resident perspectives gathered in community surveys from approximately 600 jurisdictions.

### **Key Findings**

#### Fort Collins residents continue to praise the community as a place to live and raise a family.

• Fort Collins residents continued to appreciate their high quality of life, with at least 8 in 10 awarding top scores. This rating was on par with national and Front Range community comparisons.

Around 9 in 10 community members said Fort Collins was a good or very good place to live, and more than 8 in 10 were pleased with the community as a place to raise children and as a place to attend college. Additionally, about 7 in 10 respondents gave good or very good evaluations to the quality of public schools and the city as a place to retire. These ratings were similar to the 2018 survey.
Quality of Neighborhood as a place to live

- Compared to other communities, respondents' reviews for Fort Collins as a place to live surpassed both sets of benchmarks. Ratings for the city as a place to retire, as a place to raise children, and the quality of public schools were similar to those given by residents nationwide, but outpaced Front Range peers.
- Your neighborhood as a place to live -Your neighborhood as a place to raise children 86% 86% 85% 85% 84% 84% 81% 77% 76% 75% 75% 75% 73% 73% 67% 2010 2012 2013 2015 2017 2018 2019 2021 Percent very good or good
- As in previous years, Fort Collins community members had high praise for their neighborhoods. Around 8 in 10

were pleased with the overall quality of their neighborhood as a place to live and three-quarters appreciated their neighborhood as a place to raise children; scores for both of these reviews have been stable since 2012.

•

Two in 10 respondents were pleased with the availability of affordable quality childcare, which was an • increase from 2019.

#### The social health of the community is a continued area of focus.

Asked to assess the City's performance in promoting the social health of Fort Collins, about 37% of respondents said the City does a good or very good job promoting this goal by providing human services and addressing affordable housing, homelessness, equity, and inclusion, among other socially motivated issues. This was statistically similar to the rating given in 2019, when this question was first asked.



As in prior years, only about 1 in 10 survey participants Percent very good or good felt positively about the availability of affordable quality housing; relatedly, of the residents who provided a written answer regarding the most important focus area for leadership, housing affordability in the City topped the list, with 23% providing a related comment.

- A slight majority of residents (54%) gave favorable assessments to the open and accepting nature of • the community, which was on par with levels given in 2019, but an overall decline seen in Fort Collins since 2013.
- Around 6 in 10 or more responsents approved of the City's performance regarding respecting all community members regardless of characteristics (race/ethnicity, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, or martal status), and welcoming community member involvement. At least half of respondents had favorable views of the City creating a welcoming, inclusive community where all feel a sense of belonging.
- Residents were asked to review seven strategic budgetary areas and indicate whether the City should • spend more, the same, or less effort on each.
  - About 6 in 10 stated they would like more effort paid to neighborhood livability and social health services, programs, and amenities, while 35% wanted the same level of effort. The proportion of residents who wanted to see more effort on neighborhood livability and social health increased from 2019 to 2021.
  - Similar to past years, a small majority (55%) also wanted to see more effort meade in the area of Transportation and Mobility.
  - For the culture, parks & recreation, government in general and saftey, a majority of residents felt the current level of effort was right. While just under half wanted more effort related to the economy and the environment.
- When asked to select only three areas to be the top priorities for the City in the next five years, neighborhood livability and social health topped the list with 64% of respondents choosing this, an increase from 2019 when 54% of residents prioritized this strategic goal. This was also a notable increase from 2018, in which only 40% selected this as a top three priority. Second in priority was the environment (61%) and the economy and transportation were selected as a top three priority by about half of residents. For transportation this was a decrease from past years.

#### Residents feel safe in the community, with changing perspectives toward policing.

More than 8 in 10 respondents lauded the overall feeling of safety in Fort Collins, which has remained steady over time. These reviews were on par with national levels, but exceeded Front Range comparisons.

Prepared by Polco's National Research Center

- Almost all residents always or usually felt safe in their neighborhoods, in the downtown area, and in the community overall during daylight hours and also secure in recreation facilities and parks in general. At least 8 in 10 felt safe from danger in natural areas and open spaces, on trails, and in their neighborhood at night. About three-quarters of survey participants reported feeling safe in Fort Collins overall at night and two-thirds felt safe on public transit and downtown at night.
- When trends were available, participants' feelings of safety remained stable over time and tended to be aligned with ratings in national and peer benchmark communities.
- When evaluating safety-related services, at least 8 in 10 community members raved about fire services overall, fire response time, Natural Areas and Park Ranger services, and emergency preparedness. At least two-thirds of residents also admired disaster response, fire prevention and education, police response time, business property maintenance, and police services overall. Additionally, about 6 in 10 felt positively about animal control, crime prevention, police visibility, and police patrol.
- When compared to 2019, ratings in 2021 for police services overall, police patrol, and traffic enforcement declined.
- Ratings of safety services generally aligned with comparison communities, though notably evaluations for emergency preparedness services in Fort Collins eclipsed national and Front Range municipalities, while ratings for police response time and police services overall were lower than both comparisons.

## Residents are pleased with the City's response to the COVID-19 pandemic and pandemic-related closures did impact participation levels in some areas.

- A question was added to the 2021 survey to assess the City's response to the COVID-19 pandemic. About two-thirds of respondents thought the City had done a very good or good job in their pandemic response, and another 2 in 10 rated it as average. Only about 1 in 10 rated the City's COVID-19 pandemic response as bad or very bad.
- The rating for disaster response and restoration of services improved from 72% positive in 2019 to 78% in 2021.



Very

good

Response to COVID-19 Pandemic, 2021

Good

44%

When asked how frequently they engaged in their
community in various ways, Fort Collins residents reported
high rates of park visitation (94% at least once in the past 12 months) and neighborliness (between 77% and 89%), and about 4 in 10 indicated they had volunteered their time to a group or activity or carpooled in lieu of driving alone.

- Compared to 2019, in 2021 respondents were less likely to have attended either neighborhoodsponsored (25%) or government-organized events (17%) at least once in the previous 12 months. Levels of engagement also decreased in 2021 for volunteering and carpooling.
- Fort Collins residents were more likely than those living in other communities across the nation and in the Front Range to have visited a park or volunteered their time. While rates of being neighborly and carpooling were similar to or lower than both sets of benchmarks, it should be noted that the benchmarks include some surveys conducted pre-pandemic.
- When rating various aspects of culture and recreation in the community, residents' scores for quality of recreational opportunities (89% good or very good) and public library services (85%) remained stable compared to previous survey iterations. However, the evaluation for quality of arts and cultural opportunities decreased significantly, from 69% in 2019 to 57% in 2021.

## Survey Background

#### **Survey Purpose**

The City of Fort Collins contracted with National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) to implement the 2021 Community Survey with a representative sample of households. The first survey of residents was conducted in 2001, with subsequent implementations about every one to two years through 2021; the 2021 survey marks the 12th iteration of the survey.

The survey gave residents an opportunity to provide feedback to government on what is working well and what is not, and to communicate their priorities for community planning and resource allocation. The focus on quality of service delivery helps council, staff, and the public set priorities for budget decisions and lays the groundwork for tracking community opinions about the core responsibilities of the City government, helping to assure maximum service quality over time.

This type of survey gets at the key services that local government controls to create a quality community. This survey generates a reliable foundation of resident opinion that is monitored regularly, like taking the community pulse, as the City changes and grows.

#### **Survey Administration**

The six-page City of Fort Collins Community Survey was administered by mail to a random selection of 3,000 households in April 2021. Households receiving the survey also could complete the survey online, if desired and instructions were included in each mailing for completing the survey in Spanish online for those who preferred. Of the 2,912 households receiving the mailed survey (since some addresses were vacant), 603 completed the survey (including 267 online responses), providing a response rate of 20%.

The survey results were weighted so that the gender, age, housing tenure (rent or own), housing unit type (attached or detached), and area of residence were represented in the proportions reflective of all adults in households in the City (for more information see *Appendix H: Survey Methodology*).

#### How the Results are Reported

For the most part, frequency distributions and the "percent positive" are presented in the body of the report. The percent positive is the combination of the top two most positive response options (i.e., "very good" and "good," "always safe" and "usually safe," etc.).

On many of the questions in the survey, respondents gave an answer of "no opinion" or "don't know." The proportion of respondents giving this reply is shown in the full set of responses included in *Appendix B: Complete Set of Survey Responses* and is discussed in the body of this report if it is 30% or greater. However, these responses have been removed from the analyses presented in the body of the report, unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the majority of the figures in the body of the report display the responses from respondents who had an opinion about a specific item.

When a figure for a question that only permitted a single response does not total to exactly 100%, it is due to the common practice of percentages being rounded to the nearest whole number.

#### **Precision of Estimates**

It is customary to describe the precision of estimates made from surveys by a "level of confidence" and accompanying "confidence interval" (or margin of error). The 95 percent confidence interval for this survey is generally no greater than plus or minus 4% around any given percent reported for all survey respondents (603). For comparisons among subgroups, the margin of error rises to approximately plus or minus 5% for subgroups of 400 to plus or minus 10% for subgroups of 100.

#### **Comparing Survey Results by Respondent Subgroups**

Select survey results were compared by demographic characteristics of survey respondents as well as two ways of subdividing the geographic location of respondent households: geographic area (Northeast, East Central, Southeast, Northwest/ CSU, West Central, and Southwest) and the six Council Districts. The full set of results by demographic characteristics and geographic areas can be found and are discussed in *Appendix D: Comparisons of Select Questions by Respondent Characteristics* and *Appendix E: Comparisons of Select Questions by Area of Residence*.

#### **Comparing Survey Results over Time**

Because this survey was the 12th in a series of community surveys, the 2021 results are presented along with past ratings when available. To simplify and focus the results, the body of the report presents data from 2010 to 2021; the full set of trends can be found in *Appendix G: Comparisons of Survey Results by Year*. Differences between years can be considered "statistically significant" if they are plus or minus four points on the 100-point scale or are plus or minus six percentage points or more around any given percent. Trend data for the City of Fort Collins represent important comparisons and should be examined for improvements or declines. Deviations from stable trends over time especially represent opportunities for understanding how local policies, programs, or public information may have affected residents' opinions.

#### **Comparing Survey Results to Other Communities**

NRC's database of comparative resident opinion is comprised of resident perspectives gathered in surveys from over 600 communities whose residents evaluated their services. Opinions are intended to represent over 30 million Americans.

National and Front Range benchmark comparisons have been included in the report when available. Benchmark comparisons have been provided when similar questions on the Fort Collins survey are included in NRC's database and there are at least five communities in which the question was asked, though most questions are compared to more than five other cities across the country or in the Front Range. Additional information on NRC's benchmarking database, including communities to which Fort Collins was compared nationally and in the Front Range, can be found in *Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons*.

Where comparisons for quality ratings were available, the City of Fort Collins results were generally noted as being "higher" than the benchmark, "lower" than the benchmark, or "similar" to the benchmark. In instances where ratings are considerably higher or lower than the benchmark, these ratings have been further demarcated by the attribute of "much," (for example, "much lower" or "much higher"). These labels come from a statistical comparison of Fort Collins' rating to the benchmark where a rating is considered "similar" if it is less than five points on the 100-point scale; "higher" or "lower" if the difference between Fort Collins' rating and the benchmark is greater than five points but less than 10 points); and "much higher" or "much lower" if the difference between Fort Collins' rating and the benchmark is more than 10 points. Comparisons for a number of items on the survey are not available in the benchmark database (e.g., some of the city services or aspects of government performance). These items are excluded from the benchmark tables.

The survey was administered during the COVID-19 pandemic, a time of challenge for many local governments. While we provide comparisons to community benchmarks, it is important to note that much of the benchmark data was collected prior to the pandemic. This may impact how the City's 2021 ratings compare to other communities' ratings from the past three to four years.

### **Survey Results**

The Fort Collins Community Survey is comprehensive, covering many topics related to life in the community. The 2021 report of results is organized around the City's seven Key Strategic Outcomes highlighted in the 2020 Strategic Plan. These are:

- **Neighborhood Livability and Social Health** Provide a high quality built environment, support quality, diverse neighborhoods, and foster the social health of citizens.
- **Safe Community** Provide a safe place to live, work, learn, and play.
- Environmental Health Promote, protect, and enhance a healthy and sustainable environment.
- **Transportation and Mobility-** Provide for safe and reliable multi-modal travel to, from, and throughout the city.
- **Culture and Recreation** Provide diverse cultural and recreational amenities.
- **Economic Health** Promote a healthy, sustainable economy reflecting community values.
- **High Performing Government** Deliver an efficient, innovative, transparent, effective, and collaborative City government.

#### Neighborhood Livability and Social Health

A number of questions on the 2021 survey address measures of neighborhood livability and social health including quality of life and community, characteristics of neighborhoods, and resident engagement with the community.

Residents were asked to assess the City's performance in promoting the social health of Fort Collins. About 37% of respondents indicated the City does a good or very good job promoting this goal by providing human services and addressing affordable housing, homelessness, equity, and inclusion, among other socially motivated issues. This was similar to the rating given in 2019 when this question was first asked.

Figure 1: Promotion of Social Health Compared by Year Please rate the City's performance in each of the following areas: Promotion of the social health of Fort Collins (Human Services, Affordable Housing, Homelessness, Equity & Inclusion, etc.)

Promotion of the social health of Fort Collins (Human Services, Affordable Housing, Homelessness, Equity & Inclusion, etc.)



Percent reporting very good or good

#### Aspects of Quality of Life and Community

As in prior years, Fort Collins' residents continued to appreciate their high quality of life, with at least 8 in 10 awarding top scores. This rating was on par with national and Front Range community comparisons (see *Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons* for more information on the benchmark communities).



Residents assessed some specific aspects of living that enhance and contribute to the quality of life in the community. Around 9 in 10 respondents praised Fort Collins as a place to live, and more than 8 in 10 were pleased with the community as a place to raise children and as a place to attend college. These ratings were similar to the previous survey iteration.

Additionally, about 7 in 10 community members assigned good or very good evaluations to the quality of public schools and the city as a place to retire. Furthermore, a slight majority of residents (54%) gave favorable assessments to the open and accepting nature of the community, which was on par with levels given in 2019, but an overall decline seen in Fort Collins since 2013. As in prior years, only about 1 in 10 survey participants felt positively about the availability of affordable quality housing; however, 2 in 10 respondents were pleased with the availability of affordable quality childcare, which was an increase since 2019.

Compared to other communities, respondent reviews of Fort Collins as a place to live surpassed both sets of benchmarks. Ratings for the city as a place to retire, as a place to raise children, and the quality of public schools were similar to those given by residents nationwide, but outpaced Front Range municipalities. Evaluations for the openness and acceptance toward people of diverse backgrounds were similar to both national and Front Range averages. On the other hand, survey participants' appraisals of the availability of childcare and housing lagged behind benchmark comparisons (see *Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons*).

Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items listed below. (Percent reporting very good or								
good)	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010
Overall, as a place to live	91%	92%	92%	95%	93%	97%	96%	92%
As a place to raise children	86%	91%	85%	89%	90%	92%	91%	88%
As a place to attend college	86%	84%	85%	86%	89%	88%	88%	90%
Quality of public schools	76%	78%	80%	83%	84%	82%	82%	78%
As a place to retire	69%	71%	71%	69%	81%	79%	78%	80%
Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds	54%	55%	58%	66%	68%	75%	69%	63%
Availability of affordable quality childcare	22%	15%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Availability of affordable quality housing	8%	12%	10%	11%	17%	31%	33%	42%

|--|

Please note in 2015 and 2012, "openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds" was worded as "community acceptance of all people", and prior to 2010 it was worded as "community openness and acceptance of all people".

At least 20% of respondents said "no opinion" when evaluating the City as a place to raise children, as a place to retire, the quality of public schools, and the availability of affordable quality childcare (see *Appendix B: Complete Set of Survey Responses* for all responses including "no opinion").

Residents displayed a high sense of loyalty to the community, with at least 8 in 10 reporting they were very or somewhat likely to recommend living in Fort Collins to someone who might ask and a similar proportion planning to remain in the community for the next five years. Ratings for allegiance to the city were consistent with levels seen in prior years and commensurate to the national benchmarks. Compared to other Front Range communities, Fort Collins residents were more likely to recommend living in the city to others and equally likely to remain in the city for the next five years.



Figure 4: Recommend Living and Remaining in Fort Collins Compared by Year

Percent reporting very or somewhat likely

#### Quality of Neighborhoods

As in previous years, Fort Collins community members had high praise for their neighborhoods. Around 8 in 10 were pleased with the overall quality of their neighborhood as a place to live and three-quarters appreciated their neighborhood as a place to raise children; scores for both of these have been stable since 2012 and the rating for neighborhood as a place to live was similar to the national and Front Range benchmarks. (A benchmark comparison was not available for neighborhood as a place to raise children - see *Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons*).



Since 2015, around 8 in 10 survey participants have awarded good or very good marks to their access to everyday needs, such as grocery shopping, in their neighborhoods.



For services that ensure neighborhoods are more amenable places to live, approximately 6 in 10 survey respondents assigned favorable scores to residential property management and roughly half appreciated code and noise enforcement services; these ratings were similar to those given in 2019. Residents' assessments for code enforcement outpaced national and peer Front Range averages (see Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons).

Figure 7: Neighborhood Services Ratings Compared by Year										
2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010			
60%	61%	63%	65%	65%	67%	63%	61%			
51%	56%	56%	49%	54%	57%	59%	54%			
48%	48%	54%	50%	49%	57%	59%	NA			
	2021 60% 51%	2021     2019       60%     61%       51%     56%	2021     2019     2018       60%     61%     63%       51%     56%     56%	2021     2019     2018     2017       60%     61%     63%     65%       51%     56%     56%     49%	2021     2019     2018     2017     2015       60%     61%     63%     65%     65%       51%     56%     56%     49%     54%	2021     2019     2018     2017     2015     2013       60%     61%     63%     65%     65%     67%       51%     56%     56%     49%     54%     57%	2021     2019     2018     2017     2015     2013     2012       60%     61%     63%     65%     65%     67%     63%       51%     56%     56%     49%     54%     57%     59%			

#### Figure 7: Neighborhood Convises Datings Compared by Veer

At least 20% of respondents said "no opinion" when evaluating code enforcement and noise enforcement (see Appendix B: Complete Set of Survey Responses for all responses including "no opinion").

In 2019, the City asked residents to share how ofen they tended to relocate within the community. Respondents were most likely to say they either had not relocated at all (22%) or had moved every 2-4 years (23%), and around 1 in 10 each reported they moved about once a year, every 5-7 years, every 8-10 years, or had not relocated within the last 20 years. Very few respondents stated they moved two or more occasions in a single year. All of these levels were similar to those reported in 2019.

Figure 8: Respondent Relocation Frequency Compared by Year



Percent of respondents

#### **Community Engagement**

Fort Collins residents reported high rates of park visitation and neighborliness and about 4 in 10 indicated they had volunteered their time to a group or activity and carpooled in lieu of driving alone. Respondents were less likely to have attended either neighborhood-sponsored (25%) or government-organized events (17%) at least once in the previous 12 months. Levels of engagement decreased in 2021 for volunteering, carpooling, and attending events, though it is important to note that the COVID-19 pandemic is likely to have had an impact on these participation rates.

Survey respondents' engagement in their community tended to vary when compared to national and Front Range averages. Fort Collins residents were more likely than those living in other communities across the nation and in the Front Range to have visited a park or volunteered their time, but rates of being neighborly and carpooling were similar to or lower than both sets of benchmarks (see *Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons*).

In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household				
members done each of the following in Fort Collins? (Percent at least once)	2021	2019	2018	2017
Visited a neighborhood park or City park	94%	93%	95%	92%
Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors	89%	89%	93%	91%
Done a favor for a neighbor	77%	76%	80%	79%
Volunteered your time in Fort Collins	45%	60%	60%	58%
Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone	37%	58%	55%	57%
Attended a neighborhood-sponsored event	25%	48%	47%	44%
Attended a government-organized event (open house, City Council session, forum,				
etc.)	17%	27%	29%	30%

#### Figure 9: Community Engagement Compared by Year

Prior to 2021, "Volunteered your time in Fort Collins" was "Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Fort Collins."

#### Safe Community

In order to participate in and contribute to their community, residents must have a sense of personal safety in their environment, as well as confidence in the quality of government services, provided to keep the community safe.

#### **Personal Safety**

More than 8 in 10 survey participants lauded the overall feeling of safety in Fort Collins, which has remained steady over time. These reviews were on par with national levels, but exceeded Front Range comparisons (see *Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons*).





As in past years, respondents also provided their perceptions of safety in and around the community. Almost all residents always or usually felt safe in their neighborhoods (98%), in the downtown area (96%) and in the community overall (96%), during daylight hours. A similar proportion of respondents also felt secure in recreation facilities and in parks, and at least 8 in 10 felt safe from danger in natural areas and open spaces, on trails, and in their neighborhood at night. About three-quarters of survey participants reported feeling safe in Fort Collins overall at night. Residents felt the least secure on Transfort/MAX and in the downtown area at night; however, about two-thirds still indicated they felt always or usually safe. When trends were available, participants' feelings of safety remained stable over time and tended to be aligned with ratings in national and peer benchmark communities (see *Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons*).

Please tell us how safe you feel in each of the following areas. (Percent reporting always safe or								
usually safe)	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010
Your neighborhood during the day	98%	97%	98%	96%	97%	98%	98%	97%
Downtown Fort Collins during the day	96%	94%	93%	93%	95%	99%	98%	95%
Fort Collins overall during the day	96%	95%	94%	95%	96%	98%	97%	NA
Recreation facilities	95%	92%	90%	92%	93%	95%	91%	94%
Parks	90%	85%	82%	82%	83%	87%	88%	88%
Natural areas/open spaces	87%	86%	81%	84%	87%	88%	85%	88%
Trails	87%	82%	80%	83%	83%	82%	83%	80%
Your neighborhood at night	84%	83%	82%	85%	85%	88%	86%	83%
Fort Collins overall at night	75%	70%	72%	71%	73%	77%	78%	NA
Transfort/MAX	68%	70%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Downtown Fort Collins at night	66%	62%	65%	59%	67%	71%	68%	65%

Figure 11: Ratings of Personal Safety Compared by Year

At least 20% of respondents said "no opinion" when evaluating their perceptions of safety in the Transfort/MAX system (see *Appendix B: Complete Set of Survey Responses* for all responses including "no opinion").

#### **Safety Services**

Fort Collins residents appreciated the high level of safety services provided to them. At least 8 in 10 community members raved about fire services overall, fire response time, Natural Areas and Park Ranger services, and emergency preparedness. A vast majority of residents also admired disaster response, fire prevention and education, police response time, business property maintenance, and police services overall. Additionally, about 6 in 10 felt positively about animal control, crime prevention, police visibility, and police patrol, while almost half favorably assessed traffic enforcement.

Survey participants' admiration for safety services has tended to be consistent year-over-year, with a few exceptions: ratings for disaster response and restoration of services improved from 2019 to 2021, while ratings for police services overall, police patrol, and traffic enforcement declined.

Residents' sentiment about safety services tended to be aligned with resident opinion in comparison communities, though notably evaluations for emergency preparedness services in Fort Collins eclipsed national and Front Range municipalities, while ratings for police response time and police services overall were lower than both comparisons (see *Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons*).

Please rate the quality of each of the following in Fort Collins. (Percent reporting very good or good)	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010
Fire services overall	87%	85%	83%	89%	87%	89%	85%	96%
Fire response time	86%	85%	83%	91%	87%	89%	84%	NA
Natural Areas and Park Ranger services	86%	88%	84%	85%	83%	81%	83%	NA
Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations)	80%	75%	76%	79%	76%	80%	75%	NA
Disaster response and restoration of services	78%	72%	75%	82%	77%	84%	NA	NA
Fire prevention/education	75%	72%	73%	74%	78%	74%	72%	NA
Police response time	69%	73%	71%	70%	72%	74%	70%	68%
Business property maintenance	68%	70%	73%	72%	68%	74%	70%	68%
Police services overall	65%	71%	72%	68%	70%	76%	73%	68%
Animal control	62%	58%	65%	66%	59%	64%	65%	61%
Crime prevention	61%	61%	69%	67%	66%	70%	66%	77%
Police visibility	61%	63%	69%	65%	64%	69%	70%	67%
Police patrol	57%	65%	63%	63%	63%	72%	71%	70%
Traffic enforcement	46%	54%	53%	51%	52%	63%	64%	63%

#### Figure 12: Community Safety Services Ratings Compared by Year

Please note that this question was not asked in 2006. Prior to 2015, "Fire services overall" was described as "Fire services." Prior to 2019, "Natural Areas and Park Ranger services" was described as "Natural Areas Ranger services."

Between 20% and 48% of respondents said "no opinion" when evaluating emergency preparedness, disaster response and restoration, fire prevention and education, fire response time, fire services overall, police response time, code enforcement, noise enforcement, animal control, and business property maintenance (see *Appendix B: Complete Set of Survey Responses* for all responses including "no opinion").

#### **Environmental Health**

Environmental health includes a variety of factors that support residents' physical health and ensure the protection and sustainability of the community's natural resources.

Fort Collins community members assessed the City's goal of promoting the environmental health of the city. About 6 in 10 residents felt the City was doing a good or very good job promoting environmental health in the community, which was similar to the proportion seen in 2019 when the question was first asked.



Percent reporting very good or good

About 8 in 10 participants awarded high marks to the overall quality of the environment in Fort Collins, which was similar to 2018 and 2019. This rating was commensurate to both sets of benchmark comparisons (see *Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons*).





City leadership also sought resident feedback about characteristics that contribute to the overall natural environment of Fort Collins. Residents boasted about the visual attractiveness of Fort Collins, with 9 in 10 assigning good or very good scores, exceeding comparisons across the Front Range and nation. Around 7 in 10 respondents positively appraised conservation efforts and recycling programs, while 6 in 10 were pleased with air quality. Participants' reviews for aspects of the environment remained stable from 2019 to 2021 with the exception of air quality, which decreased.

Compared to other communities, residents' assessments of air quality and recycling were consistent with their Front Range peers. Evaluations of recycling programs in Fort Collins were on par with nationwide averages while air quality was lower (see *Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons*).

		nent ot	mpured	a by i ce	a 1			
Please rate the quality of the environment in Fort								
Collins on each of the items listed below. (Percent								
reporting very good or good)	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010
Community's visual attractiveness	90%	88%	88%	90%	89%	91%	87%	86%
Conservation efforts	77%	75%	78%	84%	78%	82%	78%	81%
Recycling programs	73%	70%	79%	82%	78%	83%	81%	78%
Air quality	63%	70%	71%	73%	82%	90%	84%	85%

#### Figure 15: Aspects of the Environment Compared by Year

#### Transportation

Those completing the 2021 survey were asked to assess several aspects of transportation in the Fort Collins community. Survey participants were especially pleased with travel via bicycle, with at least 8 in 10 praising the ease of this mode of transportation. Around three-quarters positively evaluated the ease of walking in the city. About half felt favorably about street maintenance and the ease of driving. Around 4 in 10 awarded top marks to public transportation and to electric vehicle charging availability, while about one-third felt similarly about the availability and convenience of parking downtown. Three in 10 residents gave positive scores to the Northern Colorado Regional Airport and just 2 in 10 favorably rated traffic congestion.

Where transportation-related trends over time were available, residents gave similar scores in 2019 and 2021; except for street maintenance, which improved.

Fort Collins residents' scores for ease of travel by public transportation was higher than national benchmark communities, as were ratings for ease of walking and street maintenance. Respondents' assessments for car-related travel (e.g., ease of driving, availability of parking, and traffic congestion) tended to be similar to or lower than national and Front Range averages (see *Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons*).

Please rate the following areas of transportation in Fort Collins. (Percent reporting very good or good)	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010
Ease of traveling by bicycle	83%	86%	82%	81%	79%	83%	85%	80%
Ease of walking	75%	75%	61%	59%	60%	67%	67%	59%
Street maintenance	53%	59%	56%	58%	44%	50%	46%	32%
Ease of driving	52%	49%	43%	39%	36%	52%	58%	51%
Ease of traveling by public transportation	44%	42%	48%	46%	45%	41%	40%	31%
Electric vehicle charging availability	41%	NA						
Availability of parking Downtown	37%	38%	35%	29%	26%	34%	30%	36%
Convenience of parking Downtown	35%	35%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Northern Colorado Regional Airport	29%	NA						
Level of traffic congestion	20%	15%	16%	13%	13%	20%	26%	25%

#### Figure 16: Transportation Ratings Compared by Year

Please note that prior to 2015, "Level of traffic congestion" was "traffic congestion."

Between 37% and 80% of respondents said "no opinion" when evaluating ease of traveling by public transportation, Northern Colorado Regional Airport, and electric vehicle charging availability (see *Appendix B: Complete Set of Survey Responses* for all responses including "no opinion").

#### Culture and Recreation

Cultural and recreational opportunities provide residents a chance to participate in their community and enhance their quality of life. Most residents commended the recreational opportunities in the city (89% very good or good) and public library services (85%). About 6 in 10 positively evaluated arts and cultural opportunities. Ratings for recreational opportunities and public library services were comparable to past survey administrations, while those for arts and cultural opportunities declined from 2019 to 2021.

Compared to other municipalities, ratings for recreational opportunities were much higher than benchmark averages, while public library services and arts and cultural opportunities were on par with all comparisons (see *Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons*).



Please note that prior to 2015, "Quality of arts and cultural opportunities" was described as "Availability and diversity of arts and cultural activities," and "Quality of recreational opportunities" was worded as "Availability and diversity of recreational opportunities."

Residents also provided their opinions about a number of City parks, recreational and cultural programs and facilities in Fort Collins. Survey respondents' unwavering esteem for natural areas and open space, recreational trails, parks, and The Gardens on Spring Creek was evident once again in 2021, with around 9 in 10 awarding top marks to each of these amenities, consistent with prior years. At least 8 in 10 residents applauded most other related programs and amenities, including the pottery studio, Fort Collins Senior Center, the Fort Collins Museum of Discovery, and others. Most ratings for parks, recreation, and cultural programs were stable over time except for youth/teen recreation programs, which increased from 2019 to 2021, and athletic fields, which decreased.

Fort Collins residents felt more positively about natural areas and open space, parks, and adult recreation programs than other survey respondents nationwide, while evaluations for natural areas and open space and parks were higher than those given in other Front Range communities. Ratings for recreational trails were similar to both sets of benchmarks (see *Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons*).

Please rate the quality of each of the programs or facilities listed below. (Percent reporting very good or good)	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010
Natural areas and open space	94%	93%	93%	94%	95%	95%	94%	93%
Recreational trails	94%	94%	93%	96%	96%	96%	93%	94%
Parks	94%	92%	92%	96%	94%	96%	93%	92%
The Gardens on Spring Creek	92%	92%	89%	93%	90%	91%	89%	87%
Pottery studio	88%	82%	78%	79%	80%	83%	78%	78%
Fort Collins Senior Center	87%	85%	84%	86%	90%	87%	85%	85%
Art in Public Places program	86%	85%	86%	86%	82%	82%	79%	73%
Fort Collins Museum of Discovery	86%	89%	89%	90%	88%	87%	78%	67%
The Farm at Lee Martinez Park	85%	90%	86%	90%	87%	87%	84%	83%
Lincoln Center programs	85%	83%	84%	86%	84%	85%	82%	77%
Northside Aztlan Community Center	84%	86%	86%	87%	86%	82%	86%	82%
Cemeteries	83%	82%	79%	83%	83%	86%	79%	77%
Edora Pool Ice Center (EPIC)	82%	86%	82%	81%	81%	85%	83%	82%
Youth/teen recreation programs	82%	73%	75%	76%	76%	79%	78%	72%
Adult recreation programs	81%	75%	76%	78%	75%	79%	75%	74%
Senior recreation programs	80%	78%	76%	80%	77%	82%	80%	79%
Golf courses	79%	81%	79%	84%	83%	85%	80%	80%
Athletic fields	79%	86%	81%	86%	83%	89%	86%	84%
Foothills Activity Center	79%	81%	79%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Mulberry Pool	66%	68%	70%	73%	71%	72%	76%	73%

Figure 18: Ratings of Parks, Recreational and Cultural Programs and Facilities Compared by Year

Please note that prior to 2013, the "Fort Collins Museum of Discovery" was "Fort Collins Museum and Discovery Science Center."

Between 31% and 79% of respondents said "no opinion" when evaluating 17 of the 20 parks, recreational and cultural programs and facilities (see *Appendix B: Complete Set of Survey Responses* for all responses including "no opinion").

#### **Economic Health**

The health of the local economy provides the backbone of a thriving community. About three-quarters of respondents provided high marks to the City as a place to work, which was consistent with prior years. These assessments surpassed comparison communities locally and nationwide (see *Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons*).



Figure 19: Ratings of City as a Place to Work Compared by Year

Please note that this question was not asked in 2006.

Residents gave strong marks to measures of economic health, with about 8 in 10 celebrating the availability of quality healthcare and dining opportunities in the community. Further, about 7 in 10 praised shopping opportunities, 6 in 10 were pleased with entertainment opportunities, and nearly half highly praised the availability of job opportunities. These ratings were on par with previous results.

When comparisons could be made, participants' satisfaction with economic measures for Fort Collins exceeded national and Front Range levels.

Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items listed below. (Percent reporting very good or								
good)	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010
Availability of quality healthcare	80%	78%	78%	75%	77%	75%	74%	73%
Quality of dining opportunities	77%	77%	83%	85%	83%	82%	84%	80%
Quality of shopping opportunities	69%	66%	69%	72%	67%	67%	65%	60%
Quality of entertainment opportunities	62%	66%	76%	76%	72%	68%	64%	58%
Availability of job opportunities	46%	48%	44%	46%	42%	35%	31%	27%

Figure 20: Community Aspects of Economic Health Compared by Year

Please note that prior to 2010, "Quality of shopping opportunities" was worded as "Availability and diversity of shopping," "Quality of dining opportunities" was worded as "Availability and diversity of dining," "Quality of entertainment opportunities" was worded as "Availability and diversity of entertainment" and "Availability of job opportunities" was worded as "Availability and diversity of job opportunities." Community members also evaluated the City's performance regarding support for local businesses and their goal of promoting the economic health of Fort Collins. Approximately 6 in 10 residents awarded positive ratings to the City's support of businesses and half gave high marks to its promotion of the economic health of the city. Both of these ratings remained stable from 2019 to 2021. Comparisons to the benchmarks were not available.



Percent reporting good or very good

Please note that prior to 2015, "Promotion of the economic health of Fort Collins" was worded "Economic health strategies" and "Support of businesses" was worded as "Overall support of businesses in Fort Collins".

The City's performance related to business health was assessed with three measures. A majority of survey respondents gave favorable reviews to the City encouraging a variety of businesses (60%) and about half awarded good or very good marks to the City attracting new businesses (52%) and retaining existing businesses (50%). Each of these business health measures were given ratings that were similar to past survey administrations.



Percent reporting good or very good

#### **High Performing Government**

The survey included several questions aimed at measuring government performance, including interactions with City employees, planning and providing public information. Resident input on their perceptions of government performance can be a valuable tool for identifying possible gaps in service and/or in communication and levels of civic engagement.

#### **Overall Quality of City Services**

Fort Collins residents' opinions of City services were positive, with 80% awarding top scores. This level was on par with levels seen in past years. Respondents' assessment of these services was equivalent with their peers across the Front Range and U.S. (see *Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons*).



A new question on the 2021 survey asked residents to evaluate the City's response to the COVID-19 pandemic. About two-thirds of respondents thought the City had done a very good or good job in their pandemic response, and another 2 in 10 rated it as average. Only about 1 in 10 rated the City's COVID-19 pandemic response as bad or very bad.



#### **City Government and Employees**

Fort Collins community members also weighed in on several government performance measures for City leadership. Around 6 in 10 survey participants gave high marks to encouraging sustainability in the community, the efficient operation of programs and services, the overall direction of the community, and respecting all community members of diverse backgrounds. About half of survey respondents or more were pleased with welcoming community member involvement, the City creating a welcoming, inclusive community, the City listening to community members, and balancing development and growth while maintaining the character and identity of the City and neighborhoods. About 4 in 10 residents gave favorable marks to the City managing and planning for growth.

Decreases in residents' opinions were noted in 2021 for the overall direction of the City, balancing development and growth, and managing and planning for growth, while all other satisfaction levels were commensurate with past years. All measures of government performance were similar to or higher than benchmark averages (see *Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons*).

Figure 25. City Governme	ent Ratii	igs cor	npareu	by rear				
Please rate the City's performance in each of the following areas. (Percent reporting very good or good)	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010
Encouraging sustainability in the community	64%	63%	69%	76%	69%	73%	69%	NA
Efficient operation of programs and services	63%	60%	66%	65%	58%	65%	59%	53%
Overall direction of the City	59%	65%	62%	65%	65%	70%	67%	61%
Respecting all community members regardless of race/ethnic background, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, or marital status	58%	60%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Welcoming community member involvement	57%	60%	61%	66%	69%	67%	64%	54%
Creating a welcoming, inclusive community where all community members feel a sense of belonging	55%	56%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Listening to community members	49%	46%	50%	52%	50%	53%	50%	44%
Balancing development and growth while maintaining the character and identity of the City and neighborhoods	48%	56%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Managing and planning for growth	39%	49%	46%	44%	50%	56%	54%	48%

#### Figure 25: City Government Ratings Compared by Year

Please note that the measures of respecting all community members regardless of race/ethnic background, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, or marital status, creating a welcoming, inclusive community where all community members feel a sense of belonging, and balancing development and growth while maintaining the character and identity of the City and neighborhoods were new items in 2019.

At least 20% of respondents said "no opinion" when evaluating the City government's job of welcoming community member involvement and listening to community members (see *Appendix B: Complete Set of Survey Responses* for all responses including "no opinion").

Roughly half of Fort Collins community members reported they had contacted a City employee at least once in the 12 months prior to the survey. This rating was aligned with past years, was higher than those reported in other Front Range communities, and was similar to the national comparison (see *Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons*).



Please note that prior to 2015, "email or online" was not included in the question wording.

The respondents who had contact with an employee of the City were asked to rate various aspects of the interactions. Overall, assessments for City employees were positive, with about three-quarters or more assigning high marks to all aspects of employee interactions including the employee's courtesy and the overall impression of the employee. Survey respondents' ratings for these performance measures were steady over time (except for overall impression, which declined from 2019 to 2021). Compared to national and regional averages, ratings for knowledge and the overall impression of the employee were similar, while evaluations for courtesy were lower (see *Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons*).

Thinking about your most recent contact, please rate City employee(s) on each of the items below. (Percent reporting very good or good)	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010
Courtesy	85%	86%	89%	88%	86%	88%	86%	83%
Promptness	82%	79%	84%	79%	79%	81%	81%	72%
Knowledge	82%	78%	83%	82%	83%	80%	80%	80%
Overall impression	76%	82%	80%	80%	79%	80%	79%	78%
Making you feel valued	73%	74%	72%	72%	69%	69%	68%	74%

Figure 27: Users Ratings of City Employees Compared by Year

This question was asked only of those who reported having contact with a City employee in the last 12 months.

Those respondents who reported not having had contact with a City employee also provided their point of view of Fort Collins employees. Around three-quarters praised the courtesy provided by City staff and 7 in 10 positively scored the promptness in responding to inquiries and service requests, as well as the employees' capacity to make them feel valued. These evaluations were similar to those provided in 2019.

#### Figure 28: Non-users Ratings of City Employees Compared by Year

					/			
Although you may not have had any recent personal contact with City employees, we would like to know your impression of how City employees treat Fort Collins residents. Please rate City employees on each of the items below. (Percent reporting very good or good)	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010
Courtesy	77%	81%	80%	84%	80%	79%	81%	84%
Promptness in responding to inquiries and service requests	72%	69%	74%	72%	76%	73%	76%	67%
Making community members or customers feel valued	71%	66%	73%	73%	71%	68%	71%	61%

This question was asked only of those who did not have contact with a City employee in the last 12 months.

At least 31% of respondents said "no opinion" when evaluating each of these three characteristics of City employees (see *Appendix B: Complete Set of Survey Responses* for all responses including "no opinion").

#### **Fort Collins Utilities**

New to the 2021 survey, residents were asked to gauge various aspects of the services provided by Fort Collins Utilities, including water, electric, stormwater/storm drainage, and wastewater/sewer utility services as well as their experience with utilities customer services. About 7 in 10 respondents gave good or very good ratings to each of these aspects of their utility services.



Percent reporting very good or good

Also new in 2021 was a question about the respondent's likelihood of recommending Fort Collins Utilities. Nearly 9 in 10 residents indicated they were very or somewhat likely to recommend Fort Collins Utilities to others; only 13% noted that they were unlikely to recommend.



Residents were also asked for the first time in 2021 how likely or unlikely they were to sign up for Connexion internet, TV, or phone service when it became available to them, and also how likely they were to recommend Connexion service to a friend, relative, or colleague. About 8 in 10 residents reported that they were very or somewhat likely to sign up for or to recommend Connexion service.



Percent reporting very or somewhat likely

#### **Fiscal Management and Planning**

Survey respondents were asked to consider seven aspects of the community and identify whether the City should apply more effort, the same amount of effort or less effort to each (see Figure 32 on the following page). These are aspects for which the City plays a role in sustaining, at least in part, and which map to the Strategic Objectives from the City's Strategic Plan.

