2023

Visitors to Bobcat Ridge Natural Area

CITY OF FORT COLLINS NATURAL AREAS DEPARTMENT

Executive Summary

Natural Areas staff administered visitor exit interviews (n = 370) at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area during 2021 and 2022. Interviews were conducted on both weekdays and weekends in four shifts (i.e., 8 am to 9:30 am, 10:15 am to 11:45 am, 12:30pm to 2 pm, and 2:45 pm to 4:15 pm). Shift times and days were selected using a stratified random sampling procedure.

The purpose of this effort was to gain a better understanding of visitors to Bobcat Ridge Natural Area. This report is intended to (a) provide a baseline of visitor information at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area and (b) to aid Natural Areas staff in making informed management decisions.

To aid in analysis, this report compares respondents engaged in four primary activities: hiking (n = 214), mountain biking (n = 55), trail running (n = 30), and horseback riding (n = 42).

Given the population of Fort Collins, the sample size, and random selection procedures the findings here have a 95% confidence interval with a \pm 5% margin of error.

Demographics (Tables 2 - 3)

- More than three quarters (76.4%) of mountain bike respondents identified as male, compared to hikers (47.4%), trail runners (56.7%) and equestrians (23.8%).
- The mean age of respondents was between 41.57% (trail runners) and 57.7% (equestrians).
- Most respondents identified as non-Hispanic/Latinx (85.6% to 94.1%).
- Most respondents self-reported as White (93.1% to 97.6%).
- Across all primary activity groups, respondents were well educated, with more than twothirds reporting higher levels of education.
- Income levels ranged among the four activity groups with mountain bikers (43%) earning the largest annual household incomes (e.g., \$150,000 to over \$200,000) followed by equestrians (35%), trail runners (30%), and hikers (21.5%).
- Over 80% of all respondents lived in Larimer County.
- Equestrians reported living in the county the longest (M = 26.7 years) followed by mountain bikers (M = 16.6 years), hikers (M = 16.07 years) and trail runners (M = 13.2 years).

Visitation Characteristics (Tables 4 - 6)

- Equestrians (M = 8.9 visits) reported visiting most frequently, followed by hikers (M = 7.6 visits), mountain bikers (M = 7.1 visits) and trail runners (M = 6.4 visits).
- Residents of Larimer County reported visiting an average of nine times in the last twelve months.
- Non-residents reported visiting an average of 3.5 times in the last twelve months.
- Over forty percent of non-residents were first time visitors, compared to 16.2% of residents.

- On weekdays, hiking (53.7%) was the most reported activity.
- On weekends horseback riding (73.8%) was the most reported activity followed by trail running (73.3%), and mountain biking (69.1)

Group Characteristics (Tables 5 – 7)

- Most hikers (60.1%) and equestrians (83.3%) visited in groups of two or more.
- Most mountain bikers (51.9%) and trail runners (70%) visited alone.
- Average group composition consisted of two adults: hiking (*M*= 2.1), mountain biking (*M* = 1.7), trail running (*M* = 1.3), horseback riding (*M* = 2.8).
- Most respondents reported that no children were present in their group: hiking (90.2%), mountain biking (96.3%), trail running (100.00%), and horseback riding (85.7%).

Motivations for Visiting (Tables 8 – 9)

- Hikers most often selected "it's close to home" (25.8%) and "to be in nature" (25.3%) as their primary motivations for visiting Bobcat Ridge Natural Area.
- Mountain bikers and trail runners most often selected "it's close to home" and "to get exercise" as their primary motivations.
- Equestrians selected "it's close to home" (34.9%) with additional primary motivations including "to be in nature" (14.3%) and "to spend time with friends or family" (14.3%).
- Residents reported their two main motivations for visiting were because "it's close to home" (27.7%) and "to get exercise" (22.1%).
- Non-residents two primary motivations for visiting were "to be in nature" (23.1%) and "to get exercise" (19.2%).

Visitor Satisfaction (Tables 10 – 11)

- Over 85% percent of respondents in all activity types rated all facilities (e.g., restrooms, trails) as "good" or "very good".
- Nearly 100% of respondents across all activity types reported that their perceived quality of experience was "good" to "excellent".
- In the literature, the standard of quality for satisfaction with facilities and experiences indicates that at least eighty percent of visitors should be satisfied with their experience (Vaske & Roemer, 2013).

Perceived Conflict (Tables 12 – 14)

- Over eighty percent of respondents had never witnessed other visitors being unsafe or discourteous.
- Over sixty percent of respondents reported having no conflict with other groups' behaviors.
- When asked if visitors believed unsafe or discourteous behaviors were a problem, a range of response ratings from 6.7% (e.g., trail runners and hikers behaving unsafely) to 35.1% (e.g., equestrians and mountain bikers being discourteous) developed.

- Interpersonal conflict was most often reported between equestrians and mountain bikers behaving unsafely (12.9%), and equestrians and mountain bikers behaving discourteously (13.9%).
- Incidents of interpersonal conflict (e.g., witnessing a behavior and perceiving it as a problem) at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area were well below the standard of <25% of responses.

Perceived Crowding (Table 15)

- Less than 10% of respondents reported ever feeling crowded either at the trailhead or on the trail.
- The highest incidence of perceived crowding occurred when mountain bikers felt crowded by hikers at the trailhead (9.6%).
- In the literature, no more than \leq 35% of visitors should report feeling crowded.

Contents

| Introduction | 1 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| Methods | 2 |
| Results | 4 |
| Conclusion | 13 |
| References | 15 |
| Appendix A- Verbatim Responses | 16 |
| Appendix B – Survey Instrument | 32 |

List of Tables

| Table 1. Visitor survey data collection effort at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area 3 |
|---|
| Table 2. Demographic profile of visitors to Bobcat Ridge Natural Area 4 |
| Table 3. Residence of visitors to Bobcat Ridge Natural Area |
| Table 4. Number of visits to Bobcat Ridge Natural Area in the past twelve months |
| Table 5. Number of visits by residents of Larimer County and non-residents to Bobcat RidgeNatural Area6 |
| Table 6. Primary activities on weekdays and weekends |
| Table 7. Group characteristics of visitors to Bobcat Ridge Natural Area |
| Table 8. Two main reasons for visiting Bobcat Ridge Natural Area 9 |
| Table 9. Two main reasons for visiting Bobcat Ridge Natural Area by residency 9 |
| Table 10. Perceived quality of facilities at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area10 |
| Table 11. Overall perceived quality of experience at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area10 |
| Table 12. Unsafe and discourteous behaviors witnessed at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area11 |
| Table 13. Perceived problems with other visitors at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area11 |
| Table 14. Perceived conflict at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area 12 |
| Table 15. Perceived crowding at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area |

Introduction

Public land managers are often tasked with providing high quality recreational experiences for visitors. However, it can be difficult to accurately understand the quality of a visitor's experience without a thorough evaluation. Self-report surveys provide an easy, expedient way to gather large amounts of information for visitor studies. When grounded in theory and paired with a stratified random sampling process, self-report surveys can yield valid, representative, and generalizable data for decision makers.

The objectives of this study were to gather data on:

- 1. Demographic characteristics (e.g., gender, ethnicity)
- 2. Trip characteristics (e.g., group size, primary activity)
- 3. Visitor satisfaction
- 4. Motivations for visiting
- 5. Perceived conflicts with other visitors
- 6. Perceive crowding

This report is intended to (a) provide a baseline of visitor information at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area and (b) to aid Natural Areas staff in making informed management decisions.

Understanding Indicators and Standards for High Quality Visitor Experiences

Assigning quantitative indicators and standards can aid in defining and understanding abstract concepts such as a high-quality visitor experience. Indicators (e.g., satisfaction, conflict) are useful measures of a current situation. Standards represent a threshold (e.g., less the 25% of visitors reporting conflict) or a desired situation (e.g., well-stocked bathrooms).

This study utilized three indicators often considered in the evaluation of outdoor recreation experiences: visitor satisfaction, perceived conflict, and perceived crowding.

Satisfaction

Satisfaction can be defined as the intersection of visitor expectations and services/experience provided (Manning, 2011). If a public land manager adequately provides the services/experience expected, a visitor is likely to report a high-quality visitor experience. Satisfaction represents the nexus of management objectives and visitor expectations.