Similar to previous years, at least 9 in 10 survey participants wanted the City to either maintain or increase their efforts for each of the seven areas. A majority of residents felt that services and programs related to economy; safety; culture, parks and recreation; and general government should continue to be provided at similar service levels. Neighborhood livability and social health topped the list an increase in effort, with 60% of respondets stating they would like more effort from the City; 55% of residents wanted additional effort for transportation and mobility.

Compared to 2019, fewer respondents indicated they would like to see more effort allocated to the economy (42%, which was lower than the previous survey iteration and a return to levels seen in 2018 and prior). However, residents were more likely in 2021 than in previous years to desire more effort in the area of neighborhood livability and social health.

Figure 32	: Budget Priorities	Compa	ared by	/ Year					
Please select the option that best describes how you think the City									
should address each of the following aspects of the community.		2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010
<b>Economy</b> : Includes economic planning and development activities, workforce training, childcare, education, employment opportunities	More effort	42%	53%	40%	40%	35%	36%	44%	56%
	Same effort	56%	43%	55%	54%	61%	61%	53%	41%
	Less effort	2%	4%	5%	7%	5%	4%	2%	3%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b>Environment</b> : Includes efforts to ensure good water resources, good air quality, land conservation, smart growth, the Climate	More effort	48%	53%	50%	46%	39%	32%	37%	36%
	Same effort	47%	43%	47%	50%	56%	65%	59%	56%
	Less effort	5%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	8%
Action Plan and an attractive community	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Neighborhood Livability and Social Health: Includes promoting good neighbor relationships, ensuring attractive neighborhoods, historic preservation, an adequate supply of quality housing for all socio-economic groups, addressing poverty and homelessness, creating an inclusive community	More effort	60%	53%	46%	48%	43%	33%	34%	30%
	Same effort	35%	41%	48%	47%	52%	63%	62%	62%
	Less effort	5%	5%	6%	5%	6%	4%	4%	8%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b>Safety</b> : Includes police, fire, stormwater, emergency medical response, and building inspection	More effort	18%	17%	22%	26%	22%	17%	19%	19%
	Same effort	77%	79%	77%	72%	76%	81%	76%	77%
	Less effort	5%	4%	1%	2%	2%	3%	6%	5%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b>Culture, Parks &amp; Recreation</b> : Includes operating and improving recreational facilities, Lincoln Center, Gardens on Spring Creek and the Museum of Discovery; providing recreational, arts and cultural programs and public art; maintaining parks, trails and cemeteries; and improving natural areas	More effort	25%	23%	25%	24%	21%	19%	22%	28%
	Same effort	72%	72%	71%	73%	76%	77%	74%	67%
	Less effort	3%	5%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	6%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b>Transportation and Mobility</b> : Includes transportation planning and development, maintaining roads and traffic operations, Transfort operations, and bicycle and pedestrian safety, Northern Colorado Regional Airport	More effort	55%	60%	64%	65%	61%	54%	53%	58%
	Same effort	43%	38%	33%	34%	35%	43%	45%	39%
	Less effort	3%	2%	3%	1%	4%	3%	2%	4%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b>General Government</b> : Includes internal support functions, City management, Council, boards and commissions, volunteers, technology, communicating with community members and building maintenance and repair	More effort	17%	23%	19%	19%	19%	19%	19%	23%
	Same effort	75%	71%	75%	76%	74%	76%	74%	69%
	Less effort	8%	6%	6%	5%	7%	5%	7%	8%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

#### Figure 32: Budget Priorities Compared by Year

Please note that prior to 2019, **Economy** was worded "**Economy**: Includes economic planning and development activities;" **Neighborhood Livability and Social Health** was worded "**Neighborhood**: Includes promoting good neighbor relationships, ensuring attractive neighborhoods, historic preservation, and an adequate supply of quality housing for all socio-economic groups;" and **Transportation** was worded as "**Transportation**: Includes transportation planning and development, maintaining roads and traffic operations, Transfort operations, and transportation demand management". In 2021, "stormwater" was added as an exmaple in the "Safety" item; "Mobility" was added to "Transportation and Mobility" and "Northern Colorado Regional Airport" was added as an example to this item. Residents also prioritized these seven strategic plan areas by selecting the three they felt were the most important to focus on in the next five years. Community members identified 'neighborhood livability and social health' and environment as the top priorities for City leadership and around half selected transportation or economy. The lowest priority was general government services (10%).

Compared to 2019, fewer respondents stated that transportation should be one of the three top priorities for the City to consider, while more respondents felt that neighborhood livability and social health should be prioritized.



Percent selecting as first, second or third priority

In 2021, the survey included a question asking residents to identify in their own words the one item or focus area the City should work to improve upon in the next few years. Of the survey participants who provided a written answer, housing costs in the City topped the list, with 23% providing a related comment. Responses related to growth and development issues, transportation improvements, traffic and roads, safety, police, and/or the homeless, or environmental issues were mentioned by about 1 in 10 survey participants, while issues related to cost of living, economy or jobs or diversity, equity or inclusion were commented on by between 5% and 8% of respondents (the verbatim comments to this question, including the "other" response, can be found in *Appendix C: Verbatim Responses*).

Figure 34: Community Member Priorities, 2021



Percent of respondents who provided a comment

#### **Public Information**

The quality of a community is reflected not only in residents' perceptions of service performance, but also in residents' views of the resources available to stay informed about community news and events.

About half of community members approved of the job the City was doing informing residents, which was similar to the national benchmark but lower than the Front Range comparison. The 2021 rating was stable when compared to 2018 and 2019.



Please note that prior to 2015, "Informing citizens" was worded as "The job the City does at informing citizens".
About 6 in 10 community members awarded top marks to the City's aptitude for providing emergency information and providing volunteer opportunities to residents, and around half gave good or very good reviews to the City providing opportunities to participate in government activities. All of these ratings were consistent with past results but tended to be lower than national and Front Range averages (see *Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons*).



#### Percent reporting good or very good

At least 20% of respondents said "no opinion" when evaluating the City government's job of providing opportunities to participate in government activities and to volunteer (see *Appendix B: Complete Set of Survey Responses* for all responses including "no opinion").

As in past years, City leadership also sought to understand which community information sources residents relied on for government issues, services, and programs. Word of mouth was the most utilized communication channel, with 91% indicating their fellow residents were at least sometimes a source. Other sources that were relied upon by at least two-thirds of respondents were the City's website, social media (including Facebook, Twitter and Nextdoor), Tracks and Trails, the Recreator, and newspapers. Less than 2 in 10 survey participants reported they had used OurCity Platform, the City cable channels 14 and 881, or the Engage Platform. Most of the residents' use of the various sources of information about the City were similar to past years with the exception of social media, which increased from 2019 to 2021.

Torritati		ipuicu c	y icui				
2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010
91%	91%	91%	90%	87%	88%	87%	85%
82%	77%	79%	79%	79%	80%	74%	71%
77%	65%	67%	63%	60%	55%	44%	NA
76%	67%	69%	73%	68%	NA	NA	NA
67%	68%	71%	66%	70%	70%	64%	62%
67%	66%	67%	70%	72%	80%	80%	81%
61%	58%	56%	57%	NA	NA	NA	NA
60%	59%	59%	60%	62%	64%	56%	57%
52%	50%	56%	55%	63%	69%	60%	64%
41%	36%	37%	38%	41%	NA	NA	NA
38%	41%	41%	45%	57%	69%	60%	65%
33%	33%	63%	65%	65%	67%	63%	61%
27%	22%	22%	20%	20%	17%	15%	NA
22%	22%	20%	19%	12%	17%	15%	12%
20%	16%	18%	18%	NA	NA	NA	NA
16%	12%	20%	20%	22%	30%	30%	36%
12%	12%	14%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2021 91% 82% 77% 67% 67% 67% 61% 60% 52% 41% 38% 33% 27% 22% 20% 16%	2021         2019           91%         91%           82%         77%           77%         65%           76%         67%           67%         68%           67%         66%           61%         58%           60%         59%           52%         50%           41%         36%           33%         33%           27%         22%           20%         16%           16%         12%	2021         2019         2018           91%         91%         91%           91%         91%         91%           82%         77%         79%           77%         65%         67%           76%         67%         69%           67%         68%         71%           67%         66%         67%           67%         68%         71%           67%         66%         57%           61%         58%         56%           61%         59%         59%           52%         50%         56%           41%         36%         37%           38%         41%         41%           33%         33%         63%           27%         22%         20%           20%         16%         18%           16%         12%         20%	91%         91%         91%         90%           82%         77%         79%         79%           77%         65%         67%         63%           76%         67%         69%         73%           67%         68%         71%         66%           67%         66%         67%         70%           67%         66%         67%         70%           61%         58%         56%         57%           60%         59%         59%         60%           52%         50%         56%         55%           41%         36%         37%         38%           38%         41%         41%         45%           33%         33%         63%         65%           27%         22%         20%         19%           20%         16%         18%         18%	2021         2019         2018         2017         2015           91%         91%         91%         90%         87%           82%         77%         79%         79%         79%           77%         65%         67%         63%         60%           76%         67%         69%         73%         68%           67%         68%         71%         66%         70%           67%         68%         71%         66%         70%           67%         68%         71%         66%         70%           67%         66%         67%         70%         72%           61%         58%         56%         57%         NA           60%         59%         50%         62%         52%           50%         56%         55%         63%           41%         36%         37%         38%         41%           38%         41%         41%         45%         57%           33%         33%         63%         65%         65%           27%         22%         20%         19%         12%           20%         16%         18%	2021         2019         2018         2017         2015         2013           91%         91%         90%         87%         88%           82%         77%         79%         79%         79%         80%           77%         65%         67%         63%         60%         55%           76%         67%         69%         73%         68%         NA           67%         68%         71%         66%         70%         70%           67%         66%         67%         70%         72%         80%           67%         66%         67%         70%         72%         80%           67%         66%         67%         70%         72%         80%           61%         58%         56%         57%         NA         NA           60%         59%         60%         62%         64%           52%         50%         56%         55%         63%         69%           41%         36%         37%         38%         41%         NA           38%         41%         41%         45%         57%         69%           33%         33%	2021         2019         2018         2017         2015         2013         2012         91%         91%         90%         87%         88%         87%         82%         77%         79%         79%         79%         79%         80%         74%         77%         65%         67%         63%         60%         55%         44%         76%         67%         68%         NA         NA         64%         67%         68%         71%         66%         70%         70%         64%         64%         67%         66%         67%         66%         70%         70%         80%         80%         80%           61%         58%         56%         57%         NA         NA         NA         NA           60%         59%         50%         60%         62%         64%         56%         55%         63%         60%         60%         60%         60%         55%         56%         55%         63%         60%         60%         60%         56%         55%         63%         60%         60%         60%         63%         60%         63%         63%         63%         60%         63%         63%         63%         63%

#### Figure 37: Sources of Information Compared by Year

Prior to 2017, "Fort Collins local cable channel 14 and 881" was "Fort Collins local cable channel 14," "Online video FCTV on www.fcgov.com/FCTV" was "Online video of cable channel 14 on <u>www.fcgov.com/cable14</u>," and, in 2019, "City News eNewsletter" was "City News' (insert with utility bill)." Prior to 2015, "Newspaper (print or online)" was worded as "Newspaper." "City booth at local events" was first asked in 2015 and "Engage Platform" was first asked in 2018.

## Appendix A: Respondent Characteristics

The following tables display the weighted demographic characteristics of those responding to the 2021 Community Survey including frequency of responses and the number of respondents.

#### Table 1: Length of Residency

About how many years have you lived in Fort Collins?	Percent of respondents
Less than 2 years	11%
2-5 years	18%
6-10 years	19%
11-20 years	16%
More than 20 years	35%
Total	100%

#### Table 2: Respondent Student Status

Are you a full-time or part-time student at a college or university in Fort Collins?	Percent of respondents
Yes	12%
No	88%
Total	100%

#### Table 3: Respondent College or University Attended

Which college or university do you attend?	Percent of respondents
Colorado State University	91%
Front Range Community College	9%
Another local college or university	0%
Total	100%

#### Table 4: Employment Status

What is your employment status?	Percent of respondents
Working full time for pay	65%
Working part time for pay	12%
Unemployed, looking for paid work	4%
Unemployed, not looking for paid work	3%
Fully retired	16%
Total	100%

Table 5: Work in Fort Co	llins
Do you work inside the boundaries of Fort Collins?	Percent of respondents
Yes, outside the home	40%
Yes, from home	26%
No	34%
Total	100%

#### Table 6: Respondent Age

Which of the age groups below best describes you?	Percent of respondents
18-24	10%
25-34	36%
35-44 45-54	14%
	13%
55-64	9%
65-74	11%
75+	7%
Total	100%

#### Table 7: Respondent Housing Type

Which best describes the building you live in?	Percent of respondents
One family house detached from any other houses	59%
Duplex or townhome	15%
Apartment or condominium	25%
Mobile home	1%
Other	0%
Total	100%

#### Table 8: Respondent Housing Tenure

Do you own or rent your residence?	Percent of respondents
Own	56%
Rent	44%
Total	100%

#### Table 9: Respondent Household Income

How much do you anticipate your household's total income before taxes will be for the current	
year? (Please include in your total income from all sources for all persons living in your household.)	Percent of respondents
Less than \$25,000	13%
\$25,000 to \$49,999	19%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	32%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	20%
\$150,000 or more	17%
Total	100%

#### Table 10: Respondent Gender

What is your gender?	Percent of respondents
Woman	48%
Man	46%
Transgender woman	0%
Transgender man	1%
Non-binary	1%
Two Spirit	0%
Prefer to self-identify	0%
Prefer not to answer	5%
Total	100%

#### Table 11: Respondent Sexual Orientation

Which term best describes your sexual orientation?	Percent of respondents
Heterosexual	79%
Lesbian or gay	4%
Bisexual	7%
Asexual	0%
Pansexual	1%
Prefer to self-identify	1%
Prefer not to answer	10%

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

What is your race and/or ethnicity?	Percent of respondents
American Indian/Alaskan Native	2%
African	0%
African American/Black	2%
Asian/Asian American	3%
Hispanic/Latinx/Spanish Origin	7%
Middle Eastern/North African	0%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0%
White	84%
Prefer to self-identify	1%
Prefer not to answer	8%

#### Table 12: Respondent Race/ethnicity

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

#### Table 13: Geographic Area of Residence

	Percent of respondents
Northwest	19%
Northeast	13%
West Central	22%
East Central	25%
Southwest	5%
Southeast	16%
Total	100%

#### Table 14: Council District of Residence

	Percent of respondents
District 1	18%
District 2	20%
District 3	15%
District 4	14%
District 5	14%
District 6	18%
Total	100%

## Appendix B: Complete Set of Survey Responses

The following pages contain a complete set of responses to each question on the survey. For questions that included a "don't know" or "no opinion" response option, two tables for that question are provided: the first excludes the "don't know" or "no opinion" responses and the second includes those response options.

11 45 0

. •

Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items listed below.	Very good	Good	Average	Bad	Very bad	Total
Overall, as a place to live	63%	29%	7%	1%	1%	100%
Overall safety of community members	41%	45%	13%	1%	1%	100%
Quality of shopping opportunities	30%	38%	24%	6%	1%	100%
Quality of dining opportunities	45%	32%	19%	3%	1%	100%
Quality of entertainment opportunities	21%	41%	32%	6%	0%	100%
Availability of job opportunities	11%	35%	37%	14%	3%	100%
Availability of affordable quality housing	2%	6%	26%	37%	29%	100%
Quality of arts and cultural opportunities	16%	41%	37%	5%	1%	100%
Quality of recreational opportunities	52%	38%	9%	1%	0%	100%
Availability of quality healthcare	41%	39%	16%	3%	1%	100%
Availability of affordable quality childcare	5%	16%	40%	23%	16%	100%
Quality of public schools	29%	47%	20%	3%	1%	100%
Quality of public library services	45%	40%	13%	1%	0%	100%
As a place to raise children	46%	40%	12%	1%	1%	100%
As a place to retire	34%	35%	23%	5%	3%	100%
As a place to attend college	43%	43%	11%	1%	1%	100%
As a place to work	31%	47%	18%	3%	2%	100%
Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds	18%	36%	34%	9%	3%	100%
Overall quality of life in Fort Collins	43%	43%	13%	1%	1%	100%

Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items listed below.	Ver	y good	G	ood	Av	erage	I	Bad	Vei	y bad	No d	pinion	То	otal
Overall, as a place to live	63%	N=376	29%	N=172	7%	N=40	1%	N=8	1%	N=4	0%	N=1	100%	N=601
Overall safety of community members	41%	N=244	44%	N=267	13%	N=79	1%	N=5	1%	N=4	1%	N=4	100%	N=602
Quality of shopping opportunities	30%	N=181	38%	N=228	24%	N=145	6%	N=35	1%	N=6	1%	N=6	100%	N=600
Quality of dining opportunities	44%	N=267	31%	N=188	19%	N=116	3%	N=19	1%	N=4	1%	N=9	100%	N=602
Quality of entertainment opportunities	20%	N=121	40%	N=241	31%	N=187	6%	N=36	0%	N=1	3%	N=18	100%	N=603
Availability of job opportunities	10%	N=59	30%	N=181	32%	N=195	12%	N=73	3%	N=15	13%	N=78	100%	N=601
Availability of affordable quality housing	2%	N=12	6%	N=33	24%	N=146	35%	N=212	28%	N=168	5%	N=29	100%	N=600
Quality of arts and cultural opportunities	15%	N=88	39%	N=231	35%	N=209	5%	N=29	1%	N=4	6%	N=37	100%	N=597
Quality of recreational opportunities	51%	N=306	37%	N=223	9%	N=54	1%	N=8	0%	N=0	1%	N=9	100%	N=600
Availability of quality healthcare	37%	N=223	36%	N=214	15%	N=89	2%	N=15	1%	N=7	8%	N=51	100%	N=599
Availability of affordable quality childcare	2%	N=13	7%	N=41	16%	N=98	9%	N=56	6%	N=39	59%	N=349	100%	N=596
Quality of public schools	19%	N=114	32%	N=189	13%	N=79	2%	N=11	1%	N=5	33%	N=200	100%	N=599
Quality of public library services	38%	N=227	33%	N=201	11%	N=67	1%	N=5	0%	N=1	17%	N=100	100%	N=600
As a place to raise children	34%	N=207	30%	N=181	9%	N=55	1%	N=4	0%	N=3	25%	N=150	100%	N=600
As a place to retire	26%	N=157	26%	N=159	18%	N=107	4%	N=21	3%	N=16	23%	N=140	100%	N=600
As a place to attend college	38%	N=229	39%	N=232	10%	N=61	1%	N=6	1%	N=7	11%	N=66	100%	N=602
As a place to work	30%	N=177	44%	N=262	17%	N=99	3%	N=17	1%	N=9	5%	N=30	100%	N=594
Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds	17%	N=101	33%	N=199	32%	N=191	8%	N=47	3%	N=15	8%	N=47	100%	N=600
Overall quality of life in Fort Collins	42%	N=254	42%	N=255	13%	N=75	1%	N=7	1%	N=4	1%	N=5	100%	N=601

Table 16: Question 1 with "no opinion" responses

	11363					
Please rate the quality of your neighborhood on each of the items listed below.	Very good	Good	Average	Bad	Very bad	Total
Your neighborhood as a place to live	44%	40%	14%	1%	1%	100%
Your neighborhood as a place to raise children	42%	35%	16%	5%	2%	100%
Access within your neighborhood to everyday needs (i.e., grocery shopping, services, and amenities)	50%	30%	15%	4%	2%	100%

Table 17: Question 2 without "no opinion" responses

	Tubi	c 10. Que			opinio	ni icep	011000	·							
Please rate the quality of your neighborhood on each of the items listed below.	Ver	Very good		Very good Good		Average		Bad		Very bad		No opinion		То	otal
Your neighborhood as a place to live	43%	N=260	40%	N=238	14%	N=84	1%	N=5	1%	N=6	1%	N=5	100%	N=599	
Your neighborhood as a place to raise children	33%	N=199	28%	N=167	12%	N=73	4%	N=25	1%	N=8	21%	N=123	100%	N=596	
Access within your neighborhood to everyday needs (i.e., grocery shopping, services, and amenities)	50%	N=297	29%	N=177	15%	N=91	4%	N=23	2%	N=11	0%	N=1	100%	N=599	

Table 18: Ouestion 2 with "no opinion" responses

#### Table 19: Question 3 without "don't know" responses

Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following:	Very likely	Somewhat likely	Somewhat unlikely	Very unlikely	Total
Recommend living in Fort Collins to someone who asks	55%	31%	7%	6%	100%
Remain in Fort Collins for the next five years	60%	20%	12%	8%	100%

Table 20: Question 3 with "don't know" responses

Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of			Somewhat		Som	Somewhat		Very		on't		
the following:	Very likely		likely		unlikely		unlikely		know		Total	
Recommend living in Fort Collins to someone who asks	54%	N=326	31%	N=186	7%	N=42	6%	N=36	2%	N=10	100%	N=600
Remain in Fort Collins for the next five years	58%	N=346	20%	N=118	11%	N=67	8%	N=47	3%	N=21	100%	N=599

I able 21: Question	4				
In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household	2 times a week	2-4 times a	Once a month	Not at	
members done each of the following in Fort Collins?	or more	month	or less	all	Total
Visited a neighborhood park or City park	35%	33%	26%	6%	100%
Attended a neighborhood-sponsored event	0%	2%	23%	75%	100%
Attended a government-organized event (open house, City Council session, forum, etc.)	0%	2%	15%	83%	100%
Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone	10%	15%	12%	63%	100%
Volunteered your time in Fort Collins	6%	10%	30%	55%	100%
Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors	33%	33%	22%	11%	100%
Done a favor for a neighbor	13%	24%	40%	23%	100%

	Table 22	2: Question	4							
In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members done each of the following in Fort Collins?	2 times a week or more		2-4 times a month			n month or less	Not at all		Total	
Visited a neighborhood park or City park	35%	N=212	33%	N=195	26%	N=156	6%	N=35	100%	N=599
Attended a neighborhood-sponsored event	0%	N=3	2%	N=13	23%	N=134	75%	N=439	100%	N=588
Attended a government-organized event (open house, City Council session, forum, etc.)	0%	N=1	2%	N=13	15%	N=88	83%	N=495	100%	N=598
Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone	10%	N=62	15%	N=89	12%	N=72	63%	N=371	100%	N=593
Volunteered your time in Fort Collins	6%	N=35	10%	N=57	30%	N=177	55%	N=327	100%	N=596
Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors	33%	N=201	33%	N=198	22%	N=135	11%	N=66	100%	N=599
Done a favor for a neighbor	13%	N=76	24%	N=143	40%	N=240	23%	N=141	100%	N=600

Table 21: Question 4

#### Table 23: Question 5

In the last 20 years, how often have you moved to a different place of residence in Fort Collins?	Percent	Number
2+ times a year	1%	N=3
About once a year	10%	N=60
Every 2-4 years	23%	N=136
Every 5-7 years	13%	N=75
Every 8-10 years	10%	N=60
Every 11-15 years	4%	N=24
16-20 years	5%	N=31
I have not relocated in the last 20 years	13%	N=75
I have not relocated within the City	22%	N=132
Total	100%	N=596

#### Table 24: Question 6 without "no opinion" responses

Please tell us how safe you feel in or on each of the following in Fort Collins.	Always safe	Usually safe	Sometimes safe, sometimes unsafe	Usually unsafe	Always unsafe	Total
Downtown Fort Collins during the day	52%	44%	4%	0%	0%	100%
Downtown Fort Collins at night	15%	50%	28%	5%	1%	100%
Your neighborhood during the day	69%	29%	1%	0%	0%	100%
Your neighborhood at night	36%	48%	13%	2%	1%	100%
Parks	34%	56%	9%	1%	0%	100%
Natural areas/open spaces	32%	55%	11%	1%	0%	100%
Recreation facilities	45%	50%	5%	0%	0%	100%
Trails	29%	58%	12%	1%	0%	100%
Fort Collins overall during the day	47%	49%	4%	0%	0%	100%
Fort Collins overall at night	17%	58%	23%	1%	0%	100%
Transfort/MAX	22%	46%	28%	3%	0%	100%

Please tell us how safe you feel in or on each of the following in Fort Collins.	Alwa	ys safe	Usua	Illy safe		imes safe, nes unsafe		Usually unsafe		Always unsafe		opinion	Total	
Downtown Fort Collins during the day	52%	N=311	44%	N=262	4%	N=24	0%	N=1	0%	N=0	1%	N=4	100%	N=602
Downtown Fort Collins at night	15%	N=88	48%	N=290	27%	N=161	5%	N=32	1%	N=6	4%	N=24	100%	N=600
Your neighborhood during the day	69%	N=416	29%	N=174	1%	N=8	0%	N=0	0%	N=2	0%	N=1	100%	N=601
Your neighborhood at night	36%	N=217	47%	N=285	13%	N=79	2%	N=13	1%	N=3	1%	N=5	100%	N=602
Parks	32%	N=194	54%	N=326	9%	N=53	1%	N=7	0%	N=0	3%	N=19	100%	N=599
Natural areas/open spaces	31%	N=186	53%	N=316	11%	N=64	1%	N=8	0%	N=0	4%	N=23	100%	N=598
Recreation facilities	39%	N=231	43%	N=255	4%	N=26	0%	N=2	0%	N=0	14%	N=84	100%	N=599
Trails	28%	N=167	56%	N=334	11%	N=67	0%	N=3	0%	N=2	4%	N=26	100%	N=599
Fort Collins overall during the day	47%	N=281	49%	N=292	4%	N=25	0%	N=1	0%	N=1	0%	N=1	100%	N=600
Fort Collins overall at night	17%	N=100	57%	N=339	23%	N=135	1%	N=8	0%	N=2	2%	N=11	100%	N=597
Transfort/MAX	12%	N=72	25%	N=150	15%	N=93	2%	N=10	0%	N=2	46%	N=273	100%	N=599

Table 25: Question 6 with "no opinion" responses

#### Table 26: Question 7 without "no opinion" responses

	Very				Very	
Please rate the quality of each of the following in Fort Collins.	good	Good	Average	Bad	bad	Total
Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other						
emergency situations)	33%	46%	15%	3%	2%	100%
Disaster response and restoration of services	31%	48%	20%	1%	1%	100%
Fire prevention/education	30%	44%	19%	5%	1%	100%
Fire response time	41%	45%	13%	0%	1%	100%
Fire services overall	44%	43%	12%	0%	1%	100%
Crime prevention	19%	43%	35%	3%	1%	100%
Police patrol	18%	40%	36%	3%	4%	100%
Traffic enforcement	14%	32%	41%	7%	6%	100%
Police visibility	22%	39%	33%	3%	3%	100%
Police response time	25%	45%	25%	2%	3%	100%
Police services overall	20%	46%	29%	1%	4%	100%
Code enforcement (weeds, rubbish/trash, etc.)	14%	38%	36%	9%	4%	100%
Noise enforcement	10%	38%	37%	9%	5%	100%
Animal control	20%	42%	30%	5%	3%	100%
Business property maintenance	21%	47%	28%	3%	1%	100%
Residential property maintenance	16%	44%	33%	5%	1%	100%
Natural Areas and Park Ranger services	41%	45%	13%	0%	0%	100%

	Tab	ole 27: Qu	estion	7 with "n	o opin	ion" respo	onses	;						
Please rate the quality of each of the following in Fort Collins.	Very	y good	G	ood	Av	erage	E	Bad	Vei	ry bad	No c	pinion	Тс	otal
Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations)	27%	N=158	37%	N=218	12%	N=73	2%	N=14	1%	N=9	20%	N=121	100%	N=593
Disaster response and restoration of services	24%	N=142	37%	N=221	15%	N=92	1%	N=6	1%	N=4	22%	N=130	100%	N=594
Fire prevention/education	22%	N=128	32%	N=186	14%	N=80	3%	N=21	1%	N=6	29%	N=168	100%	N=589
Fire response time	23%	N=137	26%	N=153	8%	N=45	0%	N=0	0%	N=2	43%	N=256	100%	N=593
Fire services overall	30%	N=178	29%	N=172	9%	N=50	0%	N=0	0%	N=2	32%	N=186	100%	N=589
Crime prevention	15%	N=89	35%	N=205	28%	N=167	3%	N=15	1%	N=5	19%	N=111	100%	N=593
Police patrol	15%	N=91	34%	N=201	31%	N=181	3%	N=15	3%	N=20	14%	N=84	100%	N=592
Traffic enforcement	12%	N=71	29%	N=169	36%	N=214	6%	N=36	5%	N=31	12%	N=71	100%	N=592
Police visibility	20%	N=120	36%	N=216	30%	N=181	3%	N=15	3%	N=18	8%	N=45	100%	N=594
Police response time	15%	N=90	27%	N=162	16%	N=93	1%	N=8	2%	N=11	38%	N=227	100%	N=591
Police services overall	17%	N=101	39%	N=233	25%	N=151	1%	N=7	3%	N=20	14%	N=81	100%	N=592
Code enforcement (weeds, rubbish/trash, etc.)	11%	N=63	29%	N=173	28%	N=164	7%	N=43	3%	N=16	23%	N=134	100%	N=594
Noise enforcement	7%	N=43	28%	N=167	27%	N=160	7%	N=40	4%	N=23	27%	N=161	100%	N=595
Animal control	14%	N=83	30%	N=176	21%	N=124	4%	N=23	2%	N=12	30%	N=177	100%	N=594
Business property maintenance	16%	N=94	36%	N=215	22%	N=129	2%	N=13	1%	N=4	23%	N=139	100%	N=594
Residential property maintenance	13%	N=79	37%	N=219	28%	N=165	4%	N=27	1%	N=6	17%	N=101	100%	N=597
Natural Areas and Park Ranger services	37%	N=218	40%	N=237	12%	N=69	0%	N=2	0%	N=1	11%	N=66	100%	N=593

#### Table 28: Question 8 without "no opinion" responses

Please rate the following areas of transportation in Fort Collins.	Very good	Good	Average	Bad	Very bad	Total
Ease of driving	14%	37%	33%	11%	5%	100%
Ease of traveling by public transportation	8%	36%	31%	20%	4%	100%
Ease of walking	28%	46%	20%	5%	1%	100%
Ease of traveling by bicycle	42%	42%	12%	4%	0%	100%
Availability of parking Downtown	10%	27%	35%	19%	8%	100%
Convenience of parking Downtown	10%	25%	35%	20%	10%	100%
Level of traffic congestion	3%	18%	48%	22%	10%	100%
Street maintenance	13%	40%	36%	9%	3%	100%
Electric vehicle charging availability	10%	31%	26%	22%	11%	100%
Northern Colorado Regional Airport	5%	24%	34%	21%	16%	100%

Please rate the following areas of transportation in Fort Collins.	Ver	y good	G	iood	Av	erage	E	Bad	Ver	y bad	No d	pinion	Т	otal
Ease of driving	14%	N=86	37%	N=220	33%	N=194	11%	N=64	4%	N=27	1%	N=4	100%	N=595
Ease of traveling by public transportation	5%	N=31	23%	N=135	20%	N=118	13%	N=76	3%	N=16	37%	N=220	100%	N=596
Ease of walking	28%	N=164	45%	N=268	19%	N=114	5%	N=29	1%	N=5	2%	N=14	100%	N=594
Ease of traveling by bicycle	37%	N=220	37%	N=219	11%	N=65	3%	N=20	0%	N=2	12%	N=70	100%	N=596
Availability of parking Downtown	10%	N=58	27%	N=159	34%	N=203	19%	N=113	8%	N=49	3%	N=15	100%	N=597
Convenience of parking Downtown	10%	N=58	25%	N=147	35%	N=205	19%	N=113	9%	N=55	2%	N=12	100%	N=590
Level of traffic congestion	2%	N=15	18%	N=106	48%	N=284	21%	N=127	10%	N=57	1%	N=8	100%	N=598
Street maintenance	13%	N=78	39%	N=235	36%	N=212	9%	N=51	3%	N=16	1%	N=3	100%	N=596
Electric vehicle charging availability	2%	N=12	6%	N=36	5%	N=31	4%	N=26	2%	N=13	80%	N=480	100%	N=598
Northern Colorado Regional Airport	1%	N=7	6%	N=34	8%	N=49	5%	N=30	4%	N=23	76%	N=454	100%	N=597

#### Table 29: Question 8 with "no opinion" responses

#### Table 30: Question 9 without "no opinion" responses

Thinking about all aspects of your utility services provided by Fort Collins Utilities (e.g., reliability, price,	Very				Very	
your bill, billing/payment services, etc.), please rate the overall quality of each of the following services.	good	Good	Average	Bad	bad	Total
Electric utility service experience overall	35%	40%	19%	5%	1%	100%
Water utility service experience overall	35%	40%	22%	3%	0%	100%
Wastewater/sewer utility service experience overall	33%	39%	25%	3%	0%	100%
Stormwater/storm drainage utility service experience overall	32%	40%	22%	5%	0%	100%
Your utility customer service experience (phone, email, or in-person) overall	34%	39%	23%	4%	0%	100%

	Table 31: Question	9 with	"no opinion'	' responses
--	--------------------	--------	--------------	-------------

Thinking about all aspects of your utility services provided by Fort Collins Utilities (e.g., reliability, price, your bill, billing/payment services, etc.), please rate the overall quality of each of the following services.	Ver	y good	G	ood	Av	erage	E	3ad	Ver	y bad	No o	pinion	To	otal
Electric utility service experience overall	33%	N=198	38%	N=229	19%	N=111	5%	N=27	1%	N=8	4%	N=25	100%	N=597
Water utility service experience overall	31%	N=184	35%	N=209	19%	N=113	3%	N=15	0%	N=3	12%	N=74	100%	N=598
Wastewater/sewer utility service experience overall	28%	N=170	33%	N=199	21%	N=127	3%	N=18	0%	N=3	14%	N=82	100%	N=598
Stormwater/storm drainage utility service experience overall	27%	N=163	34%	N=205	19%	N=113	4%	N=24	0%	N=3	15%	N=89	100%	N=597
Your utility customer service experience (phone, email, or in-person) overall	29%	N=173	33%	N=197	19%	N=116	3%	N=21	0%	N=1	15%	N=89	100%	N=597

Table 32: Question 10 with	nout "don't kn	ow" responses			
Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following:	Very likely	Somewhat likely	Somewhat unlikely	Very unlikely	Total
Recommend Fort Collins Utilities to a friend, relative or colleague	45%	42%	6%	7%	100%
Sign up for Connexion internet, TV or phone service when available to you	56%	26%	5%	12%	100%
Recommend Connexion service to a friend, relative or colleague	57%	21%	7%	15%	100%

Table 22. O . . : . 10 without "don't k ...

Table 33: Question 10 with "don't know" responses

Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following:	Ver	y likely		newhat kely		newhat Ilikely		/ery likely	Don	't know	Т	otal
Recommend Fort Collins Utilities to a friend, relative or colleague	35%	N=209	33%	N=197	5%	N=30	6%	N=34	21%	N=128	100%	N=597
Sign up for Connexion internet, TV or phone service when available to you	43%	N=259	20%	N=119	4%	N=24	9%	N=57	23%	N=140	100%	N=599
Recommend Connexion service to a friend, relative or colleague	30%	N=177	11%	N=63	4%	N=21	8%	N=48	48%	N=289	100%	N=598

#### Table 34: Question 11 without "no opinion" responses

Please rate the quality of the environment in Fort Collins on each of the items listed below.	Very good	Good	Average	Bad	Very bad	Total
Community's visual attractiveness	41%	49%	9%	1%	0%	100%
Air quality	21%	43%	26%	9%	2%	100%
Recycling programs	29%	44%	22%	5%	0%	100%
Conservation efforts	29%	48%	17%	5%	1%	100%
Overall quality of environment	29%	55%	15%	2%	0%	100%

#### Table 35: Question 11 with "no opinion" responses

Please rate the quality of the environment in Fort Collins on each of the items listed below.	Very good		Good		Average		Bad		Very bad		No opinion		То	otal
Community's visual attractiveness	40%	N=241	49%	N=290	9%	N=51	1%	N=5	0%	N=2	1%	N=6	100%	N=596
Air quality	21%	N=123	42%	N=254	26%	N=157	9%	N=52	2%	N=11	1%	N=3	100%	N=600
Recycling programs	28%	N=166	42%	N=254	21%	N=125	5%	N=30	0%	N=1	4%	N=22	100%	N=599
Conservation efforts	26%	N=154	43%	N=259	16%	N=93	5%	N=29	1%	N=4	10%	N=59	100%	N=598
Overall quality of environment	29%	N=171	54%	N=322	15%	N=87	2%	N=9	0%	N=1	1%	N=7	100%	N=598

Please rate the quality of each of the programs or facilities listed below.	Very good	Good	Average	Bad	Very bad	Total
Natural areas and open space	61%	33%	6%	0%	0%	100%
Recreational trails	61%	33%	6%	0%	0%	100%
Parks	59%	35%	6%	0%	0%	100%
Cemeteries	41%	42%	16%	1%	0%	100%
Golf courses	33%	46%	21%	0%	0%	100%
Athletic fields	33%	46%	21%	0%	0%	100%
Northside Aztlan Community Center	34%	50%	15%	1%	0%	100%
Fort Collins Senior Center	34%	53%	11%	2%	0%	100%
Edora Pool Ice Center (EPIC)	28%	54%	16%	2%	0%	100%
Foothills Activity Center	22%	57%	17%	3%	0%	100%
Mulberry Pool	18%	48%	30%	2%	2%	100%
The Farm at Lee Martinez Park	39%	46%	14%	0%	0%	100%
The Gardens on Spring Creek	51%	41%	8%	0%	0%	100%
Pottery studio	25%	64%	11%	0%	0%	100%
Art in Public Places program	40%	47%	12%	1%	1%	100%
Lincoln Center programs	35%	50%	14%	2%	0%	100%
Fort Collins Museum of Discovery	49%	38%	11%	2%	1%	100%
Adult recreation programs	29%	52%	15%	3%	0%	100%
Senior recreation programs	32%	48%	17%	3%	0%	100%
Youth/teen recreation programs	30%	53%	15%	1%	2%	100%

Table 36: Question 12 without "no opinion" responses

City of Fort Collins

	Table	37: Ques	tion 12	with "no	opinio	n" respo	nses							
Please rate the quality of each of the programs or facilities listed below.	Ver	Very good		Good		Average		Bad		Very bad		pinion	То	otal
Natural areas and open space	58%	N=346	31%	N=187	5%	N=32	0%	N=0	0%	N=0	5%	N=29	100%	N=594
Recreational trails	58%	N=347	32%	N=189	5%	N=32	0%	N=1	0%	N=0	5%	N=28	100%	N=597
Parks	58%	N=346	34%	N=202	6%	N=33	0%	N=1	0%	N=0	2%	N=15	100%	N=596
Cemeteries	18%	N=109	19%	N=112	7%	N=43	0%	N=2	0%	N=0	55%	N=328	100%	N=596
Golf courses	14%	N=81	19%	N=112	9%	N=51	0%	N=1	0%	N=0	59%	N=350	100%	N=596
Athletic fields	20%	N=122	29%	N=172	13%	N=76	0%	N=0	0%	N=0	38%	N=227	100%	N=597
Northside Aztlan Community Center	16%	N=93	22%	N=133	7%	N=40	0%	N=3	0%	N=0	55%	N=327	100%	N=597
Fort Collins Senior Center	17%	N=102	26%	N=157	5%	N=31	1%	N=6	0%	N=0	50%	N=301	100%	N=598
Edora Pool Ice Center (EPIC)	14%	N=86	28%	N=168	8%	N=51	1%	N=5	0%	N=0	48%	N=288	100%	N=598
Foothills Activity Center	7%	N=44	19%	N=113	6%	N=34	1%	N=7	0%	N=1	67%	N=398	100%	N=597
Mulberry Pool	8%	N=49	22%	N=129	14%	N=82	1%	N=6	1%	N=4	55%	N=327	100%	N=597
The Farm at Lee Martinez Park	23%	N=139	28%	N=165	9%	N=51	0%	N=1	0%	N=1	40%	N=236	100%	N=594
The Gardens on Spring Creek	35%	N=209	28%	N=167	6%	N=33	0%	N=1	0%	N=0	31%	N=186	100%	N=595
Pottery studio	5%	N=32	14%	N=81	2%	N=14	0%	N=1	0%	N=0	79%	N=468	100%	N=595
Art in Public Places program	24%	N=142	28%	N=167	7%	N=44	1%	N=4	0%	N=2	39%	N=231	100%	N=590
Lincoln Center programs	23%	N=136	33%	N=196	9%	N=53	1%	N=7	0%	N=0	34%	N=202	100%	N=594
Fort Collins Museum of Discovery	33%	N=197	26%	N=153	7%	N=44	1%	N=8	0%	N=3	32%	N=192	100%	N=596
Adult recreation programs	16%	N=94	29%	N=173	9%	N=51	2%	N=10	0%	N=1	45%	N=267	100%	N=597
Senior recreation programs	10%	N=59	15%	N=87	5%	N=30	1%	N=6	0%	N=1	69%	N=413	100%	N=596
Youth/teen recreation programs	11%	N=67	20%	N=119	6%	N=33	1%	N=3	1%	N=3	62%	N=369	100%	N=596

	Very				Very	
Please rate the City's performance in each of the following areas.	good	Good	Average	Bad	bad	Total
Managing and planning for growth	9%	30%	37%	16%	9%	100%
Balancing development and growth while maintaining the character and identity of the City and						
neighborhoods	11%	37%	30%	13%	9%	100%
Efficient operation of programs and services	14%	49%	32%	2%	3%	100%
Encouraging sustainability in the community	21%	43%	26%	8%	3%	100%
Overall direction of the City	12%	47%	27%	11%	3%	100%
Promotion of the social health of Fort Collins (Human Services, Affordable Housing, Homelessness,						
Equity & Inclusion, etc.)	9%	28%	34%	19%	10%	100%
Promotion of the health of the environment of Fort Collins	19%	43%	30%	5%	2%	100%
Promotion of the economic health of Fort Collins	13%	40%	32%	9%	5%	100%
Support of businesses	17%	46%	26%	7%	4%	100%
Encouraging a variety of businesses	15%	44%	30%	7%	4%	100%
Retaining existing businesses	13%	37%	37%	9%	5%	100%
Attracting new businesses	12%	41%	37%	7%	4%	100%
Welcoming community member involvement	17%	39%	36%	5%	3%	100%
Listening to community members	13%	37%	34%	12%	5%	100%
Informing community members	14%	39%	36%	8%	3%	100%
Providing opportunities to participate in government activities	12%	37%	38%	7%	6%	100%
Providing volunteer opportunities to community members	15%	43%	32%	6%	4%	100%
Providing emergency information	20%	41%	32%	4%	3%	100%
Response to the COVID-19 pandemic	23%	44%	22%	7%	4%	100%
Respecting all community members regardless of race/ethnic background, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, or marital status	19%	40%	29%	8%	4%	100%
Creating a welcoming, inclusive community where all community members feel a sense of belonging	17%	38%	33%	7%	6%	100%

#### Table 38: Question 13 without "no opinion" responses

	Та	ble 39: Q	uestior	n 13 with	"no op	inion" res	ponse	S			_			
Please rate the City's performance in each of														
the following areas.		y good	-	lood		erage		ad		ry bad		opinion		otal
Managing and planning for growth	8%	N=46	27%	N=155	33%	N=194	14%	N=81	8%	N=44	10%	N=59	100%	N=579
Balancing development and growth while														
maintaining the character and identity of the City and neighborhoods	10%	N=60	34%	N=200	28%	N=164	12%	N=69	8%	N=46	7%	N=44	100%	N=582
Efficient operation of programs and services	11%	N=60	34 <i>%</i>	N=200	28%	N=164 N=156	2%	N=09	8 %	N=40 N=13	16%	N=44	100%	N=582
Encouraging sustainability in the community	19%	N=07	41%	N=241	21%	N=156 N=140	2% 7%	N=12 N=41	2% 3%	N=13 N=15	8%	N=95 N=45	100%	N=583 N=585
	19%	N=111 N=67	40%		24%	N=140 N=147	10%	N=41	3%	N=15 N=18	0 % 5%	N=45 N=32	100%	N=583
Overall direction of the City Promotion of the social health of Fort Collins	12%	IN=07	44%	N=259	25%	N=147	10%	N=00	3%	IN=18	3%	IN=3Z	100%	IN=283
(Human Services, Affordable Housing,														
Homelessness, Equity & Inclusion, etc.)	9%	N=50	25%	N=147	31%	N=181	17%	N=99	9%	N=53	9%	N=56	100%	N=587
Promotion of the health of the environment of														
Fort Collins	18%	N=104	40%	N=234	28%	N=164	5%	N=30	2%	N=11	7%	N=40	100%	N=582
Promotion of the economic health of Fort														
Collins	12%	N=70	36%	N=212	29%	N=169	8%	N=48	5%	N=26	10%	N=60	100%	N=586
Support of businesses	14%	N=82	38%	N=220	21%	N=125	6%	N=35	3%	N=20	18%	N=104	100%	N=586
Encouraging a variety of businesses	13%	N=75	37%	N=218	25%	N=146	6%	N=33	3%	N=20	16%	N=93	100%	N=584
Retaining existing businesses	11%	N=63	30%	N=178	31%	N=179	7%	N=42	4%	N=23	17%	N=100	100%	N=585
Attracting new businesses	9%	N=54	33%	N=191	29%	N=172	5%	N=32	3%	N=20	20%	N=116	100%	N=585
Welcoming community member involvement	14%	N=80	31%	N=183	28%	N=165	4%	N=23	2%	N=13	21%	N=120	100%	N=586
Listening to community members	9%	N=56	28%	N=164	26%	N=152	9%	N=52	4%	N=21	24%	N=141	100%	N=585
Informing community members	13%	N=74	35%	N=203	33%	N=191	7%	N=40	3%	N=17	10%	N=58	100%	N=584
Providing opportunities to participate in														
government activities	9%	N=52	28%	N=162	29%	N=167	5%	N=29	5%	N=28	25%	N=146	100%	N=584
Providing volunteer opportunities to community		=-												
members	12%	N=71	34%	N=197	26%	N=150	4%	N=26	3%	N=17	21%	N=123	100%	N=584
Providing emergency information	18%	N=106	37%	N=214	28%	N=163	4%	N=21	2%	N=14	11%	N=66	100%	N=583
Response to the COVID-19 pandemic	22%	N=129	42%	N=244	20%	N=119	6%	N=36	4%	N=22	6%	N=35	100%	N=586
Respecting all community members regardless														
of race/ethnic background, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, or marital status	17%	N=97	35%	N=207	26%	N=151	7%	N=43	4%	N=21	11%	N=66	100%	N=586
Creating a welcoming, inclusive community	1770	11-27	55%	11-207	2070	11-131	1 /0	11-43	7/0	11-21	11/0	11-00	100%	11-300
where all community members feel a sense of														
belonging	15%	N=88	34%	N=198	29%	N=171	6%	N=37	5%	N=31	10%	N=61	100%	N=585

#### Table 40: Question 14 without "no opinion" responses

Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by the City of Fort Collins?	Percent of respondents
Very good	25%
Good	55%
Average	17%
Bad	1%
Very bad	1%
Total	100%

#### Table 41: Question 14 with "no opinion" responses

Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by the City of Fort Collins?	Percent	Number
Very good	25%	N=150
Good	55%	N=325
Average	17%	N=99
Bad	1%	N=9
Very bad	1%	N=7
No opinion	1%	N=6
Total	100%	N=597

#### Table 42: Question 15

Have you had contact with any City employee(s) by phone, in person, via email or online within the last 12 months?	Percent	Number
Yes	51%	N=301
No	49%	N=295
Total	100%	N=596

#### Table 43: Question 15A without "no opinion" responses

Thinking about your most recent contact, please rate City employee(s) on each of the items below.	Very good	Good	Average	Bad	Very bad	Total
Courtesy	54%	31%	11%	2%	2%	100%
Promptness	49%	33%	10%	5%	3%	100%
Knowledge	51%	30%	12%	2%	4%	100%
Making you feel valued	44%	30%	14%	7%	6%	100%
Overall impression	46%	30%	16%	5%	3%	100%

\*Asked only of those who reported having contact with a City employee in the 12 months prior to the survey.

Very	y good	G	boc	Ave	erage	E	Bad	Ver	y bad	No c	pinion	Тс	otal
53%	N=158	30%	N=90	10%	N=31	2%	N=6	2%	N=7	2%	N=7	100%	N=298
47%	N=142	32%	N=95	10%	N=30	5%	N=14	3%	N=9	3%	N=9	100%	N=299
50%	N=150	30%	N=89	12%	N=35	2%	N=7	4%	N=12	2%	N=7	100%	N=300
41%	N=123	28%	N=83	13%	N=39	6%	N=19	5%	N=16	6%	N=19	100%	N=299
45%	N=135	29%	N=88	15%	N=46	4%	N=13	3%	N=9	3%	N=8	100%	N=299
	Very 53% 47% 50% 41%	Very good           53%         N=158           47%         N=142           50%         N=150           41%         N=123	Very good         Go           53%         N=158         30%           47%         N=142         32%           50%         N=150         30%           41%         N=123         28%	Very good         Good           53%         N=158         30%         N=90           47%         N=142         32%         N=95           50%         N=150         30%         N=89           41%         N=123         28%         N=83	Very good         Good         Ave           53%         N=158         30%         N=90         10%           47%         N=142         32%         N=95         10%           50%         N=150         30%         N=89         12%           41%         N=123         28%         N=83         13%	Very good         Good         Average           53%         N=158         30%         N=90         10%         N=31           47%         N=142         32%         N=95         10%         N=30           50%         N=150         30%         N=89         12%         N=35           41%         N=123         28%         N=83         13%         N=39	Very good         Good         Average         E           53%         N=158         30%         N=90         10%         N=31         2%           47%         N=142         32%         N=95         10%         N=30         5%           50%         N=150         30%         N=89         12%         N=35         2%           41%         N=123         28%         N=83         13%         N=39         6%	Very good         Good         Average         Bad           53%         N=158         30%         N=90         10%         N=31         2%         N=6           47%         N=142         32%         N=95         10%         N=30         5%         N=14           50%         N=150         30%         N=89         12%         N=35         2%         N=7           41%         N=123         28%         N=83         13%         N=39         6%         N=19	Very good         Good         Average         Bad         Ver           53%         N=158         30%         N=90         10%         N=31         2%         N=6         2%           47%         N=142         32%         N=95         10%         N=30         5%         N=14         3%           50%         N=150         30%         N=89         12%         N=35         2%         N=7         4%           41%         N=123         28%         N=83         13%         N=39         6%         N=19         5%	Very good         Good         Average         Bad         Very bad           53%         N=158         30%         N=90         10%         N=31         2%         N=6         2%         N=7           47%         N=142         32%         N=95         10%         N=30         5%         N=14         3%         N=9           50%         N=150         30%         N=89         12%         N=35         2%         N=7         4%         N=12           41%         N=123         28%         N=83         13%         N=39         6%         N=19         5%         N=16	Very good         Good         Average         Bad         Very bad         No c           53%         N=158         30%         N=90         10%         N=31         2%         N=6         2%         N=7         2%           47%         N=142         32%         N=95         10%         N=30         5%         N=14         3%         N=9         3%           50%         N=150         30%         N=89         12%         N=35         2%         N=7         4%         N=12         2%           41%         N=123         28%         N=83         13%         N=39         6%         N=19         5%         N=16         6%	Very good         Good         Average         Bad         Very bad         No opinion           53%         N=158         30%         N=90         10%         N=31         2%         N=6         2%         N=7         2%         N=7           47%         N=142         32%         N=95         10%         N=30         5%         N=14         3%         N=9         3%         N=9           50%         N=150         30%         N=89         12%         N=35         2%         N=7         4%         N=12         2%         N=7           41%         N=123         28%         N=83         13%         N=39         6%         N=19         5%         N=16         6%         N=19	Very good         Good         Average         Bad         Very bad         No opinion         To           53%         N=158         30%         N=90         10%         N=31         2%         N=6         2%         N=7         2%         N=7         100%           47%         N=142         32%         N=95         10%         N=30         5%         N=14         3%         N=9         3%         N=9         100%           50%         N=150         30%         N=89         12%         N=35         2%         N=7         4%         N=12         2%         N=7         100%           41%         N=123         28%         N=83         13%         N=39         6%         N=19         5%         N=16         6%         N=19         100%

#### Table 44: Question 15A with "no opinion" responses

\*Asked only of those who reported having contact with a City employee in the 12 months prior to the survey.

#### Table 45: Question 15B without "no opinion" responses

Although you may not have had any recent personal contact with City employees, we would like to know your impression of how City employees treat Fort Collins community members. Please rate City employees on each of the items below.	Very good	Good	Average	Bad	Very bad	Total
Courtesy	25%	52%	22%	1%	0%	100%
Promptness in responding to inquiries and service requests	21%	52%	25%	3%	0%	100%
Making community members or customers feel valued	19%	52%	26%	2%	1%	100%

\*Asked only of those who reported NOT having had contact with a City employee in the 12 months prior to the survey.

#### Table 46: Question 15B with "no opinion" responses

Although you may not have had any recent personal contact with City employees, we would like to know your impression of how City employees treat Fort Collins community members. Please rate City employees on each of the items below.	Very	' good	G	ood	Ave	erage	В	ad	Ver	y bad	No d	opinion	To	otal
Courtesy	17%	N=49	36%	N=102	15%	N=43	1%	N=2	0%	N=0	31%	N=87	100%	N=283
Promptness in responding to inquiries and service requests	13%	N=39	34%	N=97	16%	N=47	2%	N=5	0%	N=0	35%	N=100	100%	N=288
Making community members or customers feel valued	12%	N=35	34%	N=98	17%	N=49	1%	N=4	1%	N=2	35%	N=101	100%	N=287

\*Asked only of those who reported NOT having had contact with a City employee in the 13 months prior to the survey.

Please select the option that best describes how you think the City should address each of the following aspects of the	More	Same	Less	Title
community.	effort	effort	effort	Total
Economy: Includes economic planning and development activities, workforce training, childcare, education, employment opportunities	42%	56%	2%	100%
Environment: Includes efforts to ensure good water resources, good air quality, land conservation, smart growth, the Climate Action Plan and an attractive community	48%	47%	5%	100%
Neighborhood Livability and Social Health: Includes promoting good neighbor relationships, ensuring attractive neighborhoods, historic preservation, an adequate supply of quality housing for all socio-economic groups, addressing poverty and homelessness, creating an inclusive community	60%	35%	5%	100%
Safety: Includes police, fire, stormwater, emergency medical response, and building inspection	18%	77%	5%	100%
Culture, Parks & Recreation: Includes operating and improving recreational facilities, Lincoln Center, Gardens on Spring Creek and the Museum of Discovery; providing recreational, arts and cultural programs and public art; maintaining parks, trails and cemeteries; and improving natural areas	25%	72%	3%	100%
Transportation and Mobility: Includes transportation planning and development, maintaining roads and traffic operations, Transfort operations, and bicycle and pedestrian safety, Northern Colorado Regional Airport	55%	43%	3%	100%
General Government: Includes internal support functions, City management, Council, boards and commissions, volunteers, technology, communicating with community members and building maintenance and repair	17%	75%	8%	100%

#### Table 47: Question 16 without "no opinion" responses

Please select the option that best describes how you think the City should address each of the following aspects of the community.	Mor	e effort	Sam	e effort	Les	s effort	No o	pinion	То	otal
Economy: Includes economic planning and development activities, workforce training, childcare, education, employment opportunities	40%	N=225	53%	N=301	2%	N=9	5%	N=30	100%	N=565
Environment: Includes efforts to ensure good water resources, good air quality, land conservation, smart growth, the Climate Action Plan and an attractive community	47%	N=264	45%	N=258	5%	N=29	3%	N=17	100%	N=568
Neighborhood Livability and Social Health: Includes promoting good neighbor relationships, ensuring attractive neighborhoods, historic preservation, an adequate supply of quality housing for all socio-economic groups, addressing poverty and homelessness, creating an inclusive community	58%	N=332	34%	N=194	5%	N=29	3%	N=19	100%	N=574
Safety: Includes police, fire, stormwater, emergency medical response, and building inspection	17%	N=97	74%	N=415	5%	N=28	4%	N=24	100%	N=564
Culture, Parks & Recreation: Includes operating and improving recreational facilities, Lincoln Center, Gardens on Spring Creek and the Museum of Discovery; providing recreational, arts and cultural programs and public art; maintaining parks, trails and cemeteries; and improving natural areas	25%	N=140	69%	N=397	3%	N=17	3%	N=17	100%	N=572
Transportation and Mobility: Includes transportation planning and development, maintaining roads and traffic operations, Transfort operations, and bicycle and pedestrian safety, Northern Colorado Regional Airport	52%	N=300	41%	N=236	2%	N=14	4%	N=24	100%	N=575
General Government: Includes internal support functions, City management, Council, boards and commissions, volunteers, technology, communicating with community members and building maintenance and repair	15%	N=85	68%	N=382	7%	N=41	10%	N=57	100%	N=564

#### Table 48: Question 16 with "no opinion" responses

	Percent selecting as	
Please select which three (3) should be the top priorities for the City to focus on in the next 5 years.	top 1, 2 or 3 priority	Number
Economy: Includes economic planning and development activities, workforce training, childcare, education, employment opportunities	50%	N=265
Environment: Includes efforts to ensure good water resources, good air quality, land conservation, smart growth, the Climate Action Plan and an attractive community	61%	N=321
Neighborhood Livability and Social Health: Includes promoting good neighbor relationships, ensuring attractive neighborhoods, historic preservation, an adequate supply of quality housing for all socio-economic groups, addressing poverty and homelessness, creating an inclusive community	64%	N=336
Safety: Includes police, fire, stormwater, emergency medical response, and building inspection	26%	N=134
Culture, Parks & Recreation: Includes operating and improving recreational facilities, Lincoln Center, Gardens on Spring Creek and the Museum of Discovery; providing recreational, arts and cultural programs and public art; maintaining parks, trails and cemeteries; and improving natural areas	31%	N=164
Transportation and Mobility: Includes transportation planning and development, maintaining roads and traffic operations, Transfort operations, and bicycle and pedestrian safety, Northern Colorado Regional Airport	51%	N=266
General Government: Includes internal support functions, City management, Council, boards and commissions, volunteers, technology, communicating with community members and building maintenance and repair	10%	N=54

#### Table 49: Question 16 - Top 3 Priorities

Thinking about the next few years, what is ONE item or focus area you would like the City to improve?	Percent of Respondents	Number
Cost of living/economy/jobs	8%	N=41
Housing cost	23%	N=117
Traffic and roads	10%	N=53
Environmental issues	10%	N=52
Growth and development issues	11%	N=57
Transportation improvements	11%	N=54
Safety/police/homelessness	10%	N=52
Government policies, spending, service delivery, etc.	4%	N=18
Recreation and parks	3%	N=14
Diversity, equity, and inclusion	5%	N=24
Neighborhood livability	2%	N=8
Other	3%	N=16
Total	100%	N=507

#### Table 50: Question 17

Please indicate how frequently, if ever, you or other members of your household use each of the following sources for information regarding City issues, services and programs.	Always	Frequently	Sometimes	Never	Total
The City of Fort Collins local channels 14 and 881	1%	2%	13%	84%	100%
Online video FCTV on www.fcgov.com/FCTV	1%	2%	19%	78%	100%
City's website (www.fcgov.com)	8%	22%	52%	18%	100%
City News eNewsletter	2%	7%	25%	67%	100%
Newsletters or brochures from City departments	3%	12%	45%	40%	100%
City employees or departments (e.g., contacting by phone, email or in person)	2%	7%	52%	39%	100%
Tracks and Trails (the guide to natural areas activities)	10%	24%	42%	24%	100%
"Recreator" (guide to recreation programs)	7%	22%	38%	33%	100%
Word of mouth	11%	40%	40%	9%	100%
Newspaper (print or online)	12%	19%	35%	33%	100%
Radio	6%	14%	32%	48%	100%
Television news	5%	13%	21%	62%	100%
Social media (Facebook, Twitter, Nextdoor, etc.)	11%	27%	39%	23%	100%
OurCity Platform (ourcity.fcgov.com)	0%	2%	17%	80%	100%
Engage Platform (engage.fcgov.com)	0%	3%	9%	88%	100%
City of Fort Collins mobile apps (Access Fort Collins, Digital Publications, Recreator)	2%	4%	21%	73%	100%
City booth at local events	1%	5%	35%	59%	100%

Table 51: Question 19

Table 52:	Questic	on 19								
Please indicate how frequently, if ever, you or other members of your household use each of the following sources for information regarding City issues, services and programs.	Alv	vays	Free	quently	Som	etimes	N	ever	Т	otal
The City of Fort Collins local channels 14 and 881	1%	N=3	2%	N=10	13%	N=77	84%	N=491	100%	N=581
Online video FCTV on www.fcgov.com/FCTV	1%	N=6	2%	N=12	19%	N=108	78%	N=452	100%	N=577
City's website (www.fcgov.com)	8%	N=44	22%	N=131	52%	N=305	18%	N=102	100%	N=583
City News eNewsletter	2%	N=11	7%	N=40	25%	N=141	67%	N=383	100%	N=575
Newsletters or brochures from City departments	3%	N=17	12%	N=73	45%	N=260	40%	N=233	100%	N=583
City employees or departments (e.g., contacting by phone, email or in person)	2%	N=14	7%	N=41	52%	N=299	39%	N=224	100%	N=578
Tracks and Trails (the guide to natural areas activities)	10%	N=59	24%	N=140	42%	N=245	24%	N=137	100%	N=581
"Recreator" (guide to recreation programs)	7%	N=40	22%	N=127	38%	N=220	33%	N=191	100%	N=578
Word of mouth	11%	N=62	40%	N=233	40%	N=233	9%	N=53	100%	N=581
Newspaper (print or online)	12%	N=68	19%	N=112	35%	N=205	33%	N=192	100%	N=578
Radio	6%	N=35	14%	N=82	32%	N=184	48%	N=277	100%	N=578
Television news	5%	N=27	13%	N=74	21%	N=122	62%	N=358	100%	N=580
Social media (Facebook, Twitter, Nextdoor, etc.)	11%	N=64	27%	N=155	39%	N=226	23%	N=135	100%	N=579
OurCity Platform (ourcity.fcgov.com)	0%	N=2	2%	N=11	17%	N=99	80%	N=457	100%	N=569
Engage Platform (engage.fcgov.com)	0%	N=0	3%	N=14	9%	N=52	88%	N=504	100%	N=571
City of Fort Collins mobile apps (Access Fort Collins, Digital Publications, Recreator)	2%	N=11	4%	N=26	21%	N=122	73%	N=420	100%	N=579
City booth at local events	1%	N=3	5%	N=28	35%	N=195	59%	N=329	100%	N=555

#### Table 53: Question D1

About how many years have you lived in Fort Collins?	Percent	Number
Less than 2 years	11%	N=68
2-5 years	18%	N=110
6-10 years	19%	N=116
11-20 years	16%	N=96
More than 20 years	35%	N=207
Total	100%	N=596

#### Table 54: Question D2

Are you a full-time or part-time student at a college or university in Fort Collins?	Percent	Number
Yes	12%	N=74
No	88%	N=517
Total	100%	N=591

#### Table 55: Question D3

Which college or university do you attend?	Percent	Number
Colorado State University	91%	N=66
Front Range Community College	9%	N=7
Another local college or university	0%	N=0
Total	100%	N=73

#### Table 56: Question D4

What is your employment status?	Percent	Number
Working full time for pay	65%	N=376
Working part time for pay	12%	N=70
Unemployed, looking for paid work	4%	N=25
Unemployed, not looking for paid work	3%	N=15
Fully retired	16%	N=95
Total	100%	N=582

#### Table 57: Question D5

Do you work inside the boundaries of Fort Collins?	Percent	Number
Yes, outside the home	40%	N=229
Yes, from home	26%	N=146
No	34%	N=193
Total	100%	N=568

#### Table 58: Question D6

Which of the age groups below best describes you?	Percent	Number
18-24	10%	N=59
25-34	36%	N=211
35-44	14%	N=83
45-54	13%	N=78
55-64	9%	N=52
65-74	11%	N=63
75+	7%	N=38
Total	100%	N=584

#### Table 59: Question D7 Which best describes the building you live in? Percent Number One family house detached from any other houses 59% N=352 Duplex or townhome 15% N=87 Apartment or condominium 25% N=147 Mobile home 1% N=6 Other 0% N=2 Total 100% N=594

#### Table 60: Question D8

Do you own or rent your residence?	Percent	Number
Own	56%	N=329
Rent	44%	N=264
Total	100%	N=593

#### Table 61: Question D9

How much do you anticipate your household's total income before taxes will be for the current year? (Please include in your total income		
from all sources for all persons living in your household.)	Percent	Number
Less than \$25,000	13%	N=69
\$25,000 to \$49,999	19%	N=104
\$50,000 to \$99,999	32%	N=173
\$100,000 to \$149,999	20%	N=108
\$150,000 or more	17%	N=95
Total	100%	N=549

#### Table 62: Question D10

What is your gender?	Percent	Number
Woman	48%	N=279
Man	46%	N=268
Transgender woman	0%	N=0
Transgender man	1%	N=6
Non-binary	1%	N=4
Two Spirit	0%	N=0
Prefer to self-identify	0%	N=2
Prefer not to answer	5%	N=28
Total	100%	N=587

#### Table 63: Question D11

Which term best describes your sexual orientation?	Percent	Number
Heterosexual	79%	N=456
Lesbian or gay	4%	N=21
Bisexual	7%	N=39
Asexual	0%	N=2
Pansexual	1%	N=6
Prefer to self-identify	1%	N=5
Prefer not to answer	10%	N=57

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

#### Table 64: Question D12

What is your race and/or ethnicity?	Percent	Number
American Indian/Alaskan Native	2%	N=14
African	0%	N=1
African American/Black	2%	N=10
Asian/Asian American	3%	N=16
Hispanic/Latinx/Spanish Origin	7%	N=39
Middle Eastern/North African	0%	N=3
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0%	N=0
White	84%	N=490
Prefer to self-identify	1%	N=8
Prefer not to answer	8%	N=44

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

#### Table 65: Geographic Area of Residence

	Percent	Number
Northwest	19%	N=114
Northeast	13%	N=79
West Central	22%	N=132
East Central	25%	N=149
Southwest	5%	N=32
Southeast	16%	N=98
Total	100%	N=603

#### Table 66: Council District of Residence

	Percent	Number
District 1	18%	N=109
District 2	20%	N=121
District 3	15%	N=92
District 4	14%	N=85
District 5	14%	N=87
District 6	18%	N=109
Total	100%	N=603

## Appendix C: Verbatim Responses

Following are verbatim responses to open-ended. Because these responses were written by survey participants, they are presented here in verbatim form, including any typographical, grammar or other mistakes. Within each question the responses are in alphabetical order.

# Q17: Thinking about the next few years, what is ONE item or focus area you would like the City to improve on?

### Cost of living/economy/jobs

- Addressing poverty and homelessness
- Addressing poverty.
- Affordability.
- Affordability.
- Better paying jobs
- Bringing in manufacturing, big business and business growth
- Business recovery post covid 19 and supporting small businesses, especially those along the college avenue corridor.
- Business-shopping.
- Childcare.
- Cost of living housing.
- Easing COVID-19 restrictions
- Economy more opportunities for jobs.
- Economy & Homelessness.
- Economy.
- Economy.
- Economy.
- Economy.
- Giving small businesses a break. You have created a barrier of entry that is too expensive to overcome! Taxes, codes, REG's.
- Help wages to be in line with expense of living in this community.
- How expensive everything is
- Increased affordable childcare & housing (both are equally important).
- Jobs
- Liveable economy (housing prices are ridiculous).
- Local economic viability. IE Mall, shopping, entertainment.
- poverty and homelessness
- prices
- Promoting growth of job opportunities and business growth.
- Quality of life for young people non restaurant jobs, affordable housing; childcare.
- Restaurants more diverse options and affordable options!
- Skyrocketing cost of living, in particular housing.
- Social Health: poverty and housing
- Supporting small businesses
- Sustainability : housing affordability, child care, jobs pays enough we can afford to live here not simply exist.
- The city thrives with a strong economy that benefits everyone.
- The Mall and shopping

• Welcoming new small businesses. --> get rid of u+ 2 rule! <---

#### Housing cost

- Access to affordable housig
- Access to affordable housing
- Adequate housing for all groups including homeless and those with mental illness.
- Affordability and housing
- Affordability of housing
- Affordable housing not more open space
- Affordable housing & higher minimum wage.
- Affordable housing / income disparity : deal with neighborhoods instead of gentrifying them. Diversity in general needs to be welcomed.
- Affordable housing and encouragement for large employers to pay according to the cost of living
- Affordable housing and fewer new construction
- Affordable housing building more apartments and getting rid of the tight restrictions While also saving water protection of water sources otherwise our beer industry goes away quickly
- Affordable housing for all across income spectrums
- Affordable housing for all.
- AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR FAMILIES.
- affordable housing for low-income people
- Affordable housing for seniors, especially those on fixed incomes. Who've been forced to mobile home parks. Where lot rents keep going up!!!
- Affordable housing for working class people
- Affordable housing options.

- Affordable housing to ensure diversity; happiness.
- Affordable housing!!
- Affordable housing, environment.
- Affordable housing, lower property taxes
- Affordable housing, poverty.
- Affordable housing.
- Affordable housing. Rental costs in Fort Collins and Northern Colorado are horrible.
- Affordable housing. This is a great city, but only for those with money. Get rid of U+2!
- Affordable housing/childcare
- Affordable housing/inclusivity.
- Affordable owned tenure housing options
- affordable places to call home
- Affordable quality/housing.
- AFFORDABLE, QUALITY HOUSING.
- Availability of affordable housing and homelessness
- Better housing!!! What you call affordable is NOT affordable! People and families have to live in very unsafe neighbors in tiny apartments because its to expensive to live here. Also more traffic control there are more accidents every day then there should be!
- Cheaper housing.
- Cost of housing / transportation.
- Housing all income/diversity levels.
- housing affordability
- Housing affordability
- Housing affordability
- Housing affordability, historic preservation stop scraping the small homes & allowing big ugly ones to be built in their place.

- Housing equality
- Housing for socio economic challenged individuals.
- Housing in general a problem across the front range. Insanity pricing, availability for all socioeconomic backgrounds.
- housing initiatives that are affordable, attainable. Don't let existing neighbors influence these projects it's just more NIMBYism.
- Housing, there are basically no good options for households making <100k. Even rent is getting out of hand.
- Housing.
- Housing/ crime.
- I would like the city to focus on attaining more affordable housing for citizens who cannot afford the current high price of home ownership.
- Lack of affordable housing/lack of non-specialized living wage jobs
- Less housing development unless it is more affordable housing opportunities.
- Low income housing tired of homeless population!! Low income housing for seniors.
- LOW INCOME HOUSING.
- M.H.P. cap on lot rents help to SANNS. Free Wi-Fi for seniors limited income.
- Middle income housing affordability
- More affordable housing
- More affordable housing for middle class income.
- MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING OPTIONS/ RENTAL OPTIONS.
- More affordable housing.
- More affordable housing.
- More affordable housing. At this rate i won't be able to buy a home here.
- MORE REDUCED RENT HOUSING. (THE RENT WAS MORE THAN THEIR INCOME).
- Providing adequate and affordable housing thru public/private partnerships for middle and lower income families
- Providing affordable, quality housing for low income residents.
- Quality affordable housing-.
- Quality housing, homelessness.
- Rental housing rental licensing permits & then eliminate U + 2
- SR housing.

#### **Traffic and roads**

- A safe way to get across harmony/timberline & to bike trails(underpass).
- Better traffic management(traffic lights!).
- Congestion issues in town / construction.
- Consider the traffic problems tied to very dense new housing developments.
- Drivers to much speeding on the roads & driver on cell phones.
- Expediting the traffic
- Flow of traffic in congested areas.
- Flow of traffic.
- Free parking in old town for appartments.
- Harmony / IRS.
- Implement more inductance sensors at traffic lights and utilize a software engineer/artificial intelligence to make lights more efficient reducing emissions, traffic, and road rage.
- IMPROVE FLOW OF TRAFFIC THAT ALLEVIATE CONJESTION.
- Improving roads and intersections, easing traffic congestion
- Improving the flow of traffic during rush hours and when the train comes through. Maybe providing a daily train schedule if possible

- Maintaining roads & traffic operations.
- MORE ROADS TO HANDLE THE INCREASING TRAFFIC.
- More sidewalks.
- NOISE CONTROL, SPEEDING CONTROL, CONGESTION.
- Parking
- Railroad and its traffic situations and noise.
- Reduce road congestion
- Replace aging water line mains and fix streets above them.
- Road congestion
- Roads
- Roads, transportation, traffic.
- ROADS.
- South college from harmony to trilby.
- Street maintenance.
- Street maintenance.
- Streets, traffic patterns.
- Surface street traffic
- Sync the traffic lights. Some places you have to stop 3 times in a quarter mile.
- The coordination of traffic lights for better flow of traffic.
- Timing on lights, traffic flow more smoothly.
- traffic
- Traffic Trains
- Traffic congestion timing of the traffic lights.
- Traffic congestion & not every inch needs to be developed.
- TRAFFIC CONGESTION.
- TRAFFIC CONGESTION.
- Traffic control.
- Traffic- drag racing.
- Traffic enforcement
- Traffic flow
- Traffic flow
- traffic flow improvement
- Traffic flow!! signals @ many busy intersections allow only 1-2 cars thru during peak hours. (ie ziegler/ harmony) (constant tie-up @ harmony/ I25.)
- Traffic flow.
- Traffic flow/ lights.
- Traffic issues.
- TRAFFIC- MANY VIOLATIONS & NO POLICE.
- Traffic midigation for upcoming subdivisions.
- Traffic movement.
- Traffic on college ave going through old town.
- Traffic planning & street maintenance.
- Traffic, pedestrian safety.
- Traffic.
- TRAFFIC.
- Traffic.
- Traffic.
- Traffic.
- Traffic/Noise enforcement.

- Transportation-congestion is horrible. I hate my drive to work because of the horrible congestion.
- Wider roads, more lanes.

#### **Environmental issues**

- Air quality
- Air quality.
- Alternative energy
- Because of water limitations this area probably can't support all the people who want to live here. How do we handle that? How do we ensure that ability to live here is not entirely controlled by economics. Climate change will reduce the carrying capacity of the land even further. Has the city done any work of estimating the carrying capacity of the land? Building new water systems and more housing will not work forever.
- City-wide composting & larger recycling offerings.
- Climate action.
- climate change
- Concern for water supplies.
- Creating natural areas and open space to offset the continual building and urbanization of the area
- Encouraging better water use. Developing water restrictions. Discouraging new subdivisions from high water use plants
- Environment
- Environment
- environment
- environment
- Environment / conservation. Water. Xeriscape. Eliminate waste.
- Environment.
- Environment: Air, Water, Smart Growth, Climate Action Plan, etc.
- Environmental impact / climate change.
- Environmental issues, promotion of solutions to climate change
- Focus on climate change effects.
- Good water resources and need more trees & green for air quality.
- I can't pick one... climate action plan is one of my top priorities.
- Improving air quality
- Keeping in mind limited natural resources, e.g., water, in relation to population growth.
- Maintaining natural areas and parks
- Make sure we have enough water and stop the growth of Fort Collins.
- making composting more comprehensive (soiled paper products, tea bags, animal products)
- More info via local TV channels re climate solutions.
- Open spaces.
- Picking up trash planting more trees.
- Planting more trees
- Protecting wildlife and working to develop effective coexistence with humans nearby, rather than killing the wildlife! (e.g., prairie dogs as but one example). To have wildlife management personnel
staffing & overseeing FC parks, trails, and open space but who believe in eradicating, rather than sustaining is abysmal and we DO have such people in some senior positions. I was appalled to hear the variety of reflexive (and not infrequently invalid, inaccurate, and sometimes outright lies as) excuses for killing all manner of small and larger mammals, raptors, and other animals--from actual city parks & rec management in recent years. I have been active in fighting them on issues along with some responsive city council members but some of them have proven both unreliable and unworthy of their jobs. With the wealth of expertise in FC among our academic and governmental residents, when their opinions are not solicited (or such help offered and ignored), there is a real problem.

- Recycle Styrofoam recycle, all yard waste in brown bags not garbage, take out food in compostable containers ban styrofoam.
- Recycling (Getting rid of plastic bags is a great start)G
- RECYCLING.
- Sustainability. Growth, economy, everything else is beholden to environmental sustainability. Make sure that Fort Collins is doing more than it's share of the work to ensure an enduring liveable environment.
- Taking climate change seriously, i.e., significant efforts not just talk.
- Technology improvements such as TESLA solar and battery storage for the people of Northern Colorado, not out-of-state
- the environment air quality, water conservation, renewable energy usage
- The preservation of environment.
- Water conservation
- Water Conservation and Recycling
- Water storage, build glade.
- Wildlife conservation.

#### Growth and development issues

- Address growth 20yrs out.
- Avoiding over growth.
- Balancing development (new housing everywhere!) with traffic and livability.
- BETTER OVERSIGHT OF DEVELOPMENT.
- Building inspections.
- Business Development
- CITY PLANNING
- Continued residential building
- Control of growth.
- Development and transportation in particular cross city arterial and water (including maintaining the river corridor.
- DIscourage growth.
- Fewer generic stucco boxes in new construction.
- Focus on what happens when growth stops.
- Foothills Mall is the black eye of FC, it's a big disappointment. We leave town to shop but wish we didn't have to. Centerra/Loveland has better options of quality stores & restaurants.
- Fort Collins used to have its own unique vibe. As we've grown, it seems like we're increasingly becoming mini-Boulder. Affluent out-of-state people move in, and middle class and familes move out as they can't afford to live here. Thinking of Boulder, Broomfield and other surrounding cities are now more appealling in many ways. I have lived in FoCo for 40 years (having grown up here) and Boulder for close to 10 years (after college and then back to FoCo). I would like to see Fort Collins less focused on legislating and spending tax dollars on morality issues and more focused on core city services which does include the parks and trails (maybe the best thing about FoCo).
- Get rid of threat from thornton / or anyone laying huge water pipes etc & " glade reservoir".

- Growth & impact on traffic.
- Growth planning (-infrastructure is not adequate).
- Growth.
- Growth.
- Halting or reducing the size of this town. Limiting additions growth of numbers of people.
- Housing and zoning laws, including limiting or abandoning U+2
- Inclusivity. One way to do this is to re-zone areas of foco for multifamily living.
- INFORMING US OF MASS RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS BEFORE PERMITS ARE ISSUED & MOVE FORWARD.
- Infrastructure to support growth.
- Infrastructure, around new housing.
- It Collins has lost that small city feel; environment. Growth has become unmanageable; traffic is a nightmare. Putting up more stoplights or roundabouts does not address overpopulation.
- Less building of multi family housing (condos, apartments, townhomes)
- Less garbage residential development. Let development pay their way from infrastructure to new schools. Enough with tiff funding. Get developers off council. The good ol boy developer network in noco needs to end. They have destroyed Fort Collins. The rampant development has got to stop until our infrastructure is caught up to accommodate traffic growth.
- Less restrictions building permits.
- LIMIT GROWTH!
- LOOK AT OVERBUILDING OF AREA (RESIDENTIAL).
- Maintain population no more growing.
- Maintaining foothills mall and not closing foothills mall
- Maintaining Fort Collins' character and appeal in the face of rapid growth.
- Making accommodations PAST and FUTURE growth. Roads, services, parks and natural areas, all need to handle the growth the area has seen in the last decade, and will continue to see in the future.
- manage growth
- Managing growth
- Managing growth. It's tough with so many people wanting to move to Fort Collins, but I look fondly on the days when it was less populated. I don't like the idea of the city increasing in population as much as it will, but that is just the way it is, I think.
- Montava
- Not saying yes to every single possible development opportunity. (Managing growth)
- Plan for growth.
- Planning: development.
- Population cap.
- Population growth (too much)
- Short growth.
- Slowing, growth of neighborhoods & high-density housing!
- Slowing/stopping growth, making growth pay its own way
- Smart growth and connectivity
- stop building condos and build actual neighborhoods with single family homes. people move here with money and want a house not a condo! dont build condos where the roads cant support the amount of people moving in.
- Stop trying to take over the Hughs Stadium development. CSU has a very forward looking plan and the City should accept and support that plan. I don't understand why the City believes in the current "My way or the highway" philosophy of control.
- Streamline the process for building new housing
- Talking with neighbors before major construction in their neighborhood
- To prevent excessive urban sprawl.

• Transportation/ infrastructure to accomodate our growing community.

#### **Transportation improvements**

- Add downhill bike path in Maxwell ("A" mountain) The trail that exists has too much traffic and needs a dedicated down hill trail for bikes to relieve the congestion and for safety.
- Better snow removal service after winter storms.
- Bike lanes North Fort Collins.
- Bike routes, small business.
- Bike safety better bike lanes or paths, Transfort drivers need to give bikes more space
- Bike trail access on the SE side. I live near Fossil Ridge HS and have to ride along 40 mile per hour roads for miles to get to a safe bike trail. The 80528 zip code pays some of the highest property taxes in town only to see bike path funding go outside of our zip code.
- Enhansing transportation.
- EV charging stations.
- Focus on alternative transportation options. Single use vehicles are huge contributors to climate change. The city needs to focus on making biking, walking, and busing more convenient than driving.
- Improve EV charging infrastructure.
- IMPROVING TRANSPORTATION CORRIDORS WITHIN THE CITY.
- Increased public transportation more bus routes, higher frequency.
- light rail for the Front Range
- multimodal transportation
- Northern Colorado Regional Airport
- Northern Colorado Regional Airport needs commercial flights to major hubs.
- Providing more EV charging stations (preferably free)
- public transportation
- Public transportation in all areas
- Public transportation, improving snow removal services
- Public transportation.
- Rail service to Denver.
- Snow removal.
- Stop operating the Transfort like a nazi death train, open our businesses and shut up about COVID 19
- Train to DIA.
- Transfort
- Transport & safety on buses.
- Transportation
- Transportation
- Transportation traffic operations
- Transportation & Mobility.
- Transportation (walking, biking, public transportation, and expanding road) especially in Northern Fort Collins
- Transportation and mobility.
- Transportation, it's very hard to navigate around town in a timely manner due to the trains and traffic.
- TRANSPORTATION.
- Transportation.
- Transportation.
- Transportation.