As a standard for visitor satisfaction, research suggests that no fewer than 80% of visitors should be satisfied with their experience or the facilities provided (Vaske & Roemer, 2013).

Perceived Conflict

Perceived conflict is often segmented into two categories: interpersonal conflict and social values conflict.

Interpersonal conflict can occur when the presence of an individual or group directly interferes with the desired experience of another individual or group (e.g., downhill users not yielding to uphill users). It can also occur indirectly when the evidence (e.g., horse excrement on a trail, tire tracks on a pedestrian-only trail) of another individual or group is substantial enough to create a

conflict. In this study, interpersonal conflict is operationalized as a respondent both "witnessing" a behavior and simultaneously perceiving it as a problem.

Social values conflict reflects a disparity in norms or values between individuals and groups (e.g., the appropriateness of e-bikes on natural surface trails). It can occur even if an individual has not directly or indirectly experienced conflict with another visitor or group. In this study, social values conflict is operationalized as a respondent "never witnessing" a behavior, but still perceiving the behavior as a problem.

A common conflict standard in the research suggests that no more than 25% of respondents should report experiencing interpersonal conflict (Vaske, 2019). If this standard is exceeded, management intervention (e.g., use-specific trails, temporal management) may be necessary.

Perceived Crowding

The phrase "perceived crowding" is often used to recognize the subjective nature of the term "crowding". Crowding, over-crowding, and visitor density are often confounded by natural resource managers and visitors alike. While visitor density can be directly measured (e.g., total visitors present on a trail/total miles of trail), crowding reflects an attitude toward a given situation. As attitudes are often veiled and abstract evaluations of some thing, they can be difficult to measure.

To alleviate crowding's subjectiveness, a response scale was developed by Heberlein and Vaske (1977) to precisely measure a respondent's evaluation of crowding. The scale ranges from 1 to 9, along a continuum of "not at all crowded" to "extremely crowded."

A meta-analysis (Vaske & Shelby, 2008) found this scale used in over 600 outdoor recreation contexts across the world. Based upon this research, a crowding standard was offered suggesting that no more than 35% of respondents should report feeling crowded. At 50 to 60% of visitors feeling crowded, the area is approaching carrying capacity often leading to visitor displacement and access concerns. At 65% of visitors reporting feeling crowded the area has exceeded carrying capacity.

Methods

Data Collection

Natural Areas Trails and Visitor Amenities (TVA) staff conducted visitor exit interviews (n = 370) at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area during 2021 and 2022.

Development of the survey instrument was guided by theoretical concepts (e.g., cognitive theory, goal interference) and seeks to build off previous research related to indicators and standards for quality visitor experiences (Vaske, 2019).

A stratified random sampling procedure was used to ensure representativeness and generalizability to the community of Larimer County, Colorado. Survey days and times were selected using a random number generator (stattrek.com). Interviews were conducted on both weekdays and weekends in four shifts (i.e., 8 am to 9:30 am, 10:15 am to 11:45 am, 12:30pm to 2 pm, and 2:45 pm to 4:15 pm). Table 1 summarizes this data collection effort.

This report compared respondents engaged in four primary activities: hiking (n = 214), mountain biking (n = 55), trail running (n = 30), and horseback riding (n = 42).

| | Hiking | Mountain Biking | Trail Running | Horseback Riding |
|---------------|--------|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Year | | | | |
| 2021 | 26.6% | 5.5% | 20.0% | 11.9% |
| 2022 | 73.4% | 94.5% | 80.0% | 88.1% |
| Season | | | | |
| Winter | 12.1% | 16.4% | 16.7% | 2.4% |
| Spring | 16.4% | 12.7% | 13.3% | 21.4% |
| Summer | 23.4% | 50.9% | 40.0% | 31.0% |
| Fall | 48.1% | 20.0% | 30.0% | 45.2% |
| Day of Week | | | | |
| Weekday | 53.7% | 30.9% | 26.7% | 26.2% |
| Weekend | 46.3% | 69.1% | 73.3% | 73.8% |
| Shift | | | | |
| 8:00 - 9:30 | 15.4% | 34.5% | 46.7% | 2.4% |
| 10:15 - 11:45 | 35.0% | 29.1% | 26.7% | 21.4% |
| 12:30 - 2:00 | 29.0% | 27.3% | 16.7% | 57.1% |
| 2:45 - 4:15 | 20.6% | 9.1% | 10.0% | 19.0% |

Table 1. Visitor survey data collection effort at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area

Statistical Data Analysis

Data was analyzed by staff using IBM's Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS).

This report utilizes two types of statistics for conducting comparisons: Chi- square (χ2) and F values. Chi square values were used to analyze dependent variables that were either dichotomous (i.e., yes or no) or categorical (i.e., race). F values were used when a variable was continuous (i.e., age, days visited).

In this analysis, primary activity was most often used as the independent variable. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. If p values are larger than this they do not represent a statistical difference in the data. Statistical significance indicates an actual difference between variables in the data that is not attributable to coincidence.

While *p*-values indicate if statistical differences exist between variables they do not measure the strength of the relationship (i.e., effect size) between variables. For this measurement, two other statistics were used: Cramer's V for $\chi 2$ and eta (η) for F- values.

Research (Vaske, 2019) has indicated that the results of these two statistics can be divided into three categories that illustrate the strength of the relationship between variables. For Cramer's V, results of .1 to .29 indicated a minimal relationship, .3 to .49 indicated a typical relationship, and results of .5 or greater indicated a substantial relationship. When using eta (η), results of .1 to .242 indicated a minimal relationship, .243 to .37 indicated a typical relationship, and results of .371 or greater indicated a substantial relationship.

Results

Demographics

More than three quarters (76.4%) of mountain bike respondents identified as male, compared to hikers (47.4%), trail runners (56.7%) and equestrians (23.8%). The mean age of respondents was between 41.57% (trail runners) and 57.7% (equestrians). Most respondents identified as non-Hispanic/Latinx (85.6% to 94.1%), with hiking (14.5%) having the most ethnic diversity (e.g., Hispanic/Latinx, prefer to self-identify) of all primary activities reported. These three differences were all statistically significant (Table 2).

Similarly, most respondents self-reported as White (93.1% to 97.6%). Across all primary activity groups, respondents were well educated, with more than two-thirds reporting higher levels of education. Income levels varied among the four activity groups with mountain bikers (43%) earning the largest annual household incomes (e.g., \$150,000 to over \$200,000) followed by equestrians (35%), trail runners (30%), and hikers (21.5%).

| | Hiking | Mountain | Trail | Horseback | Statistic | p- | Effect |
|---------------------|--------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------|--------|
| | | Biking | Running | Riding | χ2 or F- | value | size |
| | | | | | value | | V or η |
| Gender | | | | | 34.50 | <.001 | .316 |
| Female | 52.6% | 23.6% | 43.3% | 76.2% | | | |
| Male | 47.4% | 76.4% | 56.7% | 23.8% | | | |
| Age | | | | | 95.89 | <.001 | .299 |
| <20 | 5.2% | 3.6% | | | | | |
| 21 to 25 | 2.4% | 1.8% | 3.3% | | | | |
| 26 to 35 | 9.4% | 7.3% | 20.0% | 7.1% | | | |
| 36 to 45 | 10.8% | 25.5% | 50.0% | 11.9% | | | |
| 46 to 55 | 12.7% | 14.5% | 20.0% | 9.5% | | | |
| 56 to 65 | 28.8% | 41.8% | 6.7% | 45.2% | | | |
| Over 65 | 30.7% | 5.5% | | 26.2% | | | |
| Mean age | 56.7 | 49.7 | 41.57 | 57.7 | | | |
| Ethnicity | | | | | 28.07 | .005 | .186 |
| Hispanic/Latinx | 5.6% | 2.0% | 4.2% | | | | |
| Non-Hispanic/Latinx | 85.6% | 94.1% | 91.7% | 91.4% | | | |

| | Hiking | Mountain | Trail | Horseback | Statistic | p- | Effect |
|--------------------------------------|--------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|-------|----------------|
| | | Biking | Running | Riding | χ2 or F- value | value | size V or ŋ |
| Prefer to self- identify | 8.9% | 3.9% | 4.2% | 8.6% | | | |
| Race | | | | | 11.97 | .996 | .064 |
| Asian | 1.5% | 1.9% | | | | | |
| Black | 1.0% | | 3.4% | | | | |
| Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander | | 1.9% | | | | | |
| White | 94.1% | 96.3% | 93.1% | 97.6% | | | |
| Two or more races | 3.4% | | 3.4% | 2.4% | | | |
| Highest Level of Education | | | | | 18.21 | .921 | .132 |
| Some high school or less | 0.5% | | | 2.5% | | | |
| High School | 11.7% | 11.3% | 6.7% | 15.0% | | | |
| Associate degree | 9.7% | 13.2% | 6.7% | 15.0% | | | |
| Bachelor's degree | 34.5% | 34.0% | 43.3% | 27.5% | | | |
| Masters/Ph.D. | 43.7% | 41.5% | 43.3% | 40.0% | | | |
| Household Income | | | | | 78.29 | .005 | .220 |
| Less than \$24,999 | 2.7% | 3.9% | 10.0% | 2.5% | | | |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 5.9% | 3.9% | 6.7% | 2.5% | | | |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 7.0% | 5.9% | | 2.5% | | | |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 19.4% | 7.8% | 10.0% | 20.0% | | | |
| \$75,000 – \$99,999 | 20.4% | 23.5% | 10.0% | 17.5% | | | |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 23.1% | 11.8% | 33.3% | 20.0% | | | |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 12.4% | 17.6% | 26.7% | 22.5% | | | |
| \$200,000+ | 9.1% | 25.5% | 3.3% | 12.5% | | | |

Over eighty percent of all respondents lived in Larimer County. This represents a statistical difference between residents and non-residents. Equestrians (M = 26.7 years) reported living in the county the longest followed by mountain bikers (M = 16.6 years), hikers (M = 16.07 years) and trail runners (M = 13.2 years) (Table 3).

Table 3. Residence of visitors to Bobcat Ridge Natural Area

| | Hiking | Mountain | Trail | Horseback | Statistic | p- | Effect |
|-------------------------------|--------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|-------|----------------|
| | | Biking | Running | Riding | χ2 or F- value | value | size V or ŋ |
| Resident of Larimer County | | | | | 24.34 | <.001 | .263 |
| Yes | 80.8% | 74.5% | 83.3% | 61.9% | | | |
| No | 19.2% | 25.5% | 16.7% | 38.1% | | | |

| | Hiking | Mountain | Trail | Horseback | Statistic | p- | Effect |
|------------------------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------|--------|
| | | Biking | Running | Riding | χ2 or F- | value | size |
| | | | | | value | | V or η |
| Years lived in Larimer | | | | | 46.05 | .122 | .274 |
| County | | | | | | | |
| One year or less | 5.8% | 7.1% | 7.7% | | | | |
| 2 to 3 | 14.6% | 9.5% | 3.8% | 7.1% | | | |
| 4 to 5 | 11.7% | 11.9% | 15.4% | | | | |
| 6 to 10 | 14.0% | 21.4% | 23.1% | 7.1% | | | |
| 11 to 20 | 23.4% | 19.0% | 34.6% | 21.4% | | | |
| 21 to 30 | 15.2% | 11.9% | 11.5% | 25.0% | | | |
| More than 30 years | 15.2% | 19.0% | 3.8% | 39.3% | | | |
| Mean | 16.07 | 16.60 | 13.23 | 26.71 | | | |
| Range | 1 to 65 | 1 to 52 | 0 to 41 | 3 to 56 | | | |

Visitation Characteristics

Over the past twelve months, equestrians (M = 8.9 visits) reported visiting most frequently, followed by hikers (M = 7.6 visits), mountain bikers (M = 7.1 visits) and trail runners (M = 6.4 visits) (Table 4).

| | Hiking | Mountain | Trail | Horseback | Statistic | p- | Effect |
|---------------------|--------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------|--------|
| | | Biking | Running | Riding | χ2 | value | size |
| | | | | | | | V |
| Visits | | | | | 96.42 | <.001 | .230 |
| First time visiting | 26.6% | 15.7% | 10.7% | 11.9% | | | |
| 1 to 2 visits | 20.8% | 21.6% | 32.1% | 26.2% | | | |
| 3 to 5 visits | 20.3% | 23.5% | 17.9% | 19.0% | | | |
| 6 to 10 visits | 15.9% | 15.7% | 28.6% | 21.4% | | | |
| 11 to 20 visits | 7.7% | 17.6% | | 9.5% | | | |
| 21 to 50 visits | 6.8% | 5.9% | 10.7% | 9.5% | | | |
| Over 50 visits | 1.9% | | | 2.4% | | | |
| Mean | 7.6 | 7.1 | 6.4 | 8.9 | | | |

Residents of Larimer County reported visiting an average of nine times in the last twelve months, while non-residents reported visiting an average of 3.5 times. Over forty percent of non-residents were first time visitors. These results are statistically significant (Table 5).

Table 5. Number of visits by residents of Larimer County and non-residents to Bobcat Ridge Natural Area

| | Resident | Non-Resident | Statistic | p-value | Effect size |
|---------------------|----------|--------------|-----------|---------|-------------|
| | | | χ2 | | V |
| Visits | | | 33.09 | <.001 | .282 |
| First time visiting | 16.2% | 41.0% | | | |
| 1 to 2 visits | 21.0% | 27.7% | | | |
| 3 to 5 visits | 22.1% | 16.9% | | | |
| 6 to 10 visits | 19.9% | 8.4% | | | |
| 11 to 20 visits | 9.6% | 3.6% | | | |
| 21 to 50 visits | 9.2% | 1.2% | | | |
| Over 50 visits | 1.8% | 1.2% | | | |
| Mean | 9.0 | 3.5 | | | |
| Range | 0 to 100 | 0 to 99 | | | |

Hiking (53.7%) was the most popular activity during the week. While horseback riding (73.8%), trail running (73.3%), and mountain biking (69.1%) all saw significant increases during the weekend. These differences are statistically significant (Table 6).

| | Hiking | Mountain Biking | Trail Running | Horseback Riding | Statistic χ2 | p- value | Effect size |
|-------------|--------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------|
| | | | | C | ~ | | V |
| Day of Week | | | | | 26.71 | <.001 | .276 |
| Weekday | 53.7% | 30.9% | 26.7% | 26.2% | | | |
| Weekend | 46.3% | 69.1% | 73.3% | 73.8% | | | |

 Table 6. Primary activities on weekdays and weekends

Group Characteristics

Most hikers (60.1%) and equestrians (83.3%) visited in groups of two or more, while most mountain bikers (51.9%) and trail runners (70%) visited alone. On average group composition consisted of two adults: hiking (M= 2.1), mountain biking (M = 1.7), trail running (M = 1.3), horseback riding (M = 2.8). Most respondents reported that no children were present in their group: hiking (90.2%), mountain biking (96.3%), trail running (100.00%), and horseback riding (85.7%). This data is represented in Table 7.