- Transportation.
- Transportation.
- Transportation/ roads.
- Walkability and bikebility
- We need an airport in N.Co that we can use.
- widening the sidewalks

#### Safety/police/homelessness

- Abolish the police and invest in social programs.
- Addressing Homelessness in Old Town
- Addressing homelessness.
- bicycle and pedestrian safety
- Clean up transient/bum problem
- Discourage panhandling.
- Drug enforcement.
- Fix homelessness, should not be allowed to the loither downtown. Makes it feel unsafe. Also, in parks or other areas. Slow down growth.
- Homeless downtown
- Homeless population management
- Homeless.
- Homelessness
- homelessness
- Homelessness
- Homelessness
- Homelessness & Affordable living/ More housing vouchers.
- Homelessness / Affordable housing.
- Homelessness.
- Homelessness.
- Homelessness.
- I would like to see an increase in pedestrian and bicycle safety throughout the city.
- improve police services, have a mental health program to address situations.
- Improved safety for pedestrians especially around CSU city park and plum should have a crosswalk!
- living on the north college side of town, the homelessness issue seems to be the most visible issue I'm not sure what the solution is, but it seem to be an increasing issue every year (both an issue for those living here, and those experiencing homelessness)
- Maintain support & training for police.
- Making loitering illegal to keep homeless people from hurting business.
- MENTAL HEALTH & HOMELESSNESS/SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS.
- More training for police officers about cultural sensitivity, responding to mental health calls, and working with people disabilities. Fewer tax dollars should go to the police force with more money going toward partners like SummitStone to respond to mental health calls.
- Police & neighbor involvement = mutual respect.
- PRIORITIES SUPPORT THE POLICE, FIRE DEPT. & FIRST RESPONDERS.
- put a stop to the panhandlers on every street corner!
- Redefine policing. Replace police with mental health and social workers. Towards being True Police Officers
- RELATIONSHIP OF MEMBERS OF POLICE TO GENERAL PUBLIC.
- Remove vagrants from city.
- Safety is really important to me.
- Safety.

- Safety.
- Safety.
- Solve the homeless issue. The homeless are rampant near downtown. It negatively impacts the location
  and housing developments near them. Seriously, you can't call the cops because they can't do anything.
  There are homeless folk yelling and screaming at me and my daughter when i try to walk with her.
  People are camped out in bathrooms on near the trail and on the trail. Then one day I saw some
  homeless person taking a dump off the main road.
- Solving the growing transient homeless and vagrant issue.
- The city needs to address the issues with the homeless/transient population and the effects on the residential neighborhoods where most of the interaction with that population takes place. I believe there is currently a great burden on the Library Park neighborhood because of the proximity of the Mission, the Library and services provided by the two churches on the corner of Oak and Mathews Streets. Pre-pandemic, many residents with children stopped allowing their children to go to the library alone, and some have even moved to areas they consider safer for their children. Now that the temporary homeless shelter at Blue Spruce that served this population during the pandemic is closing, residents are concerned that the problems with high crime rate we experienced for years prior to the pandemic will resurface. I would like to see many of services for the homeless (including those provided by the churches) relocated out of any residential areas. It's time for the city to take charge of the situation and find a permanent solution.
- The homeless population that is using drugs, drunk in public, and panhandling on every corner needs to be addressed. The safety of our city and family friendly community will be ruined if we support this population indefinitely vs. providing support with rules. Such as low cost/free housing with required drug treatment and finding/maintaining a job.
- The response to the homeless population.
- To do more for the homeless around here, and to help them get back on their feet

#### Government policies, spending, service delivery, etc.

- Being more efficient with taxpayer dollars
- Consolidation of trash services as a city service. Should have purchased Gallegos, others as they become available.
- Eliminate liberal political agendas
- I think that the local government needs to improve their knowledge on how much their actions affect senior citizens, persons with disabilities, low income people, the homeless, and people on fixed income. Local, state, and government officials are quick to tax people which usually affects the persons mentioned earlier in my response.
- I would like the city to focus on providing resources to the community. (Examples: Connexions, Recycling, Green Technology, Mental Healthcare, etc)
- Infrastructure for water & power.
- It needs to rein in spending, not raise property/ other taxes & fees. Do not keep to utility fees.
- Less spending in the city budget
- Make certain the city has a baseload resource for electricity.
- Not spending more frivolously.
- Politically & socially, this city is becoming very one sided. There needs to be a more balanced approach in all areas.
- Putting the stated city aspirations into action (eg. new king sapers at Drake and college vs transit district goals).
- stick to basic government services--roads, crime prevention, etc.
- The electricity prices during peak hours needs to be addressed. Need to obtain additional peak power availability to meet demand so that individuals can afford to use air conditioning in the hot summer months is affordable. I work at home now and need to be able run my air conditioning while working at home.

- transparency in their plans and actions
- Try to retain the feel of Fort Collins rather than doing what every other city does across the country and copying their programs.
- Utilities are very expensive partly due to individuals paying for housing growth.
- Waste water assessment/ planning! Neighborhoods around drake WW facility often smell terrible!
- We need to change to a Strong Mayor / Council form of government and eliminate the City Mangaer.

#### **Recreation and parks**

- A senior center in east FTC.
- Bike path connectivity.
- Connecting northern neighborhoods to trail systems.
- in town mountain bike park
- More community activities
- More neighborhood walking and biking trails
- More retiree activities like softball and pickleball
- more tennis courts
- Parks & Rec.
- Put a sauna and racketball court in the Foothills Activity Center.
- We need more pickleball courts.
- Winter activities and entertainment.

#### Diversity, equity, and inclusion

- All people except one another by spreading love.
- Anti-racism efforts in schools
- Better diversity throughout the city
- creating an inclusive, accessible community that meets residents basic needs
- Diversity and inclusion of all members of the community.
- Diversity equity and inclusion
- Diversity of people and socio-economic backgrounds
- Ensuring that people of color are treated the same as everyone else in the community
- Greater support and respect for marginalized communities.
- Hiring Diversity.
- Improve diversity.
- Inclusion.
- inequality
- Social justice, inclusion, racial justice.
- supporting people of color

#### Neighborhood livability

- focus on liveability maintaining open spaces and parks; increasing housing density and affordable housing
- Livability
- Livability
- Neighborhood livability & social health.
- Neighborhood livability and social health and the underpinnings to achieve that goal.
- Neighborhood livability and social health.

- Neighborhood livability-social health-affordable inclusion.
- Neighborhood respect from students.

#### Other

- Abolish all HOAs in Fort Colllins.
- Assumption on poor peoples troubles, less than can last and perfection.
- Ban open wood burning fire pits.
- Be more part of fort collins to many out siders!!! Horsetooth.
- Better education which means better teacher pay.
- Cars more experience.
- Concern for parents if they make requests from schools to contact social services & share Jesus more.
- distrobution of wealth through taxes and potholes
- Education
- Education- so much growth- too many kids at schools. Environment- so much growth- need to protect.
- I would like to see a ban on burning wood in fireplaces. Also burning paper in outdoor pits.
- Internet speed.
- LOVE FORT COLLINS. BEEN HERE FOR 55 YEARS
- Mental health, affordable housing, reducing poverty.
- Noise pollution (loud motorcycles, unmufflered vehicles, loud machinery from businesses that violate noise ordinances).
- PROMOTE CIVICS CLASSES IN THE SCHOOLS.
- SCHOOL.
- SLACKERS.
- Support groups for people with mental health issue
- Survey. First open area to type, after 19 questions. Listening is part of inclusion. This needs to be the first question and more open. Something like how do you feel about living in Fort Collins? Tell us ONE thing that is good, bad and ugly. If you are in-charge how would you handle it?

### Q18: Why is it important to you, or the community overall, for the City to focus on the area you mentioned?

Q18 responses are shared in an Excel file under separate cover as it is a follow up to q17 and needs to be reviewed in parallel to understand the context.

# Q19 (other): Please indicate how frequently, if ever, you or other members of your household use each of the following sources for information regarding City issues, services and programs: Other

- Can't afford cable, wifi, groceries shouldn't be a luxury.
- Access is not all that easy or obvious when i do need your help.
- Cant afford to buy for internet access!!!
- By mail.
- Reddit.
- I NO LONGER PAY FOR INTERNET.
- Still read daily paper, watch local tv & news & local radio.
- e-newsletters and blogs from local community groups
- BIKE LANES.
- Need to become more responsible and tune into resources provided by the city!
- I have [?] and think it is great.
- I didn't know some of these existed.
- Just living here Observation interaction w/in community.
- Discriminating connotation. Reid and not real rental cleaners crisis less improvement.
- Only listens to God no radio or T.V. my son? IOK.

#### D10 (other): What is your gender? - Prefer to self-identify:

- Separating "transgender woman" from "woman" does the opposite of what you're intending and implies trans women aren't "real" women. If you want to know how many trans people are responding, use "Cis woman/Cis man" and "Trans woman/Trans man". I'm a cis woman, for the record.
- NOT GENDERS.
- Indigenous?
- Seriously??
- What does it matter?
- Only 2 genders.
- Oh, for pete's sake.... too politically correct good grief!

### D11 (other): Which term best describes your sexual orientation? (Select all that apply.) – Prefer to self-identify:

- Queer.
- Queer
- NOT.
- Husband father.
- I like females.
- Why?
- Seriously?
- What does it matter?
- Normal.
- (In same sex relationship).

## D12 (other): What is your race and/or ethnicity? (Please mark any race or ethnicity you identify as.) – Prefer to self-identify:

- Jewish.
- The last 3 questions are highly illegal. My ethnicity is American!!! My personal life is no ones business. The housing boom has increased property taxes & rents. To where they are unsustainable on a fixed income, senior demographic!!!
- Tau.
- Why?
- What does it matter?
- EUROPEAN AMERICAN.
- I'm an American
- American.
- American.
- euro-american
- Norwegian.
- Immigrant.
- off white

### Appendix D: Comparisons of Select Questions by Respondent Characteristics

#### **Creating Average Ratings**

Average ratings for select survey questions are compared by respondent characteristics in the following tables. Although responses to many of the evaluative questions were made on a five-point scale with 1 representing the best rating and 5 the worst, the ratings shown in this appendix and those that follow are on a scale where 0 is the worst possible rating and 100 is the best possible rating.

The 100-point scale is not a percent. It is a conversion of responses to an average rating. Each response option is assigned a value that is used in calculating the average score. For example, "very good"=100, "good"=75, "average"=50, "bad"=25, and "very bad"=0. If everyone reported "very good," then the average rating would be 100 on the 100-point scale. Likewise, if all respondents said "very bad", the result would be 0 on the 100-point scale. If half the respondents gave a score of "very good" and half gave a score of "very bad," the average would be in the middle of the scale.

#### Statistical Comparisons between Subgroups

The subgroup comparison tables contain the cross tabulations of selected survey questions by respondent characteristics. Chi-square or ANOVA tests of significance were applied to these breakdowns of survey questions. A "p-value" of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a 5% probability that differences observed between groups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than 95% probability that the differences observed in the selected categories of the sample represent "real" differences among those populations.

For each pair of subgroups that has a statistically significant difference, an upper case letter denoting significance is shown in the category with the larger column proportion. The letter denotes the category with the smaller column proportion from which it is statistically different. Differences were marked as statistically significant if the probability that the differences were due to chance alone were less than 5%. Categories were not used in comparisons when a column proportion was equal to zero or one.

Items that have no upper case letter denotation in their column and that are also not referred to in any other column were not statistically different.

For example, in Table 67 on the following page, residents who had lived in Fort Collins for five years or less (A) gave an average rating of 90 to the city as a place to live overall. This proportion of residents (A) was statistically higher than the average rating given by residents who had lived in Fort Collins for more than 20 years (D). This is denoted by the "D" under the average rating for those living in the community for 5 years or less. Ratings of the city as a place to live was statistically similar for those who had lived in the community for 6-10 years (B) and 11-20 years (C), denoted by no upper-case letters below each of these average ratings or in other columns. In that same table, when comparing ratings by respondent tenure, homeowners (A) gave statistically significantly higher ratings to all aspects of quality of life in the community compared to renters (B), denoted by the "B" under the average rating for owners.

Table 67: Aspects of Quality of Life and Commu	nity by Re				<u>, Housi</u>	ng Lenu	re, and Employem	ent Status	
		Length c	of residen	су		ondent iure	Employmen	t status	Overall
Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
listed below. Average rating on 100-point scale (0=very bad,	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	90	88	88	86	91	84	88	86	88
Overall, as a place to live	D				В				
	84	82	84	81	86	76	84	78	82
As a place to raise children					В		В		
	83	81	82	79	83	79	82	79	81
As a place to attend college					В				
	73	74	75	76	77	72	75	73	75
Quality of public schools					В				
	77	75	70	71	75	70	73	74	73
As a place to retire					В				
Openness and acceptance of the community toward people	66	65	63	64	69	59	65	63	65
of diverse backgrounds					В				
	45	41	46	40	46	38	42	46	43
Availability of affordable quality childcare					В				
	30	31	29	26	34	23	27	34	29
Availability of affordable quality housing					В			А	
	84	80	82	79	86	75	82	80	81
Overall quality of life in Fort Collins	D				В				

Table 67: Aspects of Quality of Life and Community by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

1 0010 001 7 10	1										
			nt gender		pondent			thnicity		rientation	Overall
Please rate Fort Collins as a	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
community on each of the items			conforming	years	years	years	alone, not	and/or		sexual	
listed below. Average rating on 100-						or	Hispanic	other race		orientation	
point scale (0=very bad, 100=very						older					
good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	89	88	82	88	87	89	89	84	90	86	88
Overall, as a place to live	С						В		В		
	85	82	79	82	84	83	84	79	85	71	82
As a place to raise children									В		
	83	81	65	82	80	80	83	77	83	80	81
As a place to attend college	С	С					В				
	77	75	63	75	76	75	77	64	77	73	75
Quality of public schools	С	С					В				
	75	73	66	74	68	76	75	67	75	66	73
As a place to retire						В	В		В		
Openness and acceptance of the	67	62	64	66	59	68	64	64	64	67	65
community toward people of diverse	В			В		В					
backgrounds											
Availability of affordable quality	44	41	52	37	44	50	45	30	43	41	43
childcare						А	В				
Availability of affordable quality	29	29	30	27	27	34	29	29	29	25	29
housing						A B					
	83	82	74	81	80	83	82	80	84	72	81
Overall quality of life in Fort Collins	С	С							В		

Table 68: Aspects of Quality of Life and Community by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

Table 09. Resident Loyalty by Res	pondent Le	enginori	vesidenc	y, nousing n	enure, a	па стпрі	Oyennenn Status		
		Length o	f residend	су	Respo	ondent	Employmen <sup>-</sup>	t status	Overall
					ten	ure			
Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
the following:(Average rating 0=very unlikely, 100=very	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
likely).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	88	82	74	71	80	76	79	77	79
Recommend living in Fort Collins to someone who asks	C D	C D							
	80	69	78	80	86	66	75	86	77
Remain in Fort Collins for the next five years	В			В	В			Α	

#### Table 69: Resident Loyalty by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

#### Table 70: Resident Loyalty by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

	R	esponder	nt gender	Res	pondent	age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual or	rientation	Overall
	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
Please indicate how likely or unlikely			conforming	years	years	years	alone, not	and/or		sexual	
you are to do each of the						or	Hispanic	other race		orientation	
following:(Average rating 0=very						older					
unlikely, 100=very likely).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Recommend living in Fort Collins to	79	80	67	82	73	79	80	73	80	81	79
someone who asks	С	С		В			В				
Remain in Fort Collins for the next	76	80	79	71	80	88	80	71	81	64	77
five years					Α	A B	В		В		

Table 71: Promotion of Social Health by	by Respon	ident Len	gin of Re	sidency, Hol	ising re	nure, an	a Employement St	atus	
		Length o	f residend	cy	Respo	ondent	Employment	t status	Overall
					ten	ure			
	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
Please rate the City's performance in each of the following	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
areas. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Promotion of the social health of Fort Collins (Human	55	55	51	48	57	45	52	51	52
Services, Affordable Housing, Homelessness, Equity &	D	D			В				
Inclusion, etc.)									

#### Table 71: Promotion of Social Health by by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

#### Table 72: Promotion of Social Health by by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

	R	esponder	nt gender	Res	spondent	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual or	rientation	Overall
Please rate the City's performance in	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
each of the following areas.			conforming	years	years	years	alone, not	and/or		sexual	
(Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very						or older	Hispanic	other race		orientation	
good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Promotion of the social health of	54	50	47	51	50	55	54	43	54	45	52
Fort Collins (Human Services,							В		В		
Affordable Housing, Homelessness,											
Equity & Inclusion, etc.)											

#### City of Fort Collins

	responde	<u> </u>			<u> </u>				
		Length o	f residend	cy 🛛	Respo	ondent	Employment	t status	Overall
					ten	ure			
Please rate the quality of your neighborhood on each of	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
the items listed below. (Average rating 0=very bad,	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	]
	82	80	80	82	86	75	81	81	81
Your neighborhood as a place to live					В				
	78	78	78	78	84	68	77	79	78
Your neighborhood as a place to raise children					В				

#### Table 73: Quality of Neighborhoods by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

#### Table 74: Quality of Neighborhoods by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

	R	esponder	nt gender	Res	spondent	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual or	rientation	Overall
Please rate the quality of your	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White alone,	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
neighborhood on each of the items			conforming	years	years	years	not	and/or other		sexual	
listed below. (Average rating 0=very						or older	Hispanic	race		orientation	
bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	82	81	77	80	80	85	82	78	83	75	81
Your neighborhood as a place to live						A B			В		
Your neighborhood as a place to	80	77	72	75	78	82	79	71	80	65	78
raise children						Α	В		В		

#### Table 75: Access in Neighborhood to Everyday Needs by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

		Length c	f residend	су	Respo	ondent	Employment	t status	Overall
					ten	ure			
Please rate the quality of your neighborhood on each of	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
the items listed below. (Average rating 0=very bad,	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Access within your neighborhood to everyday needs (i.e.,	85	76	76	81	81	80	80	81	80
grocery shopping, services, and amenities)	ВC			В					

I able 76: Acce	ess in	меідпро	rnood to Ever	yday Ne	eas by G	ender, Ag	ge, Race/Ethn	icity, and Sexi	ual Orientation		
	R	esponde	nt gender	Res	pondent	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual o	rientation	Overall
Please rate the quality of your	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White alone,	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
neighborhood on each of the items			conforming	years	years	years	not	and/or other		sexual	
listed below. (Average rating 0=very						or older	Hispanic	race		orientation	
bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Access within your neighborhood to everyday needs (i.e., grocery shopping, services, and amenities)	80	81	80	80	79	83	80	78	81	79	80

Table 76: Access in Neighborhood to Everyday Needs by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

#### Table 77: Ratings of Neighborhood-related Services by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

		Length o	of residence	cy 🛛	Respo	ondent	Employment	status	Overall
					ten	ure			
	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
Please rate quality of each of the following in Fort	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
Collins. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	65	63	66	57	65	58	63	60	62
Code enforcement (weeds, rubbish/trash, etc.)	D		D		В				
	56	65	59	59	63	55	60	58	60
Noise enforcement		А			В				
Residential property maintenance	69	65	68	66	68	65	67	67	67

	R	esponde	nt gender	Res	sponden <sup>.</sup>	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual or	rientation	Overall
	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White alone,	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
Please rate quality of each of the			conforming	years	years	years or	not Hispanic	and/or other		sexual	
following in Fort Collins. (Average						older		race		orientation	
rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	]
Code enforcement (weeds, rubbish/trash, etc.)	60	66 A C	55	61	66 C	59	63	62	63	64	62
Noise enforcement	59	62 C	50	60	60	59	60	58	61	55	60
Residential property maintenance	66	68	63	67	67	66	68	66	68	65	67

Table 78: Ratings of Neighborhood-related Services by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

#### Table 79: Community Engagement by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

		Length o	f residen	су	-	ondent ure	Employmen	it status	Overall
In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or	5 years or less	6-10 years	11-20 years	More than 20	Own	Rent	Working full or part time for	Not working for	(A)
other household members done each of the following in Fort		(= )		years		1-1	pay	pay	
Collins? (Percent who had ever done each)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Visited a neighborhood park or City park	96% D	98% D	95%	90%	93%	96%	96% B	89%	94%
Attended a neighborhood-sponsored event	18%	22%	28%	32% A	33% B	16%	25%	24%	25%
Attended a government-organized event (open house, City Council session, forum, etc.)	10%	19% A	21% A	19% A	20% B	13%	18%	14%	17%
Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone	42%	33%	36%	36%	33%	43% A	36%	41%	37%
Volunteered your time in Fort Collins	34%	48% A	55% A	48% A	48%	43%	45%	43%	45%
Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors	82%	83%	97% A B	95% A B	99% B	76%	87%	96% A	89%
Done a favor for a neighbor	61%	67%	86% A B	90% A B	91% B	58%	74%	83% A	77%

	R	lesponder	nt gender	Res	pondent	age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual or	ientation	Overall
In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members done each of the following in Fort Collins? (Percent who	Male	Female	Non- conforming	18-34 years	35-54 years	55 years or older	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	Heterosexual	Another sexual orientation	(A)
had ever done each)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	1
Visited a neighborhood park or City park	95%	94%	94%	98% C	96% C	85%	94%	98%	94%	100% A	94%
Attended a neighborhood-sponsored event	29% B	22%	21%	21%	28%	30%	27%	17%	28% B	12%	25%
Attended a government-organized event (open house, City Council session, forum, etc.)	13%	21% A	15%	16%	17%	18%	18% B	4%	18%	12%	17%
Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone	35%	39%	44%	39%	39%	32%	39% B	25%	36%	52% A	37%
Volunteered your time in Fort Collins	46%	46%	35%	45%	48%	44%	47% B	24%	46%	34%	45%
Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors	87%	91%	91%	80%	95% A	98% A	91% B	73%	92% B	63%	89%
Done a favor for a neighbor	74%	77%	85%	62%	87% A	91% A	76% B	66%	78% B	48%	77%

#### - - - -~~ ~ .. – ···· 10 . . ~ -

#### Table 81: Overall Safety in City by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

		Length o	f residen	су	Respo	ondent	Employmen	t status	Overall
					ten	ure			
Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
items listed below. Average rating on 100-point scale	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
(0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	82	82	81	79	84	77	82	78	81
Overall safety of community members					В				

I c	able oz	. Overall	Salety III City	by Genc	iei, Aye,	Race/Ell	inicity, and Se		011		
Please rate Fort Collins as a	R	lesponder	nt gender	Res	sponden <sup>.</sup>	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual or	rientation	Overall
community on each of the items	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
listed below. Average rating on 100-			conforming	years	years	years	alone, not	and/or		sexual	
point scale (0=very bad, 100=very						or older	Hispanic	other race		orientation	
good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Overall safety of community	83	79	79	80	83	81	81	81	82	77	81
members	В								В		

Table 82: Overall Safety in City by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

#### Table 83: Ratings of Personal Safety by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

		Length o	of residence	су		ondent iure	Employmen	t status	Overall
Please tell us how safe you feel in each of the following areas. (Average rating 0=always unsafe, 100=always	5 years or less	6-10 years	11-20 years	More than 20 years	Own	Rent	Working full or part time for pay	Not working for pay	(A)
safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Downtown Fort Collins during the day	89 B D	85	86	86	89 B	84	87	88	87
Downtown Fort Collins at night	72 B D	66	68	66	71 B	65	69 B	64	68
Your neighborhood during the day	93 D	94 D	92	90	94 B	89	91	92	92
Your neighborhood at night	81	78	81	78	82 B	75	80	79	79
Parks	84 B C D	80	79	78	79	82 A	81	78	81
Natural areas/open spaces	82 D	78	80	78	80	80	80	77	80
Recreation facilities	88 D	85	85	82	84	85	86 B	81	85
Trails	82 B D	77	79	77	78	79	79 B	76	79
Fort Collins overall during the day	87 D	86	85	83	87 B	83	86 B	83	86
Fort Collins overall at night	77 B C D	72	72	69	74 B	70	73 B	69	73
Transfort/MAX	66	82 A C D	71	69	73	70	70	77 A	72

Tab	le 84: F	Ratings o	f Personal Saf	ety by G	ender, A	lge, Race,			itation		
	R	lesponder	nt gender	Res	spondent	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual or	rientation	Overall
Please tell us how safe you feel in	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White alone,	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
each of the following areas.			conforming	years	years	years	not	and/or other		sexual	
(Average rating 0=always unsafe,						or older	Hispanic	race		orientation	
100=always safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Downtown Fort Collins during the	88	86	85	85	88	89	88	84	88	82	87
day					Α	Α	В		В		
	73	65	59	69	68	67	69	64	71	57	68
Downtown Fort Collins at night	BC						В		В		
	93	91	90	90	93	93	92	89	93	87	92
Your neighborhood during the day	В				Α				В		
	84	76	73	78	81	80	80	76	81	74	79
Your neighborhood at night	BC								В		
	84	79	73	83	77	79	81	78	81	82	81
Parks	BC	С		ВC							
	82	78	78	81	79	78	80	77	80	79	80
Natural areas/open spaces	В										
	87	83	82	87	83	83	85	80	86	85	85
Recreation facilities	В			ВC			В				
	82	77	71	81	77	76	80	76	80	78	79
Trails	ВC	С		ВC			В				
	87	85	82	86	86	85	86	83	87	81	86
Fort Collins overall during the day									В		
	77	69	64	73	73	71	73	69	74	65	73
Fort Collins overall at night	ВC						В		В		
	73	70	68	71	70	75	73	65	74	61	72
Transfort/MAX							В		В		

(**-**.1 40 ol Oriontotic **T I A A B** < -> . . \_

Table 85: Community Safety Services Ratin	igs by Resp				-				
		Length c	of residend	су		ondent iure	Employmen	t status	Overall
	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
Please rate quality of each of the following in Fort Collins.	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
(Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations)	78	76	75	77	79 B	73	77	76	77
Disaster response and restoration of services	77	74	76	77	78 B	73	77	75	76
Fire prevention/education	74	72	75	74	78 B	69	73	77	74
Fire response time	77	81	85 A	82	84 B	77	81	83	81
Fire services overall	79	83	86 A	83	85 B	79	82	84	83
Crime prevention	71	67	70	67	71 B	64	69	67	69
Police patrol	70 D	64	67	63	69 B	61	66	66	66
Traffic enforcement	62	60	60	58	63 B	56	59	62	60
Police visibility	70	65	68	68	69	67	68	68	68
Police response time	71	70	73	72	74 B	69	72	69	71
Police services overall	73 B	66	68	68	73 B	63	69	70	69
Animal control	69	70	67	65	69	66	69 B	62	68
Business property maintenance	74 D	69	72	69	72	71	72 B	67	71
Natural Areas and Park Ranger services	85 B D	80	84 D	78	83	80	83 B	77	82

Table 86: Community Safety Services Ratings by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

			nt gender		pondent			thnicity	Sexual or	rientation	Overall
	Male	Female	Non- conforming	18-34 years	35-54 years	55 years	White alone, not	Hispanic and/or	Heterosexual	Another sexual	(A)
Please rate quality of each of the following in Fort Collins. (Average						or older	Hispanic	other race		orientation	
rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	]
Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations)	80 B	74	73	75	76	80	77	74	79 B	72	77
Disaster response and restoration of services	78	75	76	75	77	78	75	79	77	74	76
Fire prevention/education	75	73	77	71	75	78 A	75 B	67	76	72	74
Fire response time	82	80	85	77	83 A	86 A	82 B	73	81	81	81
Fire services overall	83	82	83	80	83	85	83	78	83	82	83
Crime prevention	69	68	64	69	67	69	70	65	70	66	69
Police patrol	65	67	65	65	65	68	66	64	68 B	56	66
Traffic enforcement	57	63 A	57	58	61	63	60	62	62 B	51	60
Police visibility	68	69	65	70	67	67	68	69	71 B	61	68
Police response time	71	71	75	71	70	73	73 B	64	72	68	71
Police services overall	69	70	67	68	69	71	69	67	71 B	61	69
Animal control	69 C	68 C	53	73 B C	66	63	69	64	69	62	68
Business property maintenance	72 C	72 C	63	75 B C	70	68	71	69	72	67	71
Natural Areas and Park Ranger services	81	82	82	83	81	80	82	80	83 B	77	82

		cann by no	sponden	Lengui	of residency,	nousin	y renuit	, and Employement	Status	
			Length c	of residence	зy	Respo	ondent	Employment	status	Overall
						ten	ure			
Ple	ase rate the City's performance in each of the	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
foll	owing areas. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
goo	od).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Pro	pmotion of the health of the environment of Fort	74	67	61	66	69	66	68	66	68
Col	lins	BCD								

#### Table 87: Promotion of Environmental Health by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

#### Table 88: Promotion of Environmental Health by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

	R	lesponder	nt gender	Res	spondent	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual o	rientation	Overall
Please rate the City's performance	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White alone,	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
in each of the following areas.			conforming	years	years	years	not	and/or other		sexual	
(Average rating 0=very bad,						or older	Hispanic	race		orientation	
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Promotion of the health of the	73	64	60	70	65	67	70	60	69	70	68
environment of Fort Collins	ВC			В			В				

#### Table 89: Overall Quality of the Environment by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

		Length c	of residence	cy .	Respo	ondent	Employmen	t status	Overall
					ten	ure			
Please rate the quality of the environment in Fort Collins in	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
each of the following areas. (Average rating 0=very bad,	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	81	77	77	76	79	76	78	76	78
Overall quality of environment	B D				В				

#### Table 90: Overall Quality of the Environment by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

	R	lesponder	nt gender	Res	sponden	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual o	rientation	Overall
Please rate the quality of the	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
environment in Fort Collins in each			conforming	years	years	years	alone, not	and/or		sexual	
of the following areas. (Average						or older	Hispanic	other race		orientation	
rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	79	77	71	78	77	78	79	76	79	73	78
Overall quality of environment	С								В		

#### City of Fort Collins

		Length o	f residen	су	Respo	ondent	Employmen	t status	Overall
					ten	ure			
Please rate the quality of the environment in Fort Collins in	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
each of the following areas. (Average rating 0=very bad,	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	85	83	81	80	83	81	83	81	82
Community's visual attractiveness	C D								
	72	67	64	66	69	66	68	67	68
Air quality	C D								
	77	71	71	74	74	74	74	74	74
Recycling programs	В								
	80	73	73	72	77	72	75	72	75
Conservation efforts	BCD				В				

#### Table 91: Aspects of the Environment by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

Table 92: Aspects of the Environment by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

	R	esponde	nt gender	Res	spondent	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual o	rientation	Overall
	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
Please rate the quality of the			conforming	years	years	years	alone, not	and/or		sexual	
environment in Fort Collins in each of						or	Hispanic	other race		orientation	
the following areas. (Average rating						older					
0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	83	83	76	84	80	82	83	80	84	81	82
Community's visual attractiveness	С	С		В							
	67	70	63	67	67	69	68	74	68	69	68
Air quality								Α			
	77	72	73	75	71	75	74	72	75	74	74
Recycling programs	В										
· · · · ·	77	72	73	75	73	75	76	73	75	73	75
Conservation efforts	В										

#### City of Fort Collins

Table 93: Transportation Ratings I			f residence			ondent	Employment		Overall
		_0gt		- )		ure	p.ojo.		e i ei uii
	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
Please rate the following areas of transportation in Fort	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
Collins. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	67	61	58	59	63	60	62	60	62
Ease of driving	BCD								
	55	65	50	54	56	56	56	57	56
Ease of traveling by public transportation		ACD							
	76	73	70	75	77	71	74	74	74
Ease of walking	С				В				
	86	81	79	75	82	78	82	73	80
Ease of traveling by bicycle	C D	D			В		В		
	52	56	52	51	55	50	53	52	53
Availability of parking Downtown					В				
	51	56	51	50	54	49	52	50	52
Convenience of parking Downtown		D			В				
	51	44	45	42	45	46	46	43	45
Level of traffic congestion	BCD								
	68	61	61	60	65	61	63	61	63
Street maintenance	BCD				В				
	39	57	59	48	49	57	52	46	51
Electric vehicle charging availability		A	A						
	32	39	40	52	45	45	40	57	45
Northern Colorado Regional Airport		•••		A				A	

Table 93: Transportation Ratings by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

T						-		Sexual Orienta			
	R	· ·	nt gender	Res	spondent	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual o	rientation	Overall
Please rate the following areas of	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White alone,	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
transportation in Fort Collins.			conforming	years	years	years	not	and/or other		sexual	
(Average rating 0=very bad,						or older	Hispanic	race		orientation	
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Ease of driving	63	61	57	60	61	64	63	59	63	62	62
Ease of traveling by public	57	56	50	56	55	57	57	51	58	50	56
transportation									В		
	76	73	67	74	73	76	74	71	75	70	74
Ease of walking	С										
	82	80	73	82	80	76	81	75	81	78	80
Ease of traveling by bicycle	С			С			В				
	56	52	42	51	56	52	54	42	57	33	53
Availability of parking Downtown	С						В		В		
	54	51	41	51	54	50	53	41	56	35	52
Convenience of parking Downtown	С						В		В		
	48	45	32	47	45	43	47	46	47	49	45
Level of traffic congestion	С	С									
	65	63	52	64	61	63	64	63	64	61	63
Street maintenance	С	С									
Electric vehicle charging	49	56	38	51	52	48	55	39	52	51	51
availability							В				
	45	46	36	38	41	55	48	35	45	41	45
Northern Colorado Regional Airport						A B					

···· ~ • -. .. \_ . . \_ . . . . . . ..

#### Table 95: Community Aspects of Culture and Recreation by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

		f residend	су	Respondent		Employment status		Overall	
					ten	ure			
Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
listed below. Average rating on 100-point scale (0=very bad,	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	66	64	67	68	70	63	66	68	67
Quality of arts and cultural opportunities					В				
Quality of recreational opportunities	86	85	85	83	86	84	85	82	85
	83	82	85	81	84	80	83	79	82
Quality of public library services					В		В		

l able 96: Comr	nunity	Aspects	of Culture and	i Recrea	tion by 0	Sender, A	ge, Race/Eth	nicity, and Se	xual Orientatio	1	
	Respondent gender			Res	pondent	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual or	rientation	Overall
Please rate Fort Collins as a	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
community on each of the items			conforming	years	years	years	alone, not	and/or		sexual	
listed below. Average rating on 100-						or	Hispanic	other race		orientation	
point scale (0=very bad, 100=very						older					
good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Quality of arts and cultural	68	65	63	65	65	69	67	65	68	61	67
opportunities									В		
	86	84	82	86	83	85	86	76	86	78	85
Quality of recreational opportunities							В		В		
· · · ·	82	84	80	83	81	83	83	76	84	80	82
Quality of public library services							В				

Table 96: Community Aspects of Culture and Recreation by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

#### City of Fort Collins

Table 97: Ratings of Parks, Recreational and Cultural	Programs an								
		Length o	of residen	су		ondent nure	Employmen	t status	Overall
Please rate the quality of each of the programs or facilities listed below. (Average rating 0=very bad,	5 years or less	6-10 years	11-20 years	More than 20 years	Own	Rent	Working full or part time for pay	Not working for pay	(A)
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	92	89	88	86	89	89	90	86	89
Natural areas and open space	C D						В		
	91	89	87	87	89	88	90	85	89
Recreational trails	C D						В		
	93	87	88	85	88	89	89	85	88
Parks	BCD						В		
	87	78	80	79	77	85	82	78	81
Cemeteries	B D	70	70	70	70	A	70		70
Golf courses	78	73	79	79	78	78	78	77	78
Athletic fields	83 B D	75	79	76	78	78	79 B	74	78
Athletic fields	75	84	82	78	80	78	81	74	79
Northside Aztlan Community Center	75	64 A	02	/0	00	70	В	/4	/9
Northside Aztian Community Center	78	80	81	80	82	77	80	79	80
Fort Collins Senior Center	70	00	01	00	B	,,,	00	75	00
	77	76	78	76	79	74	77	77	77
Edora Pool Ice Center (EPIC)	,,	10	/0	, 0	B	, ,	,,	,,,	
Foothills Activity Center	76	76	73	72	75	72	74	73	74
Mulberry Pool	73	72	66	68	71	66	69	71	70
	78	78	82	82	81	81	82	76	81
The Farm at Lee Martinez Park							B		
	86	86	86	85	87	83	86	84	86
The Gardens on Spring Creek					В				
Pottery studio	78	85	77	76	79	77	78	77	78
	87	77	80	80	81	82	82	76	81
Art in Public Places program	BCD						В		
	84	80	80	77	79	80	81	74	79
Lincoln Center programs	D						В		
	86	83	82	82	82	85	85	77	83
Fort Collins Museum of Discovery							В		
	84	73	76	73	77	75	77	72	76
Adult recreation programs	B C D	0.0	74	74		70	B	74	
Conject represention programs	80	86	76	74	77	78	79	74	77
Senior recreation programs	90	D 71	74	78	78	75	78	70	77
Youth/teen recreation programs	80	/ 1	/4	٥/	۷۸	/5	/8	73	11

Table 97: Ratings of Parks, Recreational and Cultural Programs and Facilities by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

		Table 98: Ratings of Parks	Recreational and	Cultural Programs and	Facilities by Gender,	Age, Race/Ethnicit	y, and Sexual Orientation
--	--	----------------------------	------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	--------------------	---------------------------

Table 98: Ratings of Pa	•						, , ,	•			
			nt gender		spondent			thnicity		rientation	Overal
Please rate the quality of each of	Male	Female		18-34	35-54	55	White alone,	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
the programs or facilities listed			conforming	years	years	years	not	and/or other		sexual	
below. (Average rating 0=very bad,		(=)	(-)	()	(=)	or older	Hispanic	race		orientation	4
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	88	90	87	90	88	89	90	83	90	86	89
Natural areas and open space	_						В		В		
	88	90	84	90	88	88	90	83	90	85	89
Recreational trails		С					В		В		
	90	88	85	91	86	86	89	84	89	87	88
Parks				ВC			В				
	81	81	82	86	76	78	82	78	81	89	81
Cemeteries				ВC						A	
	78	78	75	80	73	80	78	75	78	82	78
Golf courses				В		В					
Athletic fields	79	78	75	79	76	79	78	74	78	80	78
Northside Aztlan Community	77	82	73	81	77	80	80	76	80	83	79
Center											
	80	81	76	79	78	82	82	74	81	83	80
Fort Collins Senior Center							В				
	76	78	76	76	75	81	78	73	78	75	77
Edora Pool Ice Center (EPIC)	_					В					
	71	77	88	74	70	77	75	69	75	68	74
Foothills Activity Center	_	A									
	67	72	64	69	66	75	70	68	70	62	70
Mulberry Pool		Α				A B					
	80	81	83	82	79	82	81	77	80	89	81
The Farm at Lee Martinez Park										A	
	87	86	81	87	84	85	87	78	86	91	86
The Gardens on Spring Creek	_						В				
Pottery studio	76	79	82	79	77	77	79	75	79	80	78
	81	82	76	84	81	77	82	81	82	81	81
Art in Public Places program				С							
	79	80	76	84	77	77	80	79	80	83	79
Lincoln Center programs				ВC							
	83	85	71	87	80	80	84	79	84	83	83
Fort Collins Museum of Discovery	С	С		ВC							
	77	76	75	80	72	75	77	74	77	74	76
Adult recreation programs				В							

	Respondent gender			Respondent age			Race/e	thnicity	Sexual o	Overall	
Please rate the quality of each of	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White alone,	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
the programs or facilities listed			conforming	years	years	years	not	and/or other		sexual	
below. (Average rating 0=very bad,						or older	Hispanic	race		orientation	
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	]
	75	80	67	82	73	76	79	73	79	72	77
Senior recreation programs		С		В							
	79	75	77	81	72	77	77	69	77	71	77
Youth/teen recreation programs				В			В				

#### Table 99: Ratings of City as a Place to Work by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

		Length o	f residend	cy 🛛	Respondent		Employment status		Overall
					ten	ure			
Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
items listed below. Average rating on 100-point scale	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
(0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	76	75	79	74	79	72	77	72	76
As a place to work					В		В		

#### Table 100: Ratings of City as a Place to Work by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

Please rate Fort Collins as a	R	lesponder	nt gender	Res	ponden	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual o	rientation	Overall
community on each of the items	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
listed below. Average rating on 100-			conforming	years	years	years	alone, not	and/or		sexual	
point scale (0=very bad, 100=very						or older	Hispanic	other race		orientation	
good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	]
	78	75	68	76	76	77	76	73	78	73	76
As a place to work	С										

Table 101. Commany Aspects of Economic He	Length of residency Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tendre, and Employement Status								
		Length o	r residenc	су	Respo	naent	Employmen	t status	Overall
					ten	ure			
Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
listed below. Average rating on 100-point scale (0=very bad,	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	77	74	69	70	72	73	73	72	73
Quality of shopping opportunities	C D								
Quality of dining opportunities	81	77	78	79	80	78	80	78	79
	69	69	70	68	72	65	69	70	69
Quality of entertainment opportunities					В				
	63	56	60	59	63	56	59	61	59
Availability of job opportunities	В				В				
	78	80	77	80	82	74	78	83	79
Availability of quality healthcare					В			А	

#### Table 101: Community Aspects of Economic Health by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

#### Table 102: Community Aspects of Economic Health by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

	R	esponder	nt gender	Res	spondent	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual or	ientation	Overall
Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items listed below. Average rating on 100- point scale (0=very bad, 100=very	Male	Female	Non- conforming	18-34 years	35-54 years	55 years or older	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	Heterosexual	Another sexual orientation	(A)
good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Quality of shopping opportunities	75 B	71	71	75	71	71	73	75	73	73	73
Quality of dining opportunities	82 B	78	76	81 B	76	80	80	77	80	81	79
Quality of entertainment opportunities	70	68	68	68	68	71	69	66	70 B	62	69
Availability of job opportunities	61	59	53	60	58	62	60	55	61 B	54	59
Availability of quality healthcare	81	77	76	77	75	85 A B	80 B	69	81 B	67	79

		Length of	of residence	ху —	Respo	ondent	Employment	status	Overall
					ten	ure			
Please rate the City's performance in each of the	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
following areas. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	]
	74	69	64	58	65	67	66	64	66
Support of businesses	CD	D							
	67	61	63	58	62	61	62	59	62
Promotion of the economic health of Fort Collins	D								

#### Table 103: Business Support and Promotion of Economic Health by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

#### Table 104: Business Support and Promotion of Economic Health by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

	R	lesponder	nt gender	Res	spondent	age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual o	rientation	Overall
Please rate the City's performance	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White alone,	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
in each of the following areas.			conforming	years	years	years	not	and/or other		sexual	
(Average rating 0=very bad,						or older	Hispanic	race		orientation	
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	68	66	54	69	64	63	69	63	68	74	66
Support of businesses	С	С		С			В				
Promotion of the economic health	66	59	50	64	59	62	64	55	65	63	62
of Fort Collins	ВC						В				

#### Table 105: Business Health by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

		Length c	of residence	су –		ndent	Employment	t status	Overall
			-		ten	ure			
Please rate the City's performance in each of the	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
following areas. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	]
	74	67	62	58	65	65	65	65	65
Encouraging a variety of businesses	BCD	D							
	73	60	58	54	59	64	62	58	61
Retaining existing businesses	BCD	D				Α			
	72	65	61	54	61	64	62	61	62
Attracting new businesses	BCD	D	D						

	1										
	R		nt gender	Res	spondent	age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual of	rientation	Overall
Please rate the City's performance	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White alone,	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
in each of the following areas.			conforming	years	years	years	not	and/or other		sexual	
(Average rating 0=very bad,						or older	Hispanic	race		orientation	
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	]
Encouraging a variety of	66	66	56	68	62	63	67	60	67	70	65
businesses	С	С		ВC			В				
	64	60	48	65	57	59	63	60	63	68	61
Retaining existing businesses	С	С		ВC							
	64	61	53	67	57	59	64	61	64	68	62
Attracting new businesses	С			ВC							

#### Table 106: Business Health by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

#### Table 107: Overall Quality of City Services by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

		Length of	of residenc	y	Respo	ondent	Employment	status	Overall
					ten	ure			
	5 years or	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or part	Not working	(A)
	less	years	years	20 years			time for pay	for pay	
(Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Overall, how would you rate the quality of the	79	72	74	74	78	72	76	74	76
services provided by the City of Fort Collins?	BCD				В				

#### Table 108: Overall Quality of City Services by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

	F	Responder	nt gender	Re	spondent	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual o	prientation	Overall
	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54		White alone,	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another sexual	(A)
			conforming	years	years	or older	not Hispanic	and/or other		orientation	
(Average rating 0=very bad,								race			
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Overall, how would you rate the	79	73	70	76	74	76	77	70	78	75	76
quality of the services provided	ВC						В				
by the City of Fort Collins?											