Table 7. Group characteristics of visitors to Bobcat Ridge Natural Area

| | Hiking | Mountain Biking | Trail Running | Horseback Riding | Statistic χ2 | p- value | Effect size |
|---------------------|--------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------|
| | | 0 | Ū | C | ~ | | V |
| Number of people in | | | | | 58.83 | <.001 | .287 |
| group | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 39.9% | 51.9% | 70.0% | 16.7% | | | |
| 2 | 35.2% | 31.5% | 26.7% | 45.2% | | | |

| | Hiking | Mountain | Trail | Horseback | Statistic | p- | Effect |
|-----------------------------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------|--------|
| | | Biking | Running | Riding | χ2 | value | size |
| | 4.0.00/ | 10.00/ | 0.001 | | | | V |
| 3 | 10.8% | 13.0% | 3.3% | 23.8% | | | |
| 4 to 5 | 8.0% | 3.7% | 0% | 2.4% | | | |
| 6 or more | 6.1% | 0% | 0% | 11.9% | | | |
| Mean | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 2.8 | | | |
| Range | 1 to 17 | 1 to 4 | 1 to 3 | 1 to 8 | | | |
| Number of adults in group | | | | | 70.68 | <.001 | .279 |
| 1 | 41.8% | 53.7% | 70.0% | 16.7% | | | |
| 2 | 38.5% | 29.6% | 26.7% | 54.8% | | | |
| 3 | 7.5% | 13.0% | 3.3% | 16.7% | | | |
| 4 to 5 | 8.5% | 3.7% | 0% | | | | |
| 6 or more | 3.8% | 0% | 0% | 11.9% | | | |
| Mean | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 2.7 | | | |
| Range | 1 to 10 | 1 to 4 | 1 to 3 | 1 to 8 | | | |
| Number of children in group | | | | | 12.82 | .915 | .137 |
| 0 | 90.2% | 96.3% | 100.0% | 85.7% | | | |
| 1 | 4.7% | 3.7% | 0% | 11.9% | | | |
| 2 | 2.3% | 0% | 0% | | | | |
| 3 or more | 2.8% | 0% | 0% | 2.4% | | | |
| Mean | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.19 | | | |
| Range | 0 to 3 | 0 to 1 | 0 | 0 to 3 | | | |

Motivations for Visiting

In a question asking respondents to select their primary two motivations for visiting Bobcat Ridge Natural Area, hikers most often selected "it's close to home" (25.8%) and "to be in nature" (25.3%). Similarly, mountain bikers and trail runners most often selected "it's close to home" and "to get exercise". While equestrians also selected "it's close to home" (34.9%) as a top motivation, other primary motivations included an equal split between "to be in nature" (14.3%) and "to spend time with friends or family" (14.3%) These results are statistically significant (Table 8).

| | Hiking | Mountain Biking | Trail Running | Horseback Riding | Statistic χ2 | p- value | Effect size V |
|---|--------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Two main reasons for | | | | | 152.39 | <.001 | .199 |
| visiting | | | | | | | |
| It's close to home. | 25.8% | 22.0% | 20.5% | 34.9% | | | |
| To get exercise. | 22.8% | 24.2% | 31.8% | 6.3% | | | |
| I feel safe here. | 0.6% | 1.1% | 0% | 1.6% | | | |
| To learn about nature. | 0.3% | 0% | 0% | 3.2% | | | |
| To be in nature. | 25.3% | 14.3% | 13.6% | 14.3% | | | |
| For relaxation. | 4.2% | 1.1% | 0% | 4.8% | | | |
| To escape from everyday responsibilities or pressure. | 0.6% | 1.1% | 0% | 1.6% | | | |
| To feel better spiritually. | 1.7% | 0% | 6.8% | | | | |
| It's less crowded than other natural areas. | 10.6% | 15.4% | 13.6% | 7.9% | | | |
| To develop my skills and abilities. | 0.3% | 14.3% | 2.3% | 4.8% | | | |
| To spend time with friends or family. | 5.8% | 4.4% | 4.5% | 14.3% | | | |
| Other. | 2.2% | 2.2% | 6.8% | 6.3% | | | |

Table 8. Two main reasons for visiting Bobcat Ridge Natural Area

Residents reported their two main motivations for visiting were because "it's close to home" (27.7%) and "to get exercise" (22.1%). Non-residents top two motivations for visiting were "to be in nature" (23.1%) and "to get exercise" (19.2%). These results are statistically significant (Table 9).

| | Resident | Non-Resident | Statistic χ2 | p- value | Effect size V |
|--|----------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Two main reasons for visiting | | | 25.95 | .007 | .115 |
| It's close to home. | 27.7% | 14.6% | | | |
| To get exercise. | 22.1% | 19.2% | | | |
| I feel safe here. | 0.7% | 0.8% | | | |
| To learn about nature. | 0.4% | 1.5% | | | |
| To be in nature. | 20.7% | 23.1% | | | |
| For relaxation. | 2.4% | 7.7% | | | |
| To escape from everyday responsibilities | 0.9% | 0.8% | | | |
| or pressure. | | | | | |

| | Resident | Non-Resident | Statistic χ2 | p- value | Effect size V |
|---|----------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|
| To feel better spiritually. | 1.3% | 2.3% | | | |
| It's less crowded than other natural areas. | 11.6% | 11.5% | | | |
| To develop my skills and abilities. | 2.6% | 6.2% | | | |
| To spend time with friends or family. | 5.5% | 10.0% | | | |
| Other. | 4.1% | 2.3% | | | |

Visitor Satisfaction

Over eighty- five percent of respondents in all activity types rated all facilities (e.g., restrooms, parking areas, trails) as "good" or "very good" (Table 10).

| | Hiking | Mountain | Trail | Horseback | Statistic | p-value | Effect size |
|-------------------|--------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------------|
| | | Biking | Running | Riding | χ2 | | V |
| Restrooms | 90.2% | 96.7% | 89.5% | 95.9% | 16.65 | .863 | .183 |
| Parking areas | 98.1% | 96% | 100% | 92.3% | 23.70 | .308 | .152 |
| Picnic areas | 94.4% | 93.8% | 100% | 92.3% | 26.10 | .202 | .305 |
| Trash receptacles | 93.9% | 95.2% | 100% | 85.7% | 10.04 | .931 | .110 |
| Kiosk information | 95.2% | 92.8% | 100% | 95.2% | 6.44 | .954 | .109 |
| Trails | 98.6% | 94.1% | 100% | 100% | 11.98 | .848 | .127 |

Table 10. Perceived quality of facilities at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area

Cell entries are percentages of "good" and "very good" responses.

Nearly 100% of respondents across all activity types reported that their perceived quality of experience was "good" to "excellent" (Table 11).

Table 11. Overall perceived quality of experience at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area

| | Hiking | Mountain Biking | Trail Running | Horseback Riding | Statistic χ2 | p-value | Effect size V |
|-----------|--------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------|------------------|
| Average | 1.4% | 3.8% | 0% | 0% | 10.59 | .718 | .141 |
| Good | 25.2% | 24.5% | 20.0% | 19.0% | | | |
| Excellent | 73.4% | 71.7% | 80.0% | 81.0% | | | |

Perceived Conflict

In a question asking respondents how often they witnessed other activity types behaving either unsafely or discourteously, less than twenty percent reported ever witnessing unsafe or discourteous behaviors.

A statistically significant difference did emerge between equestrians witnessing mountain bikers being discourteous (82.1%). This data is represented in Table 12.

| | Hiking | Mountain Biking | Trail Running | Horseback Riding | Statistic χ2 | p- value | Effect size V |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Never witnessed | | · | | | | | |
| Hikers behaving unsafely | 98.6% | 94.2% | 100.0% | 92.5% | 22.67 | .002 | .258 |
| Mountain bikers behaving unsafely | 96.6% | 94.2% | 96.7% | 87.2% | 7.20 | .408 | .146 |
| Equestrians behaving unsafely | 99.1% | 98.1% | 100.0% | 97.5% | 1.44 | .984 | .065 |
| Hikers being discourteous | 98.6% | 98.1% | 100.0% | 97.5% | .961 | .995 | .053 |
| Mountain bikers being discourteous | 98.0% | 92.6% | 93.1% | 82.1% | 19.02 | .008 | .238 |
| Equestrians being discourteous | 99.5% | 98.1% | 100.0% | 97.5% | 2.61 | .919 | .087 |

Table 12. Unsafe and discourteous behaviors witnessed at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area

A question designed to measure social values conflict asked respondents if they perceive unsafe or discourteous behavior to be a problem at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area. Responses yielded averages ranging between 6.7% (e.g., trail runners and hikers behaving unsafely) and 35.1% (e.g., equestrians and mountain bikers being discourteous). Of the four activity types, equestrian reported the highest social values conflict (e.g., 22% to 35.1%) (Table 13.)

Table 13. Perceived problems with other visitors at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area

| | Hiking | Mountai n Biking | Trail Running | Horseback Riding | Statistic χ2 | p- value | Effect size V | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Thinks it's a problem | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hikers behaving unsafely | 17.1% | 17.3% | 6.7% | 22.0% | 4.88 | .675 | .121 | | | |
| Mountain bikers behaving unsafely | 26.1% | 21.3% | 29.6% | 30.3% | 4.13 | .765 | .119 | | | |
| Equestrians behaving unsafely | 15.6% | 17.6% | 10.3% | 22.0% | 3.64 | .820 | .105 | | | |
| Hikers being discourteous | 16.9% | 22.4% | 10.0% | 31.7% | 9.76 | .203 | .174 | | | |
| Mountain bikers being discourteous | 22.0% | 21.3% | 33.3% | 35.1% | 6.90 | .439 | .153 | | | |
| Equestrians being discourteous | 15.2% | 18.0% | 14.3% | 14.6% | 1.96 | .962 | .078 | | | |

Table 14 is computed from combined participant responses reporting witnessing and perceiving behaviors as problems. Over sixty percent of respondents reported having no conflict with another groups' behaviors. Interpersonal conflict (e.g., witnessing a behavior and perceiving it as a problem) was most often reported between equestrians and mountain bikers behaving unsafely (12.9%), and equestrians and mountain bikers behaving discourteously (13.9%). These data are well below the standard for outdoor recreation conflict and indicate that interpersonal conflict does not need to be managed for at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area.

| | Hiking | Mountain Biking | Trail Running | Horseback Riding | Statistic χ2 | p- value | Effect size V |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Hikers behaving unsafely | | | | | 6.91 | .938 | .117 |
| No conflict | 82.7% | 81.6% | 93.3% | 77.5% | | | |
| Interpersonal conflict | 1.5% | 2.0% | 0% | 5.0% | | | |
| Social values conflict | 15.8% | 16.3% | 6.7% | 17.5% | | | |
| Mountain bikers behaving unsafely | | | | | 17.61 | .225 | .118 |
| No conflict | 74.7% | 79.5% | 70.4% | 67.7% | | | |
| Interpersonal conflict | 1.1% | 2.3% | 3.7% | 12.9% | | | |
| Social values conflict | 24.1% | 18.2% | 25.9% | 19.4% | | | |
| Equestrians behaving unsafely | | | | | 6.27 | .959 | .106 |
| No conflict | 84.3% | 81.3% | 89.7% | 77.5% | | | |
| Interpersonal conflict | 0.5% | 0% | 0% | 2.5% | | | |
| Social values conflict | 15.2% | 18.8% | 10.3% | 20.0% | | | |
| Hikers being discourteous | | | | | 11.48 | .648 | .176 |
| No conflict | 82.8% | 76.6% | 90.0% | 67.5% | | | |
| Interpersonal conflict | 1.0% | 0% | 0% | 2.5% | | | |
| Social values conflict | 16.1% | 23.4% | 10.0% | 30.0% | | | |
| Mountain bikers being discourteous | | | | | 22.40 | .071 | .130 |
| No conflict | 77.7% | 78.3% | 66.7% | 63.9% | | | |
| Interpersonal conflict | 0.6% | 4.3% | 3.7% | 13.9% | | | |
| Social values conflict | 21.7% | 17.4% | 29.6% | 22.2% | | | |
| Equestrians being discourteous | | | | | 5.23 | .982 | .085 |
| No conflict | 84.6% | 80.9% | 85.7% | 85.0% | | | |
| Interpersonal conflict | 0.5% | 0% | 0% | 2.5% | | | |
| Social values conflict | 14.9% | 19.1% | 14.3% | 12.5% | | | |

Table 14. Perceived conflict at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area

Perceived Crowding

Table 15 indicates that less than 10% of respondents reported ever feeling crowded either at the trailhead or on the trail. The highest incidence of perceived crowding occurred when mountain bikers felt crowded by hikers at the trailhead (9.6%). This occurrence was also statistically significant. These data do not indicate that crowding is a concern at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area.

| | Hiking | Mountain | Trail | Horseback | Statistic | p- | Effect |
|--------------------------|--------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------|--------|
| | | Biking | Running | Riding | χ2 | value | size |
| | | | | | | | V |
| Did you feel crowded by: | | | | | | | |
| Hikers | | | | | | | |
| At the trailhead | 4.0% | 9.6% | 3.6% | 0% | 25.62 | <.001 | .282 |
| On the trail | 4.6% | 7.7% | 6.7% | 5.9% | 1.41 | .965 | .066 |
| Mountain bikers | | | | | | | |
| At the trailhead | 3.0% | 0% | 0% | 2.9% | 2.83 | .900 | .093 |
| On the trail | 3.2% | 1.9% | 0% | 5.7% | 2.34 | .886 | .086 |
| Equestrians | | | | | | | |
| At the trailhead | 1.0% | 1.9% | 0% | 2.8% | 1.48 | .983 | .067 |
| On the trail | 1.5% | 7.4% | 6.7% | 5.1% | 6.55 | .365 | .141 |

| Table 15. Perceived | crowding at Bobca | t Ridge Natural Area |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | | |

Conclusion

In 2021 and 2022 Natural Areas staff conducted visitor exit interviews (n = 370) at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area. The purpose of this effort was to develop a baseline of visitor information at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area and to aid in making informed management decisions. This report compared respondents engaged in four primary activities: hiking (n = 214), mountain biking (n = 55), trail running (n = 30), and horseback riding (n = 42) related to their demographics, visitation characteristics, visitor satisfaction, motivations, perceived conflict, and perceived crowding.

Results indicated that the mean age of respondents was between 41.57% (trail runners) and 57.7% (equestrians). Most respondents identified as non-Hispanic/Latinx (85.6% to 94.1%) and as White (93.1% to 97.6%). Across all primary activity groups, respondents were well educated and most reported annual household incomes at or above \$75,000.

Over 80% of all respondents lived in Larimer County. Equestrians (M = 26.7 years) reported living in the county the longest followed by mountain bikers (M = 16.6 years), hikers (M = 16.07years) and trail runners (M = 13.2 years). Equestrians (M = 8.9 visits) reported visiting most frequently, followed by hikers (M = 7.6 visits), mountain bikers (M = 7.1 visits) and trail runners (M = 6.4 visits). Residents of Larimer County reported visiting an average of nine times in the last twelve months, while non-residents reported visiting an average of 3.5 times in the last twelve months. Over forty percent of non-residents were first time visitors, compared to 16.2% of residents. The most reported activity on weekdays was hiking (53.7%). On weekends horseback riding (73.8%) was the most reported activity followed by trail running (73.3%), and mountain biking (69.1).

Most hikers (60.1%) and equestrians (83.3%) visited in groups of two or more, while most mountain bikers (51.9%) and trail runners (70%) visited alone. The average group composition consisted of two adults: hiking (M= 2.1), mountain biking (M = 1.7), trail running (M = 1.3), horseback riding (M = 2.8). Children were most often absent from groups.

In terms of primary motivations for visiting Bobcat ridge Natural Area, hikers most often selected "it's close to home" (25.8%) and "to be in nature" (25.3%). Mountain bikers and trail runners most often selected "it's close to home" and "to get exercise". Similarly, equestrians selected "it's close to home" (34.9%) and additional primary motivations included "to be in nature" (14.3%) and "to spend time with friends or family" (14.3%). Residents reported their two main motivations for visiting were because "it's close to home" (27.7%) and "to get exercise" (22.1%). Non-residents two primary motivations for visiting were "to be in nature" (19.2%).

Over 85% percent of respondents in all activity types rated all facilities (e.g., restrooms, trails) as "good" or "very good". Nearly all respondents across all activity types reported that their perceived quality of experience was "good" to "excellent".

Over eighty percent of respondents had never witnessed other visitors being unsafe or discourteous. Over sixty percent of respondents reported having no conflict with other groups' behaviors. Incidents of interpersonal conflict (witnessing a behavior and believing it a problem) was most often reported between equestrians and mountain bikers behaving unsafely (12.9%), and equestrians and mountain bikers behaving discourteously (13.9%). Though, reports of interpersonal conflict (e.g., witnessing a behavior and perceiving it as a problem) at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area were well below the standard of <25% of responses.

Perceived crowding was nearly absent at Bobcat Ridge Natural Area, with less than 10% of respondents reporting ever feeling crowded either at the trailhead or on the trail. The highest incidence of perceived crowding occurred when mountain bikers felt crowded by hikers at the trailhead (9.6%).