	Table 109: Response to COVID-19 Pand	emic by Re	sponden	t Length d	of Residency,	Housing	g renure	e, and Employement	Status	
			Length c	of residence	су –	Respo	ondent	Employment	status	Overall
						ten	ure			
	Please rate the City's performance in each of the	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
	following areas. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
	good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
		71	67	72	67	73	64	69	68	69
_	Response to the COVID-19 pandemic					В				

#### Table 109: Response to COVID-19 Pandemic by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

#### Table 110: Response to COVID-19 Pandemic by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

	R	Respondent gender			spondent	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual o	Overall	
Please rate the City's performance	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White alone,	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
in each of the following areas.			conforming	years	years	years	not	and/or other		sexual	
(Average rating 0=very bad,						or older	Hispanic	race		orientation	
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Response to the COVID-19	68	73	53	68	69	71	72	66	72	67	69
pandemic	С	AC					В				

Table 111: City Government Ratings by R	esponden	<u> </u>			<u> </u>				
		Length o	f residen	су		ondent	Employmen	t status	Overall
						ure			
	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
Please rate the City's performance in each of the following	or less	years	years	20 years	()	(=)	part time for pay	for pay	-
areas. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	61	56	52	49	56	50	54	52	54
Managing and planning for growth	C D	D			В				
Balancing development and growth while maintaining the	65	62	54	51	59	55	58	56	57
character and identity of the City and neighborhoods	C D	CD							
	74	66	68	62	69	65	67	66	67
Efficient operation of programs and services	BCD								
	76	68	63	63	69	66	68	68	68
Encouraging sustainability in the community	BCD								
	69	65	62	59	64	63	63	63	63
Overall direction of the City	CD	D							
	75	60	64	62	66	65	65	66	66
Welcoming community member involvement	BCD								
	72	56	61	54	62	58	60	59	60
Listening to community members	BCD		D						
Respecting all community members regardless of race/ethnic	68	60	68	64	70	59	65	64	65
background, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual	В		В		В				
orientation, or marital status									
Creating a welcoming, inclusive community where all	68	59	63	61	67	58	64	61	63
community members feel a sense of belonging	BD				B	30	51	51	50

#### Table 111: City Government Ratings by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

lable										• • •	
			nt gender		pondent	-		thnicity	Sexual or		Overall
	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
			conforming	years	years	years	alone, not	and/or		sexual	
Please rate the City's performance in						or	Hispanic	other race		orientation	
each of the following areas. (Average						older					
rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	1
	56	54	36	54	52	56	56	50	55	56	54
Managing and planning for growth	C	C C	50	54	52	50	50	50	55	50	54
			40	60	- 4		<u> </u>	50	50	50	= 7
Balancing development and growth	60	57	43	60	54	57	60	50	59	58	57
while maintaining the character and	С	С		В			В				
identity of the City and neighborhoods											
Efficient operation of programs and	69	67	58	68	67	65	70	61	69	67	67
services	С	С					В				
Encouraging sustainability in the	70	68	53	71	64	67	70	64	69	74	68
community	С	С		В							
	67	63	45	66	61	63	67	60	67	62	63
Overall direction of the City	C	C	-10	00	01	00	B	00	07	02	00
	68	64	60	68	63	64	68	57	68	68	66
Welcoming community member		04	60	08	03	04		57	08	08	00
involvement	В						В				
	62	60	49	61	60	59	63	55	63	60	60
Listening to community members	С						В				
Respecting all community members	67	63	62	64	64	67	66	61	66	66	65
regardless of race/ethnic background,											
gender, religion, age, disability, sexual											
orientation, or marital status											
Creating a welcoming, inclusive	65	62	59	63	62	63	65	59	64	66	63
community where all community	05	02	55	05	02	05	05	55	04	00	00
members feel a sense of belonging											

Table 112: City Government Ratings by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation
Table 113. Contact with only Employees by Respondent Length of Residency, housing rendre, and Employement Status													
		Length c	of residence	;у	Respo	ondent	Employment	: status	Overall				
					ten	ure							
	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)				
	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay					
Percent yes	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)					
Have you had contact with any City employee(s) by	41%	48%	61%	55%	55%	46%	52%	46%	51%				
phone, in person, via email or online within the last 12			Α	А	В								
months?													

#### Table 113: Contact with City Employees by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

#### Table 114: Contact with City Employees by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

	R	lesponder	nt gender	Res	spondent	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual o	Overall	
	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White alone,	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
			conforming	years	years	years	not	and/or other		sexual	
						or older	Hispanic	race		orientation	
Percent yes	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Have you had contact with any City employee(s) by phone, in person, via email or online within the last 12 months?	55% B	46%	55%	48%	52%	53%	51%	49%	54% B	30%	51%

#### Table 115: Users Ratings of City Employees by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

		Length o	fresiden	су	•	ondent ure	Employmen	Overall	
Thinking about your most recent contact, please rate City employee(s) on each of the items below. (Average rating	5 years or less	6-10 years	11-20 years	More than 20 years	Own	Rent	Working full or part time for pay	Not working for pay	(A)
0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Courtesy	90 B C D	80	79	82	85	81	83	86	83
Promptness	90 B C D	78	72	78	79	80	80	81	80
Knowledge	91 B C D	74	77	79	83	78	80	85	81
Making you feel valued	87 B C D	69	72	72	76	74	74	80	75
Overall impression	87 B C D	75	75	75	80	75	78	81	78

	R	esponder	nt gender	Res	pondent	age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual or	Overall	
	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
Thinking about your most recent			conforming	years	years	years	alone, not	and/or		sexual	
contact, please rate City employee(s)						or	Hispanic	other race		orientation	
on each of the items below. (Average						older					
rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	86	81	84	83	83	85	85	72	85	90	83
Courtesy	В						В				
	83	77	79	81	78	80	81	73	82	87	80
Promptness	В										
	85	78	81	81	80	83	83	71	83	90	81
Knowledge	В						В				
	79	72	78	74	77	76	78	67	77	86	75
Making you feel valued							В				
	81	76	79	77	80	80	81	70	80	89	78
Overall impression							В				

Table 116: Users Ratings of City Em	mployees by Gender, Age,	Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation
-------------------------------------	--------------------------	----------------------------------------

### Table 117: Non-users Ratings of City Employees by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

	Length of residency				· ·	ondent	Employmer	nt status	Overall
					ten	ure			
Although you may not have had any recent personal contact with City	5 years	6-10	11-20	More	Own	Rent	Working full	Not	(A)
employees, we would like to know your impression of how City	or less	years	years	than 20			or part time	working	
employees treat Fort Collins residents. Please rate City employees on				years			for pay	for pay	
each of the items below. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	72	77	76	76	81	69	75	78	75
Courtesy					В				
	70	73	73	73	77	67	72	72	72
Promptness in responding to inquiries and service requests					В				
	69	73	70	72	77	64	70	74	71
Making community members or customers feel valued					В				

Although you may not have had any recent	1		nt gender	-	pondent	•		thnicity	Sexual or	Overall	
personal contact with City employees, we would like to know your impression of how City employees treat Fort Collins residents. Please rate City employees on each of the	Male	Female	Non- conforming	18-34 years	35-54 years	55 years or older	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	Heterosexual	Another sexual orientation	(A)
items below. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Courtesy	78	73	75	73	75	79	78 B	61	77 B	67	75
Promptness in responding to inquiries and service requests	74	71	75	70	73	75	75 B	58	74	68	72
Making community members or customers feel valued	75	69	66	70	70	74	75 B	54	72	64	71

#### Table 118: Non-users Ratings of City Employees by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

#### Table 119: Fort Collins Utilities Ratings by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

	L	ength o	f residen	су	Respondent		Employment status		Overall
					tenure				
Thinking about all aspects of your utility services provided by Fort	5 years	6-10	11-20	More	Own	Rent	Working full	Not	(A)
Collins Utilities (e.g., reliability, price, your bill, billing/payment services,	or less	years	years	than 20			or part time	working	
etc.), please rate the overall quality of each of the following services.				years			for pay	for pay	
(Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	76	73	75	76	77	73	75	76	75
Electric utility service experience overall					В				
	78	74	75	78	79	74	76	76	77
Water utility service experience overall					В				
Wastewater/sewer utility service experience overall	76	72	76	75	77	73	75	76	75
Stormwater/storm drainage utility service experience overall	77	72	74	75	76	73	75	74	75
Your utility customer service experience (phone, email, or in-person)	77	71	76	76	79	71	76	74	76
overall	В				В				

Thinking about all aspects of your utility			nt gender		pondent			thnicity	Sexual or	Overall	
services provided by Fort Collins Utilities (e.g., reliability, price, your bill, billing/payment services, etc.), please rate the overall quality of each of the following		Female	Non- conforming	18-34 years	35-54 years	55 years or older	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	Heterosexual	Another sexual orientation	(A)
services. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Electric utility service experience overall	78 C	75 C	66	76 B	71	79 B	78 B	63	77 B	70	75
Water utility service experience overall	78 C	77 C	63	78 B	72	79 B	79 B	66	78 B	72	77
Wastewater/sewer utility service experience overall	77 C	75 C	63	75	73	77	78 B	62	77 B	70	75
Stormwater/storm drainage utility service experience overall	77 C	75 C	60	76	72	76	78 B	63	77	71	75
Your utility customer service experience (phone, email, or in-person) overall	77 C	76 C	65	76	75	77	79 B	62	78 B	70	76

#### Table 120: Fort Collins Utilities Ratings by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

#### Table 121: Likelihood of Recommending Fort Collins Utilities by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

		Length o	of residend	су	Respo	ondent	Employment	Overall	
					ten	ure			
Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each	of 5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
the following: (Average rating 0=very unlikely, 100=very	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
likely).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Recommend Fort Collins Utilities to a friend, relative or	78	74	75	72	78	70	75	73	75
colleague					В				

#### Table 122: Likelihood of Recommending Fort Collins Utilities by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

	F	Responde	nt gender	Res	spondent	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual o	Overall	
Please indicate how likely or	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White alone,	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
unlikely you are to do each of the			conforming	years	years	years	not	and/or other		sexual	
following: (Average rating 0=very						or older	Hispanic	race		orientation	
unlikely, 100=very likely).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Recommend Fort Collins Utilities to	76	75	63	75	73	75	76	71	77	65	75
a friend, relative or colleague	С	С							В		

Tuble 120. Elkelinood of osing and Recommending oonliexion by Respondent Length of Residency, Hodsing Tenale, and Employement otatas											
		Length o	of residence	су	Respo	ondent	Employment	t status	Overall		
					ten	ure					
Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)		
the following: (Average rating 0=very unlikely, 100=very	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay			
likely).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)			
Sign up for Connexion internet, TV or phone service when	79	74	78	73	78	72	79	62	75		
available to you							В				
Recommend Connexion service to a friend, relative or	80	72	75	68	76	69	78	52	73		
colleague	D						В				

#### Table 123: Likelihood of Using and Recommending Connexion by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

#### Table 124: Likelihood of Using and Recommending Connexion by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

	R	esponder	nt gender	Res	spondent	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual or	rientation	Overall
Please indicate how likely or	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White alone,	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
unlikely you are to do each of the			conforming	years	years	years	not	and/or other		sexual	
following: (Average rating 0=very						or older	Hispanic	race		orientation	
unlikely, 100=very likely).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Sign up for Connexion internet, TV	82	72	44	79	78	67	78	70	80	61	75
or phone service when available to	ВC	С		С	С				В		
you											
Recommend Connexion service to a	81	69	31	78	74	62	75	70	78	60	73
friend, relative or colleague	ВC	С		С	С				В		

Table 125: Budget Priorities by Respondent L	ength of Residenc	y, Hous	ing l er	nure, ar	id Emp			tus		
		Le	ngth of	resider	тсу	Respo	ondent	Emplo	yment	Overall
						ten	ure	sta	tus	
		5	6-10	11-	More	Own	Rent	Working	Not	
		years	years	20	than			full or	working	
		or		years	20			part	for pay	
Please select the option that best describes how you think the City shou	ld address each	less			years			time for		
of the following aspects of the community.								рау		
	More effort	49%	32%	48%	40%	43%	41%	40%	50%	42%
Economy: Includes economic planning and development activities,	Same effort	51%	67%	51%	57%	55%	58%	60%	45%	56%
workforce training, childcare, education, employment opportunities	Less effort	0%	1%	1%	3%	2%	0%	1%	4%	2%
Environment: Includes efforts to ensure good water resources, good	More effort	44%	51%	62%	43%	50%	46%	49%	46%	48%
air quality, land conservation, smart growth, the Climate Action Plan	Same effort	53%	45%	36%	48%	46%	47%	46%	50%	47%
and an attractive community	Less effort	3%	3%	2%	9%	4%	7%	6%	4%	5%
Neighborhood Livability and Social Health: Includes promoting good	More effort	71%	64%	59%	48%	49%	73%	62%	51%	60%
neighbor relationships, ensuring attractive neighborhoods, historic	Same effort	29%	28%	35%	44%	44%	24%	32%	44%	35%
preservation, an adequate supply of quality housing for all socio-	Less effort	0%	8%	6%	8%	7%	3%	5%	5%	5%
economic groups, addressing poverty and homelessness, creating an										
inclusive community										
	More effort	15%	19%	17%	21%	18%	18%	16%	25%	18%
Safety: Includes police, fire, stormwater, emergency medical response,	Same effort	77%	73%	81%	76%	81%	71%	79%	69%	77%
and building inspection	Less effort	8%	9%	2%	3%	1%	10%	5%	6%	5%
Culture, Parks & Recreation: Includes operating and improving	More effort	31%	13%	29%	26%	21%	30%	26%	23%	25%
recreational facilities, Lincoln Center, Gardens on Spring Creek and the	Same effort	69%	77%	70%	72%	76%	66%	70%	75%	72%
Museum of Discovery; providing recreational, arts and cultural	Less effort	1%	10%	1%	2%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%
programs and public art; maintaining parks, trails and cemeteries; and										
improving natural areas										
Transportation and Mobility: Includes transportation planning and	More effort	56%	51%	55%	55%	56%	52%	55%	54%	55%
development, maintaining roads and traffic operations, Transfort	Same effort	42%	45%	43%	42%	41%	45%	43%	44%	43%
operations, and bicycle and pedestrian safety, Northern Colorado Regional Airport	Less effort	2%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%
General Government: Includes internal support functions, City	More effort	22%	6%	14%	20%	12%	23%	17%	18%	17%
management, Council, boards and commissions, volunteers,	Same effort	66%	89%	77%	73%	83%	65%	77%	69%	75%
technology, communicating with community members and building	Less effort	12%			73%			7%		8%
maintenance and repair	Less enort	12%	4%	9%	/ 70	6%	11%	/ 70	13%	ð%

Table 125: Budget Priorities by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

•			esponden			onden		Race/e		Sexual orig	entation	Overall
			Female	Non-	18-	35-	55	White	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	overail
Please select the option that best desc	ribes how you	where	i cinaic	conforming	34	54	years	alone,	and/or	Tieterosexuur	sexual	
think the City should address each of the	•			contenting	years	years	or	not	other		orientation	
aspects of the community.	lie folietting				yeare	Jouro	older	Hispanic	race		onentation	
Economy: Includes economic	More effort	34%	50%	40%	34%	50%	49%	42%	43%	42%	34%	42%
planning and development activities,	Same effort	65%	49%	50%	66%	48%	48%	57%	54%	57%	64%	56%
workforce training, childcare,	Less effort	1%	1%	10%	0%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%
education, employment opportunities												
Environment: Includes efforts to	More effort	41%	55%	48%	46%	52%	49%	52%	37%	48%	50%	48%
ensure good water resources, good	Same effort	50%	43%	45%	48%	45%	46%	44%	59%	46%	47%	47%
air quality, land conservation, smart	Less effort	9%	1%	6%	6%	3%	5%	4%	4%	6%	3%	5%
growth, the Climate Action Plan and												
an attractive community												
Neighborhood Livability and Social	More effort	53%	69%	42%	66%	57%	52%	61%	61%	58%	87%	60%
Health: Includes promoting good	Same effort	41%	30%	33%	30%	36%	42%	35%	34%	38%	11%	35%
neighbor relationships, ensuring	Less effort	7%	1%	24%	4%	6%	6%	4%	5%	4%	2%	5%
attractive neighborhoods, historic												
preservation, an adequate supply of												
quality housing for all socio- economic groups, addressing poverty												
and homelessness, creating an												
inclusive community												
Safety: Includes police, fire,	More effort	12%	22%	39%	15%	16%	28%	17%	17%	15%	16%	18%
stormwater, emergency medical	Same effort	85%	72%	48%	77%	81%	71%	78%	78%	80%	79%	77%
response, and building inspection	Less effort	3%	6%	13%	8%	4%	2%	5%	6%	5%	5%	5%
Culture, Parks & Recreation: Includes	More effort	30%	21%	21%	30%	22%	21%	24%	34%	23%	32%	25%
operating and improving recreational	Same effort	65%	78%	76%	67%	74%	77%	73%	62%	73%	68%	72%
facilities, Lincoln Center, Gardens on	Less effort	4%	2%	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	4%	0%	3%
Spring Creek and the Museum of	Less chort		2,0	0.0	0,0	770	2.70	0,0	-770	-7/0	0.0	0.0
Discovery; providing recreational, arts												
and cultural programs and public art;												
maintaining parks, trails and												
cemeteries; and improving natural												
areas												
Transportation and Mobility: Includes	More effort	56%	53%	58%	54%	58%	49%	56%	47%	57%	45%	55%
transportation planning and	Same effort	42%	44%	39%	44%	39%	47%	41%	52%	40%	55%	43%

		R	esponder	nt gender	Resp	ondent	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual ori	entation	Overall
		Male	Female	Non-	18-	35-	55	White	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	
Please select the option that best desc				conforming	34	54	years	alone,	and/or		sexual	
think the City should address each of the	ne following				years	years	or	not	other		orientation	
aspects of the community.							older	Hispanic	race			
development, maintaining roads and traffic operations, Transfort operations, and bicycle and pedestrian safety, Northern Colorado Regional Airport	Less effort	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	1%	3%	0%	3%
General Government: Includes	More effort	18%	15%	19%	18%	12%	20%	15%	17%	14%	33%	17%
internal support functions, City	Same effort	71%	81%	65%	74%	77%	74%	77%	71%	77%	62%	75%
management, Council, boards and commissions, volunteers, technology, communicating with community members and building maintenance and repair	Less effort	11%	4%	16%	8%	11%	5%	7%	11%	9%	5%	8%

#### Table 127: Ratings of Informing Residents by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

		Length c	of residence	су		ondent	Employment	status	Overall
Please rate the City's performance in each of the	5 vears	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	ure Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
following areas. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very	or less	years	years	20 years	•		part time for pay	for pay	(**)
good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	72	55	63	61	64	62	63	63	63
Informing community members	BCD		В	В					

#### Table 128: Ratings of Informing Residents by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

	R	esponder	nt gender	Res	sponden	t age	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual o	rientation	Overall
Please rate the City's performance	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White alone,	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
in each of the following areas.			conforming	years	years	years	not	and/or other		sexual	
(Average rating 0=very bad,						or older	Hispanic	race		orientation	
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
	65	63	54	64	63	63	64	61	66	61	63
Informing community members	С										

		Length o	of residence	ху —	Respo	ondent	Employment	t status	Overall
					ten	ure			
Please rate the City's performance in each of the	5 years	6-10	11-20	More than	Own	Rent	Working full or	Not working	(A)
following areas. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very	or less	years	years	20 years			part time for pay	for pay	
good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Providing opportunities to participate in government	65	49	62	61	64	55	60	62	60
activities	В		В	В	В				
Providing volunteer opportunities to community	69	58	66	66	70	59	64	67	65
members	В		В	В	В				
	70	67	66	68	72	63	68	67	68
Providing emergency information					В				

#### Table 129: Providing Information and Opportunities to Participate by Respondent Length of Residency, Housing Tenure, and Employement Status

#### Table 130: Providing Information and Opportunities to Participate by Gender, Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation

	R	espondei	nt gender	Res	spondent	tage	Race/e	thnicity	Sexual o	rientation	Overall
Please rate the City's performance	Male	Female	Non-	18-34	35-54	55	White alone,	Hispanic	Heterosexual	Another	(A)
in each of the following areas.			conforming	years	years	years	not	and/or other		sexual	
(Average rating 0=very bad,						or older	Hispanic	race		orientation	
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
Providing opportunities to	62	59	59	57	63	63	61	57	63	56	60
participate in government activities						Α					
Providing volunteer opportunities	68	63	62	63	66	68	67	60	68	57	65
to community members	В					Α	В		В		
	71	66	63	68	68	69	69	63	69	70	68
Providing emergency information	В										

Table 131: Sources of Information by Responde							*		
		Ũ	f residen			ondent iure	Employmer	nt status	Overall
Please indicate how frequently, if ever, you or other members of your household use each of the following sources of information regarding	5 years or less	6-10 years	11-20 years	More than 20 years	Own	Rent	Working full or part time for pay	Not working for pay	(A)
City issues, services and programs. (Percent at least sometimes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
The City of Fort Collins local channels 14 and 881	12%	13%	13%	21% A	16%	14%	14%	22% A	16%
Online video FCTV on www.fcgov.com/FCTV	29% B	11%	19%	22% B	17%	27% A	22%	22%	22%
City's website (www.fcgov.com)	87% D	81%	90% D	76%	87% B	77%	87% B	67%	82%
City News eNewsletter	22%	22%	45% A B	43% A B	44% B	21%	32%	38%	33%
Newsletters or brochures from City departments	44%	51%	72% A B	73% A B	75% B	41%	59%	61%	60%
City employees or departments (e.g., contacting by phone, email or in person)	50%	58%	72% A B	67% A	73% B	46%	60%	66%	61%
Tracks and Trails (the guide to natural areas activities)	76%	77%	85% D	73%	77%	76%	80% B	64%	76%
"Recreator" (guide to recreation programs)	47%	52%	85% A B	83% A B	78% B	53%	66%	68%	67%
Word of mouth	90%	92%	93%	89%	91%	91%	92%	87%	91%
Newspaper (print or online)	51%	64% A	74% A	77% A B	71% B	61%	65%	71%	67%
Radio	46%	51%	52%	58% A	60% B	43%	53%	49%	52%
Television news	29%	28%	42% A B	51% A B	48% B	26%	34%	56% A	38%
Social media (Facebook, Twitter, Nextdoor, etc.)	83% D	82% D	79% D	68%	76%	78%	81% B	63%	77%
OurCity Platform (ourcity.fcgov.com)	15%	25%	24%	19%	15%	25% A	19%	23%	20%
Engage Platform (engage.fcgov.com)	10%	8%	15%	13%	10%	13%	13%	8%	12%
City of Fort Collins mobile apps (Access Fort Collins, Digital Publications, Recreator)	24%	27%	30%	30%	29%	26%	28%	26%	27%
City booth at local events	33%	43%	47% A	43% A	43%	39%	44% B	30%	41%

#### dont angth of Dooids Ца d Employ t Stati Table 121. C finfe tion by D . т.

Table 132: Sources of Inform	nation by Gender Ad	e Race/Ethnicity	and Sexual Orientation
	ation by ochaci, rig	c, nacc/ Etimoty	, and ockaal onemation

Please indicate how frequently, if ever, you	1	esponder	nt gender		pondent			thnicity	Sexual or	ientation	Overall
or other members of your household use each of the following sources of information regarding City issues, services		Female	Non- conforming	18-34 years	35-54 years	55 years or older	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	Heterosexual	Another sexual orientation	(A)
and programs. (Percent at least sometimes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	
The City of Fort Collins local channels 14 and 881	15%	16%	15%	10%	14%	26% A B	15%	20%	15%	10%	16%
Online video FCTV on www.fcgov.com/FCTV	19%	24%	27%	24%	18%	23%	20%	26%	21%	19%	22%
City's website (www.fcgov.com)	80%	84%	88%	82%	89% C	76%	83%	82%	82%	78%	82%
City News eNewsletter	38%	30%	31%	19%	43% A	48% A	33%	43%	35% B	15%	33%
Newsletters or brochures from City departments	63%	58%	52%	48%	66% A	74% A	60%	55%	63% B	35%	60%
City employees or departments (e.g., contacting by phone, email or in person)	60%	62%	67%	53%	66% A	72% A	59%	64%	63% B	33%	61%
Tracks and Trails (the guide to natural areas activities)	77%	77%	82%	81% C	82% C	65%	75%	80%	76%	78%	76%
"Recreator" (guide to recreation programs)	63%	70%	82% A	51%	83% A	79% A	67%	61%	70% B	38%	67%
Word of mouth	88%	94% A	97%	90%	95% C	87%	91%	87%	90%	94%	91%
Newspaper (print or online)	66%	67%	70%	56%	73% A	78% A	69% B	56%	70% B	42%	67%
Radio	49%	54%	66%	44%	58% A	60% A	53% B	37%	54% B	31%	52%
Television news	36%	39%	55% A	20%	41% A	67% A B	36%	43%	39% B	13%	38%
Social media (Facebook, Twitter, Nextdoor, etc.)	76%	79%	67%	84% C	78% C	62%	77%	79%	78%	74%	77%
OurCity Platform (ourcity.fcgov.com)	18%	21%	21%	20%	21%	19%	20%	21%	20% B	8%	20%
Engage Platform (engage.fcgov.com)	12%	11%	9%	11%	13%	12%	12%	12%	11%	4%	12%
City of Fort Collins mobile apps (Access Fort Collins, Digital Publications, Recreator)	28%	28%	25%	25%	30%	30%	27%	33%	24%	39% A	27%
City booth at local events	43%	41%	30%	45%	37%	39%	39%	46%	38%	51%	41%

# Appendix E: Comparisons of Select Questions by Area of Residence

Average ratings for select survey questions are compared by the six geographic areas and six Council Districts of residence in the following tables. For each pair of subgroups that has a statistically significant difference, an upper case letter denoting significance is shown in the category with the larger column proportion.

For example, in Table 133 below, residents who lived in the East Central area of Fort Collins (D) gave statistically significantly higher scores to the quality of public schools than those who lived in the Northeast (B), Southwest (E), and Southeast (F) areas. For this same question, survey participants who lived in Council District 5 (E) awarded statistically higher ratings than residents in Districts 3 (C) and 4 (D) but did not provide ratings that were statistically different from respondents who lived in Districts 1 (A), 2 (B), and 6 (F).

		Table I	I		lanty OF L		ommunity						
Please rate Fort Collins as a			Council	District				Geog	raphic are	ea of resid	dence		Overall
community on each of the	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
items listed below. Average	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
rating on 100-point scale	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	1
(0=very bad, 100=very good).													
	91	89	84	88	93	82	82	92	92	89	84	85	88
Overall, as a place to live	CF	F		F	CF			AEF	AEF	Α			
	86	83	83	82	84	75	74	86	83	84	84	83	82
As a place to raise children	F	F	F	F	F			А	А	Α	Α	Α	
	82	83	75	86	83	78	78	84	85	83	85	74	81
As a place to attend college	С	С		CF	С			F	ΑF	F	F		
	75	79	70	72	79	73	73	71	77	79	69	71	75
Quality of public schools		С			CD					BEF			
	73	72	74	74	80	67	65	73	78	73	74	73	73
As a place to retire					F				А	Α		Α	
Openness and acceptance of	67	67	71	69	60	54	53	67	65	67	69	71	65
the community toward people	F	EF	ΕF	ΕF				А	А	Α	А	Α	
of diverse backgrounds													
Availability of affordable	45	46	48	52	41	33	35	42	43	43	57	50	43
quality childcare	F	F	F	F							Α	Α	
Availability of affordable	26	30	30	36	29	23	25	28	29	26	39	32	29
quality housing				AEF							A D	Α	
Overall quality of life in Fort	84	83	79	82	84	76	77	85	82	82	84	80	81
Collins	CF	F			F			А	А	Α			

Table 133: Aspects of Quality of Life and Community by Area of Residence

			I du	іе 134. к	esident L	Dyany Dy	y Area of Re	sidence					
Please indicate how likely or			Council	District				Geog	raphic ar	ea of resid	dence		Overall
unlikely you are to do each of	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
the following:(Average rating	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
0=very unlikely, 100=very	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
likely).													
Recommend living in Fort	84	74	78	81	85	72	71	85	85	76	78	78	79
Collins to someone who asks	ΒF			F	ΒF			A D	A D				
Remain in Fort Collins for the	84	83	70	81	72	72	74	80	74	82	87	74	77
next five years	CEF	CEF		С						С	ACF		

Table 134: Resident Loyalty by Area of Residence

Table 135: Promotion of Social Health by by Area of Residence

Please rate the City's			Council	District				Geog	raphic are	ea of resid	lence		Overall
performance in each of the	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
following areas. (Average	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
Promotion of the social health of Fort Collins (Human Services, Affordable Housing, Homelessness, Equity & Inclusion, etc.)	51	59 A C F	47	54	55 F	46	45	53	55 A	56 A	53	49	52

Table 136: Quality of Neighborhoods by Area of Residence

						,							
Please rate the quality of your			Council	District				Geog	raphic are	ea of resid	lence		Overall
neighborhood on each of the	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
items listed below. (Average	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
rating 0=very bad, 100=very	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
good).													
Your neighborhood as a place	81	82	86	83	83	73	74	80	82	82	87	87	81
to live	F	F	F	F	F			А	А	Α	А	ABCD	
Your neighborhood as a place	78	82	81	81	80	63	65	73	78	81	87	84	78
to raise children	F	F	F	F	F			А	А	A B	A B	A B	

		Table 13	7. Acces	s in iveig	oomoan	a to Ever	yday Needs	by Area of	Residenc	e			
Please rate the quality of your			Council	District				Geog	raphic ar	ea of resid	lence		Overall
neighborhood on each of the	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
items listed below. (Average	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
rating 0=very bad, 100=very	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
good).													
Access within your	75	91	74	80	80	80	79	69	82	90	73	76	80
neighborhood to everyday		ACDE					В		BEF	ABCE		В	
needs (i.e., grocery shopping,		F								F			
services, and amenities)													

Table 137: Access in Neighborhood to Everyday Needs by Area of Residence

#### Table 138: Ratings of Neighborhood-related Services by Area of Residence

Please rate quality of each of			Council	District				Geog	graphic are	ea of resid	lence		Overall
the following in Fort Collins.	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
(Average rating 0=very bad,	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
Code enforcement (weeds, rubbish/trash, etc.)	63	63	65	67 E	57	59	60	62	60	61	71	67	62
Noise enforcement	56	60	65 A	59	58	60	60	61	57	56	64	66 C D	60
Residential property maintenance	66	71 D	66	63	65	69	68	67	65	69	61	67	67

						gagenne	In by Alca o						
In the last 12 months, about			1	District					raphic are	ea of resi			Overall
how many times, if at all, have	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
you or other household	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
members done each of the following in Fort Collins?	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
(Percent who had ever done each)													
Visited a neighborhood park or City park	93%	90%	92%	96%	96%	98% B	98% D	94%	96% D	90%	97%	93%	94%
Attended a neighborhood- sponsored event	23%	31% C E	17%	34% C E	18%	29%	25%	24%	21%	28%	52% A B C D F	21%	25%
Attended a government- organized event (open house, City Council session, forum, etc.)	18% C	16%	6%	20% C	19% C	22% C	18%	21%	19%	15%	27% F	11%	17%
Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone	24%	34%	30%	50% A B C	47% A B C	43% A C	43% B D F	29%	49% B D F	31%	42%	30%	37%
Volunteered your time in Fort Collins	36%	54% A C D	36%	33%	47%	61% A C D	59% B C E F	32%	41%	53% B C F	38%	37%	45%
Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors	94% C	92% C	81%	92% C	87%	86%	84%	94% A F	89%	92% A F	99% A F	82%	89%
Done a favor for a neighbor	73%	77%	77%	79%	74%	79%	78%	77%	74%	75%	88%	76%	77%

Table 139: Community Engagement by Area of Residence

Table 140: Overall Safety in City by Area of Residence

				110.01									
Please rate Fort Collins as a			Council	District				Geog	raphic are	ea of resid	dence		Overall
community on each of the	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
items listed below. Average	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
rating on 100-point scale	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
(0=very bad, 100=very good).													
Overall safety of community	83	82	80	84	80	77	77	83	82	84	82	78	81
members	F	F		F				Α	А	A F			

Please tell us how safe you				District	,		ety by Alea		graphic are	ea of resi	dence		Overall
feel in each of the following	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
areas. (Average rating	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
0=always unsafe,	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	]
100=always safe)													
Downtown Fort Collins during the day	89	86	87	87	88	86	86	87	87	88	85	86	87
Downtown Fort Collins at night	69	70 C	64	67	71 C	68	68	69	70 F	70 F	68	63	68
Your neighborhood during the day	93	90	94 B F	93	92	90	90	91	91	92	95	92	92
	81	79	79	81	84	74	75	77	81	81	85	79	79
Your neighborhood at night	F	F	F	F	F				Α	Α	A		
	82	81	76	80	86	79	80	80	84	82	80	75	81
Parks	С	С			BCDF		F	F	F	F			
	81	80	74	80	85	77	78	80	83	81	79	73	80
Natural areas/open spaces	С	С		С	BCDF		F	F	AF	F			
	87	85	83	86	88	81	81	86	88	87	82	81	85
Recreation facilities	CF			F	CF			F	AF	AF			
Trails	80 F	78	76	81 F	84 B C F	75	76	79	83 A F	79	80	76	79
Fort Collins overall during the day	88 F	85	86	84	87	83	83	86	87 A	87 A	86	85	86
Fort Collins overall at night	75	74	70	70	74	72	71	72	72	76 A F	74	69	73
Transfort/MAX	67	75 A D	70	65	75 A D	76 A D	77 B C E F	65	70	76 B E F	62	67	72

Table 141: Ratings of Personal Safety by Area of Residence

Diagon rate quality of each of		Table	Council		Salety S	ervices i	tatings by P		raphic are	an of roci	donco		Overall
Please rate quality of each of the following in Fort Collins.	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
(Average rating 0=very bad,	1	2	3	4	5	6	Nontinwest	Northeast	Central	Central	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
Emergency preparedness	79	79	72	78	76	75	74	80	78	78	73	73	77
(services that prepare the	C	c	12	70	70	75	74	F	70	70	/3	/3	,,,
community for natural	Ū	Ū											
disasters or other emergency													
situations)													
Disaster response and	77	80	72	74	76	77	77	78	76	80	71	72	76
restoration of services		С								F			
Fire prevention/education	76	77	74	70	74	74	73	78	72	77	72	74	74
Fire response time	82	84	78	81	81	83	82	84	80	83	83	78	81
	81	87	81	81	80	83	82	82	81	85	82	82	83
Fire services overall		CE											
Crime prevention	69	71	66	68	66	70	70	69	67	70	65	67	69
Police patrol	69	68	62	67	64	64	64	69	65	68	66	64	66
	66	57	57	59	58	65	64	65	57	60	64	56	60
Traffic enforcement	BCE					ВC	CF	CF					
	70	73	59	68	72	67	68	70	70	72	65	61	68
Police visibility	С	С		С	С	С	F	F	F	F			
Police response time	71	74	68	72	71	72	70	71	72	73	72	71	71
	72	73	65	70	65	69	69	71	66	72	67	67	69
Police services overall	CE	CE											
	65	67	66	65	76	69	68	64	70	66	68	68	68
					ABC								
Animal control					D								
Business property maintenance	70	72	71	69	76	70	70	68	74	71	69	72	71
Natural Areas and Park Ranger	84	83	80	80	84	79	77	85	82	83	82	81	82
services	F							A	А	Α			

Table 142: Community Safety Services Ratings by Area of Residence

		Iau	ie 145. r	IOIIIOIIOI		onnenta	i nealtí by <i>i</i>	Alea Ul Res	luence				
Please rate the City's			Council	District				Geog	graphic are	ea of resic	lence		Overall
performance in each of the	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
following areas. (Average	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
Promotion of the health of	67	73	72	67	69	60	60	66	69	71	64	74	68
the environment of Fort	F	A D F	F		F				А	A		ABE	
Collins													

Table 143: Promotion of Environmental Health by Area of Residence

Table 144: Overall Quality of the Environment by Area of Residence

Please rate the quality of the			Council	District				Geog	raphic are	ea of resid	lence		Overall
environment in Fort Collins in	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
each of the following areas.	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
(Average rating 0=very bad,	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
100=very good).				. ,					• •	. ,			
Overall quality of environment	79	80	77	79	76	75	75	80	76	78	82	79	78

Table 145: Aspects of the Environment by Area of Residence

Please rate the quality of the			Council	District			, í	Geog	raphic are	ea of resid	dence		Overall
environment in Fort Collins in	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
each of the following areas.	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
(Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
Community's visual attractiveness	82	81	83	82	85	82	83	82	83	80	85	84	82
Air quality	70 E F	72 E F	69 E F	73 E F	62	62	62	68	66	70 A	76 A C	70 A	68
Recycling programs	74	78 C	71	74	73	73	73	75	74	76	72	73	74
Conservation efforts	72	77 E	74	80 A E	69	75 E	74	73	73	75	80	75	75