References

- Heberlein, T. A., & Vaske, J. J. (1977). Crowding and Visitor Conflict on the Bois Brule River. (Technical Report WIS WRC 77-04). Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin, Water Resources Center.
- Manning, R. E. (2011). Indicators and standards in parks and outdoor recreation. In M. Budruk & R. Phillips (Eds.), *Quality-of-life community indicators for parks, recreation, and tourism management* (pp. 11–22). The Netherlands: Springer.
- Vaske, J. J. (2008). Survey research and analysis: Application in parks, recreation, and human dimensions. State College, PA: Venture Publishing, Inc.
- Vaske, J. J. (2019). Indicators and standards for quality visitor experiences at City of Fort Collins Foothills Natural Areas. Report for the City of Fort Collins, Natural Areas Department. Fort Collins: Colorado State University, Human Dimensions of Natural Resources.
- Vaske, J. J., & Roemer, J. M. (2013). Differences in reported satisfaction ratings by consumptive and no consumptive recreationists: A comparative analysis of three decades of research. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife, 18*(3), 1–22.
- Vaske, J. J., & Shelby, L. B. (2008). Crowding as a descriptive indicator and an evaluative standard: Results from 30 years of research. *Leisure Sciences*, *30*(2), 111–126.

Appendix A- Verbatim Responses

Reasons for facility ratings

On the rocks is a bit overgrown in a few parts A little muddy A little stinky :) but clean All above average. All good All good. All great! All is amazing. all well-kept! Always awesome. always clean Always clean, kept up really well, ranger on site always clean/stocked! Always in good shape. amazing amount of work done to recover after Cameron Area is a great resource for hiking bathroom clean, trail well maintained Bathroom door doesn't lock. Bathroom is stinky. Trails and parking are excellent. Bathroom was pristine. Bathroom was very bad beautiful area, well groomed trails Because its true. Best trail for mountain biking in area. Bobcat has a great trail and easy parking lot Bobcat Ridge is awesome! Trails restored and rerouted post-fire are great. Cause that's how I see it. clean Clean clean & not smelly Clean and everything in great condition. Clean and had tp Clean and hand sanitizer is appreciated. Clean place. Clean restroom, well maintained trails clean, clear and safe trail Clean, easy to use. Clean, kept up

Clean, well maintained.

Clean, well-kept, good markings, good conditions, benches on the hill were great

clean! Good signs- very well cared for.

Could use more trailer parking. Restroom is in good shape.

Did not use most of the facilities, other than the walking trails, nothing seems maintained.

Didn't use everything else. Trail was wonderful.

don't use much of the facility

Don't use them much.

Door lock not working when closed.

Doors wont lock.

Everything here is well maintained

everything I did was well-maintained

everything I used was awesome!

Everything is great shape and well maintained

Everything is great!

Everything is great.

everything is in excellent condition

Everything is kept very well/excellent.

Everything is lovely.

Everything is nice, except the locks on the restrooms wont lock.

Everything is pristine and in excellent shape.

Everything is very well done here.

Everything is well maintained.

Everything listed was immaculate! So clean & perfect

everything was "very good"

everything was clean & looked great

everything was clean, trail was great

Everything was clean, well maintained, and had signs.

Everything was fine, trails are very good

Everything was great

Everything we used was very good.

Excellent condition, clean.

Excellent maintenance

Excellent trail system. Good parking for horse trailer.

Excellent upkeep

Excellent. Well maintained beautiful place.

Excellently maintained.

fantastic place, our favorite

felt safe

Fine facility, easy to get to, and not too crowded.

Fort Collins parks are great.

Ginny trail is a littler dangerous in spots

Go Foco! Great upkeep!

Good facility

Good parking and nice trails, some good for loping

Good place for us to see wildlife

Good quality

Good shape.

good solid, clean areas

Good trails.

Good, maintained trail with small parking.

great area well maintained

Great area, great trail.

Great condition and the trail was fun.

Great Condition!

Great experience. Very clean. Great trails.

Great facilities, great trails.

Great facility

Great horse trailer parking

Great place

great place to ride

Great place to ride, awkward parking with no place to tie horse on side of trailer

Great place to ride.

Great shape but not intrusive.

Great shape.

Great site!

Great trail upkeep

great trails for horseback riding

Great trails, always.

Great trails, clean area, not crowded.

Great trails.

Had a tree with very low branch.

High quality.

Hiking was great.

I am impressed with how it was restored after the fire

I didn't use the restroom, but everything seemed pretty good

I enjoyed the experience. The road is much better than past few years

I had no issues and were in good quality

I like Devils Backbone and Bobcat Trail

I like it!

I looked at the signs & the trail's in great condition

I love Colorado's natural beauty and it seems to be preserved and nurtured here

I love hiking here

I love it

I love this open space!

I would like some shady parking spots

I've been coming here since 2006, horses included, well maintained/clean in comparison to other areas In great shape. It is just a great trail with easy to difficult trails for all. It's a natural area and I expect a certain amount of rustic atmosphere It's convenient It's just very nice! It's a parking lot. Its clean. Its good but not special. Its great to have restrooms and trash. The cabin is cool! Its very easy to use for horse people. Its very nice. Just a short visit. We love it here. Will come back for a longer hike later. Just awesome and fun trails with gorgeous view Just cause for the trails like hiking here Limited parking. looking good Lots of poop Love Bobcat. Love Ginny trail. Doing OMBA patrol love it here! Love the challenge of this trail Love the new hitching posts! Love the trail and up keep Lower trail north is boring. Otherwise nice. Marvelous trail and open space. more shaded spots would be great My favorite trail Need more trail construction, need better horse trail to Mahoney park Needs more parking on the weekends, otherwise pretty good. Nice Nice facilities Nice horse trailer parking. Would love more horse only trails. Nice place to hike. Nice variety of terrain. Nice, well keep up no potholes, ample parking, availability of materials, trails well maintained no problem parking and the trails are kept clear No problems. Not much traffic as other trails Nothing stood out

Observation. only use trails - always good shape organized incredibly well overall a good visit Overall clean and in good condition. Overall in good condition Parking can get a little muddy & hard to navigate in heavy rain Parking for horses is tight. A lot of us use the side to tack up. Parking lot and bathrooms are always clean. Plenty parking. Trail = Great. really nice place - facilities and area kept up! Restroom at parking lot needs cleaned. restroom by cabin is not clean. Main restroom is nice Restroom has hand sanitizer! Good trails. Restroom lock broken Restrooms are always not great! Restrooms cleaned and stocked with toilet paper and hand sanitizer. Trails groomed. Some horse manure. Restrooms cleaner than most. RR were clean & well stocked. Ginny trail is amazing! Sign on way to cabin needs refurbishing. Sign to turn in from Loveland is a bit unclear. Some distance markers on North trail fork would be nice Some rocky areas on the trails. Everything was clean. The The lock on the restroom doesn't work The lock to one of the restrooms seems to be broken The natural area is always clean and the facilities are very nice The parking lot restroom was very smally, but the one on the trail was perfect. The trail was very well maintained and the signage was helpful. The trails were clear and clean. The whole area is very well maintained. They are clean, safe, available. They are cleaned and well maintained. They did their job. They were all in great shape They were good They were good. They were great! They were maintained well. This facility is always great this is my favorite city natural area- specifically for the biking

Too much sand and gravel. Missing the natural rock of years past.

Trail is excellent and well maintained. Trail were clearly marked; love the bathrooms on the trail trails & parking were clean trails are recovering, but there is work to be done esp. powerline and upper DR Trails are so well-maintained and enough space for everyone. Nice humps for MTB'ers. Trails are well maintained Trails aren't overly constructed. Nice sign for bicyclists to yield Trails better maintained now-fewer bike ruts. Trails clear. beautiful views. Trails have some horse dung on them Trails were well kept and beautiful. Trash cans were hard to open for my small hands very clean Very clean very clean & well kept Very clean, well kept. very clean, well maintained very clean, well taken care of. Nice trails!! Very nice trail. Very nice! Very nice. very user-friendly. Well-maintained trail. Winding nicely through the valley. very well kept up Very well maintained and not too busy. Always amazed how clean the cabin is. We like to hike and its easy. We love it here We love this area We only mtn biked today and did not need the others Well done well kept well kept & easy access from home, not crowded well kept facility Well kept. Well maintained trail, nice parking and structures. well maintained Well maintained Well maintained and well suited to multiple activities. Well maintained, road access, safety. Well marked. well taken care of! Well-routed, nice trails, maps and signs Wide trails, separate biking, horse, hiking. works for me.

you all have always had the cleanest restrooms. The trails are good.