			Table	140. Hai	isportatio	лі каціц	js by Alea O	I Residence	;				
Please rate the following			Council	District				Geog	graphic are	ea of resid	dence		Overall
areas of transportation in	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
Fort Collins. (Average rating	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	]
	65	63	59	64	66	54	55	60	65	66	66	58	62
Ease of driving	F	F		F	CF				А	ΑF	А		
Ease of traveling by public	49	60	51	63	53	59	59	48	57	57	61	53	56
transportation		Α		ACE		Α	В				В		
	72	79	69	74	73	76	76	70	73	78	76	71	74
Ease of walking		AC				С				ΒF			
	80	82	75	81	82	81	80	78	83	82	79	77	80
Ease of traveling by bicycle		С			С								
Availability of parking	52	54	54	45	56	53	52	50	54	54	41	55	53
Downtown		D	D		D	D	E		E	E		E	
Convenience of parking	51	52	53	48	57	51	50	50	54	52	44	53	52
Downtown					D								
	49	43	45	42	52	43	44	47	48	47	42	42	45
Level of traffic congestion	D				BCDF								
	66	63	57	62	72	58	58	66	67	65	69	56	63
	CF				ABCD			AF	ΑF	AF	AF		
Street maintenance					F								
Electric vehicle charging	28	58	48	60	56	66	65	29	61	54	53	46	51
availability		Α	Α	Α	Α	AC	ΒF		В	В	В	В	
Northern Colorado Regional	38	49	47	43	43	48	46	38	46	48	39	47	45
Airport													

Table 146: Transportation Ratings by Area of Residence

#### Table 147: Community Aspects of Culture and Recreation by Area of Residence

Please rate Fort Collins as a			Council	District				Geog	raphic are	ea of resid	dence		Overall
community on each of the	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
items listed below. Average	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
rating on 100-point scale (0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
Quality of arts and cultural opportunities	66	66	69	66	69	64	65	64	68	66	64	69	67
Quality of recreational opportunities	85 F	86 F	83	82	94 A B C D F	79	80	86 A	89 A E F	85 A	82	84	85
Quality of public library services	85 F	86 F	81	83	81	78	78	82	82	87 A F	81	81	82

#### City of Fort Collins

	able 148:	Ratings			onal and	Cultural	Programs a	nd Facilities					
Please rate the quality of			Council							ea of resid			Overall
each of the programs or	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
facilities listed below.	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			_
(Average rating 0=very bad,	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
100=very good).													
Natural areas and open	89	91	85	89	91	87	87	88	90	90	92	87	89
space		С			С								
	89	90	85	88	91	88	88	89	89	90	93	86	89
Recreational trails		С			С						F		
	89	90	84	89	91	86	86	88	90	90	91	86	88
Parks	С	С		С	CF				A F	Α			
	80	82	77	79	87	80	80	80	84	82	77	77	81
Cemeteries					С				F				
	74	81	77	75	85	74	73	74	83	80	72	77	78
Golf courses					A D F				ABE				
	78	84	78	73	80	71	72	76	79	84	70	77	78
Athletic fields	F	CDF	F		DF				А	ABEF			
Northside Aztlan Community	82	83	72	76	85	75	76	84	83	82	72	73	79
Center	CF	CF			CDF			AEF	AEF	AEF			
	78	88	80	80	79	74	74	77	78	87	85	80	80
		ACDE								ABCF	Α		
Fort Collins Senior Center		F											
Edora Pool Ice Center (EPIC)	80	78	73	74	80	77	76	79	77	79	77	73	77
	76	73	71	80	71	75	74	78	73	73	86	71	74
Foothills Activity Center											CDF		
<b>,</b>	77	71	62	72	67	68	67	76	67	73	79	62	70
Mulberry Pool	CEF	C		C				CF		F	ACF		
The Farm at Lee Martinez	81	80	83	77	87	79	78	85	83	79	79	81	81
Park	•				DF								•
	84	88	88	81	88	84	86	86	85	87	79	86	86
The Gardens on Spring Creek	01	D	D	01	D	01	00	00	00	0,	,,,,	00	00
Pottery studio	79	77	86	77	78	78	78	83	76	77	85	83	78
	86	83	75	78	82	78	78	83	82	85	75	74	81
Art in Public Places program	CDF	C	/0	/0	C	/0	,0	F	F	A F	, , ,	/ 7	01
Art in 1 ublic 1 laces program	77	80	79	77	85	79	79	75	83	79	74	80	79
Lincoln Center programs	//	00	19	, ,	A D	13	19	75	B E	13	/4	00	15
Fort Collins Museum of	81	81	83	82	87	85	84	78	87	82	77	83	83
Discovery	01	01	03	02	0/	63	04	/0	87 BE	02	//	03	03
DISCOVELY	00	70	75	77	76	70	70	70	ве 76	80	80	75	76
Adult recreation programs	80 F	79 F	/5	//	/0	70	70	79 A	/0	80 A		/5	/0
Adult recreation programs	F F	Г						A		A	A		

Table 148: Ratings of Parks, Recreational and Cultural Programs and Facilities by Area of Residence

Please rate the quality of			Council	District				Geog	graphic are	ea of resid	lence		Overall
each of the programs or	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
facilities listed below.	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
(Average rating 0=very bad,	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
100=very good).													
Senior recreation programs	79	78	80	76	76	74	73	76	76	80	78	79	77
Youth/teen recreation	78	78	72	83	82	70	70	79	81	78	86	72	77
programs				CF	CF				A F		AF		

Table 149: Ratings of City as a Place to Work by Area of Residence

					<u> </u>			<u> </u>						
	Please rate Fort Collins as a			Council	District				Geog	raphic are	ea of resid	dence		Overall
	community on each of the	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
	items listed below. Average	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
	rating on 100-point scale (0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
		82	75	76	78	81	66	65	84	80	76	80	76	76
_	As a place to work	BCF	F	F	F	F			A D F	А	Α	A	А	

#### Table 150: Community Aspects of Economic Health by Area of Residence

Please rate Fort Collins as a			Council	District				Geog	raphic are	ea of resid	dence		Overall
community on each of the	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
items listed below. Average	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
rating on 100-point scale (0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
Quality of shopping opportunities	72	76	70	71	78 C F	70	70	72	76 E	74	66	72	73
Quality of dining opportunities	81	81	77	76	83 D	78	79	83 E	80	79	72	78	79
Quality of entertainment opportunities	68	69	68	68	77 A B C D F	66	66	70	72 A	68	70	70	69
Availability of job opportunities	61 C	58	53	59	71 A B C D F	56	56	63	65 A D F	57	61	56	59
Availability of quality healthcare	79	78	79	81	79	77	77	80	79	78	83	80	79

	Tau	le ISI. D	usiness c	support a				eaith by Are		uence			
Please rate the City's			Council	District				Geog	raphic are	ea of resid	dence		Overall
performance in each of the	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
following areas. (Average	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
rating 0=very bad, 100=very	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
good).													
	68	67	66	63	67	65	65	68	67	66	56	67	66
Support of businesses								E	E	E		E	
Promotion of the economic	63	62	61	62	69	57	57	64	69	59	53	65	62
health of Fort Collins					BCF				A D E			ΑE	

Table 151: Business Support and Promotion of Economic Health by Area of Residence

Table 152: Business Health by Area of Residence

Please rate the City's			Council	District				Geog	graphic are	ea of resid	lence		Overall
performance in each of the	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
following areas. (Average	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
Encouraging a variety of businesses	64	68	61	62	70 C D	64	64 E	65 E	69 E F	67 E	50	62 E	65
Retaining existing businesses	62	61	56	60	68 C	61	61 E	63 E	67 D E F	60 E	49	58	61
Attracting new businesses	64	64	61	59	65	60	59	65 E	64 E	63 E	50	62 E	62

Table 153: Overall Quality of City Services by Area of Residence

			Council	District				Geog	graphic are	ea of resid	ence		Overall
	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
(Average rating 0=very bad,	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
Overall, how would you rate	79	78	73	77	77	69	69	81	77	76	77	75	76
the quality of the services	CF	F		F	F			А	А	А	A	А	
provided by the City of Fort													
Collins?													

		Id	DIE 154. I	response		D-19 Pai	identic by A	rea or Resid	Jence				
Please rate the City's			Council	District				Geog	graphic are	ea of resic	lence		Overall
performance in each of the	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
following areas. (Average	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
rating 0=very bad, 100=very	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
good).													
Response to the COVID-19	75	71	66	67	68	66	66	74	67	73	68	67	69
pandemic	CDF							А		А			

Table 154: Response to COVID-19 Pandemic by Area of Residence

Table 155: City Government Ratings by Area of Residence

Please rate the City's			Council	District				Geog	raphic ar	ea of resid	dence		Overall
performance in each of the	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
following areas. (Average	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
Managing and planning for growth	59 F	53	52	53	56	49	49	60 A	56	54	48	52	54
Balancing development and growth while maintaining the character and identity of the City and neighborhoods	59 F	58 F	61 F	57	60 F	50	50	60 A	59 A	59 A	54	59 A	57
Efficient operation of programs and services	70 C F	71 C F	63	65	70 C F	62	62	70 A	68	70 A F	65	64	67
Encouraging sustainability in the community	69	71	64	66	67	67	67	72	67	69	66	65	68
Overall direction of the City	64	64	66 F	64	67 F	58	58	65	66 A	62	61	67 A	63
Welcoming community member involvement	67 C D	74 A C D F	59	58	69 C D	65	65	67	64	72 A C E F	58	61	66
Listening to community members	64 D	63	56	55	61	59	58	67 A E F	60	62	51	58	60
Respecting all community members regardless of race/ethnic background, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, or marital status	66 F	73 A E F	66 F	65 F	65 F	55	54	65 A	66 A	71 A	69 A	67 A	65
Creating a welcoming, inclusive community where all community members feel a sense of belonging	65 F	70 E F	64 F	64 F	61 F	53	52	65 A	63 A	69 A C	67 A	65 A	63

			Council	District				Geog	raphic are	ea of resid	lence		Overall
	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
Percent yes	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
Have you had contact with any City employee(s) by phone, in person, via email or online within the last 12 months?	49%	48%	46%	45%	53%	60% D	55%	56%	50%	47%	58%	44%	51%

## Table 156: Contact with City Employees by Area of Residence

#### Table 157: Users Ratings of City Employees by Area of Residence

Thinking about your most			Council	District				Geog	graphic are	ea of resid	lence		Overall
recent contact, please rate	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
City employee(s) on each of	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
the items below. (Average	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
rating 0=very bad, 100=very													
good).													
	81	86	83	83	89	79	78	80	87	86	84	83	83
Courtesy					F				Α	Α			
	82	79	79	77	88	75	76	77	81	82	87	79	80
Promptness					F								
Knowledge	82	79	81	84	83	78	77	79	82	81	87	82	81
Making you feel valued	73	74	75	76	80	73	73	71	78	75	76	76	75
Overall impression	79	78	78	78	81	75	76	77	79	79	80	79	78

		Tuble	100.1101		atings of		pidyees by P		lucifice				
Although you may not have			Council	District				Geog	raphic are	ea of resid	dence		Overall
had any recent personal	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
contact with City employees,	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
we would like to know your	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
impression of how City													
employees treat Fort Collins													
residents. Please rate City													
employees on each of the													
items below. (Average rating													
0=very bad, 100=very good).													
	73	81	78	76	73	69	70	64	73	81	81	79	75
Courtesy		AF	F							ABC	В	A B	
Promptness in responding to	72	77	75	76	67	65	65	66	71	77	78	75	72
inquiries and service requests		EF	F	F						A B		А	
Making community members	68	75	74	74	68	67	68	63	69	75	76	75	71
or customers feel valued										В		В	

Table 158: Non-users Ratings of City Employees by Area of Residence

Thinking about all aspects of Council District Geographic area of residence Over												
District	District			District	District	Northwest	•				Couthoost	1
District						Northwest	Northeast			Southwest	Southeast	(A)
1		-			-							
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
74	77	70	74	81	74	74	73	77	77	79	72	75
	С			ACD								
	-			F								
74	79	72	74	82	78	77	76	78	76	79	75	77
<i>,</i> .		<i>,</i> _			, 0		, 0	, 0	, 0		, 0	
72		72	72		75	75	72	70	72	77	75	75
75	/4	12	73		/5	/5	12		73	//	75	75
								U				
73	76	71	72		73	72	73	78	74	77	74	75
				D F								
75	79	74	73	81	74	73	72	76	79	77	75	76
				DF					A B			
	74 73 73	District District   1 2   (A) (B)   74 77   74 79   73 74	Council   District District District   1 2 3   (A) (B) (C)   74 77 70   74 79 72   73 74 72	Council District   District District District District   1 2 3 4   (A) (B) (C) (D)   74 77 70 74   74 79 72 74   73 74 72 73	Council District   District District District District   1 2 3 4 5   (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)   (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)   7(A) 77 70 74 81   74 77 70 74 81   74 79 72 74 82   74 79 72 74 82   73 74 72 73 84   73 76 71 72 83   73 76 71 72 83   75 79 74 73 81	Council DistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrict123456(A)(B)(C)(D)(E)(F)(A)(B)(C)(D)(E)(F)(A)7770748174747770748174757972748278737472738475737671728373757974738174	Council DistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictMorthwest1234561(A)(B)(C)(D)(E)(F)(A)(A)(B)(C)(D)(D)(E)(F)(A)747770748174747477707481747474797274827877737472738475757376717283737275797473817473	Council DistrictGeogDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictMorthwestNortheast123456611111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111 <t< td=""><td>District 1District 2District 3District 4District 5District 6Northwest 6Northeast Central Central(A) (A)(B) (B)(C) (C)(D) (D)(E) (E)(F) (F)(A) (A)(B) (B)(C) (C)74 70 C77 C70 (C)74 (C)81 (C) F74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 C79 (C)72 (C)74 (C)82 (C) (C)78 (C)78 (C)78 (C)73 73 7472 (C)73 (C)84 (C) (D)75 (C)73 (C)78 (C)78 (C)73 7476 (C)71 (C)72 (C)83 (C) (D)73 (C)73 (C)74 (C)73 (C)78 (C)75 7579 (C)7473 (C)81 (C)74 (C)73 (C)76 (C)78 (C)</br></br></td><td>Council DistrictGeographic area of residDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictNorthwestNortheastWest CentralEast Central(A)(B)(C)(D)(E)(F)(A)(B)(C)(D)(D)(A)(B)(C)(D)(E)(F)(A)(B)(C)(D)(D)7477707481 A C D F74747377777479727482 A C D F757279737374727384 A B C D F757279737376717283 A B C D F7372737874757974738174737276787475797381747372767874</td><td>Council DistrictGeographic area of residenceDistrict 1District 2District 3District 4District 5District 6Northwest 6Northeast CentralWest CentralEast CentralSouthwest Central(A) (A)(B) (B)(C)(D) (C)(D) (D)(E) (E)(F) (F)(A)(B) (B)(C)(D) (D)(E)(F) (F)(A)(B) (B)(C)(D) (D)(E)74 C77 C70 C74 (C)81 (C) F74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)</td><td>Counci DistrictGeographic area of residenceDistrict 1District 2District 4District 5District 6Northwest 6Northeast CentralWest CentralEast CentralSouthwest SouthwestSoutheast Southeast(A) (A)(B) (B)(C)(D) (D)(E)(F) (F)(A)(B)(C)(D)(E)(F)7477 C70 C7481 A C D F747473777779727479 C7274 A C D F787678767975757374 C7172 C83 A B C D F7372767974747579747381747372767977747579717283 A B C D F737276797974</td></t<>	District 1District 2District 3District 4District 5District 6Northwest 6Northeast Central 	Council DistrictGeographic area of residDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictDistrictNorthwestNortheastWest CentralEast Central(A)(B)(C)(D)(E)(F)(A)(B)(C)(D)(D)(A)(B)(C)(D)(E)(F)(A)(B)(C)(D)(D)7477707481 A C D F74747377777479727482 A C D F757279737374727384 A B C D F757279737376717283 A B C D F7372737874757974738174737276787475797381747372767874	Council DistrictGeographic area of residenceDistrict 1District 2District 3District 4District 5District 6Northwest 6Northeast CentralWest CentralEast CentralSouthwest Central(A) (A)(B) (B)(C)(D) (C)(D) (D)(E) (E)(F) (F)(A)(B) (B)(C)(D) (D)(E)(F) (F)(A)(B) (B)(C)(D) (D)(E)74 C77 C70 C74 (C)81 (C) F74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)74 (C)	Counci DistrictGeographic area of residenceDistrict 1District 2District 4District 5District 6Northwest 6Northeast CentralWest CentralEast CentralSouthwest SouthwestSoutheast Southeast(A) (A)(B) (B)(C)(D) (D)(E)(F) (F)(A)(B)(C)(D)(E)(F)7477 C70 C7481 A C D F747473777779727479 C7274 A C D F787678767975757374 C7172 C83 A B C D F7372767974747579747381747372767977747579717283 A B C D F737276797974

Table 159: Fort Collins Utilities Ratings by Area of Residence

Table 160: Likelihood of Recommending Fort Collins Utilities by Area of Residence

Please indicate how likely or			Council	District				Geog	graphic are	ea of resid	dence		Overall
unlikely you are to do each of	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
the following: (Average rating	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
0=very unlikely, 100=very likely).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
Recommend Fort Collins Utilities to a friend, relative or colleague	77	71	71	80 F	81 B C F	69	69	77	81 A F	74	75	71	75

	lat	ole 161: L	Ikelihoo	a of Using	g and Re	commen	ding Connex	kion by Area	a of Resid	ence			
Please indicate how likely or			Council	District				Geog	raphic are	ea of resid	lence		Overall
unlikely you are to do each of	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
the following: (Average rating	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
0=very unlikely, 100=very likely).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
Sign up for Connexion internet, TV or phone service when available to you	81 F	80 F	72	77 F	78 F	64	63	82 A	81 A	81 A	73	72	75
Recommend Connexion service to a friend, relative or colleague	82 F	78 F	69	78 F	69	62	59	84 A F	77 A	79 A	72	67	73

		Г	able 162	2: Budget	Prioritie	s by Area	a of Resid	dence						
Please select the option that best desc	cribes				District					raphic ar	ea of resi	dence		Overall
how you think the City should address		District	District	District	District	District	District	North-	North-	West	East	South-	South-	
of the following aspects of the commu	inity.	1	2	3	4	5	6	west	east	Central	Central	west	east	
	More effort	50%	39%	47%	37%	42%	37%	38%	39%	39%	48%	48%	42%	42%
Economy: Includes economic planning and development activities,	Same effort	50%	60%	48%	60%	58%	62%	61%	60%	61%	51%	45%	54%	56%
workforce training, childcare, education, employment opportunities	Less effort	0%	1%	5%	3%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	8%	5%	2%
Environment: Includes efforts to ensure good water resources, good air quality, land conservation, smart	More effort	44%	54%	58%	43%	47%	41%	39%	49%	46%	51%	41%	57%	48%
	Same effort	49%	43%	34%	53%	45%	58%	59%	50%	47%	42%	53%	36%	47%
growth, the Climate Action Plan and an attractive community	Less effort	6%	3%	8%	4%	9%	2%	2%	0%	7%	7%	6%	8%	5%
Neighborhood Livability and Social Health: Includes promoting good	More effort	65%	54%	62%	55%	60%	63%	60%	71%	60%	57%	58%	57%	60%
neighbor relationships, ensuring attractive neighborhoods, historic	Same effort	31%	40%	34%	33%	37%	33%	36%	26%	35%	41%	30%	34%	35%
attractive neighborhoods, historic preservation, an adequate supply of quality housing for all socio- economic groups, addressing poverty and homelessness, creating an inclusive community	Less effort	3%	6%	4%	12%	2%	4%	4%	3%	6%	2%	12%	9%	5%
	More effort	18%	12%	22%	25%	18%	17%	13%	26%	20%	11%	32%	21%	18%

Please select the option that best desc	ribes			Council	District				Geog	raphic ar	ea of resi	dence		Overall
how you think the City should address		District	District	District	District	District	District	North-	North-	West	East	South-	South-	
of the following aspects of the commu	inity.	1	2	3	4	5	6	west	east	Central	Central	west	east	
Safety: Includes police, fire,	Same effort	75%	88%	78%	74%	77%	66%	71%	68%	75%	87%	68%	79%	77%
stormwater, emergency medical response, and building inspection	Less effort	7%	0%	0%	1%	5%	17%	16%	6%	4%	2%	0%	0%	5%
Culture, Parks & Recreation: Includes operating and improving recreational facilities, Lincoln Center, Gardens on Spring Creek and the Museum of	More effort	22%	26%	23%	19%	32%	29%	27%	22%	27%	26%	20%	24%	25%
	Same effort	73%	73%	76%	74%	63%	69%	72%	74%	66%	72%	77%	75%	72%
Discovery; providing recreational, arts and cultural programs and public art; maintaining parks, trails and cemeteries; and improving natural areas	Less effort	4%	1%	1%	6%	5%	2%	2%	5%	7%	1%	3%	1%	3%
Transportation and Mobility: Includes transportation planning and	More effort	55%	54%	57%	51%	59%	52%	54%	56%	53%	54%	53%	58%	55%
development, maintaining roads and traffic operations, Transfort	Same effort	43%	45%	40%	47%	39%	43%	41%	41%	45%	45%	43%	39%	43%
traffic operations, Transfort operations, and bicycle and pedestrian safety, Northern Colorado Regional Airport	Less effort	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	5%	5%	2%	1%	1%	5%	3%	3%
General Government: Includes internal support functions, City management, Council, boards and commissions, volunteers, technology, communicating with communityI	More effort	16%	20%	16%	20%	18%	8%	9%	21%	22%	20%	10%	12%	17%
	Same effort	76%	75%	75%	77%	74%	74%	73%	73%	73%	74%	86%	80%	75%
	Less effort	8%	5%	9%	3%	7%	18%	18%	6%	5%	6%	4%	8%	8%

			able 105.	Ratings		ing Resi	dents by An	ea or Reside	ence				
Please rate the City's			Council	District				Geog	raphic are	ea of resid	lence		Overall
performance in each of the	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
following areas. (Average	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
rating 0=very bad, 100=very	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
good).													
Informing community	68	62	59	59	69	62	62	67	66	62	58	62	63
members	C D				BCDF								

Table 163: Ratings of Informing Residents by Area of Residence

#### Table 164: Providing Information and Opportunities to Participate by Area of Residence

Please rate the City's			Council	District					raphic are	ea of resid	lence		Overall
performance in each of the	District	District	District	District	District	District	Northwest	Northeast	West	East	Southwest	Southeast	(A)
following areas. (Average	1	2	3	4	5	6			Central	Central			
rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
Providing opportunities to participate in government activities	62	65 C F	55	57	65 C F	57	56	65 A E	63 E	61	51	58	60
Providing volunteer opportunities to community members	64	72 A C F	62	65	66	62	62	67	65	69 A F	65	62	65
Providing emergency information	69	73 C F	66	66	72 F	63	63	72 A	69 A	70 A	67	66	68

#### 2021 Community Survey Report

	la	ble 165: 3			ation by	Area of F	Residenc						
Please indicate how frequently, if ever, you			Council							ea of resid		-	Overall
or other members of your household use	District	District	District	District	District	District	North-	North-	West	East	South-	South-	(A)
each of the following sources of	1	2	3	4	5	6	west	east	Central	Central	west	east	
information regarding City issues, services	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
and programs. (Percent at least													
sometimes)													
The City of Fort Collins local channels 14	13%	13%	16%	8%	21%	22%	22%	16%	15%	11%	12%	15%	16%
and 881					D	D	D						
Online video FCTV on	29%	21%	5%	13%	36%	24%	24%	30%	25%	22%	25%	6%	22%
www.fcgov.com/FCTV	C D	С			BCD	С	F	F	F	F	F		
	91%	86%	70%	84%	85%	78%	77%	90%	88%	88%	76%	70%	82%
City's website (www.fcgov.com)	CF	С		С	С			ΑF	AF	AF			
	37%	39%	20%	41%	30%	32%	29%	45%	35%	37%	38%	20%	33%
City News eNewsletter	С	С		С				ΑF	F	F			
Newsletters or brochures from City	63%	74%	54%	62%	52%	51%	47%	60%	58%	73%	60%	58%	60%
departments		CEF								ACF			
City employees or departments (e.g.,	67%	69%	55%	51%	60%	60%	56%	66%	58%	73%	56%	51%	61%
contacting by phone, email or in person)	D	C D						F		ACF			
Tracks and Trails (the guide to natural	81%	80%	73%	71%	82%	70%	72%	81%	76%	81%	73%	73%	76%
areas activities)													
	62%	70%	55%	74%	77%	64%	60%	59%	76%	71%	87%	56%	67%
"Recreator" (guide to recreation programs)		С		С	AC				ABF	F	ABF		
	88%	92%	87%	95%	93%	91%	92%	85%	94%	94%	91%	86%	91%
Word of mouth									ΒF	ΒF			
	71%	62%	57%	70%	75%	67%	66%	69%	75%	65%	66%	58%	67%
Newspaper (print or online)	С				С				F				
	57%	56%	44%	58%	58%	41%	38%	47%	62%	62%	63%	43%	52%
Radio	F	F		F	F				ABF	ABF	Α		
	39%	41%	35%	49%	33%	35%	35%	39%	37%	41%	59%	34%	38%
Television news				E							ACF		
Social media (Facebook, Twitter, Nextdoor,	76%	79%	72%	80%	78%	75%	76%	78%	81%	78%	69%	71%	77%
etc.)													
	20%	14%	10%	19%	25%	30%	29%	30%	21%	13%	23%	10%	20%
OurCity Platform (ourcity.fcgov.com)					ВC	ВC	DF	D F	F				
Engage Platform (engage.fcgov.com)	13%	14%	8%	9%	13%	13%	12%	15%	14%	13%	1%	7%	12%
City of Fort Collins mobile apps (Access	24%	29%	32%	25%	21%	32%	31%	33%	21%	26%	24%	30%	27%
Fort Collins, Digital Publications, Recreator)													
City booth at local events	47%	35%	36%	46%	35%	46%	41%	47%	43%	40%	36%	34%	41%

Table 165: Sources of Information by Area of Residence

# Appendix F: Benchmark Comparisons

# **Understanding the Benchmark Comparisons**

Communities use the comparative information provided by benchmarks to help interpret their own resident survey results, to create or revise community plans, to evaluate the success of policy or budget decisions and to measure local government or organizational performance. Taking the pulse of the community has little meaning without knowing what pulse rate is too high and what is too low. When surveys of service satisfaction turn up "good" resident evaluations, it is necessary to know how others rate their services to understand if "good" is good enough or if most other communities are "very good." Furthermore, in the absence of national or peer community comparisons, a community is left with comparing its police protection rating to its street maintenance rating. That comparison is unfair as street maintenance always gets lower ratings than police protection. More illuminating is how residents' ratings of police service compare to opinions about police service in other communities and to resident ratings over time.

A police department that provides the fastest and most efficient service – one that closes most of its cases, solves most of its crimes, and keeps the crime rate low – still has a problem to fix if the residents in the community rate police services lower than ratings given by residents in other cities with objectively "worse" departments. Benchmark data can help that police department – or any department – to understand how well residents think it is doing.

While benchmarks help set the basis for evaluation, resident opinion should be used in conjunction with other sources of data about budget, population demographics, personnel, and politics to help administrators know how to respond to comparative results.

# **Comparison Data**

NRC has designed a method for quantitatively integrating the results of surveys that we have conducted with those that others have conducted. These integration methods have been described thoroughly in *Public Administration Review, Journal of Policy Analysis and Management,* and in NRC's first book on conducting and using citizen surveys, *Citizen Surveys: how to do them, how to use them, what they mean,* published by the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). Scholars who specialize in the analysis of citizen surveys regularly have relied on NRC's work. <sup>1,2</sup> The method described in those publications is refined regularly and statistically tested on a growing number of resident surveys in NRC's proprietary databases.

Communities in NRC's benchmark database are distributed geographically across the country and range from small to large in population size. Comparisons may be made to all communities in the database or to a subset. Despite the differences in characteristics across communities, all are in the business of providing services to residents. Though individual community circumstances, resources and practices vary, the objective in every community is to provide services that are so timely, tailored and effective that residents conclude the services are of the highest quality. High ratings in any community, like SAT scores in any teen household, bring pride and a sense of accomplishment.

NRC's database of comparative resident opinion is comprised of resident perspectives gathered in resident surveys from approximately 600 communities whose residents evaluated local government services and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Kelly, J. & Swindell, D. (2002). Service quality variation across urban space: First steps towards a model of citizen satisfaction, Journal of Urban Affairs, 24, 271-288.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Van Ryzin, G., Muzzio, D., Immerwahr, S., Gulick, L. & Martinez, E. (2004). Drivers and consequences of citizen satisfaction: An application of the American Customer Satisfaction Index Model to New York City, Public Administration Review, 64, 331-341.

gave their opinion about the quality of community life. The comparison evaluations are from the most recent survey completed in each jurisdiction; most communities conduct surveys every year or in alternating years. NRC adds the latest results quickly upon survey completion, keeping the benchmark data fresh and relevant. The City of Fort Collins chose to have comparisons made to the entire database as well as to communities in the Front Range.

## Putting Evaluations onto the 100-point Scale

Although responses to many of the evaluative questions were made on a five-point scale with 1 representing the best rating and 5 the worst, the benchmarks are reported on a common scale where 0 is the worst possible rating and 100 is the best possible rating. The margin of error around an average score on the 100-point scale is no greater than plus or minus three points based on all respondents.

The 100-point scale is not a percent. It is a conversion of responses to an average rating. Each response option is assigned a value that is used in calculating the average score. For example, "very good"=100, "good"=75, "average"=50, "bad"=25 and "very bad"=0. If everyone reported "very good," then the average rating would be 100 on the 100-point scale. Likewise, if all respondents gave a "very bad" rating, the result would be 0 on the 100-point scale. If half the respondents gave a score of "very good" and half gave a score of "very bad," the average would be 50, in the middle of the scale (like the center post of a teeter totter) or "average." An example of how to convert survey frequencies into an average rating appears below.

How do you rate Fort Collins as a place to live?												
	Total with	Step1: Remove		Step 2:		Step 4: Sum to						
Response	"no	"don't know"	Total without	Assign	Step 3: Multiply %	calculate						
option	opinion"	responses	"no opinion"	scale values	by scale value	average rating						
Very good	15%	=15÷(100-2)=	15.3%	100	=15.3% x 100 =	15.3						
Good	53%	=53÷(100-2)=	54.1%	75	=54.1% x 75 =	40.6						
Average	26%	=26÷(100-2)=	26.5%	50	=26.5% x 50 =	13.3						
Bad	3%	=3÷(100-2)=	3.1%	25	=3.1% x 25 =	0.8						
Very bad	0%	=0÷(100-2)=	0%	0	=0% x 0 =	0						
No opinion	2%											
Total	100%		100%			70						

Example of Converting Responses to the 100-point Scale

How do you rate Fort Collins as a place to live?



# Interpreting the Results

Average ratings are compared when similar questions are included in NRC's database, and there are at least five communities in which the question was asked. Where comparisons are available, three numbers are provided in the table. The first column is Fort Collins rating on the 100-point scale. The second column is the rank assigned to Fort Collins rating among communities where a similar question was asked. The third column is the number of communities that asked a similar question. The fourth column shows the comparison of Fort Collins average rating (column one) to the benchmark.

Where comparisons for quality ratings were available, the City of Fort Collins results were generally noted as being "above" the benchmark, "below" the benchmark or "similar" to the benchmark. For some questions – those related to resident behavior, circumstance or to a local problem – the comparison to the benchmark is designated as "more," "similar" or "less" (for example, the percent of residents having contacted the City in the last 12 months.) In instances where ratings are considerably higher or lower than the benchmark, these ratings have been further demarcated by the attribute of "much," (for example, "much less" or "much above"). These labels come from a statistical comparison of Fort Collins rating to the benchmark where a rating is considered "similar" if it is within the margin of error; "above," "below," "more" or "less" if the difference between Fort Collins rating and the benchmark is greater than but less than twice the margin of error; and "much above," "much below," "much more" or "much less" if the difference between Fort Collins rating and the benchmark is greater than but less than twice the margin of error; and the benchmark is more than twice the margin of error.

Comparisons are provided at the national level and to other communities in Colorado's Front Range.

# **National Benchmarks**

Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items listed below.	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to national benchmark
Overall, as a place to live	88	131	387	Higher
Availability of affordable quality housing	29	288	320	Much lower
Quality of public schools	75	134	281	Similar
As a place to raise children	82	180	385	Similar
As a place to retire	73	138	370	Similar
Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds	65	152	309	Similar
Availability of affordable quality childcare	43	256	281	Much lower
Overall quality of life in Fort Collins	81	167	443	Similar

#### Table 166: Quality of Life and Community Benchmarks

Table 167:	Recommend	Living and	l Remain in	n City Benchmark	٢S

Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to national benchmark
Recommend living in Fort Collins to someone who asks	87	164	303	Similar
Remain in Fort Collins for the next five years	80	220	297	Similar

Table 168: City Neighborhood Benchmark						
Please rate the quality of your Number of						
neighborhood on each of the items	Fort Collins		jurisdictions for	Comparison to		
listed below.	average rating	Rank	comparison	national benchmark		
Your neighborhood as a place to live	81	190	323	Similar		

Table 169: Code Enforcement Benchmark							
Please rate the quality of each of Fort Collins Number of jurisdictions Comparison to							
the following in Fort Collins.	average rating	Rank	for comparison	national benchmark			
Code enforcement (weeds,							
rubbish/trash, etc.)	62	110	371	Higher			

#### Table 170: Community Engagement Benchmarks

In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members done each of the following in Fort Collins?	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to national benchmark
Visited a neighborhood park or City park	94	11	261	Much higher
Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone	37	187	271	Similar
Volunteered your time in Fort Collins	45	67	285	Higher
Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors	11	246	246	Much lower
Done a favor for a neighbor	77	192	242	Similar

#### Table 171: Overall Safety Benchmark

Please rate Fort Collins as a				
community on each of the items listed	Fort Collins		Number of jurisdictions	Comparison to
below.	average rating	Rank	for comparison	national benchmark
Overall safety of community members	81	173	368	Similar

#### Table 172: Personal Safety Benchmarks

Please tell us how safe you feel in each of the following areas in Fort Collins.	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to national benchmark
Downtown Fort Collins during the day	87	164	328	Similar
Downtown Fort Collins at night	68	10	12	Lower
Your neighborhood during the day	92	155	352	Similar
Your neighborhood at night	79	7	15	Similar
Parks	81	3	10	Similar

Please rate the quality of each of the following in Fort Collins.	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to national benchmark
Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations)	77	31	295	Higher
Fire prevention/education	74	205	296	Similar
Fire services overall	83	274	367	Similar
Crime prevention	69	231	365	Similar
Traffic enforcement	60	284	369	Lower
Police response time	71	4	6	Similar
Police services overall	69	352	428	Lower
Animal control	68	161	332	Similar

#### Table 173: Safety Services Benchmarks

### Table 174: Quality of the Environment Benchmarks

Please rate the quality of the environment in Fort Collins on each of the items listed below.	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to national benchmark
Community's visual attractiveness	82	68	350	Much higher
Air quality	68	219	270	Lower
Recycling programs	74	217	352	Similar
Overall quality of environment	78	155	295	Similar

#### Table 175: Transportation Benchmarks

Please rate the following areas of transportation in Fort Collins.	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to national benchmark
Ease of driving	62	238	322	Lower
Ease of traveling by public transportation	56	75	261	Higher
Ease of walking	74	107	324	Higher
Availability of parking Downtown	53	193	258	Lower
Level of traffic congestion	45	270	339	Lower
Street maintenance	63	87	354	Higher

#### Table 176: Community Aspects of Culture and Recreation Benchmarks

Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items listed below.	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to national benchmark
Quality of arts and cultural opportunities	67	126	306	Similar
Quality of recreational opportunities	85	25	307	Much higher
Quality of public library services	82	171	326	Similar

Please rate the quality of each of the	Fort Collins		Number of jurisdictions	Comparison to	
programs or facilities listed below.	average rating	Rank	for comparison	national benchmark	
Natural areas and open space	89	4	264	Much higher	
Recreational trails	89	5	8	Similar	
Parks	88	46	323	Higher	
Adult recreation programs	76	91	326	Higher	

#### Table 177: Parks, Recreational and Cultural Programs and Facilities Benchmarks

#### Table 178: Community Aspects of Economic Health Benchmarks

Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items listed below.	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to national benchmark
Quality of shopping opportunities	73	71	307	Much higher
Availability of job opportunities	59	104	321	Higher
Availability of quality healthcare	79	20	281	Much higher
As a place to work	76	82	368	Higher

#### Table 179: Overall Quality of Services Benchmark

Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by the City of Fort Collins?	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to national benchmark
Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by the City of Fort Collins?	76	149	403	Similar

#### Table 180: City Government Benchmarks

Please rate the City's performance in	Fort Collins		Number of jurisdictions	Comparison to	
each of the following areas.	average rating	Rank	for comparison	national benchmark	
Welcoming community member					
involvement	66	63	333	Higher	
Listening to community members	60	8	14	Similar	
Overall direction of the City	63	144	330	Similar	

#### Table 181: Contact with City Employees Benchmark

Have you had contact with any City	Fort Collins		Number of	Comparison to	
employee(s) by phone, in person, via email or	average		jurisdictions for	national	
online within the last 12 months?	rating	Rank	comparison	benchmark	
Have you had contact with any City					
employee(s) by phone, in person, via email or					
online within the last 12 months?	51	89	339	Higher	
Thinking about your most recent contact, please rate City employee(s) on each of the	Fort Collins		Number of jurisdictions for	Comparison to	
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------	------	--------------------------------	--------------------	
items below.	average rating	Rank	comparison	national benchmark	
Courtesy	83	14	17	Lower	
Knowledge	81	19	25	Similar	
Overall impression	78	137	384	Similar	

#### Table 182: Perceptions of City Employees Benchmarks

#### Table 183: City Communications Benchmarks

Please rate the City's performance	Fort Collins		Number of jurisdictions	Comparison to
in the following area.	average rating	Rank	for comparison	national benchmark
Informing community members	63	7	12	Similar
Providing opportunities to participate in government activities	60	235	293	Lower
Providing volunteer opportunities to community members	65	214	286	Lower

### **Communities Included in National Comparisons**

Listed below are the communities included in the national benchmark comparisons provided for the City of Fort Collins followed by its 2017 population according to the U.S. Census American Community Survey.