Reasons for rating overall experience

a little rough from horses All good. Always beautiful, rarely crowded. always groomed, addressing noxious weeds got rid of Bubonic Plague P/D colony Always in good shape, great trail system. Awesome. Bathroom brough score down. Beautiful Beautiful and mostly natural. Beautiful and well-maintained. Beautiful area Beautiful area, few people. Beautiful but sad its burned. No perennial creeks. No dogs. beautiful hike Beautiful land and well maintained. Beautiful park! Beautiful scenery, not crowded with dog people. Mostly courteous people when im on horse Beautiful- not crowded weekdays. Beautiful, great trails. Beautiful, nice trails. Interesting to see the burned trees. Beautiful, quiet Beautiful, quiet, easy to get to Beautiful, quiet, no dogs. Beautiful, scenic trail. Beautiful, trails well marked. beautiful, well-kept Beautiful. In natural condition Beautifully maintained and protected. Good management of traffic Beautifully maintained from what I could tell. Beauty. Best around and Karl. Bikes Biological diversity. Quietness. Bobcat Ridge is one of my favorite trails in FOCO. Burn scar. Cabin was great and well maintained. Clean and beautiful. Clean and natural clean trails and polite hikers/bikers

Clean, natural. Clean, trails kept up well Clean, well maintained Clean, well maintained, beautiful views. Clean, well marked Clean. Close by. No fees. Close to Fort Collins, scenic, uncrowded close to home close to home, quiet Close, safe. Comparatively it is above average Diversity of elevation Don't know...it is just one of my favorite places. easy drive to a very nice area Enjoyed our hike every natural area has its own beauty Everything is well maintained. Excellent but please don't spread the word Excellent maintenance **Excellent trails** Excellent. Well maintained beautiful place. Very friendly trail users. fairly easy to walk Favorite spot in Larimer County. Few people. Hot day. Few trees Fire. flowers are coming up well Generally very good- some erosion and invasive weeds post-fire, some trail erosion due to bikes. good good but... Good facilities Good open views, easy walking. great - hiking Great area and convenient location. great area. Weed control after burn is an issue great biking, usually not too crowded great hike Great location and well-maintained trails. Great maintenance Great place, not overrun with cyclists. Great Place.

Great scenic hike.

Great spot to hike.

Great to see restoration after the fire.

Great trail, great views.

Great trails

Great trails despite fire damage

Great trails for all users.

Great trails, clean area, not crowded.

Great trails, variety of distance and difficulty.

Great trails, well maintained, great scenery, wildlife

Great views, trails, facilities.

Great walk!

I <3 Bobcat

I always have a good visit.

I am impressed how well/nice the trail is.

I had an excellent time

I like it

I like it.

I love coming out here to hike, it's a great hiking area

I love getting exercise here

I prefer more shade

I'm from Maine!

Impressed, after the fire especially.

It is close to town & I can get my steps in

It is very well maintained.

lt is!

It would be amazing to have more access to the mountain bike trails.

It's easy access and not difficult!

It's great, no complaints

It's right near my house

lt's fun

Its beautiful here!

Its close to us. Never too crowded even when lot is full, and great for pretty much all the stuff we like to do: run, hike, mt bike, horseback ride.

Its coming back.

Its is so close to home, easy parking, well maintained, bikers are courteous to horses.

Its just about perfect.

just is, well done

Less people than some other areas. Good sharing by hike, bike, and horse

Lightly used/ remote.

location, habitat, ease of use

Looks so good.

lots of wildlife

lots of wildlife & not too crowded, but at times feel like mountain bikers don't yield to hikers

lots to see easy w/ toddler

Love it here

Love it.

Love it. Very well maintained.

love ride horses here

Love the variety of trails

love this place

Love variety and solitude

Lovely upkeep.

Lovely Valley Loop experience.

maintained very well; safely

Multiple use trails, varying difficulty

my favorite hiking place

My favorite trails.

natural

Natural area is wonderful. Mountain biking trails through Bobcat Ridge have been ruined! No technical areas left. Everything does not need to be a paved/smooth road.

Nice and clear

Nice and not too crowded.

Nice trail

Nice trail, well kept.

No noticeable issues

No parking fee, great trails

No trash, friendly, good services here

Not crowded, beautiful, well maintained trails and facilities.

not crowded, great trails

Not crowed

not overly developed but not under attended to

One of my favorite spots in FC!

Only thirty minutes from FTC w/ variety of hiking.

open spaces!

overall it is a beautiful open space.

Peaceful.

Poor exotic weed control. So much mullen in burn area. I would volunteer to help control weeds - (I did wonder if the mullen was left for erosion control, or ?)

Quiet, clean, serene.

Quiet. Obvious work being done to restore/keep it natural.

really pretty but burn damage is present

Recovering.

Restoration in progress. Wasn't sure how that impacted the environment.

restrooms

Sad that so many trees died in the fire.

Sad to see fire damage Scenery. see above See ratings and notes seen nothing wrong Shame about the burn but good to see the growth coming back. so beautiful & accessible to all So nice to not have to worry about dogs. so well taken care of & respected some non-native plants could use some regulation herbicide Some non-native plants. Some trails maintenance required Some wear and tear on the trails The burnt trees The cycle of death and life - love the signage explaining the fire, wildlife, vegetation The efforts to restore the area with natural vegetation have been great The fire damage was depressing. The only bad part is the view of houses. The openness- not too busy The trails and variety in terrain is great The trails. The views and trails were great. The whole area is very well maintained. This is a great place. This is a nice place to come to To see fire damage recover is great for education. Too many mountain bikes. Totally enjoyed hiked and trails well maintained Trail is very rocky, hard on ankles for people like me who primarily run roads Trails are in great condition Trails were well maintained Fun! trails, scenery, good management Trees burned down - otherwise we love it here Trees got burned down, sorry not your fault unique and gorgeous Very accessible. very beautiful, peaceful very clean & well kept Very clean and natural. Very enjoyable Very good natural space. very nice place to ride very nicely kept, quiet

Very pleasant. Very well taken care of. We have them, and they're well kept We love Bobcat. Well done! No dogs! Well maintained Well maintained area. Well maintained, good trail options Well maintained. well managed Would be 5 except for the fire damage

Reasons for witnessing hikers behaving unsafely?

Approaching horse quickly Hiking the bike trail. Off trail. Wearing earbuds

Reasons for witnessing mountain bikers behaving unsafely?

Approaching horse quickly Big Group Couldn't stop easily. Flew past horse downhill. high rate of speed I got ran over by a bike. Not yielding to horses Off trail to uphill traffic. on DR trail & flying down trails Stopped in the road and would not let us pass

Reasons for witnessing horseback riders behaving unsafely?

Not staying on specified trail. Two horses side by side Total

Reasons for witnessing hikers being discourteous?