Adams County, CO Airway Heights city, WA Albany city, OR Albemarle County, VA Albert Lea city, MN	8,017 52,007 105,105
Alexandria city, VA	
Allegan County, MI	
American Canyon city, CA	
Ankeny city, IA	
Ann Arbor city, MI	
Apache Junction city, AZ	
Arapahoe County, CO	
Arlington city, TX	
Arvada city, CO	115,320
Asheville city, NC	
Ashland city, OR	20,733
Ashland town, MA	17,478
Ashland town, VA	7,554
Athens-Clarke County, GA,	122,292
Auburn city, AL	61,462
Aurora city, CO	
Austin city, TX	916,906
Avon town, CO	- ,
Avon town, IN	
Avondale city, AZ	
Azusa city, CA	
Bainbridge Island city, WA	
Baltimore city, MD	
Baltimore County, MD	828,637

Basehor city, KS	5,401
Batavia city, IL	26,499
Battle Creek city, MI	51,505
Bay Village city, OH	15,426
Baytown city, TX	76,205
Beaumont city, CA	
Bellingham city, WA	
Bend city, OR	
Bethlehem township, PA	
Bettendorf city, IA	
Billings city, MT	
Bloomington city, IN	
Bloomington city, MN	
Boise City city, ID	
Bonner Springs city, KS	
Boulder city, CO	
Bowling Green city, KY	
Bozeman city, MT	
Brookline CDP, MA	
Brooklyn Center city, MN	
Brooklyn city, OH	
Broomfield city, CO	
Brownsburg town, IN	
Buffalo Grove village, IL	
Burlingame city, CA	
Cabarrus County, NC	
Cambridge city, MA	
Canandaigua city, NY	
Cannon Beach city, OR	

Cañon City city, CO	
	16 298
Cape Coral city, FL	
Carlsbad city, CA	113,147
Cartersville city, GA	20 235
Cary town, NC	
Castle Rock town, CO	57,274
Cedar Hill city, TX	
Cedar Park city, TX	
Cedar Rapids city, IA	
Celina city, TX	7,910
Centennial city, CO	
Ob an allow aiter TV	100,440
Chandler city, TX	
Chanhassen city, MN	25,108
Chapel Hill town, NC	
Chardon city, OH	
Charles County, MD	
Charlotte County, FL	173,236
Charlottesville city, VA	
Chattanooga city, TN	
Chautauqua town, NY	4,362
Chesterfield County, VA	335.594
Clayton city, MO	
Clearwater city, FL	
Clinton city, SC	8,538
Clive city, IA	
Clovis city, CA	
College Park city, MD	32,186
College Station city, TX	107,445
Colleyville city, TX	
Collinsville city, IL	
Columbia city, MO	118,620
Commerce City city, CO	52.905
Conshohocken borough, PA	
Coolidge city, AZ	
Coon Rapids city, MN	
	62,342
Coral Springs city, Fl	
Coral Springs city, FL	130,110
Coronado city, CA	130,110 24,053
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR	130,110 24,053 56,224
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR	130,110 24,053 56,224
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Cupertino city, CA	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Cupertino city, CA	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Cupertino city, CA Dacono city, CO	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687 4,929
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Cupertino city, CA Dacono city, CO Dakota County, MN	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687 4,929 414,655
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Coventry town, CT Dacono city, CA Dacono city, CO Dakota County, MN Dallas city, OR	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687 4,929 414,655 15,413
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Cupertino city, CA Dacono city, CO Dakota County, MN	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687 4,929 414,655 15,413
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Cupertino city, CA Dacono city, CO Dakota County, MN Dallas city, OR Dallas city, TX	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687 4,929 414,655 15,413 1,300,122
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Cupertino city, CA Dacono city, CO Dakota County, MN Dallas city, OR Dallas city, TX Danvers town, MA	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687 4,929 414,655 15,413 1,300,122 27,527
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Cupertino city, CA Dacono city, CO Dakota County, MN Dallas city, OR Dallas city, TX Danvers town, MA Danville city, KY	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687 4,929 414,655 15,413 1,300,122 27,527 16,657
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Cupertino city, CA Dacono city, CO Dakota County, MN Dallas city, OR Dallas city, TX Danvers town, MA Danville city, KY Darien city, IL	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687 4,929 414,655 15,413 1,300,122 27,527 16,657 22,206
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Cupertino city, CA Dacono city, CO Dakota County, MN Dallas city, OR Dallas city, TX Danvers town, MA Danville city, KY Darien city, IL	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687 4,929 414,655 15,413 1,300,122 27,527 16,657 22,206
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Cupertino city, CA Dacono city, CO Dakota County, MN Dallas city, OR Dallas city, OR Dallas city, TX Danvers town, MA Danville city, KY Darien city, IL Davidson town, NC	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687 4,929 414,655 15,413 1,300,122 27,527 16,657 22,206 12,325
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Coventry town, CT Cupertino city, CA Dacono city, CO Dakota County, MN Dallas city, OR Dallas city, OR Dallas city, TX Danvers town, MA Danville city, KY Darien city, IL Davidson town, NC Dayton city, OH.	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687 4,929 414,655 15,413 1,300,122 27,527 16,657 22,206 12,325 140,939
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Coventry town, CT Cupertino city, CA Dacono city, CO Dakota County, MN Dallas city, OR Dallas city, OR Dallas city, TX Danvers town, MA Danville city, KY Darien city, IL Davidson town, NC Dayton city, OH. Dayton town, WY	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687 4,929 414,655 15,413 1,300,122 27,527 16,657 22,206 12,325 140,939 815
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Coventry town, CT Cupertino city, CA Dacono city, CO Dakota County, MN Dallas city, OR Dallas city, OR Dallas city, TX Danvers town, MA Danville city, KY Darien city, IL Davidson town, NC Dayton city, OH. Dayton town, WY Dearborn city, MI	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687 4,929 414,655 15,413 1,300,122 27,527 16,657 22,206 12,325 140,939 815 95,295
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Coventry town, CT Cupertino city, CA Dacono city, CO Dakota County, MN Dallas city, OR Dallas city, OR Dallas city, TX Danvers town, MA Danville city, KY Darien city, IL Davidson town, NC Dayton city, OH. Dayton town, WY	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687 4,929 414,655 15,413 1,300,122 27,527 16,657 22,206 12,325 140,939 815 95,295
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Coventry town, CT Cupertino city, CA Dacono city, CO Dakota County, MN Dallas city, OR Dallas city, OR Dallas city, TX Danvers town, MA Danville city, KY Darien city, IL Davidson town, NC Dayton city, OH Dayton city, GA	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687 4,929 414,655 15,413 1,300,122 27,527 16,657 22,206 12,325 140,939 815 95,295 22,022
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Coventry town, CT Cupertino city, CA Dacono city, CO Dakota County, MN Dallas city, OR Dallas city, OR Dallas city, TX Danvers town, MA Danville city, KY Darien city, IL Davidson town, NC Dayton city, OH. Dayton city, OH Dearborn city, GA DeLand city, FL	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687 4,929 414,655 15,413 1,300,122 27,527 16,657 22,206 12,325 140,939 815 95,295 22,022 30,315
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Coventry town, CT Coventry town, CT Dacono city, CA Dacono city, CO Dakota County, MN Dallas city, OR Dallas city, OR Dallas city, OR Dallas city, TX Danvers town, MA Danville city, KY Darien city, IL Davidson town, NC Dayton city, OH Dayton town, WY Dearborn city, GA DeLand city, FL Delaware city, OH	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687 4,929 414,655 15,413 1,300,122 27,527 16,657 22,206 12,325 140,939 815 95,295 22,022 30,315 38,193
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Coventry town, CT Coventry town, CA Dacono city, CA Dacono city, CO Dakota County, MN Dallas city, OR Dallas city, OR Dallas city, OR Dallas city, TX Danvers town, MA Danville city, KY Darien city, IL Davidson town, NC Dayton city, OH Dayton city, OH Dearborn city, MI Decatur city, GA DeLand city, FL Delaware city, OH Denison city, TX	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687 4,929 414,655 15,413 1,300,122 27,527 16,657 22,206 12,325 140,939 815 95,295 22,022 30,315 38,193 38,193 23,342
Coronado city, CA Corvallis city, OR Cottonwood Heights city, UT Coventry Lake CDP, CT Coventry town, CT Coventry town, CT Coventry town, CT Dacono city, CA Dacono city, CO Dakota County, MN Dallas city, OR Dallas city, OR Dallas city, OR Dallas city, TX Danvers town, MA Danville city, KY Darien city, IL Davidson town, NC Dayton city, OH Dayton town, WY Dearborn city, GA DeLand city, FL Delaware city, OH	130,110 24,053 56,224 34,214 2,932 12,458 60,687 4,929 414,655 15,413 1,300,122 27,527 16,657 22,206 12,325 140,939 815 95,295 22,022 30,315 38,193 38,193 23,342

Denver situ CO	670 467
Denver city, CO	
Des Moines city, IA	
Des Peres city, MO	
Destin city, FL	13,421
Dothan city, AL	67,784
Dover city, NH	
Dublin city, CA	
Dublin city, OH	
Duluth city, MN	
Durham city, NC	
Durham County, NC	
Dyer town, IN	10,077
Eagan city, MN	66,102
Eagle Mountain city, UT	27,773
Eau Claire city, WI	
Eden Prairie city, MN	
Eden town, VT	
Edgewater city, CO	5,299
Edina city, MN	50,603
Edmond city, OK	
Edmonds city, WA	
El Cerrito city, CA	24.982
El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles) city, CA	31 409
Elbert County, CO	
Elgin city, IL	
Elk Grove city, CA	
Elmhurst city, IL	
Englewood city, CO	
Erie town, CO	22,019
Escambia County, FL	
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO	6,248
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH	6,248 47,698
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH	6,248 47,698
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH	6,248 47,698 33,808
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmersville city, TX	6,248 47,698 33,808 3,440
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmersville city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI	6,248 47,698 33,808 3,440 81,235
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmersville city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX	6,248 47,698 33,808 3,440 81,235 10,339
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmersville city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA	6,248 47,698 33,808 3,440 81,235 10,339 17,069
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmersville city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC	6,248 47,698 3,808 3,440 81,235 10,339 17,069 210,324
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA	6,248 47,698 3,808 3,440 81,235 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA Fernandina Beach city, FL	6,248 47,698 3,808 3,440 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837 11,957
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA Fernandina Beach city, FL Flagstaff city, AZ	6,248 3,808 3,440 81,235 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837 11,957 69,903
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmersville city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA Fernandina Beach city, FL Flagstaff city, AZ Flower Mound town, TX	6,248 3,808 3,440 81,235 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837 11,957 69,903 71,575
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA Fernandina Beach city, FL Flagstaff city, AZ Flower Mound town, TX Forest Grove city, OR	6,248 33,808 3,440 81,235 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837 11,957 69,903 71,575 23,554
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmersville city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA Fernandina Beach city, FL Flagstaff city, AZ Flower Mound town, TX Forest Grove city, OR Franklin city, TN	6,248 3,808 3,440 81,235 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837 11,957 69,903 71,575 23,554 72,990
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmersville city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA Fernandina Beach city, FL Flagstaff city, AZ Flower Mound town, TX Forest Grove city, OR Franklin city, TN Frederick town, CO	6,248 3,808 3,440 81,235 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837 18,837 11,957 69,903 71,575 23,554 72,990 11,397
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmersville city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA Fernandina Beach city, FL Flagstaff city, AZ Flower Mound town, TX Forest Grove city, OR Franklin city, TN Frederick town, CO Fremont city, CA	6,248 3,808 3,808 3,440 81,235 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837 11,957 69,903 71,575 23,554 72,990 11,397 230,964
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmersville city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA Fernandina Beach city, FL Flagstaff city, AZ Flower Mound town, TX Forest Grove city, OR Franklin city, TN Frederick town, CO Fremont city, CA Frisco town, CO	6,248 3,808 3,840 3,440 81,235 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837 11,957 69,903 71,575 23,554 72,990 11,397 230,964 2,977
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmersville city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA Fernandina Beach city, FL Flagstaff city, AZ Flower Mound town, TX Forest Grove city, OR Franklin city, TN Frederick town, CO Fremont city, CA	6,248 3,808 3,840 3,440 81,235 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837 11,957 69,903 71,575 23,554 72,990 11,397 230,964 2,977
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA Fernandina Beach city, FL Flagstaff city, AZ Flower Mound town, TX Forest Grove city, OR Franklin city, TN Frederick town, CO Fremont city, CA Frisco town, CO Fruita city, CO	6,248 47,698 3,808 3,440 81,235 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837 11,957 69,903 71,575 23,554 72,990 11,397 230,964 2,977 13,039
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA Fernandina Beach city, FL Flagstaff city, AZ Flower Mound town, TX Forest Grove city, OR Franklin city, TN Frederick town, CO Fremont city, CA Frisco town, CO Fruita city, CO Gahanna city, OH	6,248 47,698 3,808 3,440 81,235 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837 11,957 69,903 71,575 23,554 72,990 11,397 230,964 2,977 13,039 34,691
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmersville city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA Fernandina Beach city, FL Flagstaff city, AZ Flower Mound town, TX Forest Grove city, OR Franklin city, TN Freederick town, CO Fremont city, CA Frisco town, CO Fruita city, CO Gahanna city, OH Gaithersburg city, MD	6,248 47,698 3,808 3,840 81,235 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837 11,957 69,903 71,575 23,554 72,990 11,397 230,964 2,977 13,039 34,691 67,417
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Farmers Branch city, TX Farmers Branch city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA Ferguson township, PA Fernandina Beach city, FL Flagstaff city, AZ. Flower Mound town, TX Forest Grove city, OR Franklin city, TN Frederick town, CO Fremont city, CA Fruita city, CO Gahanna city, OH Galveston city, TX	6,248 47,698 3,808 3,440 81,235 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837 11,957 69,903 71,575 23,554 23,554 23,554 2970 13,039 34,691 67,417 49,706
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Farmers Branch city, TX Farmers Branch city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA Ferguson township, PA Fernandina Beach city, FL Flagstaff city, AZ Flower Mound town, TX Forest Grove city, OR Franklin city, TN Frederick town, CO Fremont city, CA Frisco town, CO Fruita city, CO Gahanna city, OH Gaithersburg city, MD Gardner city, KS	6,248 47,698 3,808 3,440 81,235 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837 11,957 69,903 71,575 23,554 23,554 23,554 230,964 2,977 13,039 34,691 67,417 49,706 21,059
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Farmers Branch city, TX Farmers Branch city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA Fernandina Beach city, FL Flagstaff city, AZ Flower Mound town, TX Forest Grove city, OR Franklin city, TN Frederick town, CO Fremont city, CA Frisco town, CO Fruita city, CO Gahanna city, OH Gaithersburg city, MD Galveston city, TX Gardner city, KS Germantown city, TN	6,248 3,808 3,440 3,440 81,235 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837 11,957 69,903 71,575 23,554 23,554 23,554 23,964 2,977 13,039 34,691 67,417 49,706 21,059 39,230
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmersville city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA Fernandina Beach city, FL Flagstaff city, AZ Flower Mound town, TX Forest Grove city, OR Franklin city, TN Frederick town, CO Fremont city, CA Frisco town, CO Fruita city, CO Gahanna city, OH Gaithersburg city, MD Gardner city, KS Germantown city, TN Gilbert town, AZ	6,248 3,808 3,440 3,440 81,235 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837 11,957 69,903 71,575 23,554 23,554 23,554 230,964 2,977 13,039 34,691 49,706 21,059 39,230 39,230 232,176
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmersville city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA Fernandina Beach city, FL Flagstaff city, AZ Flower Mound town, TX Forest Grove city, OR Franklin city, TN Frederick town, CO Fremont city, CA Frisco town, CO Fruita city, CO Gahanna city, OH Gaithersburg city, MD Gardner city, KS Germantown city, TN Gilbert town, AZ Gillette city, WY	6,248 3,808 3,440 3,440 81,235 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837 11,957 69,903 71,575 23,554 72,990 13,039 13,039 34,691 67,417 49,706 21,059 39,230 31,783
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmersville city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA Ferguson township, PA Fernandina Beach city, FL Flagstaff city, AZ Flower Mound town, TX Forest Grove city, OR Franklin city, TN Frederick town, CO Fremont city, CA Frisco town, CO Fruita city, CO Gahanna city, OH Galveston city, TX Gardner city, KS Germantown city, TN Gilbert town, AZ Gillette city, WY Glen Ellyn village, IL	6,248 47,698 3,808 3,808 3,840 3,440 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837 11,957 69,903 71,575 23,554 72,990 71,575 23,554 72,990 13,97 230,964 2977 13,039 34,691 34,691 34,691 39,230 39,230 31,783 27,983
Escambia County, FL Estes Park town, CO Euclid city, OH Farmers Branch city, TX Farmersville city, TX Farmington Hills city, MI Fate city, TX Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, GA Fayetteville city, NC Ferguson township, PA Fernandina Beach city, FL Flagstaff city, AZ Flower Mound town, TX Forest Grove city, OR Franklin city, TN Freederick town, CO Fremont city, CA Frisco town, CO Fruita city, CO Gahanna city, OH Gaithersburg city, MD	6,248 3,808 3,440 3,440 81,235 10,339 17,069 210,324 18,837 11,957 69,903 71,575 23,554 72,990 11,397 230,964 2977 13,039 34,691 67,417 49,706 21,059 39,230 232,176 31,783 27,983 51,891

Golden city, CO	
Golden Valley city, MN	
Goodyear city, AZ	
Grafton village, WI	
Grand Rapids city, MI	
Grand Traverse County, MI	91,222
Greeley city, CO	100,760
Greenville city, NC	
Greer city, SC	
Gulf Breeze city, FL	
Gunnison County, CO	
Haltom City city, TX	44,059
Hamilton city, OH	62,216
Hamilton town, MA	
Hampton city, VA	
Hanover County, VA	
Harrisburg city, SD	
Hastings city, MN	
Henderson city, NV	284,817
High Point city, NC	109.849
Highland Park city, IL	
Highlands Ranch CDP, CO	
Homer Glen village, IL	
Honolulu County, HI	
Hopkinton town, MA	16,720
Hoquiam city, WA	
Horry County, SC	310,186
Hudson town, CO	
Huntley village, IL	
Huntsville city, TX	
Hutchinson city, MN	
Hutto city, TX	
Hyattsville city, MD	
Independence city, IA	
Independence city, MO	117,369
Indio city, CA	86,867
Iowa City city, IA	
Issaquah city, WA	
Jackson city, MO	
	1 50 000
Jackson County, MI	158,989
Jefferson Parish, LA	
Jerome city, ID	11,306
Johnson City city, TN	65,598
Johnston city, IA	
Jupiter town, FL	
Kalamazoo city, MI	
Kansas City city, KS	
Kansas City city, MO	
Kent city, WA	
Kerrville city, TX	22,931
Key West city, FL	25,316
King City city, CA	
Kingman city, AZ	
Kirkland city, WA	86 772
Kirkwood city, MO	
La Mesa city, CA	
La Plata town, MD	
La Vista city, NE	17,062

Lake Havasu City city, AZ	53,463
Lake in the Hills village, IL	
Lake Zurich village, IL	
Lakewood city, CO	
Lakewood city, WA	
Lancaster County, SC	
Laramie city, WY	
Larimer County, CO	
Las Cruces city, NM	
Las Vegas city, NM	
Las Vegas city, NV	
Lawrence city, KS	
Lawrenceville city, GA	
Lehi city, UT	58,351
Lewisville city, TX	103,638
Libertyville village, IL	20,504
Lincolnwood village, IL	
Lindsborg city, KS	
Little Chute village, WI	
Little Elm city, TX	
Littleton city, CO	
Livermore city, CA	
Lombard village, IL	
Lone Tree city, CO	
Long Grove village, IL	
Longmont city, CO	
Lonsdale city, MN	
Los Alamos County, NM	
Los Altos Hills town, CA	8,490
Loudoun County, VA	374,558
Louisville city, CO	
Lower Merion township, PA	58,500
Lynchburg city, VA	
Lynnwood city, WA	
Manassas city, VA	
Manhattan Beach city, CA	
Manhattan city, KS	
Manhattan city, KS	
Markato city, MN Maple Grove city, MN	
Maplewood city, MN	
Maricopa County, AZ	
Marin County, CA	
Marion city, IA	38,014
Mariposa County, CA	
Marshalltown city, IA	27,440
Marshfield city, WI	18,326
Martinez city, CA	37,902
Marysville city, WA	
Maui County, HI	
McKinney city, TX	
McMinnville city, OR	33 211
Mecklenburg County, NC	1 034 200
Meckenburg county, No Menlo Park city, CA	22 661
Menomonee Falls village, WI	26 /11
Moreor loland aity WA	01760
Mercer Island city, WA	24,700 11 000
Meridian charter township, MI	
Merriam city, KS	
Mesa city, AZ	4/9,317

Mesquite city, TX	144.118
Miami city, FL	
Middleton city, WI	
Middletown town, RI	
Milford city, DE	10,645
Milton city, GA	37.556
Minneapolis city, MN	
Minnetrista city, MN	
Missoula County, MT	
Missouri City city, TX	72,688
Moline city, IL	42,644
Monroe city, MI	
Montgomery city, MN	
Montgomery County, MD	
Monticello city, UT	2,599
Montrose city, CO	18,918
Moorpark city, CA	36.060
Moraga town, CA	
Morristown city, TN	
Morrisville town, NC	
Morro Bay city, CA	10,568
Moscow city, ID	24,833
Mountlake Terrace city, WA	
Murphy city, TX	
Naperville city, IL	
Napoleon city, OH	
Needham CDP, MA	30,429
Nevada City city, CA	
Nevada County, CA	
New Braunfels city, TX	
New Brighton city, MN	
New Concord village, OH	2,561
New Hope city, MN	20,909
Newport city, RI	
Newport News city, VA	
Newton city, IA	
Niles village, IL	
Noblesville city, IN	
Norcross city, GA	16,474
Norfolk city, NE	24.352
North Mankato city, MN	13 583
North Port city, FL	
North Verre with terms ME	02,042
North Yarmouth town, ME	
Northglenn city, CO	
Novato city, CA	55,378
Novi city, MI	
O'Fallon city, IL	
Oak Park village, IL	
Oakdale city, MN	
Oklahoma City city, OK	629,191
Olmsted County, MN	151,685
Orland Park village, IL	
Orleans Parish, LA	
Oshkosh city, WI	
Oswego village, IL	
Overland Park city, KS	
Paducah city, KY	
Palm Beach Gardens city, FL	53,119
•	

Palm Coast city, FL	82.356
Palo Alto city, CA	
Palos Verdes Estates city, CA	13 501
Panama City Beach city, FL	12 /61
Papillion city, NE	
Paradise Valley town, AZ	19,470
Park City city, UT	
Parker town, CO	
Pasco city, WA	
Pasco County, FL	
Payette city, ID	
Pearland city, TX	
Peoria city, IL	
Pflugerville city, TX	58,013
Philadelphia city, PA	.1,569,657
Pinehurst village, NC	15,580
Piqua city, OH	
Pitkin County, CO	
Plano city, TX	
Platte City city, MO	
Pleasant Hill city, IA	
Pleasanton city, CA	
Plymouth city, MN	
Port Orange city, FL	
Port St. Lucie city, FL	
Portage city, MI	
Portland city, OR	
Powell city, OH	
Powhatan County, VA	
Prairie Village city, KS	
Pueblo city, CO	
Purcellville town, VA	
Puyallup city, WA	39,637
Queen Creek town, AZ	33,298
Raleigh city, NC	
Ramsey city, MN	
Raymore city, MO	
Redmond city, OR	
Redmond city, WA	
Redwood City city, CA	
Reno city, NV	
Richfield city, MN	25 002
Richland aity WA	
Richland city, WA	100 052
Richmond city, CA	108,853
Richmond Heights city, MO	
Rio Rancho city, NM	
River Falls city, WI	
Riverside city, CA	
Roanoke city, VA	
Roanoke County, VA	
Rochester city, NY	209,463
Rock Hill city, SC	
Rockville city, MD	
Roeland Park city, KS	6,810
Rohnert Park city, CA	
Rolla city, MO	
Rosemount city, MN	
Rosenberg city, TX	
Noochociy oly, 17	

Roseville city, CA	130.705
Roseville city, MN	
Round Rock city, TX	
Royal Palm Beach village, FL	
Sacramento city, CA	
Sahuarita town, AZ	28,257
Sammamish city, WA	62,877
San Carlos city, CA	
San Diego city, CA	
San Francisco city, CA	
San Jose city, CA	
San Marcos city, TX	
Sangamon County, IL	198,134
Santa Fe city, NM	82,980
Santa Fe County, NM	147.514
Savage city, MN	
Schaumburg village, IL	
Schertz city, TX	
Scott County, MN	
Scottsdale city, AZ	
Sedona city, AZ	10,246
Sevierville city, TN	16,387
Shakopee city, MN	
Shawnee city, KS	
Shawnee city, OK	
Shoreline city, WA	
Shoreview city, MN	
Shorewood village, IL	16,809
Sierra Vista city, AZ	43,585
Silverton city, OR	9.757
Sioux Falls city, SD	
Skokie village, IL	
Snoqualmie city, WA	
Snowmass Village town, CO	
Somerset town, MA	
South Bend city, IN	
South Jordan city, UT	65,523
South Portland city, ME	
Southlake city, TX	•
Spearfish city, SD	11 300
Springville city, UT	22 210
St. Augustine city, FL	13,952
St. Charles city, IL	
St. Cloud city, MN	67,093
St. Croix County, WI	87,142
St. Joseph city, MO	
St. Louis County, MN	
St. Lucie County, FL	
State College borough, PA	42 224
	42,224
Steamboat Springs city, CO	
Sugar Land city, TX	
Suisun City city, CA	
Summit County, UT	
Sunnyvale city, CA	
Surprise city, AZ	
Suwanee city, GA	
Tacoma city, WA	207,280

Takoma Park city, MD	
Tempe city, AZ	178,339
Temple city, TX	71,795
Texarkana city, TX	37,222
The Woodlands CDP, TX	
Thousand Oaks city, CA	128,909
Tigard city, OR	
Tinley Park village, IL	57,107
Tracy city, CA	
Trinidad CCD, CO	
Tualatin city, OR	
Tulsa city, OK	
Tustin city, CA	
Twin Falls city, ID	
Unalaska city, AK	
University Heights city, OH	
University Park city, TX	
Urbandale city, IA	
Vail town, CO	
Vernon Hills village, IL	26,084
Victoria city, MN	8,679
Vienna town, VA	
Virginia Beach city, VA	450,057
Walnut Creek city, CA	68,516
Warrensburg city, MO	
Washington County, MN	
Washoe County, NV	
Waunakee village, WI	13,284
Wauwatosa city, WI	
Wentzville city, MO	35,768
West Bend city, WI	31,656
West Carrollton city, OH	12,963
West Chester township, OH	62,804
West Des Moines city, IA	62,999
Western Springs village, IL	13,187
Westerville city, OH	
Westlake town, TX	1,006
Westminster city, CO	111,895
Westminster city, MD	18,557
Wheat Ridge city, CO	31,162
White House city, TN	11,107
Wichita city, KS	389,054
Williamsburg city, VA	14,817
Willowbrook village, IL	8,598
Wilmington city, NC	115,261
Wilsonville city, OR	22,789
Windsor town, CO	
Windsor town, CT	29,037
Winter Garden city, FL	
Woodinville city, WA	11,675
Wyandotte County, KS	163,227
Wyoming city, MI	
Yakima city, WA	
York County, VA	
Yorktown town, IN	
Yorkville city, IL	
Yountville city, CA	2,978

## Front Range Benchmarks

Table 184: Quality of Life and Community Benchmarks					
Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items listed below.	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to Front Range benchmark	
Overall, as a place to live	88	11	29	Higher	
Availability of affordable quality housing	29	17	20	Much lower	
Quality of public schools	75	6	18	Higher	
As a place to raise children	82	13	30	Higher	
As a place to retire	73	9	30	Higher	
Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds	65	9	22	Similar	
Overall quality of life in Fort Collins	81	12	32	Similar	

#### Table 104. 0 .... c . . . . . \_

#### Table 185: Recommend Living and Remain in City Benchmarks

Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to Front Range benchmark
Recommend living in Fort Collins to someone who asks	87	7	21	Higher
Remain in Fort Collins for the next five years	80	15	20	Similar

#### Table 186: City Neighborhood Benchmark

Please rate the quality of your			Number of			
neighborhood on each of the items	Fort Collins		jurisdictions for	Comparison to Front		
listed below.	average rating	Rank	comparison	Range benchmark		
Your neighborhood as a place to live	81	13	26	Similar		

#### Table 187: Code Enforcement Benchmark

Please rate the quality of each of the following in Fort Collins.	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to Front Range benchmark	
Code enforcement (weeds, rubbish/trash, etc.)	62	4	25	Higher	

In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members done each of the following in Fort Collins?	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to Front Range benchmark
Visited a neighborhood park or City park	94	4	15	Higher
Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone	37	13	15	Lower
Volunteered your time in Fort Collins	45	5	15	Much higher
Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors	11	12	12	Much lower
Done a favor for a neighbor	77	9	12	Lower

#### Table 188: Community Engagement Benchmarks

#### Table 189: Overall Safety Benchmark

Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items listed below.	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to Front Range benchmark
Overall safety of community members	81	11	21	Higher

#### Table 190: Personal Safety Benchmarks Please tell us how safe you feel in Number of each of the following areas in Fort Fort Collins jurisdictions for Comparison to Front comparison Range benchmark Collins. Rank average rating Downtown Fort Collins during the 87 12 18 day Similar Not Downtown Fort Collins at night Not available Not available 68 available Your neighborhood during the day 92 Similar 10 21 Not 79 Your neighborhood at night available Not available Not available 2 Similar Parks 81 5

#### Table 191: Safety Services Benchmarks

Please rate the quality of each of the following in Fort Collins.	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to Front Range benchmark
Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations)	77	1	17	Much higher
Fire prevention/education	74	7	13	Similar
Fire services overall	83	12	17	Similar
Crime prevention	69	12	22	Similar
Traffic enforcement	60	18	25	Similar
Police response time	71	4	5	Lower
Police services overall	69	21	28	Lower
Animal control	68	9	22	Similar

Table 192. Quality of the Environment Benchmarks						
Please rate the quality of the environment			Number of			
in Fort Collins on each of the items listed	Fort Collins		jurisdictions for	Comparison to Front		
below.	average rating	Rank	comparison	Range benchmark		
Community's visual attractiveness	82	7	23	Much higher		
Air quality	68	11	18	Similar		
Recycling programs	74	8	17	Similar		
Overall quality of environment	78	8	18	Similar		

#### Table 192: Quality of the Environment Benchmarks

#### Table 193: Transportation Benchmarks

Please rate the following areas of	Fort Collins		Number of jurisdictions	Comparison to Front
transportation in Fort Collins.	average rating	Rank	for comparison	Range benchmark
Ease of driving	62	16	25	Similar
Ease of walking	74	10	24	Similar
Availability of parking Downtown	53	7	12	Similar
Level of traffic congestion	45	17	22	Lower
Street maintenance	63	4	25	Much higher

#### Table 194: Community Aspects of Culture and Recreation Benchmarks

Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items listed below.	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to Front Range benchmark
Quality of arts and cultural opportunities	67	10	21	Similar
Quality of recreational opportunities	85	5	22	Much higher
Quality of public library services	82	9	18	Similar

#### Table 195: Parks, Recreational and Cultural Programs and Facilities Benchmarks

Please rate the quality of each of the programs or facilities listed below.	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to Front Range benchmark
Natural areas and open space	89	4	14	Much higher
Recreational trails	89	4	5	Similar
Parks	88	5	19	Higher
Adult recreation programs	76	11	21	Similar

ruble 190. Community Aspects of Economic Fredrit Benchmarks						
Please rate Fort Collins as a						
community on each of the items listed	Fort Collins		Number of jurisdictions	Comparison to Front		
below.	average rating	Rank	for comparison	Range benchmark		
Quality of shopping opportunities	73	6	24	Much higher		
Availability of job opportunities	59	7	25	Higher		
Availability of quality healthcare	79	1	17	Much higher		
As a place to work	76	6	30	Much higher		

Table 196: Community Aspects of Economic Health Benchmarks

#### Table 197: Overall Quality of Services Benchmark

Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by the City of Fort Collins?	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to Front Range benchmark
Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by the City of Fort Collins?	76	11	30	Similar

#### Table 198: City Government Benchmarks

Please rate the City's performance in each of the following areas.	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to Front Range benchmark								
Welcoming community member involvement	66	3	27	Higher								
Listening to community members	60	4	5	Similar								
Overall direction of the City	63	8	26	Similar								

#### Table 199: Contact with City Employees Benchmark

Have you had contact with any City employee(s) by phone, in person, via email or online within the last 12 months?	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to Front Range benchmark
Have you had contact with any City employee(s) by phone, in person, via email or online within the last 12 months?	51	5	22	Higher

#### Table 200: Perceptions of City Employees Benchmarks

Thinking about your most recent contact, please rate City employee(s) on each of the items below.	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to Front Range benchmark
Courtesy	83	5	7	Lower
Knowledge	81	6	10	Similar
Overall impression	78	10	26	Similar

Please rate the City's performance in the following area.	Fort Collins average rating	Rank	Number of jurisdictions for comparison	Comparison to Front Range benchmark
Informing community members	63	4	5	Lower
Providing opportunities to participate in government activities	60	13	19	Lower
Providing volunteer opportunities to community members	65	8	16	Similar

Table 201: City Communications Benchmarks

### Communities Included in Front Range Comparisons

Listed below are the communities included in the Front Range benchmark comparisons provided for the City of Fort Collins followed by its 2017 population according to the U.S. Census American Community Survey.

Adams County, CO	
Arapahoe County, CO	
Arvada city, CO	115,320
Boulder city, CO	
Broomfield city, CO	64,283
Cañon City city, CO	16,298
Castle Rock town, CO	
Centennial city, CO	
Commerce City city, CO	
Dacono city, CO	4,929
Denver city, CO	
Edgewater city, CO	5,299
Englewood city, CO	
Erie town, CO	
Estes Park town, CO	6,248
Frederick town, CO	11,397

Hudson town, CO.       1,709         Lakewood city, CO.       151,411         Larimer County, CO.       330,976         Littleton city, CO.       45,848         Lone Tree city, CO.       13,430         Longmont city, CO.       91,730         Louisville city, CO.       20,319         Northglenn city, CO.       38,473         Parker town, CO.       51,125         Pueblo city, CO.       109,122         Westminster city, CO.       31,162         Windsor town, CO.       23,386	Golden city, CO Greeley city, CO Highlands Ranch CDP, CO	100,760
Lakewood city, CO       151,411         Larimer County, CO       330,976         Littleton city, CO       45,848         Lone Tree city, CO       13,430         Longmont city, CO       91,730         Louisville city, CO       20,319         Northglenn city, CO       38,473         Parker town, CO       51,125         Pueblo city, CO       109,122         Westminster city, CO       31,162		
Larimer County, CO		
Lone Tree city, CO		
Longmont city, CO         91,730           Louisville city, CO         20,319           Northglenn city, CO         38,473           Parker town, CO         51,125           Pueblo city, CO         109,122           Westminster city, CO         111,895           Wheat Ridge city, CO         31,162	Littleton city, CO	
Louisville city, CO	Lone Tree city, CO	13,430
Northglenn city, CO	Longmont city, CO	91,730
Parker town, CO         51,125           Pueblo city, CO         109,122           Westminster city, CO         111,895           Wheat Ridge city, CO         31,162	Louisville city, CO	20,319
Pueblo city, CO	Northglenn city, CO	
Westminster city, CO	Parker town, CO	51,125
Wheat Ridge city, CO		
	Westminster city, CO	111,895
	Wheat Ridge city, CO	

## Appendix G: Comparisons of Survey Results by Year

This appendix contains the average ratings for all evaluative questions compared by year; the percent positive is shown for questions on a nonevaluative scale that have trend data. Differences between 2021 and 2019 can be considered "statistically significant" if they are four points or more on the 100-point scale or plus or minus six percentage points.

		cultil of										
Please rate the City's performance in each of the following areas.												
(Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Promotion of the social health of Fort Collins (Human Services,												
Affordable Housing, Homelessness, Equity & Inclusion, etc.)	52	50	NA									

Table 202: Promotion of Social Health of the Community Compared by Year

Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items listed below. Average rating on 100-point scale (0=very bad,												
100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Overall, as a place to live	88	86	88	89	89	91	90	88	88	79	81	80
Availability of affordable quality housing	29	34	32	31	38	53	54	58	52	40	43	37
Quality of public schools	75	77	78	80	82	80	80	77	76	76	NA	NA
As a place to raise children	82	84	83	84	87	87	86	84	83	81	84	81
As a place to retire	73	74	73	73	79	80	79	79	77	76	73	74
As a place to attend college	81	81	82	83	85	84	85	85	84	81	84	84
Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds	65	65	67	71	72	76	72	69	70	64	67	64
Availability of affordable quality childcare	43	38	NA									
Overall quality of life in Fort Collins	81	81	82	84	85	86	84	83	82	NA	NA	NA

#### Table 203: Aspects of Quality of Life and Community Compared by Year

Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following:(Average rating 0=very unlikely, 100=very likely).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Recommend living in Fort Collins to someone who asks	79	78	79	79	NA							
Remain in Fort Collins for the next five years	77	78	77	80	NA							

	Table 200. Quality of Neighborhoods compared by real											
Please rate the quality of your neighborhood on each of the items listed below. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
isted below. (Average rating 0-very bad, roo-very good).	2021	2015	2010	2017	2013	2013	2012	2010	2000	2000	2005	2001
Your neighborhood as a place to live	81	82	83	82	83	82	80	78	80	80	NA	NA
Your neighborhood as a place to raise children	78	77	78	77	77	75	75	72	73	78	NA	NA
Access within your neighborhood to everyday needs (i.e., grocery shopping, services, and amenities)	80	79	80	79	79	NA						

#### Table 205: Quality of Neighborhoods Compared by Year

Table 206: Ratings of Neighborhood-related Services Compared by Year

Please rate quality of each of the following in Fort Collins.												
(Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Code enforcement (weeds, rubbish/trash, etc.)	62	64	64	62	64	65	66	63	63	NA	NA	NA
Noise enforcement	60	60	63	61	62	65	66	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Residential property maintenance	67	68	68	69	70	70	69	67	68	NA	NA	NA

#### Table 207: Overall Safety in City Compared by Year

Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items listed below. Average rating on 100-point scale (0=very bad,			-									
100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Overall safety of community members	81	81	81	82	81	84	83	81	81	72	76	78

#### Table 208: Ratings of Personal Safety Compared by Year

Please tell us how safe you feel in each of the following areas.	Ĭ											
(Average rating 0=always unsafe, 100=always safe)	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Downtown Fort Collins during the day	87	88	87	87	89	93	92	88	88	86	NA	NA
Downtown Fort Collins at night	68	68	68	66	68	71	69	70	69	67	NA	NA
Your neighborhood during the day	92	92	91	92	93	94	93	91	91	89	NA	NA
Your neighborhood at night	79	80	79	81	81	82	81	78	78	79	NA	NA
Parks	81	79	77	77	79	79	80	80	79	76	NA	NA
Natural areas/open spaces	80	79	79	79	79	80	79	80	78	NA	NA	NA
Recreation facilities	85	84	84	84	84	86	83	84	82	79	NA	NA
Trails	79	78	77	78	78	78	77	76	74	72	NA	NA
Fort Collins overall during the day	86	86	86	87	87	90	88	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Fort Collins overall at night	73	71	71	71	72	74	73	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Transfort/MAX	72	71	NA									

	ty ouret	9 001110		190 001	nparea	by rear						
Please rate quality of each of the following in Fort Collins. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
	2021	2019	2010	2017	2013	2013	2012	2010	2000	2000	2003	2001
Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community												
for natural disasters or other emergency situations)	77	76	75	77	76	77	75	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disaster response and restoration of services	76	75	75	77	76	78	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Fire prevention/education	74	73	75	74	77	76	75	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Fire response time	81	82	81	83	83	83	81	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Fire services overall	83	82	81	82	82	82	81	86	86	NA	85	87
Crime prevention	69	68	70	69	69	71	70	74	72	NA	NA	NA
Police patrol	66	70	70	69	69	73	72	72	72	NA	NA	NA
Traffic enforcement	60	62	63	62	62	67	69	68	68	NA	61	61
Police visibility	68	70	71	69	70	72	72	71	72	NA	NA	NA
Police response time	71	75	73	72	73	74	72	70	71	NA	74	76
Police services overall	69	74	73	70	71	74	72	70	71	NA	NA	NA
Animal control	68	68	69	69	65	68	69	67	70	NA	NA	NA
Business property maintenance	71	71	72	72	71	73	73	71	72	NA	NA	NA
Natural Areas and Park Ranger services	82	82	80	79	79	78	78	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Table 209: Community Safety Services Ratings Compared by Year

#### Table 210: Promotion of Environmental Health of the Community Compared by Year

Please rate the City's performance in each of the following areas. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Promotion of the health of the environment of Fort Collins	68	66	NA									

#### Table 211: Overall Quality of the Environment Compared by Year

Please rate the quality of the environment in Fort Collins in each of the following areas. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Overall quality of environment	78	77	79	81	81	83	81	81	80	76	NA	NA

				oompa		oui						
Please rate the quality of the environment in Fort Collins in each												
of the following areas. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very												
good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Community's visual attractiveness	82	83	84	83	83	84	81	80	82	78	75	70
Air quality	68	70	72	74	78	83	80	80	78	71	67	63
Recycling programs	74	73	77	80	77	80	79	77	76	71	68	69
Conservation efforts	75	74	76	79	77	79	78	78	75	NA	NA	NA

#### Table 212: Aspects of the Environment Compared by Year

#### Table 213: Transportation Ratings Compared by Year

Please rate the following areas of transportation in Fort Collins.												
(Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Ease of driving	62	58	56	53	51	61	65	61	57	50	NA	NA
Ease of traveling by public transportation	56	56	58	59	57	56	54	48	51	38	NA	NA
Ease of walking	74	73	68	67	67	71	71	67	68	60	NA	NA
Ease of traveling by bicycle	80	81	81	79	77	79	81	78	78	68	NA	NA
Availability of parking Downtown	53	52	51	47	46	49	51	51	52	NA	NA	NA
Convenience of parking Downtown	52	51	NA									
Level of traffic congestion	45	38	38	37	33	45	50	48	44	NA	32	27
Street maintenance	63	66	64	65	57	61	61	52	60	NA	59	59
Electric vehicle charging availability	51	NA										
Northern Colorado Regional Airport	45	NA										

#### Table 214: Community Aspects of Culture and Recreation Compared by Year

Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items listed below. Average rating on 100-point scale (0=very bad, 100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Quality of arts and cultural opportunities	67	72	72	72	71	74	70	68	69	67	2003 NA	NA
Quality of recreational opportunities	85	85	86	85	85	86	84	83	81	81	NA	NA
Quality of public library services	82	82	82	84	83	81	81	79	77	75	76	78

Table 215: Ratings of Parks, Recreat	ional an	d Cultu	ral Prog	rams a	nd Facil	ities Co	mparec	i by Yea	r		1	
Please rate the quality of each of the programs or facilities listed												
below. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Natural areas and open space	89	88	88	89	88	87	86	85	84	82	78	76
Recreational trails	89	89	89	90	89	88	87	86	86	83	82	81
Parks	88	87	88	88	87	87	86	84	85	82	83	83
Cemeteries	81	78	78	80	79	81	78	75	75	74	73	72
Golf courses	78	77	78	80	79	79	78	76	79	78	78	78
Athletic fields	78	79	78	81	79	81	80	78	79	76	78	77
Northside Aztlan Community Center	79	82	81	81	81	80	81	80	79	67	NA	NA
Fort Collins Senior Center	80	82	82	82	84	82	82	81	82	83	NA	NA
Edora Pool Ice Center (EPIC)	77	80	80	78	78	79	79	78	78	79	NA	NA
Foothills Activity Center	74	79	78	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Mulberry Pool	70	72	72	72	74	74	75	74	71	72	NA	NA
The Farm at Lee Martinez Park	81	83	81	82	81	81	80	79	79	81	NA	NA
The Gardens on Spring Creek	86	85	85	85	85	84	84	81	82	76	NA	NA
Pottery studio	78	81	76	77	79	80	77	76	74	74	NA	NA
Art in Public Places program	81	81	82	82	79	80	78	72	74	67	NA	NA
Lincoln Center programs	79	80	81	80	80	80	80	76	77	76	77	78
Fort Collins Museum of Discovery	83	84	85	84	84	83	78	71	70	72	70	72
Adult recreation programs	76	75	76	76	75	78	76	74	73	73	71	74
Senior recreation programs	77	79	77	78	78	80	78	77	78	78	75	78
Youth/teen recreation programs	77	76	76	76	75	78	77	74	72	67	69	63

and Cultural D d Equilition C magad by Va Table 215 Dati f Darka D tional

#### Table 216: Ratings of City as a Place to Work Compared by Year

Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items listed below. Average rating on 100-point scale (0=very bad,												
100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
As a place to work	76	75	76	76	76	77	77	73	71	NA	66	73

Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items listed below. Average rating on 100-point scale (0=very bad, 100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Quality of shopping opportunities	73	72	73	75	72	72	70	68	68	66	NA	NA
Quality of dining opportunities	79	78	82	83	82	82	83	80	81	80	NA	NA
Quality of entertainment opportunities	69	72	75	75	73	73	69	68	67	68	NA	NA
Availability of job opportunities	59	60	58	60	57	55	52	48	49	50	NA	NA
Availability of quality healthcare	79	77	77	75	77	76	77	74	73	NA	NA	NA

Table 217: Community Aspects of Economic Health Compared by Year

#### Table 218: Business Support and Promotion of Economic Health Compared by Year

Please rate the City's performance in each of the following in Fort												
Collins. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Promotion of the economic health of Fort Collins	62	62	68	69	69	67	65	57	57	56	NA	NA
Support of businesses	66	65	70	70	69	70	69	63	63	NA	NA	NA

Table 219: Business Health Compared by Year

Please rate the City's performance in each of the following in Fort Collins. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Encouraging a variety of businesses	65	66	66	69	69	NA						
Retaining existing businesses	61	56	62	64	65	NA						
Attracting new businesses	62	62	65	67	66	NA						

Table 220: Overall Quality of City Services Compared by Year

	quanty	orony	00111000	o o o i i i p	aroa oj	1001						
(Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by												
the City of Fort Collins?	76	76	78	81	79	79	78	74	73	NA	NA	NA

Table 221. Orly	Govern		inigo o	ompuic	u by ie	ui						
Please rate the City's performance in each of the following in Fort												
Collins. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Managing and planning for growth	54	57	57	57	58	63	62	59	53	43	44	40
Balancing development and growth while maintaining the												
character and identity of the City and neighborhoods	57	60	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Efficient operation of programs and services	67	67	70	68	66	69	66	63	63	53	NA	NA
Encouraging sustainability in the community	68	67	71	74	71	72	71	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Overall direction of the City	63	67	67	68	68	71	70	65	63	NA	NA	NA
Welcoming community member involvement	66	67	67	69	71	71	70	64	66	48	NA	NA
Listening to community members	60	59	60	62	61	63	63	58	57	55	NA	NA
Respecting all community members regardless of race/ethnic background, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, or marital status	65	67	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Creating a welcoming, inclusive community where all community members feel a sense of belonging	63	65	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Table 221: City Government Ratings Compared by Year

Table 222: Contact with City Employees Compared by Year

Percent yes	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Have you had contact with any City employee(s) by phone, in												
person, via email or online within the last 12 months?	51%	53%	56%	53%	54%	54%	55%	46%	46%	55%	58%	58%

Table 223: Users Ratings of City Employees Compared by Year

Thinking about your most recent contact, please rate City employee(s) on each of the items below. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Courtesy	83	85	86	86	84	85	84	82	81	83	81	84
Promptness	80	80	82	79	81	79	79	76	76	77	75	77
Knowledge	81	79	81	82	81	79	79	79	77	78	77	78
Making you feel valued	75	77	75	77	75	74	75	75	75	75	75	76
Overall impression	78	80	80	80	79	79	78	78	77	NA	NA	NA

This question was asked only of those who reported having had phone or in-person contact with any City employee(s) within the last 12 months

Table 224: Non-users	Datingo of City	v Employooo	Compared by Veer
	Ratinus of Cit		

				,			-					
Although you may not have had any recent personal contact with												
City employees, we would like to know your impression of how												
City employees treat Fort Collins residents. Please rate City												
employees on each of the items below. (Average rating 0=very												
bad, 100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Courtesy	75	75	78	78	74	77	76	80	72	72	73	69
Promptness in responding to inquiries and service requests	72	70	73	73	74	74	74	67	68	66	69	65
Making community members or customers feel valued	71	69	74	74	71	73	72	72	69	67	67	64

This question was asked only of those who reported no phone or in-person contact with any City employee(s) within the last 12 months

Table 225: Ratings of Informing Residents Compared by Year

Please rate the City's performance in each of the following in Fort Collins. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Informing community members	63	63	64	66	67	71	70	66	67	62	63	62

l able 226: Providing Informati	on and	Opporti	inities t	o Partic	cipate C	ompare	d by Ye	ar				
Please rate the City's performance in each of the following in Fort												
Collins. (Average rating 0=very bad, 100=very good).	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
Providing opportunities to participate in government activities	60	64	66	65	64	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Providing volunteer opportunities to community members	65	69	68	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Providing emergency information	68	68	67	68	70	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

utumitian ta Dautininata Ca TILL OOG DUILL 10 . . . . . . .