Hikers on bike trail. Not yielding when going slow playing music Rude comments

Reasons for witnessing mountain bikers being discourteous?

| Approaching horse quickly |
|---------------------------------------|
| did not yield |
| E biker. |
| Fast |
| I got ran over by a bike. |
| Not giving right of way |
| Not yielding to horses. |
| on DR trail |
| Rude comments |
| Swearing at us |
| When we spoke to them they were rude. |

Reasons for witnessing horseback riders being discourteous?

everyone is very nice. Not staying on specified trail. Rude comments

Additional comments

Aloha. bikers don't yield to walkers/hikers born & raised in foco!! Cabin is really cool. E mountain bikes should be allowed on all trails MTB's are allowed Everyone was super friendly and respectful on the trail. Favorite place in the state Fort Collins parks are great. From Washington DC Ft. Collins does a good job w/ open space & nat areas. Thank you! Great area! Will return! Great area. Great day! Great facility. I'll be back. Great hike today. Great open space and use of a beautiful area. Great place Great place - so fortunate to save this place great place, please don't charge a fee Great place! Great place. Great place. Thanks for asking. Any chance making Eden Valley a loop? Great spot. Many thanks. I didn't know this place existed till this week. I had a great experience today. No complaints! I hike early morning - always less people, cooler and generally see more wildlife I just wish I could bring my dog here. I like Bobcat because its close and not very crowded at all if you get up here early. The trails are challenging. I love Bobcat Ridge! Keep up the great work! I love Colorado I love hiking trails I love Karl!!! I love that it's free and not littered (especially with dog poop) I love this area & use all the time but do not think fees are the way to go. This needs funding from the whole community. Also-I wanted to volunteer to help with repairs but was unable to connect with anyone. I love this natural area! I love this place! I wish the thistles would be sprayed before they spread more I've lived in Colorado for 40 years and this my favorite place to visit and trail run. If it isn't there already a sign that explains that the Powerline Trail connects to the Valley Loop. Keep the trails as they are! Love them! Do not do what you did to Maxwell! Keep up the great work! Thank you for giving us these places! Less horse poop would be good. Bathroom is clean! :) Love bobcat ridge Love Bobcat!!! Thank you! Love it here :) love it! Love this spot. Will be back lovely area Lovely area - Thank you

More mountain bike free trails. Need more bike free trails Need to create a citizen advisory group for this park. Also, city of F.C. should start a volunteer trail ranger program. Never come on weekends. Tuesday and Wednesday ar best days. New fav natural area Nice place! I will come back. Nice work, Parks Department!! no pay to park was nice Please expand mountain biking trails- especially in new acquisition. Please open gate at dawn. Please revert Maxwell to a technical trail. Still a good place to hike after the fire Super area! Thank you for a beautiful FREE hiking area! Thank you for a free hiking area Thank you for all you do to make this & other natural areas a great place to visit :) Thank you for listening! thank you for the incredible outdoors opportunities in Fort Collins! Thank you for the work that you do! I love having access to these trails and natural areas. Thank you for the work you do! Thank you for your hard work. I appreciate it. Thank you! Thank you! Love the open spaces. Let us know how to help. Thanks for all you do! Thanks for asking! Thanks for doing the survey. Beautiful place. People use the website and Cotrex. Thanks for doing this! Thanks for having these surveys. Thanks for the work! Thanks, great facility! Thanks! The trail layout of Bobcat Ridge is how most trails in the Front range should be. Thank you! This is an exceptional trail system! trails were maintained well Very enjoyable visit. Will return. I found the cabin to be a great place to think about things- the past, and also the interaction between human culture & nature. Very nice! We all need to work together to keep all the open space areas safe and fun for walkers, hikers, and bikers. We come several times per year the quality and continued upgrades for riders are top notch We love Bobcat! We love this area so much. It is the perfect length for (around 5 miles) and has variety of easy and moderately hard areas.

We love this area!!!

Website should consistently reflect actual opening time of gates.

What's up with reforestation?

Wish dogs on leash were allowed

With over 30K pics of Bobcat, the park NEVER disappoints me. There is always something to see.

Wonderful place. Good expansion.

Would like to see more e-mountain bike trails for us older arthritic athletes! Less sand - too slippery for mountain bikes (falls!). Too many technical spots on trails are smoothed out. More parking!

You do a great job here! Thank you.

Appendix B – Survey Instrument



This survey will help **City of Fort Collins Natural Areas** staff better understand visitors' views and improve service delivery. Your participation is completely voluntary, and your answers will remain anonymous. **Thank you for your assistance - your input is greatly appreciated!**

TODAY'S VISIT

- 1. About how many visits have you made to this natural area in the past 12 months? (If this is your first visit or you come here less than once per year, write 0) _____
- 2. What was your primary activity **TODAY** during your visit? (Check only one)

| Hiking | Family gathering | Wildlife viewing |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Mountain biking | Horseback riding | Photography/Art |
| Trail running | Picnicking | |

3. Which of the following best describes **WHO** traveled here **with you** today? (*Check one and write in the number of people in your group, if more than just you today.*)

___Just me! ___Group (____# adults, ____# children)

4. For the facilities that you used today during your visit, please rate their quality by circling the appropriate number for each facility.

| | Did Not | Very | Poor | Average | Good | Very |
|-------------------------|---------|------|------|---------|------|------|
| | Use | Poor | | | | Good |
| Restrooms | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Parking Areas | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Picnic Areas | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Trash Receptacles | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Kiosk Materials & Signs | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Trails | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Please explain why you rated the facilities this way: _____

5. How would you rate the overall quality of this natural area? (*Circle one number*)



6. Please check the two main reasons you chose to visit **<u>THIS</u>** natural area instead of others.

| □ It's close to home. | To be in nature. | It's less crowded than other natural areas. |
|-----------------------|---|---|
| □ To get exercise. | □ For relaxation. | □ To develop my skills and abilities. |
| I feel safe here. | □ To escape from everyday responsibilities or pressure. | □ To spend time with friends or family. |
| | | |

7. Is there a particular City of Fort Collins Natural Areas site that you choose to no longer visit?

| No | | | |
|-----|----------------|------|------|
| Yes | If yes, where? | | |
| | | | |

If yes, why do you avoid this property?

OBSERVATIONS AT THIS NATURAL AREA

8. How often **has each** of the following happened to you personally during **THIS VISIT**? (*Circle one and include a description, if applicable*)

| | Never | 1 or 2 times | 3 to 5 times | Many times | Almost always |
|---|-------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|
| Saw hikers behaving unsafely If so, how? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Saw mountain bikers behaving unsafely If so, how? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Saw horseback riders behaving unsafely If so, how? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Witnessed hikers being discourteous If so, how? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Witnessed mountain bikers being discourteous If so, how? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Witnessed horseback riders being discourteous If so, how? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

CONTINUE ON BACK $~~\downarrow~~$

City of Fort Collins Natural Areas Department

9. To what extent do you think each of the following is a problem at this natural area?

| | Never | Rarely | Sometime s | Often | Almost always |
|-------------------------------------|-------|--------|---------------|-------|------------------|
| Hikers hiking unsafely | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Mountain bikers riding unsafely | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Horseback riders riding unsafely | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Hikers being discourteous | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Mountain bikers being discourteous | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Horseback riders being discourteous | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

10. Please estimate the number of hikers, mountain bikers and horseback riders you saw **TODAY** at the trailhead and on the trail.

| | Number seen at the trailhead | Number seen on the trail |
|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Hikers | | |
| Mountain bikers | | |
| Horseback riders | | |

11. Did you feel crowded by the number of other visitors at each of the following locations? (Circle one)

| Did you feel crowded by: | Not a Crov | | - | htly vded | | oderate Crowde | • | | emely vded |
|---|---------------|---|---|--------------|---|-------------------|---|---|---------------|
| Hikers | | | | | | | | | |
| At the trailhead | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| On the trail | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| <u>Mountain bikers</u> At the trailhead | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| On the trail | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| <u>Horseback riders</u> At the trailhead | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| On the trail | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

VISITOR DEMOGRAPHICS

12. Which best describes your gender?

| Female | Transgender Ferr | nale _ | Gender non-conforming |
|---|----------------------|---------------|--|
| Male | Transgender Mal | e _ | Prefer to self- identify |
| 13. What is your age? (` | (ears) | | |
| 14. What is your ethnicity? | | | |
| Hispanic/Latinx | _ Non-Hispanic/Lati | nx Pref | er to self-identify |
| 15. What is your race? American Indian/Ala | ska Native | Asian | Black/African American |
| Native Hawaiian/Pac | ific Islander | White | Two or more races |
| 16. What is your highest level of Some High School | - | | n High School/GED |
| | | | ree Master's/Ph.D |
| 17. What is your annual house | hold income? | | |
| Less than \$24,99 \$25,000 to \$34,9 \$35,000 to \$49,9 | 99\$75,000 | 0 to \$99,999 | \$150,000 to \$199,999 \$200,000 or more 9 |
| 18. What is your Zip Code for y | our PRIMARY resider | nce? | |
| 19. If you live in Larimer Count | y, how long have you | lived here? _ | (Years) |
| | | | |
| <u>COMMENTS</u> | | | |