Table 227: 50				mpared	<u>a by 100</u>							
Please indicate how frequently, if ever, you or other members of your household use each of the following sources for information regarding City issues, services and programs. (Percent of												
respondents who had ever used this as a source)	2021	2019	2018	2017	2015	2013	2012	2010	2008	2006	2003	2001
The City of Fort Collins local channels 14 and 881	16%	12%	20%	20%	22%	30%	30%	36%	41%	35%	28%	26%
Online video FCTV on www.fcgov.com/FCTV	22%	22%	20%	19%	12%	17%	15%	12%	14%	NA	NA	NA
City's website (www.fcgov.com)	82%	77%	79%	79%	79%	80%	74%	71%	72%	50%	54%	12%
City News eNewsletter	33%	33%	63%	65%	65%	67%	63%	61%	71%	76%	76%	56%
Newsletters or brochures from City departments	60%	59%	59%	60%	62%	64%	56%	57%	64%	67%	64%	17%
City employees or departments (e.g., contacting by phone, email or in person)	61%	58%	56%	57%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Tracks and Trails (the guide to natural areas activities)	76%	67%	69%	73%	68%	NA						
"Recreator" (guide to recreation programs)	67%	68%	71%	66%	70%	70%	64%	62%	60%	70%	60%	40%
Word of mouth	91%	91%	91%	90%	87%	88%	87%	85%	88%	82%	87%	54%
Newspaper (print or online)	67%	66%	67%	70%	72%	80%	80%	81%	87%	89%	NA	76%
Radio	52%	50%	56%	55%	63%	69%	60%	64%	66%	61%	NA	27%
Television news	38%	41%	41%	45%	57%	69%	60%	65%	69%	58%	63%	NA
Social media (Facebook, Twitter, Nextdoor, etc.)	77%	65%	67%	63%	60%	55%	44%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
OurCity Platform (ourcity.fcgov.com)	20%	16%	18%	18%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engage Platform (engage.fcgov.com)	12%	12%	14%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
City of Fort Collins mobile apps (Access Fort Collins, Digital Publications, Recreator)	27%	22%	22%	20%	20%	17%	15%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
City booth at local events	41%	36%	37%	38%	41%	NA						

Table 227: Sources of Information Compared by Year

## Appendix H: Survey Methodology

The 2021 Fort Collins Community Survey, conducted by Polco's National Research Center, was developed to provide the City with an accurate and easy way to assess and interpret resident opinion about important local topics. Results offer insight into residents' perspectives about the community as a whole, including local amenities, services, public trust, resident participation and other aspects of the community in order to support budgeting, land use and strategic planning and communication with residents. Resident demographic characteristics permit comparison to the Census as well as comparison of results for different subgroups of residents. The 2021 Fort Collins Community Survey was the 12th iteration of the survey. The previous surveys were collected by mail biennially starting in 2001 through 2017. The City decided to start conducting the survey annually in 2018; however, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the City postponed the 2020 administration. The City of Fort Collins funded this research. Please contact Amanda King of the City of Fort Collins at aking@fcgov.com address if you have any questions about the survey.

## **Developing the Questionnaire**

The 2021 Community Survey for Fort Collins was developed in conjunction with City staff, starting with the 2019 survey. Topics were generated for new questions and then were modified to find those that were the best fit for the 2021 questionnaire. In an iterative process between City staff and NRC staff, a final six-page questionnaire was created.

## **Selecting Survey Recipients**

"Sampling" refers to the method by which households were chosen to receive the survey. All households within the City of Fort Collins were eligible to participate in the survey. A list of all households within the zip codes serving Fort Collins was purchased from Go-Dog Direct based on updated listings from the United States Postal Service. Since some of the zip codes that serve the City of Fort Collins households may also serve addresses that lie outside of the community, the exact geographic location of each housing unit was compared to community boundaries using the most current municipal boundary file (updated on a quarterly basis) and addresses located outside of the City of Fort Collins boundaries were removed from consideration. Each address identified as being within City boundaries was further identified as being within one of six geographic areas, as well as one of six Council Districts. The six geographic areas were designated using College Avenue as the east/west split and Prospect Road and Harmony Road as additional north/south divisions.

To choose the 3,000 households to receive a survey, a systematic sampling method was applied to the list of households previously screened for geographic location. Systematic sampling is a procedure whereby a complete list of all possible households is culled, selecting every Nth one, giving each eligible household a known probability of selection, until the appropriate number of households is selected. Multi-family housing units were selected at a higher rate as residents of this type of housing typically respond at lower rates to surveys than do those in single-family housing units.

An individual within each household was selected using the birthday method. The birthday method selects a person within the household by asking the "person whose birthday has most recently passed" to complete the questionnaire. The underlying assumption in this method is that day of birth has no relationship to the way people respond to surveys. This instruction was contained in the cover letter accompanying the questionnaire.

## Survey Administration and Response

Selected households received three mailings, one week apart, beginning on April 8, 2021. The first mailing was a prenotification postcard announcing the upcoming survey. The next mailing contained a letter from the Mayor and City Manager inviting the household to participate, a questionnaire and a postage-paid

return envelope. The final mailing contained a reminder letter, another survey and a postage-paid return envelope. The second cover letter asked those who had not completed the survey to do so and those who had already done so to refrain from turning in another survey. The survey was available in English and Spanish. Both cover letters contained paragraphs in Spanish instructing participants to contact the City if they needed a questionnaire in Spanish; respondents could opt to take the survey online as well in their language of preference. Completed surveys were collected over the following seven weeks.

About 3% of the 3,000 surveys mailed were returned because the housing unit was vacant or the postal service was unable to deliver the survey as addressed. Of the remaining 2,912 households that received the survey, 603 completed the survey, providing an overall response rate of 20% for the household survey. Of the 603 responses, 267 were completed online and none were completed in Spanish. The response rate was calculated using AAPOR's response rate #2<sup>3</sup> for mailed surveys of unnamed persons and can be found in the tables below.

	Overall
Total sample used	3,000
I=Complete Interviews	597
P=Partial Interviews	6
R=Refusal and break off	0
NC=Non Contact	88
0=Other	0
UH=Unknown household	0
UO=Unknown other	2,912
Response rate: (I+P)/(I+P) + (R+NC+O) + (UH+UO)	20%

#### Table 228: 2021 Survey Response Rate

### 95% Confidence Intervals

The 95% confidence interval (or "margin of error") quantifies the "sampling error" or precision of the estimates made from the survey results. A 95% confidence interval can be calculated for any sample size, and indicates that in 95 of 100 surveys conducted like this one, for a particular item, a result would be found that is within three percentage points of the result that would be found if everyone in the population of interest was surveyed. The practical difficulties of conducting any resident survey may introduce other sources of error in addition to sampling error. Despite best efforts to boost participation and ensure potential inclusion of all households, some selected households will decline participation in the survey (referred to as non-response error) and some eligible households may be unintentionally excluded from the listed sources for the sample (referred to as coverage error).

While the margin of error for the survey is generally no greater than plus or minus four percentage points around any given percent reported for all respondents; results for subgroups will have wider confidence intervals. Where estimates are given for subgroups, they are less precise.

## Survey Processing (Data Entry)

Mailed surveys were returned via postage-paid business reply envelopes. Once received, staff assigned a unique identification number to each questionnaire. Additionally, each survey is reviewed and "cleaned" as necessary. For example, a question may have asked a respondent to pick two items out of a list of five, but the respondent checked three; staff would choose randomly two of the three selected items to be coded in the dataset.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See AAPOR's Standard Definitions here: <u>http://www.aapor.org/Standards-Ethics/Standard-Definitions-(1).aspx</u> for more information

Once all surveys were assigned a unique identification number, they were entered into an electronic dataset. This dataset is subject to a data entry protocol of "key and verify," in which survey data were entered twice into an electronic dataset and then compared. Discrepancies were evaluated against the original survey form and corrected. Range checks as well as other forms of quality control were also performed.

Polco, a web-based civic engagement platform, was used to collect the online survey data. Use of an online system means all collected data are entered into the dataset when the respondents submit the surveys. Skip patterns are programmed into system so respondents are automatically "skipped" to the appropriate question based on the individual responses being given. Online programming also allows for more rigid control of the data format, making extensive data cleaning unnecessary.

A series of quality control checks were also performed in order to ensure the integrity of the web data. Steps may include and not be limited to reviewing the data for clusters of repeat IP addresses and time stamps (indicating duplicate responses) and removing empty submissions (questionnaires submitted with no questions answered).

### **Survey Data Weighting**

The demographic characteristics of the survey respondents were compared to those found in the 2010 United States Census and 2017 American Community Survey. The primary objective of weighting survey data is to make the survey sample reflective of the larger population of the community. Survey results were weighted using the population norms to reflect the appropriate percent of those residents in the city. The variables used for weighting the household respondent data were gender, age, housing unit type (attached or detached), housing tenure (rent or own), and area of residence. No adjustments were made for design effects. The results of the weighting scheme are presented in the table on the following page.

Characteristic	Population Norm	Unweighted Data	Weighted Data
Housing			
Own home	54%	73%	56%
Rent home	46%	27%	44%
Detached unit*	60%	64%	59%
Attached unit*	40%	36%	41%
Race and Ethnicity			
White	90%	89%	86%
Not white	10%	11%	14%
Not Hispanic	90%	94%	93%
Hispanic	10%	6%	7%
Sex and Age			
Male	50%	44%	49%
Female	50%	56%	51%
18-34 years of age	49%	15%	46%
35-54 years of age	27%	27%	27%
55+ years of age	24%	58%	26%
Males 18-34	25%	5%	24%
Males 35-54	14%	12%	14%
Males 55+	11%	26%	11%
Females 18-34	24%	10%	24%
Females 35-54	13%	15%	13%
Females 55+	13%	32%	13%
Area**			
Northwest	19%	14%	19%
Northeast	13%	13%	13%
West Central	22%	21%	22%
East Central	25%	27%	25%
Southwest	5%	7%	5%
Southeast	17%	19%	16%

\* ACS 2017 5-year estimates, only of the population in housing units

\*\*From geocoded USPS mailing list, March 2021

## Analyzing the Data

The electronic dataset was analyzed by NRC staff using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). For the most part, frequency distributions and mean ratings are presented in the body of the report. A complete set of frequencies for each survey question is presented in *Appendix B: Complete Set of Survey Responses*.

Also included are results by respondent characteristics (*Appendix C: Verbatim Responses* and geographic area of residence (*Appendix E: Comparisons of Select Questions by Area of Residence*). Chi-square or ANOVA tests of significance were applied to these breakdowns of selected survey questions. A "p-value" of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a 5% probability that differences observed between groups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than 95% probability that the differences observed in the selected categories of the sample represent "real" differences among those populations. Where differences between subgroups are statistically significant, they have been marked with an uppercase letter.

# **Appendix I: Survey Materials**

The 2021 survey materials appear on the following pages.

#### Dear Fort Collins Community Member,

It won't take much of your time to make a big difference!

Your household has been randomly selected to participate in the Fort Collins 2021 Community Survey. You can go online and complete the survey at:

**Please do not share your survey link.** This survey is for randomly selected households only. You can also wait a few days for the survey to arrive in the mail.

If you have any questions about the survey, please call 970-221-6264. Thank you for helping create a better city!

#### Estimado Integrante de la Comunidad de Fort Collins,

¡No le tomará mucho de su tiempo para marcar una gran diferencia!

*Su hogar ha sido elegido al azar para participar en Encuesta de la Communidad del 2021 de Fort Collins. Puede completar la encuesta en línea en español en:* 

**Por favor no comparta el enlace de su encuesta.** Esta encuesta es solamente para hogares seleccionados al azar. También puede esperar unos días hasta que la encuesta llegue por correo.

Si tiene alguna pregunta sobre la encuesta, por favor llame al 970-221-6264. ¡Gracias por ayudar a crear una ciudad mejor!

Sincerely/Atentamente,

Wade Troxell Mayor/Alcalde

Darin Atteberry City Manager/Administrador de la Ciudad

#### Dear Fort Collins Community Member,

It won't take much of your time to make a big difference!

Your household has been randomly selected to participate in the Fort Collins 2021 Community Survey. You can go online and complete the survey at:

**Please do not share your survey link.** This survey is for randomly selected households only. You can also wait a few days for the survey to arrive in the mail.

If you have any questions about the survey, please call 970-221-6264. Thank you for helping create a better city!

#### Estimado Integrante de la Comunidad de Fort Collins,

¡No le tomará mucho de su tiempo para marcar una gran diferencia!

*Su hogar ha sido elegido al azar para participar en Encuesta de la Communidad del 2021 de Fort Collins. Puede completar la encuesta en línea en español en:* 

**Por favor no comparta el enlace de su encuesta.** Esta encuesta es solamente para hogares seleccionados al azar. También puede esperar unos días hasta que la encuesta llegue por correo.

Si tiene alguna pregunta sobre la encuesta, por favor llame al 970-221-6264. ¡Gracias por ayudar a crear una ciudad mejor!

Sincerely/Atentamente,

Wade Troxell Mayor/Alcalde

Darin Atteberry City Manager/Administrador de la Ciudad



**Communications & Public Involvement Office** PO Box 580 Fort Collins, CO 80522-0580 Presorted First Class Mail US Postage PAID Boulder, CO Permit NO. 94



**Communications & Public Involvement Office** PO Box 580 Fort Collins, CO 80522-0580 Presorted First Class Mail US Postage PAID Boulder, CO Permit NO. 94



**Communications & Public Involvement Office** PO Box 580 Fort Collins, CO 80522-0580



**City Manager's Office** 300 LaPorte Avenue PO Box 580 Fort Collins, CO 80522

**970.221.6505** 970.224.6107 - fax *fcgov.com* 

Dear City of Fort Collins Community Member:

Please help us shape the future of Fort Collins! You have been selected at random to participate in the 2021 Fort Collins Community Survey.

Please take a few minutes to fill out the enclosed survey. Your participation in this survey is very important – especially since your household is one of only a small number of households being surveyed. Your feedback will help Fort Collins make decisions that affect our City.

#### A few things to remember:

- Your responses are completely confidential.
- In order to hear from a diverse group of residents, the adult 18 years or older in your household who most recently had a birthday should complete this survey.
- You may return the survey by mail in the enclosed postage-paid envelope, or you can complete the survey online at:

Please do not share your survey link. This survey is for randomly selected households only. The City will conduct a separate survey that is open to all residents just a few weeks from now.

If you have any questions about the survey, please call or email Leo Escalante at 970-221-6264 or lescalante@fcgov.com.

Thank you for your time and participation!

Querido Integrante de la Comunidad de Fort Collins:

¡Por favor ayúdenos a moldear el futuro de Fort Collins! Usted ha sido seleccionado al azar para participar en la Encuesta Comunitaria de Fort Collins del 2021.

Por favor tome unos minutos para llenar la encuesta adjunta. Su participación en esta encuesta es muy importante – sobre todo porque su hogar es uno de los pocos que fue elegido para participar en la encuesta. Sus respuestas ayudarán a Fort Collins a tomar decisiones que afectarán a nuestra Cuidad.

Algunas cosas que recordar:

- Sus respuestas son completamente confidenciales.
- Con el propósito de contar con la participación de un diverso grupo de personas, el adulto de 18 años o más en su hogar, que haya celebrado su cumpleaños más recientemente debe de ser quien llene la encuesta.
- Puede enviar la encuesta por correo postal en el sobre prepagado adjunto, o puede llenar la encuesta por internet en la página:

Favor de no compartir el enlace de la encuesta. Esta encuesta es solamente para aquellos hogares seleccionados al azar. La Cuidad hará otra encuesta por separado abierta al público en general dentro de unas semanas.

Si tiene alguna pregunta acerca de la encuesta o para solicitar una encuesta en Español, favor de llamar a Leo Escalante al 970-221-6264, o mandar un correo electrónico a <u>lescalante@fcgov.com</u>.

¡Gracias por su tiempo y participación!

Sincerely/Atentamente,

Wade Troxell Mayor/Alcalde

Darin Atteberry City Manager/Administrador de la Ciudad



**City Manager's Office** 300 LaPorte Avenue PO Box 580 Fort Collins, CO 80522

**970.221.6505** 970.224.6107 - fax *fcgov.com* 

Here's a second chance if you haven't already responded to the 2021 Fort Collins Community Survey! (If you completed it and sent it back, we thank you for your time and ask you to recycle this survey. Please do not respond twice).

Please help us shape the future of Fort Collins! You have been selected at random to participate in the 2021 Fort Collins Community Survey.

Please take a few minutes to fill out the enclosed survey. Your participation in this survey is very important – especially since your household is one of only a small number of households being surveyed. Your feedback will help Fort Collins make decisions that affect our City.

#### A few things to remember:

- Your responses are completely confidential.
- In order to hear from a diverse group of residents, the adult 18 years or older in your household who most recently had a birthday should complete this survey.
- You may return the survey by mail in the enclosed postage-paid envelope, or you can complete the survey online at:

Please do not share your survey link. This survey is for randomly selected households only. The City will conduct a separate survey that is open to all residents just a few weeks from now.

If you have any questions about the survey please call or email Leo Escalante at 970-221-6264 or <a href="mailto:lescalante@fcgov.com">lescalante@fcgov.com</a>.

Thank you for your time and participation!

Querido Integrante de la Comunidad de Fort Collins:

Aquí tiene una segunda oportunidad para llenar la encuesta comunitaria de Fort Collins 2021. (Si usted ya llenó la encuesta y la mandó, le agradecemos por su tiempo y le pedimos de favor reciclar esta encuesta. Por favor no la llene dos veces).

¡Por favor ayúdenos a moldear el futuro de Fort Collins! Usted ha sido seleccionado al azar para participar en la Encuesta Comunitaria de Fort Collins del 2021.

Por favor tome unos minutos para llenar la encuesta adjunta. Su participación en esta encuesta es muy importante – sobre todo porque su hogar es uno de los pocos que fue elegido para participar en la encuesta. Sus respuestas ayudarán a Fort Collins a tomar decisiones que afectarán a nuestra Cuidad.

#### Algunos recordatorios:

- Sus respuestas son completamente confidenciales.
- Con el propósito de contar con la participación de un diverso grupo de personas, el adulto de 18 años o más en su hogar, que haya celebrado su cumpleaños más recientemente debe de ser quien llene la encuesta.
- Puede enviar la encuesta por correo postal en el sobre prepagado adjunto, o puede llenar la encuesta por internet en la página:

Favor de no compartir el enlace de la encuesta. Esta encuesta es solamente para aquellos hogares seleccionados al azar. La Cuidad hará otra encuesta por separado abierta al público en general dentro de unas semanas.

Si tiene alguna pregunta acerca de la encuesta o para solicitar una encuesta en Español, favor de llamar a Leo Escalante al 970-221-6264, o mandar un correo electrónico a <u>lescalante@fcgov.com</u>.

¡Gracias por su tiempo y participación!

Sincerely/Atentamente,

Wade Troxell Mayor/Alcalde

Darin Atteberry City Manager/Administrador de la Ciudad

Please complete this questionnaire if you are the adult (age 18 or older) in the household who most recently had a birthday. The adult's year of birth does not matter. *Your responses to this survey are completely confidential*.

#### 1. Please rate Fort Collins as a community on each of the items listed below.

	Very				Very	No
	<u>good</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Bad</u>	bad	<u>opinion</u>
Overall, as a place to live		2	3	4	5	6
Overall safety of community members	1	2	3	4	5	6
Quality of shopping opportunities	1	2	3	4	5	6
Quality of dining opportunities	1	2	3	4	5	6
Quality of entertainment opportunities	1	2	3	4	5	6
Availability of job opportunities	1	2	3	4	5	6
Availability of affordable quality housing	1	2	3	4	5	6
Quality of arts and cultural opportunities	1	2	3	4	5	6
Quality of recreational opportunities	1	2	3	4	5	6
Availability of quality healthcare	1	2	3	4	5	6
Availability of affordable quality childcare	1	2	3	4	5	6
Quality of public schools	1	2	3	4	5	6
Quality of public library services	1	2	3	4	5	6
As a place to raise children		2	3	4	5	6
As a place to retire		2	3	4	5	6
As a place to attend college	1	2	3	4	5	6
As a place to work		2	3	4	5	6
Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of						
diverse backgrounds		2	3	4	5	6
Overall quality of life in Fort Collins		2	3	4	5	6

#### 2. Please rate the quality of your neighborhood on each of the items listed below.

١	/ery				Very	No
g	ood	Good	<u>Average</u>	Bad	<u>bad</u>	<u>opinion</u>
Your neighborhood as a place to live	. 1	2	3	4	5	6
Your neighborhood as a place to raise children	. 1	2	3	4	5	6
Access within your neighborhood to everyday needs						
(i.e., grocery shopping, services, and amenities)	. 1	2	3	4	5	6

#### 3. Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following:

	Very	Somewhat	Somewhat	Very	Don't	
	likely	likely	<u>unlikely</u>	<u>unlikely</u>	know	
Recommend living in Fort Collins to someone who asks	1	2	3	4	5	
Remain in Fort Collins for the next five years	1	2	3	4	5	

## 4. In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members done each of the following in Fort Collins?

	2 times a <u>week or more</u>	2-4 times <u>a month</u>	Once <u>a month or less</u>	Not <u>at all</u>
Visited a neighborhood park or City park	1	2	3	4
Attended a neighborhood-sponsored event	1	2	3	4
Attended a government-organized event (open house, City Counci	il			
session, forum, etc.)	1	2	3	4
Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone	1	2	3	4
Volunteered your time in Fort Collins	1	2	3	4
Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors	1	2	3	4
Done a favor for a neighbor	1	2	3	4

#### 5. In the last 20 years, how often have you moved to a different place of residence in Fort Collins?

□ 2+ times a year
 □ About once a year
 □ Every 2-4 years
 □ Every 5-7 years
 □ Every 8-10 years
 □ I have not relocated in the last 20 years
 □ I have not relocated within the City

#### 6. Please tell us how safe you feel in or on each of the following in Fort Collins.

Always <u>safe</u>	Usually <u>safe</u>	Sometimes safe <u>sometimes unsafe</u>	Usually <u>unsafe</u>	Always <u>unsafe</u>	No <u>opinion</u>
Downtown Fort Collins during the day1	2	3	4	5	6
Downtown Fort Collins at night 1	2	3	4	5	6
Your neighborhood during the day 1	2	3	4	5	6
Your neighborhood at night1	2	3	4	5	6
Parks 1	2	3	4	5	6
Natural areas/open spaces 1	2	3	4	5	6
Recreation facilities 1	2	3	4	5	6
Trails1	2	3	4	5	6
Fort Collins overall during the day1	2	3	4	5	6
Fort Collins overall at night 1	2	3	4	5	6
Transfort/MAX 1	2	3	4	5	6

#### 7. Please rate the quality of each of the following in Fort Collins.

rease rate the quality of each of the following in fort counts.					
Ver goo	, 	Average	Bad	Very bad	No opinion
Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community					
for natural disasters or other emergency situations)1	2	3	4	5	6
Disaster response and restoration of services1	2	3	4	5	6
Fire prevention/education1	2	3	4	5	6
Fire response time1	2	3	4	5	6
Fire services overall	2	3	4	5	6
Crime prevention1	2	3	4	5	6
Police patrol	2	3	4	5	6
Traffic enforcement1	2	3	4	5	6
Police visibility 1	2	3	4	5	6
Police response time1	2	3	4	5	6
Police services overall1	2	3	4	5	6
Code enforcement (weeds, rubbish/trash, etc.)1	2	3	4	5	6
Noise enforcement 1	2	3	4	5	6
Animal control1	2	3	4	5	6
Business property maintenance1	2	3	4	5	6
Residential property maintenance1	2	3	4	5	6
Natural Areas and Park Ranger services1	2	3	4	5	6

#### 8. Please rate the following areas of transportation in Fort Collins.

	Very				Very	No
	good	Good	<u>Average</u>	Bad	bad	<u>opinion</u>
Ease of driving	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ease of traveling by public transportation	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ease of walking	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ease of traveling by bicycle	1	2	3	4	5	6
Availability of parking Downtown	1	2	3	4	5	6
Convenience of parking Downtown	1	2	3	4	5	6
Level of traffic congestion	1	2	3	4	5	6
Street maintenance	1	2	3	4	5	6
Electric vehicle charging availability	1	2	3	4	5	6
Northern Colorado Regional Airport	1	2	3	4	5	6

# 9. Thinking about all aspects of your utility services provided by Fort Collins Utilities (e.g., reliability, price, your bill, billing/payment services, etc.), please rate the overall quality of each of the following services.

	Very				Very	No
	good	Good	<u>Average</u>	Bad	bad	<u>opinion</u>
Electric utility service experience overall	1	2	3	4	5	6
Water utility service experience overall	1	2	3	4	5	6
Wastewater/sewer utility service experience overall	1	2	3	4	5	6
Stormwater/storm drainage utility service experience overall	1	2	3	4	5	6
Your utility customer service experience (phone, email, or in-person) overall	1	2	3	4	5	6

#### **10.** Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following:

o. Thease malcate now likely of unlikely you are to do each of the fold	wiii8.					
	Very	Somewhat	Somewhat	Very	Don't	
	likely	likely	unlikely	<u>unlikely</u>	<u>know</u>	
Recommend Fort Collins Utilities to a friend, relative or colleague	1	2	3	4	5	
Sign up for Connexion internet, TV or phone service when available t	o you 1	2	3	4	5	
Recommend Connexion service to a friend, relative or colleague	1	2	3	4	5	

#### **11.** Please rate the quality of the environment in Fort Collins on each of the items listed below.

	Very				Very	No
	good	<u>Good</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Bad</u>	<u>bad</u>	<u>opinion</u>
Community's visual attractiveness	1	2	3	4	5	6
Air quality	1	2	3	4	5	6
Recycling programs	1	2	3	4	5	6
Conservation efforts	1	2	3	4	5	6
Overall quality of environment	1	2	3	4	5	6

#### 12. Please rate the quality of each of the programs or facilities listed below.

	Very				Very	No
	good	<u>Good</u>	<u>Average</u>	Bad	<u>bad</u>	<u>opinion</u>
Natural areas and open space	1	2	3	4	5	6
Recreational trails	1	2	3	4	5	6
Parks	1	2	3	4	5	6
Cemeteries	1	2	3	4	5	6
Golf courses	1	2	3	4	5	6
Athletic fields	1	2	3	4	5	6
Northside Aztlan Community Center	1	2	3	4	5	6
Fort Collins Senior Center	1	2	3	4	5	6
Edora Pool Ice Center (EPIC)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Foothills Activity Center	1	2	3	4	5	6
Mulberry Pool	1	2	3	4	5	6
The Farm at Lee Martinez Park		2	3	4	5	6
The Gardens on Spring Creek	1	2	3	4	5	6
Pottery studio	1	2	3	4	5	6
Art in Public Places program	1	2	3	4	5	6
Lincoln Center programs	1	2	3	4	5	6
Fort Collins Museum of Discovery	1	2	3	4	5	6
Adult recreation programs	1	2	3	4	5	6
Senior recreation programs		2	3	4	5	6
Youth/teen recreation programs	1	2	3	4	5	6

### **13.** Please rate the City's performance in each of the following areas.

riedse rate the	sity speriorinal	ice in each of the fo	nowing areas.							
					Very	Good	Average		Very	No
Managing and n	lanning for grow	th			good 1	<u>Good</u> 2	Average 3	Bad 4	bad 5	<u>opini</u> 6
		vth while maintainir				2	5	-	5	U
-		ls	-	-	1	2	3	4	5	6
	-	and services				2	3	4	5	6
						2	3	4	5	6
		community				2	3	4	5	6
		f Fort Collins (Huma				2	5	4	J	0
		sion, etc.)		-		2	3	4	5	6
		nvironment of Fort				2	3	4	5	6
		th of Fort Collins				2	3	4	5	6
							-			
						2	3	4	5	6
	-	ses				2	3	4	5	6
						2	3	4	5	6
-		in the second				2	3	4	5	6
_	-	involvement				2	3	4	5	6
-	-	·S				2	3	4	5	6
_	•					2	3	4	5	6
		ipate in governmen				2	3	4	5	6
_		es to community me				2	3	4	5	6
		n				2	3	4	5	6
	•	emic				2	3	4	5	6
	•	ers regardless of rad				_		_	_	
		l orientation, or ma			1	2	3	4	5	6
-	-	community where a	-							
members fee	a sense of belo	nging		•••••	1	2	3	4	5	6
Overall how wo	uld you rate the	e quality of the serv	ices provided by th	e City of Ec	ort Coll	inc?				
Uvery good	Good Good	Average	Bad	U Very			🖵 No opi	nion		
	anto at with a servi	_	nhana in naman i	-			-		h-7	
-	-	City employee(s) by	phone, in person,	via email o	roniin	e withi	n the last	12 mont	nsr	
□ Yes → Answe										
🖵 No 🗲 Answe	r Q15B ONLY									
154. Thinking a	hout vour most	recent contact, plea	ase rate the City em	nlovee(s)	on eacl	h of the	items he	low		
1974 1111116 0	sour your most		Very			i or the		Very		No
			good	Good	Aver	ade	Bad	bad		pinior
Courtesy				2	3		4	5	<u>u</u>	6
				2	3		4	5		6
				2	3		4	5		6
				2	3		4	5		6
				2	3		4	5		6
Overall impl	C221011		I	Z	3	•	4	Э		0
15B Although	ou may not hav	e had any recent ne	reanal contact with	n City empl	ovees.	we wo	uld like te	h know v	our	
13D. Although y		c nau any recent pe	ersonal contact with	i city cilipi			ulu like tt	,		
					-			-		n eac
impression	n of how City em	ployees interact wi			-			-		n eac
	n of how City em		th Fort Collins com		-			-		
impression	n of how City em		th Fort Collins com	munity me	mbers	. Please	e rate City	employ	ees o	No
impression of the item	n of how City em ns below.		<b>th Fort Collins com</b> Very <u>good</u>		-	. Please		-	ees o	

Promptness in responding to inquiries and service requests ......1

Making community members or customers feel valued ......1

First, please select the option that best describes how you think the City s community. Then, please select which <u>three (3)</u> should be the top prioriti					
	More	Same	Less	No	Тор 3
	<u>effort</u>	<u>effort</u>	<u>effort</u>	<u>opinion</u>	priorities
<b>Economy:</b> Includes economic planning and development activities, workforce training, childcare, education, employment opportunities	1	2	3	4	
<b>Environment:</b> Includes efforts to ensure good water resources, good air quality, land conservation, smart growth, the Climate Action Plan and attractive community		2	3	4	
Neighborhood Livability and Social Health: Includes promoting good neigh relationships, ensuring attractive neighborhoods, historic preservatior an adequate supply of quality housing for all socio-economic groups, addressing poverty and homelessness, creating an inclusive communit	bor ı,	2	3	4	
Safety: Includes police, fire, stormwater, emergency medical response, and building inspection		2	3	4	
<b>Culture, Parks &amp; Recreation:</b> Includes operating and improving recreational facilities, Lincoln Center, Gardens on Spring Creek and the Museum of Discovery; providing recreational, arts and cultural programs and publ art; maintaining parks, trails and cemeteries; and improving natural areas	ic	2	3	4	
<b>Transportation and Mobility:</b> Includes transportation planning and development, maintaining roads and traffic operations, Transfort operation and bicycle and pedestrian safety, Northern Colorado Regional Airport		2	3	4	
<b>General Government:</b> Includes internal support functions, City manageme Council, boards and commissions, volunteers, technology, communicatin with community members and building maintenance and repair	Ig	2	3	4	

17. Thinking about the next few years, what is ONE item or focus area you would like the City to improve?

18. Why is it important to you, or the community overall, for the City to focus on the area you mentioned?

## 19. Please indicate how frequently, if ever, you or other members of your household use each of the following sources for information regarding City issues, services and programs. Always Frequently Sometimes Never

mornation regarding erty issues, services and programs.	/ 11/04/5	nequently	Sometimes	<u>INCVCI</u>
The City of Fort Collins local channels 14 and 881	1	2	3	4
Online video FCTV on www.fcgov.com/FCTV	1	2	3	4
City's website (www.fcgov.com)	1	2	3	4
City News eNewsletter	1	2	3	4
Newsletters or brochures from City departments	1	2	3	4
City employees or departments (e.g., contacting by phone, email or in person)	1	2	3	4
Tracks and Trails (the guide to natural areas activities)	1	2	3	4
"Recreator" (guide to recreation programs)	1	2	3	4
Word of mouth	1	2	3	4
Newspaper (print or online)	1	2	3	4
Radio	1	2	3	4
Television news	1	2	3	4
Social media (Facebook, Twitter, Nextdoor, etc.)	1	2	3	4
OurCity Platform (ourcity.fcgov.com)	1	2	3	4
Engage Platform (engage.fcgov.com)	1	2	3	4
City of Fort Collins mobile apps (Access Fort Collins, Digital Publications, Recreator)	1	2	3	4
City booth at local events	1	2	3	4
Other (please specify)				

This section is optional. However, we ask for the information below so that we can better understand and address concerns about and differences with City service delivery. Your responses will remain completely confidential and no identifying information will be shared.

differences with City service delivery. Your responses will remain co	
<ul> <li>D1. About how many years have you lived in Fort Collins?</li> <li>Less than 2 years</li> <li>11-20 years</li> <li>2-5 years</li> <li>More than 20 years</li> <li>6-10 years</li> </ul> D2. Are you a full-time or part-time student at a college or university in Fort Collins? <ul> <li>Yes → GO TO QUESTION D3</li> <li>No → GO TO QUESTION D4</li> </ul> D3. Which college or university do you attend? <ul> <li>Colorado State University</li> <li>Front Range Community College</li> <li>Another local college or university</li> </ul>	D10. What is your gender? Woman Man Transgender woman Transgender man Non-binary Two Spirit Prefer to self-identify: Prefer not to answer D11. Which term best describes your sexual orientation? (Select all that apply.)
<ul> <li>D4. What is your employment status?</li> <li>Working full time for pay</li> <li>Working part time for pay</li> <li>Unemployed, looking for paid work</li> <li>Unemployed, not looking for paid work</li> <li>Fully retired</li> <li>D5. Do you work inside the boundaries of Fort Collins?</li> <li>Yes, outside the home</li> <li>Yes, from home</li> <li>No</li> <li>D6. Which of the age groups below best describes you?</li> <li>18-24</li> <li>45-54</li> <li>75 +</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Heterosexual</li> <li>Lesbian or gay</li> <li>Bisexual</li> <li>Asexual</li> <li>Pansexual</li> <li>Prefer to self-identify:</li> <li>Prefer not to answer</li> </ul> D12. What is your race and/or ethnicity? (Please mark any race or ethnicity you identify as.) <ul> <li>American Indian/Alaska Native</li> <li>African</li> <li>African American/Black</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>25-34</li> <li>35-44</li> <li>65-74</li> <li>Dr. Which best describes the building you live in?</li> <li>One family house detached from any other houses</li> <li>Duplex or townhome</li> <li>Apartment or condominium</li> <li>Mobile home</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Asian/Asian American</li> <li>Asian/Asian American</li> <li>Hispanic/Latinx/Spanish Origin</li> <li>Middle Eastern/North African</li> <li>Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander</li> <li>White</li> <li>Prefer to self-identify:</li> <li>Prefer not to answer</li> </ul>
D8. Do you own or rent your residence?	Thank you very much! Please return the completed questionnaire to National Research Center, Inc., PO Box 549, Belle Mead, NJ 08502-9922 in the postage-paid envelope provided. If you would like a copy of the survey results, go to
<ul> <li>D9. How much do you anticipate your household's total income before taxes will be for the current year? (Please include in your total income from all sources for all persons living in your household.)</li> <li>□ Less than \$25,000</li> <li>□ \$25,000 to \$49,999</li> </ul>	fcgov.com/communitysurvey or call (970) 416-2209.

- □ \$50,000 to \$99,999
- □ \$100,000 to \$149,999
- □ \$150,000 or more